

## BICYCLIC *BSEC* OF BLOCK SIZE 3

CHUNG JE CHO

ABSTRACT. A  $k$ -sized balanced sampling plan excluding contiguous units of order  $v$  and index  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $BSEC(v, k, \lambda)$ , is said to be *bicyclic* if it admits an automorphism consisting of two disjoint cycles of length  $\frac{v}{2}$ . In this paper, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$ s.

### 1. Introduction

A pair  $\{x_i, x_j\}$  of a cyclically ordered set  $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{v-1}\}$  is said to be *contiguous* if  $j = i + 1$  for  $0 \leq i \leq v - 2$  or  $\{i, j\} = \{0, v - 1\}$ . Otherwise, it is *non-contiguous*. A  $k$ -sized balanced sampling plan excluding contiguous points of order  $v$  and index  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $BSEC(v, k, \lambda)$ , is a pair  $(X, \mathfrak{B})$  where  $X$  is a  $v$ -set of points (units) in cyclic ordering and  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a collection of  $k$ -subsets of  $X$ , called *blocks*, such that any contiguous pair of  $X$  does not appear in any block while any non-contiguous pair of distinct points in  $X$  appears in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks. Balanced sampling plans excluding contiguous units can be used for survey sampling when the units are arranged in a one-dimensional ordering and the contiguous units in this ordering provide similar information, such as estimates of population characteristics. When  $k = 3$ , the existence of a  $BSEC(v, k, \lambda)$  is settled by Colbourn and Ling[1].

**THEOREM 1.1.** [1] *There exists a  $BSEC(v, 3, \lambda)$  if and only if  $v \in \{0, 3\}$  or  $\lambda(v - 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ ,  $v \geq 9$ .*

A  $BSEC(v, k, \lambda)$  is said to be *cyclic* if it admits an automorphism consisting of a single cycle of length  $v$ . In 2002, Wei[3] establishes the existence of cyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, \lambda)$  with  $\lambda = 1, 2$ .

---

Received January 20, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B05, 05B07, 05B10.

Key words and phrases: balanced sampling plans excluding contiguous units, automorphism.

**THEOREM 1.2.** [3] *There exists a cyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 1)$  if and only if  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ ; there exists a cyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$  if and only if  $v \equiv 0, 3$  or  $9 \pmod{12}$ .*

There does not exist a cyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$  for  $v \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ . However, Colbourn and Ling[1] defined bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$  and gave some small examples. Whether there exists a bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$  for all  $v \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$  still remains as an interesting problem. A  $BSEC(v, k, \lambda)$  is said to be *bicyclic* if it admits an automorphism consisting of two disjoint cycles of length  $\frac{v}{2}$ . In this paper, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$ s.

## 2. Existence of bicyclic $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$ s

A necessary condition for the existence of bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$ s is easily obtained.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *If there exists a bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$ , then  $v \equiv 0$  or  $6 \pmod{12}$  and  $v \neq 6$ .*

If  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ , the existence of a cyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$  gives rise to the existence of a bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *If  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ , then there exists a bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$ .*

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_v = \{0, 1, \dots, v-1\}$  denote the cyclic additive group of order  $v$ . If  $(x, i) \in \mathbb{Z}_v \times \{1, 2\}$  is an element, we write briefly  $x_i$  for it. We consider  $\mathbb{Z}_v \times \{1, 2\}$  as a cyclically ordered set

$$(0_1, 0_2, 1_1, 1_2, \dots, (v-1)_1, (v-1)_2).$$

In this cyclic ordering, note that a pair  $\{a, b\}$  of distinct points is contiguous if and only if  $(a, b) = (i_1, i_2)$  or  $(i_2, (i+1)_1)$  where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ .

It remains to construct a bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$  for  $v \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$  and  $v \neq 6$ . We will construct our bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$  with point set  $V = \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{v}{2}} \times \{1, 2\}$  and the corresponding bicyclic automorphism is

$$\alpha = \left(0_1, 1_1, \dots, \left(\frac{v}{2} - 1\right)_1\right) \left(0_2, 1_2, \dots, \left(\frac{v}{2} - 1\right)_2\right).$$

Let  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  be the group generated by  $\alpha$ . If  $v \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$  and if there exists a collection of 3-subsets

$$B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{\frac{2(v-3)}{3}}$$

of  $V = \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{v}{2}} \times \{1, 2\}$ , which produce under the bicyclic automorphism  $\alpha$  each of the pairs

$$\begin{aligned} \{0_1, i_1\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v}{2} - 1, \\ \{0_1, i_2\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v}{2} - 2, \\ \{0_2, i_1\}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, \frac{v}{2} - 1, \\ \{0_2, i_2\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v}{2} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

exactly twice, then the orbits  $\mathcal{O}(B_i) = \{\beta(B_i) | \beta \in \langle \alpha \rangle\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{2(v-3)}{3}$ , form the blocks for a bicyclic *BSEC*( $v, 3, 2$ ). Such a collection of 3-subsets is called a collection of *base blocks* for the bicyclic *BSEC*( $v, 3, 2$ ). If  $v = 12t + 6$ , we will construct  $8t + 2$  base blocks consisting of

- (i)  $2t$  of the form  $\{0_1, a_1, b_1\}$ ,
- (ii) 2 of the form  $\{c_1, d_1, 0_2\}$ ,
- (iii)  $6t - 1$  of the form  $\{0_2, r_2, s_2\}$ ,
- (iv) one of the form  $\{0_2, x_2, y_2\}$ ,

which give rise to a bicyclic *BSEC*( $12t + 6, 3, 2$ ).  $2t$  blocks with type (i) will be taken each twice of  $t$  base blocks for a cyclic *STS*( $6t + 3$ ) (a cyclic Steiner triple system *STS*( $v$ ) exists for all  $v \equiv 1$  or  $3 \pmod{6}$ ,  $v \neq 9$ , [2]) based on  $\mathbb{Z}_{6t+3} \times \{1\}$ , except the base block  $\{0_1, (2t + 1)_1, (4t + 2)_1\}$ , 2 blocks with type (ii) are  $\{(2t + 1)_1, (4t + 2)_1, 0_2\}$  and  $\{(6t + 2)_1, (2t)_1, 0_2\}$ , and one block with (iv) will be taken  $\{0_2, 1_2, (3t + 2)_2\}$ . We need some observations for blocks with types (iii). If  $S$  is a set,  $2S$  denotes the multiset with each object repeated twice.

DEFINITION 2.3. A  $(6t + 2)$ -system is a set of ordered pairs

$$\{(a_r, b_r) | r = 1, 2, \dots, 3t\} \cup \{(c_r, d_r) | r = 2, 3, \dots, 3t\} \cup \{(a, b), (c, d)\}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{a_r, b_r | r = 1, 2, \dots, 3t\} \cup \{c_r, d_r | r = 2, 3, \dots, 3t\} \cup \{a, b, c, d\} \\ & = 2\{2, 3, \dots, 6t + 2\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_r - a_r &= r, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, 3t, \\ d_r - c_r &= r, \quad r = 2, 3, \dots, 3t, \end{aligned}$$

$$(a, b) = (2t + 1, 4t + 2),$$

$$(c, d) = (2t, 6t + 2).$$

LEMMA 2.4. *If there exists a  $(6t + 2)$ -system and  $t \geq 2$ , then there exists a bicyclic  $BSEC(12t + 6, 3, 2)$ .*

PROOF. Let

$\{(a_r, b_r) | r = 1, 2, \dots, 3t\} \cup \{(c_r, d_r) | r = 2, 3, \dots, 3t\} \cup \{(a, b), (c, d)\}$   
be a  $(6t + 2)$ -system. Then the following triples:

base blocks for a cyclic  $STS(6t + 3)$  based on  $\mathbb{Z}_{6t+3} \times \{1\}$  each twice,  
except its base block  $\{0_1, (2t + 1)_1, (4t + 2)_1\}$ , and  
 $\{(2t + 1)_1, (4t + 2)_1, 0_2\}, \{(6t + 2)_1, (2t)_1, 0_2\},$   
 $\{0_2, r_2, (b_r)_1\}, r = 1, 2, \dots, 3t,$   
 $\{0_2, r_2, (d_r)_1\}, r = 2, 3, \dots, 3t,$   
 $\{0_2, 1_2, (3t + 2)_2\}$

form base blocks for a bicyclic  $BSEC(12t + 6, 3, 2)$ . □

LEMMA 2.5. [1] *There exists a bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$  for  $v = 18, 30, 42$ .*

It remains to construct  $(6t + 2)$ -system for all  $t \geq 2$ .

LEMMA 2.6. *If  $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $t \geq 2$ , then there exists a  $(6t + 2)$ -system.*

PROOF. If  $t = 2$ , then

$$(4, 14), (5, 10), (3, 6), (2, 7), (11, 12), (3, 6), (2, 7),$$

$$(10, 12), (9, 13), (8, 14), (9, 11), (4, 8), (5, 11)$$

form a 14-system.

If  $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $t \geq 6$ , then the following ordered pairs form a  $(6t + 2)$ -system:

$$(a, b) = (2t, 6t + 2), (c, d) = (2t + 1, 4t + 1),$$

$$(1 + r, 3t + 3 - r), r = 1, 2, \dots, t + 1,$$

$$(3t + 3 + r, 6t + 3 - r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{3t - 2}{2},$$

$$(t + 2 + r, 2t - r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t - 4}{2},$$

$$(1 + r, 3t + 2 - r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{3t}{2},$$

$$(3t + 1 + r, 6t + 2 - r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{3t - 2}{4},$$

$$\left(\frac{3t + 2}{2}, \frac{9t + 2}{2}\right), \left(3t + 3, \frac{9t + 6}{2}\right),$$

and we divide into two cases:

Case 1.  $t \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ . If  $t = 6$ , then

$$(30, 33), (24, 29), (25, 32), (27, 31).$$

If  $t \geq 14$ , then

$$(4t + 2 - r, 5t + 1 - r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t - 2}{4},$$

$$(4t + 3 + 2r, 5t + 2 - 2r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t - 6}{4},$$

$$(4t + 2 + 2r, 5t - 1 - 2r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t - 6}{8},$$

$$\left(\frac{9t + 2}{2} - 2r, \frac{9t}{2} + 2r\right), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t - 14}{8}, (t > 14),$$

$$(4t + 3, 5t + 1), \left(\frac{17t + 10}{4}, \frac{21t + 6}{4}\right),$$

$$\left(\frac{15t + 6}{4}, \frac{19t - 6}{4}\right), \left(\frac{9t + 6}{2}, 5t - 1\right).$$

Case 2.  $t \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ .

$$\left(\frac{15t + 6}{4} + r, \frac{21t + 6}{4} - r\right), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t - 2}{4},$$

$$(4t + 2 + r, 5t + 1 - r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t - 2}{4},$$

$$\left(\frac{17t + 10}{4} + 2r, \frac{19t + 10}{4} - 2r\right), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t - 10}{8}, (t > 10),$$

$$\left(\frac{17t + 6}{4} + 2r, \frac{19t - 2}{4} - 2r\right), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t - 10}{8}, (t > 10),$$

$$\left(\frac{17t + 10}{4}, \frac{21t + 6}{4}\right), \left(\frac{9t + 6}{2}, 5t + 1\right), \left(\frac{15t + 6}{4}, \frac{19t - 2}{4}\right). \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2.7. *If  $t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $t \geq 4$ , then there exists a  $(6t+2)$ -system*

PROOF. If  $t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $t \geq 4$ , then the following ordered pairs form a  $(6t+2)$ -system:

$$\begin{aligned} & (a, b) = (2t, 6t+2), (c, d) = (2t+1, 4t+2), \\ & (1+r, 3t+3-r), r = 1, 2, \dots, t+1, \\ & (t+2+r, 2t-r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t-4}{2}, (t > 4), \\ & (3t+2+r, 6t+3-r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{3t}{2}, \\ & (1+r, 3t+3-r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{3t}{2}, \\ & (3t+2+r, 6t+1-r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t-4}{2}, (t > 4), \\ & (4t+2-r, 5t+3+r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t-2}{2}, \\ & \left( \frac{3t+2}{2}, \frac{7t+4}{2} \right), \left( \frac{3t+4}{2}, \frac{9t+2}{2} \right), \\ & (4t+3, 5t+1), (t > 4), \end{aligned}$$

and we divide into two cases:

Case 1.  $t \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & (4t+5+r, 5t+4-r), r = 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, \dots, \frac{t-4}{2}, \\ & (4t+3+r, 5t-2-r), r = 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, \dots, \frac{t-12}{2}, (t > 8), \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.  $t \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ .

$$\left( \frac{7t+2}{2}, \frac{9t+4}{2} \right), (5t+2, 6t+1),$$

and we distinguish into three subcases:

Subcase 1.  $t \equiv 4 \pmod{24}$ . If  $t = 4$ , an ordered pair  $(21, 23)$  is added. If  $t > 4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (4t+3+3r, 5t+6-3r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t-4}{8}, \\ & (4t+3+r, 5t-r), r = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, \dots, \frac{t-18}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\frac{9t+6}{2}, \frac{9t+12}{2}\right), \left(\frac{9t-2}{2}, \frac{9t+8}{2}\right), \left(\frac{9t}{2}, \frac{9t+14}{2}\right),$$

$$\left(\frac{9t-8}{2}, \frac{9t+10}{2}\right), \left(\frac{9t-6}{2}, \frac{9t+16}{2}\right).$$

Subcase 2.  $t \equiv 12 \pmod{24}$ .

$$(4t+3+3r, 5t+6-3r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t}{6},$$

$$(4t+3+r, 5t-r), r = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, \dots, \frac{t-8}{2}.$$

Subcase 3.  $t \equiv 20 \pmod{24}$ .

$$(4t+3+3r, 5t+6-3r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t-4}{8},$$

$$(4t+3+r, 5t-r), r = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, \dots, \frac{t-16}{2},$$

$$\left(\frac{9t+6}{2}, \frac{9t+12}{2}\right), \left(\frac{9t}{2}, \frac{9t+10}{2}\right), \left(\frac{9t-6}{2}, \frac{9t+8}{2}\right),$$

$$\left(\frac{9t-4}{2}, \frac{9t+14}{2}\right), \left(\frac{9t-2}{2}, \frac{9t+20}{2}\right). \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2.8. *If  $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $t \geq 3$ , then there exists a  $(6t+2)$ -system*

PROOF. If  $t = 3$ , then the following ordered pairs form a 20-system:

$$(a, b) = (6, 20), \quad (c, d) = (7, 14),$$

$$(10+r, 21-r), \quad r = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$$

$$(1+r, 11-r), \quad r = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$(2, 4), (3, 5), (6, 9), (13, 17), (5, 10),$$

$$(12, 18), (8, 15), (11, 19), (7, 16).$$

If  $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $t \geq 5$ , then the following ordered pairs form a  $(6t+2)$ -system:

$$(a, b) = (2t, 6t+2), \quad (c, d) = (2t+1, 4t+2),$$

$$(3t+1+r, 6t+3-r), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{3t+1}{2}$$

$$(1+r, 3t+2-r), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, t,$$

$$(t+r, 2t-r), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t-3}{2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1+r, 3t+2-r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{3t-1}{2}, \\
& (3t+1+r, 6t+1-r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t-3}{2}, \\
& \left( \frac{7t+3}{2} + r, \frac{11t+5}{2} - r \right), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t-3}{2}, \\
& (4t+3+r, 5t+1-r), r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{t-5}{2}, (t > 5), \\
& \left( \frac{3t+1}{2}, \frac{7t+3}{2} \right), \left( \frac{7t+1}{2}, \frac{9t+5}{2} \right), \left( \frac{3t+3}{2}, \frac{9t+3}{2} \right), \\
& (4t+1, 5t+1), (4t+3, 5t+2), (5t+3, 6t+1).
\end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.9.** *If  $t \geq 2$  is an integer, then there exists a  $(6t+2)$ -system.*

Now, we conclude the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.10.** *There exists a bicyclic  $BSEC(v, 3, 2)$  if and only if  $v \equiv 0$  or  $6 \pmod{12}$ ,  $v \neq 6$ .*

## References

- [1] C. J. Colbourn and A. C. H. Ling, *A class of partial triple systems with applications in survey sampling*, *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods* **27** (1998), 1009–1018.
- [2] R. Peltesohn, *Eine Lösung der beiden Heffterschen Differenzenprobleme*, *Compos. Math.* **6** (1939), 251–257.
- [3] R. Wei, *Cyclic BSEC of block size 3*, *Discrete Math.* **250** (2002), 291–298.

Department of Mathematics  
College of Sciences  
Sookmyung Women's University  
Seoul 140-742, Korea  
*E-mail:* cjcho@sookmyung.ac.kr