Communications

Ab initio MO Study on N-Nitrosoazetidine-2-carboxylic Acid

Kwang-Hwi Cho

Department of Bioinformatics and CAMDRC. Soongsil University, Seoul 156-743, Korea. E-mail: chokh@ssu.ac.kr Received November 22, 2004

Key Words : Ab initio MO study, N-Nitrosoazetidine-2-carboxylic acid, SCRF calculation

Due to its important biological characters such as carcinogenic and mutagenic properties,¹ *N*-Nitrosamines are of widespread interest. The stereochemistry of *N*-nitrosamines has been studied utilizing various experimental techniques,² because the molecular geometry of these compounds critically influences their biological activity. In particular, non-planarity and, connected with it, inherent chirality of the *N*-nitrosamine chromophore exhibit interesting geometric characters and relative stability between isomers. Recently, an X-ray crystallographic study of (S)-*N*-nitrosamino-2-carboxylic acid revealed its structural details.³

Experimental evidence shows the following geometric characteristics. 1) Due to a restricted rotation about the partially double N-N bond, the molecule can exist as either the E or Z stereoisomer (Figure 1). In an aqueous solution, the E conformer is more stable than Z conformer.⁴ The crystal structure reveals that the *N*-nitrosamine moiety is ordered, and adopts the E conformation.³ (2) The N atom of the *N*-nitrosamino group of the molecule included in a strained four-membered ring may lead to its pyramidal configuration and the intrinsic chirality of a chromophore.⁵ Its displacement from the plane containing the three attached atoms is 0.038(2) Å.³

To more correctly interpret this data, an *ab initio* Molecular Orbital study of *N*-Nitrosoazetidine-2-carboxylic acid has been performed. All ab initio molecular orbital calculations were carried out at the level of HF theory using the Gaussian 98 package.⁶

Due to the restriction between the N-N partial double bond, both E and Z conformations were considered. Since the rotational barrier of C2-C1-C4-O2 is high, the optimized geometry depends on the initial torsion angle of C2-C1-C4-O2 during the geometry optimization. Two possible C2-C1-C4-O2 orientations (+ and –) are considered for each E and Z conformers. Therefore, four types of stable conformers (E–, E–, Z– and Z–) were considered for the calculations (Figure 1).

During the geometry optimization, no geometric constraints were used such as assuming that the ring is a planner. For each conformation, gas phase geometry optimizations and energy calculations were performed at the Hartree Fock level with $6-31-G^*$ and $6-31+-G^{**}$ basis sets. With optimized geometries, the Self-Consistent Reaction Field (SCRF) calculations using the conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM)⁷ has been carried out to see the solvent effects on the conformation.

Among the conformers, Z- and E+ conformers are the most stable conformations in the gas phase and in the aqueous solution, respectively (Table 1). Experimental evidence shows that the E+ conformer is observed in X-ray crystallographic study.³ Due to polar environments caused by other molecules in the crystal, the molecule prefers the E conformation rather than the Z conformation. With the experimental evidence³ and the calculations done in this work, it is concluded that *N*-nitrosoazetidine-2-carboxylic acid prefers the E conformation in polar environments and the Z conformation in non-polar environments.

Calculated geometric values of the E+ conformer are represented in Table 2 and compared with its experimental values.³ The crystal structure of *N*-Nitrosoazetidine-2carboxylic acid corresponds well with the calculated geometry of the E- conformer which is the lowest energy conformation with SCRF calculation. The displacement from the plane of the N atom of the *N*-nitrosamino group



Figure 1. Four stable conformations of *N*-Nitrosoazetidine-2carboxylic acid.

Table 1. Calculated Energies^a of the conformers

		Gas Phase		
	-	Conformers		
Basis sets	E+	E-	Z+	Z-
6-31+G*	-488.3601335	-488.3601876	-488.3600579	-488.360315
6-31++G**	-488.3746655	-488.3746685	-488.3744839	-488.3747068
		SCRF (CPCM) Calculat	ion	
		Conformers		
Basis sets	E+	E-	Z+	Z-
6-31+G*	-488.3873768	-488.3860219	-488.3863927	-488.386541
6-31++G**	-488.3876814	-488.386059	-488.3862957	-488.3865638

"energies in Hartree units

Table 2	Selected G	eometric	narameters	of E+	conformer
Table 2.	Selected O	reometric	parameters	OI ET	comonner

Geometric	Methods				
Parameters	Exptl ^a	6-31+G*	6-31+++G**		
		Bond Length ^b			
O1-C4	1.200(2)	1.1864	1.1866		
O2-C4	1.311(3)	1.3257	1.3246		
O3-N2	1.254(2)	1.1936	1.1940		
N1-N2	1.285(2)	1.2923	1.2913		
N1-C1	1.468(3)	1.4482	1.4475		
C1-C4	1.507(3)	1.5141	1.5139		
C1-C2	1.548(3)	1.5606	1.5607		
C2-C3	1.544(3)	1.5498	1.5502		
		Bond Angle ^c			
N2-N1-C1	133.81(18)	130.81	131.00		
03-N2-N1	111.61(18)	114.69	114.69		
N1-C1-C2	87.14(16)	86.72	86.72		
C4-C1-C2	116.42(18)	114.49	114.55		
C3-C2-C1	89.60(16)	88.76	88.79		
OI-C4-O2	125.0(2)	123.57	123.55		
O1-C4-C1	122.0(2)	123.40	123.27		
		Dihedral Angle ^d			
C3-N1-N2-O3	-4.1(3)	-11.16	-9.65		
N2-N1-C1-C4	-69.7(3)	-53.93	-55.42		
NI-Cl-C2-C3	2.54(16)	4.58	3.99		
		Out of plane			
C1-C3-N2-N1	0.038(2)	0.230	0.242		

"Taken from ref. 3. ^hIn Å units. ^{ca}In degree units. ^rIn Å units. The displacements of N1 are from the plane containing C1, C3, and N2.

containing the three attached atoms is 0.230 Å and 0.242 Å with 6-31+G* and 6-31++G**, respectively. It shows little deviation from its experimental value of 0.038 Å. However, overall geometric values show good agreement with the experimental values.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by Soongsil University Research Fund.

References

- Leoppky, R. N.; Outram, J. R. In Nitroso Compounds: Occurrence and Biological Effects: Lyon: IARC Scientific Publishers: 1982.
- 2. Polanski, T.: Pham, M.: Gdaniec, M. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3766.
- Gdaniec, M.; Milewska, M.; Polanski, T. Acta. Cryst. 2004, E60, 181.
- Gaffield, W.; Lundin, R. E.; Keepfer, L. K. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 1861.
- 5. Shustov, G. V.; Rauk, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 928.
- Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Montgomery, Jr., J. A.; Stratmann, R. E.; Burant, J. C.; Dapprich, S.; Millam, J. M.; Daniels, A. D.; Kudin, K. N.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucei, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Clifford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, G. A.; Ayala, P. Y.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, J. V.; Baboul, A. G.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Gonzalez, C.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, E. S.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 98*, Revision A.9; Gaussian, Inc.; Pittsburgh, PA, 1998.
- 7. Barone, V.; Cossi, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1998, 102, 1995.