The Combining Ability Analysis and Heterosis for some Quanitatives Traits in the Silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.)

S. H. Hosseini Moghaddam* and K. Etebari

Dept. of Sericulture, College of Natural Resources, University of Guilan, Somehe Sara 1144, Iran.

(Received 11 November 2004; Accepted 26 April 2005)

Recently two breeding programs were carried out for isolation of new parental inbred lines in Iran. This study was undertaken in order to estimate the combining ability effects and heterosis of these lines. For this purpose an 8 × 8 diallel cross analysis including eight inbred lines of silkworm with four lines from each program were studied for their five quantitative traits. The results indicated that reciprocal effects appeared insignificant for most of traits. Japanese lines of 111 and 113 were best combiners for shell weight, cocoon weight and shell percentage traits. With respect to pupation rate, Chinese line 110 was the best and according to general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) variances, it is superior in specific combinations. Hybrid 109 × 110 showed better characters for both productive and viability traits. Hybrids with high heterosis had high SCA too.

Key words: Combining ability, Diallel crosses, Heterosis, Silkworm

Introduction

The choice of the best parent for producing commercial hybrids is one of the critical tasks. The combining ability of parental lines cannot be fully judged by phenotypic perfermance. The success of selection for combining ability depends on understanding the population genetic structure (Nagaraja and Govindan, 1994; Malik *et al.*, 1999).

The combining ability analysis by diallel crosses method gives the required parameters for explanation of genetic backgrounds and choosing the best parent for hybridization. Many attempts have been made earlier by many breeder to identify the most productive and resistant hybrids by estimating combining ability and heterosis (Jang *et al.*, 1986; Datta and Pershad, 1987; Ashoka and Govindan, 1991; Lea and Alwis, 1995; Singh *et al.*, 2000, 2002, 2003)

The Griffing's (1956) approach of diallel analysis is the most reliable and precise methods to get information about combining ability. Also the heterosis or hybrid vigor can be estimated through diallel crossing. Some associated parameters in the diallel analysis such as $\hat{\sigma}_g^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_s^2$ with each parent play significant role in choice of parents as a component of synthetic varieties or for creating specific combinations (Sharma, 1998).

Recently some inbred lines of silkworm were introduced in Iran silkworm germplasm bank. These inbred lines have been derived from two breeding programs including "isolation method" and "inbreeding program" followed by intra-crossing of Japanese and Chinese races. The present study was aimed to estimate GCA, SCA, variances of GCA and SCA, also heterosis of the some economic traits in newly evolved lines of silkworm in Iran.

Materials and Methods

Eight inbred lines were selected including four lines from first program with the name of 107, 109 (Japanese race), 108, 110 (Chinese race) and four lines from second program, 111, 113 (Japanese race) and 114, 118 (Chinese race).

These lines were crossed in all possible combinations including reciprocals. For each replication 500 eggs were sampled from ten laying. Parents and their 56 F₁'s were reared in a partially balanced lattice design with three replications during April and May. After passing third molt, 250 larvae were counted and reared at standard rearing

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Dept. of Sericulture, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Guilan, Sowmaehe Sara 1144, Iran. Tel: +98-182-3223023(4); Fax: +98-182-3222102; E-mail: hosseini@guilan.ac.ir

conditions. Data were collected on five traits including cocoon shell weight (SW), cocoon weight (CW), shell percentage (SP), pupation rate (PR) and effective rate of rearing (ERR). Rearing technology and recording methods were conducted according to standard method (ESCAP, 1993; Hosseini Moghaddam, 2005).

Data were analyzed using model 2 (non-random) of Griffing's (1956) for full diallel analysis that has already been used for mulberry silkworm (Jang et al., 1986), multivoltine combined with bivoltine strains (Datta and Pershad, 1987) and Eri silkworm (Nagaraja et al., 1996). GCA

variance $(\hat{\sigma}_g^2)$ and SCA variance $(\hat{\sigma}_s^2)$ were estimated according to Sharma (1998) and Griffing's (1956) numerical example.

$$\hat{\sigma}_{s_i}^2 = \frac{1}{(p-2)} \sum_{j}^{p} S_{ij}^2 - \frac{p-3}{p-2} \times MSe$$
 $(i \neq j)$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{g_i}^2 = (g_i)^2 - \frac{p-1}{p(p-2)} \times MSe$$

$$S_{ii} = SCA$$
 effect

Table 1. Analysis of variance of combining ability for five traits in full diallel set of silkworm

Source	df	SW	CW	SP	PR	ERR
GCA	7	7.861**	39.815**	27.877**	29.717**	457.8**
SCA	28	2.801**	44.199**	0.686**	27.398**	247.739**
R	28	0.205	3.475	0.419*	3.312	73.107
Error	126	0.192	2.836	0.233	5.845	53.646

GCA: General Combining Ability SCA: Specific Combining Ability RCA: Reciprocal Combining Ability

*5%, **1% significant level

SW: Shell Weight CW: Cocoon Weight SP: Shell Percentage PR: Pupation Rate

ERR: Effective Rate of Rearing

Table 2. GCA effects and estimates of GCA & SCA variances for 8 parents in full diallel set of silkworm

SW		CW		SP		PR		ERR	
$\hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2$	$\hat{\sigma}_{si}^2$	$\hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2$	$\hat{\sigma}_{si}^2$	$\hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2$	$\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{si}}^2$	$\hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2$	$\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{si}}^{2}$	$\hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2$	$\hat{\sigma}_{si}^2$
-0.51**		-1.91**		-0.19*		0.29		1.18	
0.238	-0.08	3.61	-1.17	0.004	-0.089	-0.77	1.5	-12.84	-87.55
-0.31**		-0.04		-0.73**		0.17		0.61	
0.07	-0.05	-0.032	-0.85	0.5	-0.08	0.824	-3.14	-18.95	-86.44
0.48**		0.59*		0.85**		-0.76		3.34*	
0.205	0.30	0.31	5.34	0.69	-0.045	0.278	-3.28	-15.14	-47.73
0.55**		0.92**		0.82**		-1.40**		4.84**	
0.278	0.439	0.82	6.25	0.63	-0.15	1.108	-0.72	-9.9	-60.22
-0.15*		0.93**		-0.87**		0.22		-3.84**	
-0.006	-0.098	0.83	-1.52	0.72	-0.125	-0.805	-3.36	-14.26	-90.77
-0.31**		-0.17		-0.66**		0.98**		-1.69	
0.07	-0.084	-0.006	-0.18	0.40	-0.107	0.102	-2.64	- 9.72	-90.81
0.34**		-0.22		0.94**		-0.27		-3.4*	
0.089	0.279	0.01	3.57	0.85	-0.105	-0.78	-3.52	-15.94	-91.30
0.087		-0.11		-0.15		0.78**		-1.04	
-0.02	0.049	-0.02	2.50			-0.241	-3.72	9.91	-97.94
0.059		0.227		0.065		0.326		0.989	
0.089		0.34		0.098		0.493		1.495	
	$\begin{array}{c} \hat{\sigma}_{gi}^{2} \\ -0.5 \\ 0.238 \\ -0.3 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.205 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.278 \\ -0. \\ -0.006 \\ -0.3 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.089 \\ 0.6 \\ -0.02 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				

 $\hat{\sigma}_{gi}^2$: GCA variance,

CW: Cocoon weight,

SW: Cocoon shell weight,

PR: Pupation rate,

 $\hat{\sigma}_{si}^2$: SCA variance,

SP: Shell percentage,

ERR: Effective rate of rearing, *5%, **1% significant level.

Table 3. Estimates of SCA and heterosis (HET) percentage in different hybrid of silkworm

Crosses -	SW		CW		SP		PR		ERR	
	SCA	HET	SCA	HET	SCA	HET	SCA	HET	SCA	HET
J107 × j107	-1.14**		-4.81**		-0.10		0.85		-1.08	
J107 × j109	0.40*	18.88**	1.14	17.17**	0.31	1.31	-1.02	0.2	-1.58	6.68
$J107 \times j111$	0.03	14.73**	-0.18	13.34**	0.23	1.44	0.28	2.33**	2.90	16.54
$7107 \times j113$	0.36*	22.51**	1.13	20.08**	0.26	2.3	1.34	6.5	-0.28	12.51
$J107 \times c108$	0.00	15.32**	0.06	12.69**	-0.04	1.9	0.34	1.38	4.23	19.36
$J107 \times c110$	-0.17	11.88**	-0.20	12.69**	-0.34	-1.08	-1.85*	-1.71	-0.74	-5.92
$J107 \times c114$	0.32	22.14**	1.11	19.65**	0.18	2.13	0.04	2.06	-3.69	0.74
$J107 \times c118$	0.21	17.27**	1.82**	19.98**	-0.51**	0.00	-0.05	1.07	0.23	4.98
j109 × j109	-0.98**	4-0511	-4.76**		0.15		-2.40		-11.81**	
$^{2}109 \times j111$	0.20	15.06**	0.10	14.00**	0.41*	1.68	1.33	5.27**	0.33	22.09*
$-109 \times j113$	0.29	19.98**	1.40*	19.62**	-0.07	0.35	2.41**	6.08**	5.57*	29.69**
$j109 \times c108$	0.24	16.73**	0.87 2.06**	14.05** 18.25**	0.15	2.27 -0.97	-1.15	1.55 2.58	-0.08 4.50	23.25* 7.72*
$j109 \times c110$	0.37* -0.44**	17.11** 10.79**	-0.87	18.25**	-0.19 -0.57**	-0.97 -1.69	0.68 0.02	2.38 3.83*	-1.13	13
$j109 \times c114$	-0.44**	10.79***	0.07	13.42**	-0.37**	-1.09	0.02	2.89	-1.13 4.19	18.92
$j109 \times c118$ $j111 \times j111$	-0.07	12.07	-4.90**	13.42	-0.19	-1.21 	-4.68**	2.09	-17.14**	10.92
$j111 \times j111$ $j111 \times j113$	-1.12**	4.41	-4.41**	3.88	- 0.12	0.49	-1.32	6.92**	-5.98*	16.69
$j111 \times j113$ $j111 \times c108$	0.43*	17.92**	1.07 **	14.52**	0.39	3.76**	0.51	4.62**	3.53	33.64**
$111 \times c110$	0.32	16.71**	1.74**	17.30**	-0.20	-0.39	0.95	6.42*	5.23	12.23
$111 \times c114$	1.04**	28.43**	3.92**	26.10**	0.12	1.79	0.98	6.24**	4.62	25.87**
-111 × c118	0.34*	12.99**	2.69**	20.45**	-0.66**	2.57	1.98	6.19**	6.53	26.21**
j113 × j113	-1.81**		-6.77**		-0.45		-9.98**		-17.61**	
$j113 \times c108$	0.01	18.11**	0.43	15.56**	-0.19	1.92	1.95*	9.40**	10.43	44.53**
$113 \times c110$	0.24	19.64**	0.70	17.29**	0.20	2.05	2.49**	8.92**	-3.34	1.14
$\frac{1}{2}113 \times c114$	1.07**	32.83**	3.89**	29.06**	0.21	2.87*	2.22*	10.92**	5.52*	27.11**
$\frac{113 \times c118}{c118}$	0.96**	28.39**	3.63**	25.98**	0.21	1.78	0.92	8.18**	5.69*	24.87**
$c108 \times c108$	-1.23**		-4.14**	~	-0.80**		-2.83		-16.36**	
$c108 \times c110$	0.33	18.17**	1.73**	15.95**	-0.06	1.85	-1.05	1.00	-5.92*	-3.4
$c108 \times c114$	0.06	18.63**	v0.01	13.98**	0.15	3.65**	1.15	5.29**	5.48*	29.67**
$c108 \times c118$	0.16	16.44**	-0.03	11.87**	0.42*	3.79**	1.10	4.14*	-1.29	15.8
	-1.01**		-4.48**		-0.10		-1.20	2 004	9.20*	2.00
$c110 \times c114$	0.07	17.52**	-0.06	12.73**	0.20	2.21	0.82	3.99*	-1.36	-3.09
$c110 \times c118$		11.48**	-1.50* -6.19**	8.69*	0.50**	2.47	-0.84 -4.70**	1.22	-7.58** -7.38*	-12.19
$c114 \times c114$ $c114 \times c118$		12.99**	-1.80**	10.52**	-0.53* 0.23	2.03	-0.51	3.5	-2.07	6.72
		12.99	-4.88**	10.32	0.23	2.03 	-2.83	3.3	-5.69	
	0.310	SE: 0.31	1.191	SE: 1.19	0.341	SE: 0.34	1.709	SE: 1.71	5.179	SE: 5.18
S_{ii} - S_{jj} $SE(S_{ii}$ - $S_{ij})$	0.296	SE . 0.31	1.140	3E . 1.19	0.327	SE . 0.34	1.640	3E . 1./1	4.959	SE . 5.16
$SE(S_{ii}-S_{ij})$ $SE(S_{ij}-S_{jk})$	0.298		1.031	•	0.327		1.480		4.485	
$SE(S_{ij}-S_{jk})$ $SE(S_{ij}-S_{ik})$	0.236		0.909		0.260		1.305		3.955	
$SE(S_{ij}-S_{ik})$ $SE(S_{ij}-S_{kl})$	0.230		0.842		0.261		1.209		3.66	
$SE(S_{ij}-S_{kl})$ $SE(S_{ii})$	0.219		0.851		0.241		1.221		3.700	
$SE(S_{ij})$	0.16		0.608		0.174		0.872		2.643	

^{*5%, **1%} significant level.

 $g_i = GCA$ effect MSe = Error variance, P = Number of parent

Heterosis was calculated over mid parental values as per formula outlined by Rao et al. (2004).

Results and Discussion

The analysis on variance of combining ability for SW, CW, SP, PR and ERR are presented in Table 1. Due to GCA and SCA, the variances for all the traits were highly significant. Reciprocal effects were insignificant. Therefore, for producing commercial F₁ hybrid both of parents can be used as female. Similar results were obtained by Jang *et al.* (1986). Also Nagaraju *et al.* (1996) reported that reciprocal differences are not observed in the crosses which involve parental strains of the same voltinism.

The GCAs of the parents and also variances of GCA and SCA are presented in Table 2. Lines 111 and 113 lines (both Japanese lines of second program) had significantly greater combining ability for SW, CW and SP. These lines that indicated good nature and magnitude of GCA are good combiners for these traits. Also they have high $\hat{\sigma}_g^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_s^2$ for SW and CW, therefore there are specific combinations of lines 111 and 113 with other lines which yield considerably more than be expected and other combinations which yield less than expected. In this view, they are better parents for creating high-yielding specific combinations, as high $\hat{\sigma}_s^2$ arises mainly from its highly differential ability to transmit its characters (genes) into its hybrid progenies. The highest value of GCA of pupation rate is for line 110 from first program. The $\hat{\sigma}_g^2$ of line 110 is high and positive, while the $\hat{\sigma}_s^2$ is negative. Therefore line 110 can be used when viability is important and it can probably transmit its high capacity to its progenies. Also maybe it is suitable for partaking in the production of a synthetic variety. In order to using the superior of these lines, they should be tested more thoroughly.

Estimated values of SCA are presented in Table 3. Comparison of SCA related to crosses between Japanese lines with Chinese lines showed that 111 and 113 combined with all of Chinese lines had high positive SCA for SW and CW. For 107 and 109 only 107 × 114 and 109 × 110 had high positive significant SCA for SW, CW and PR. The parents of hybrid 109 × 110 with high positive SCA had negative but significant GCA for CW and SW. Totally, the parents with high positive GCA for SW and CW indicated positive SCA for SW, CW, SP and PR. Line 110 with high positive GCA for PR was combined with Japanese lines, except for 107, showed positive SCA too. Heterosis percentages based on mid parental values are

presented in Table 3. The comparison of the hybrids indicated that high SW heterosis correlates with high CW and SP heterosis. Hybrids with high heterosis had high SCA too. It means we can use estimates of hetrosis instead of SCA.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by university of Guilan. The authors are grateful to Mr. M. R. Golami, Dr. M. Moghadam Vahed and Dr. N. Azimi for their technical help and kind cooperation.

References

- Ashoka, J. and R. Govindan (1991) Heterosis for cocoon traits in some bivoltine single and double cross hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.* **25**, 341-344.
- Data, R. K. and G. D. Pershad (1987) Combining ability among multivoltine × bivoltine silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. hybrid. *Sericologia* **28**, 21-29.
- ESCAP (1997) Principles and techniques of silkworm breeding. United Nations, New York.
- Griffing, B. (1956) Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.* **9**, 463-493.
- Hosseini Moghaddam, S. H. (2005) The principles of silkworm rearing. Guilan University Press, Iran.
- Jang, C. S., H. R. Sohn and R. S. Kim (1986) The combining ability effects for the several quantitative characters in the silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) by the diallel crosses. *Korean J. Seric Sci.* 28, 28-34.
- Lea, H. Z. and S. M. Alwis (1995) Genetic structure of the mulberry silkworm population in Sri Lanka; I. Estimation of combining ability and heritability. *Korean J. Seric. Sci.* 37, 10-15.
- Malik, G. N., M. A. Masoodi, A. S. Kamili and M. Aijaz (1999) Combining ability analysis over environments in diallel crosses of bivoltine silkworm (*Bombyx mori L.*) *Indian J. Seric.* **38**, 22-25.
- Nagaraja, M. and R. Govindan (1994) Combining ability estimates in the Eri silkworm, *Samia Cynthia Ricini* Boisduval, for larval and cocoon traits. *Sericologia* **34**, 455-460.
- Nagaraja, M., R. Govindan and T. K. Narayanaswamy (1996) Estimation of combining ability in eri silkworm *Samia Cynthia ricini* Boisduval for pupal and allied traits. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.* **30**, 48-51.
- Nagaraju, J., R. Urs and R. K. Datta (1996) Crossbreeding and heterosis in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, a review. *Sericologia* **36**, 1-20
- Rao, P. S., R. K. Datta, K. M. Vijaya Kumari, A. K. Palit and S.Z. Haque Rufaie (2004) Heterotic expression in silk produc-

- tivity of newly evolved thermo-tolerant bivoltine hybrids of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Int. J. Indust. Entomol.* **9**, 53-58.
- Sharma R. J. (1998) Statistical and biometrical techniques in plant breeding. New Age International Publishers. India.
- Singh, R., G. V. Kalpana, P. Sudhakar Rao, M. M. Ahsan, R. K. Datta and M. Rekha (2000) Studies on combining ability and heterosis in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Indian J. Seric.* **39**, 43-48.
- Singh, R. D., D. R. Rao, B. K. Kariappa, V. Premalatha and S. B. Dandin (2003) Studies on analysis of combining ability in the mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori L. Int. J. Indust. Entomol.* 6, 107-113.
- Singh, T., B. Saratchandra and G. N. Murthy (2002) An analysis of heterosis in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Int. J. Indust. Entomol.* **5**, 23-32.