# WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS BETWEEN $L^p$ -SPACES

### M. R. Jabbarzadeh

ABSTRACT. In this paper we will consider the weighted composition operator  $W=uC_{\varphi}$  between two different  $L^p(X,\Sigma,\mu)$  spaces, generated by measurable and non-singular transformations  $\varphi$  from X into itself and measurable functions u on X. We characterize the functions u and transformations  $\varphi$  that induce weighted composition operators between  $L^p$ -spaces by using some properties of conditional expectation operator, pair  $(u,\varphi)$  and the measure space  $(X,\Sigma,\mu)$ . Also, Fredholmness of these type operators will be investigated.

#### 1. Preliminaries and notations

Takagi in [9] has characterized the boundedness of multiplication and composition operators on  $L^p(\Sigma)$  spaces in  $1 \leq p < q$  and  $1 \leq q < p$  cases. In [4], boundedness of weighted composition operators has been investigated in  $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$  case. In the next section we will give the necessary and sufficient condition for boundedness of weighted composition operators in  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$  case. In section 3 we investigate a necessary and sufficient condition for a weighted composition operator  $W = uC_{\varphi}$  to be Fredholm. Fredholm weighted composition operators have been studied by H. Takagi[8] in the  $L^p(\Sigma)$  setting. By using some properties of conditional expectation operator we omit the continuity hypothesis of  $M_u$ . In other words, we do not require that  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ . This is stated as a hypothesis in [8].

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. By L(X), we denote the linear space of all  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions on X. When we consider any sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\Sigma$ , we assume they are completed; i.e.,  $\mu(A) = 0$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  for any  $B \subset A$ . For any  $\sigma$ -finite algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma$  and

Received March 8, 2004.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47B20; Secondary 47B38.

Key words and phrases: weighted composition operator, conditional expectation, multiplication operator, Fredholm operator.

 $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  we abbreviate the  $L^p$ -space  $L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu_{|\mathcal{A}})$  to  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$ , and denote its norm by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . We define the support of a measurable function f as  $\sigma(f) = \{x \in X; f(x) \neq 0\}$ . We understand  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$  as a subspace of  $L^p(\Sigma)$  and as a Banach space. All comparisons between two functions or two sets are to be interpreted as holding up to a  $\mu$ -null set. An atom of the measure  $\mu$  is an element  $A \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  such that for each  $F \in \Sigma$ , if  $F \subset A$  then either  $\mu(F) = 0$  or  $\mu(F) = \mu(A)$ . It is easy to see that every A-measurable function  $f \in L(X)$  is constant  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on an atom A. So for each  $f \in L(X)$  and each atom A we have  $\int_A f d\mu = f(A)\mu(A)$ . A measure with no atoms is called non-atomic. We can easily check the following well known facts (see [11]):

- (a) Every  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  can be decomposed into two disjoint sets B and Z, such that  $\mu$  is a non-atomic over B and Z is a countable union of atoms of finite measure. So we can write  $X = B \cup (\bigcup_{n \in N} A_n)$ , where  $\{A_n\}_{n \in N}$  is a countable collection of disjoint atoms and B is a non-atomic set.
- (b) Suppose  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ . If an  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable set K is non-atomic and that  $\mu(K) > 0$ , there exists a function  $f_0 \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\int_K |f_0|^q d\mu < \infty$ .

Associated with each  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma$ , there exists an operator  $E(\cdot|\mathcal{A}) = E^{\mathcal{A}}(\cdot)$  on the set of all non-negative measurable functions f or on the set of all functions  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , that is uniquely determined by the conditions

- (i)  $E^{\mathcal{A}}(f)$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable, and
- (ii) if A is any A-measurable set for which  $\int_A f d\mu$  exists, we have  $\int_A f d\mu = \int_A E^{\mathcal{A}}(f) d\mu$ .

The operator  $E^{\mathcal{A}}$  is called the conditional expectation operator. This operator is at the central idea of our work, and we list here some of its useful properties:

E1. If g is A-measurable then  $E^{A}(fg) = E^{A}(f)g$ .

E2.  $E^{\mathcal{A}}(1) = 1$ .

E3.  $|E^{\mathcal{A}}(fg)|^2 \le E^{\mathcal{A}}(|f|^2)E^{\mathcal{A}}(|g|^2)$ .

E4. If f > 0, then  $E^{A}(f) > 0$ .

The properties E1 and E2 imply that  $E^{\mathcal{A}}(\cdot)$  is an idempotent and  $E^{\mathcal{A}}(L^p(\Sigma)) = L^p(\mathcal{A})$ . So when  $\mathcal{A} = \Sigma$ , we have  $E^{\Sigma} = I$  where I is the identity operator. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a mapping from X into X which is measurable, (i.e.,  $\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma) \subseteq \Sigma$ ) and  $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  ( $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu$ ). Let h be the Radon-Nikodym derivative,

 $h = d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}/d\mu$ . If we put  $\mathcal{A} = \varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ , it is easy to show that for each non-negative  $\Sigma$ -measurable function f or for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$   $(p \ge 1)$ , there exists a  $\Sigma$ -measurable function g such that  $E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(f) = g \circ \varphi$ . We can assume that the support of g lies in the support of g, and there exists only one g with this property. We then write  $g = E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(f) \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , though we make no assumption regarding the invertibility of  $\varphi$  (see [2]). For a deeper study of the properties of E see the paper [6].

Take a function u in L(X) and let  $\varphi: X \to X$  be a non-singular measurable transformation; i.e.  $\mu(\varphi^{-1}(A)) = 0$  for all  $A \in \Sigma$  such that  $\mu(A) = 0$ . Then the pair  $(u, \varphi)$  induces a linear operator  $uC_{\varphi}$  from  $L^p(\Sigma)$  into L(X) defined by

$$uC_{\varphi}(f) = u.f \circ \varphi, \quad (f \in L^p(\Sigma)).$$

Here, the non-singularity of  $\varphi$  guarantees that  $uC_{\varphi}$  as a mapping of equivalence classes of functions on support u is well defined. If  $uC_{\varphi}$  takes  $L^p(\Sigma)$  into  $L^q(\Sigma)$  or  $uC_{\varphi}$  is equivalently bounded, then we say that  $uC_{\varphi}$  is a weighted composition operator from  $L^p(\Sigma)$  into  $L^q(\Sigma)$   $(1 \leq p, q \leq \infty)$ . When  $u \equiv 1$ , we just have the composition operator  $C_{\varphi}$  defined by  $C_{\varphi}(f) = f \circ \varphi$ . For more details see [7].

## 2. Boundedness of weighted composition operators in $1 \le p < q < \infty$ case

Let  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ . In this section we characterize the functions u and transformations  $\varphi$  that induce weighted composition operators  $uC_{\varphi}$ :  $L^p(\Sigma) \to L^q(\Sigma)$  by using some properties of conditional expectation operator, pair  $(u, \varphi)$  and the measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

Case:  $1 \le q \le p < \infty$ 

Let  $1 \le q \le p < \infty$ . In [4] we examined the set

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,q} = \mathcal{K}_{p,q}(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma) = \{ u \in L(X) : uL^p(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq L^q(\Sigma) \}.$$

 $\mathcal{K}_{p,q}(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma)$  is a vector subspace of L(X). Also note that if  $1 \leq q = p < \infty$ , then  $L^{\infty}(\Sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{p,p}(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{p,p}(\Sigma, \Sigma) = L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ .

For  $u \in L(X)$ , let  $M_u$  from  $L^p(A)$  into L(X) defined by  $M_u f = u.f$  be the corresponding linear transformation. An easy consequence of the closed graph theorem and the result guaranteeing a pointwise convergent subsequence for each  $L^p$  convergent sequence assures us that for each  $u \in \mathcal{K}_{p,q}(A,\Sigma)$ , the operator  $M_u: L^p(A) \to L^q(\Sigma)$  is a bounded multiplication operator. Boundedness of weighted composition operators on  $L^p(\Sigma)$ 

spaces has already been studied in [3]. Namely, for a non-singular measurable transformation  $\varphi$  and complex valued measurable weight function u on X,  $uC_{\varphi}$  is bounded if and only if  $hE^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|u|^p)\circ\varphi^{-1}\in L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ . The following two results are established in [4].

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose  $1 \le q and <math>u \in L(X)$ . Then  $u \in \mathcal{K}_{p,q}$  if and only if  $(E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u|^q))^{\frac{1}{q}} \in L^r(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q}$ .

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose  $1 \leq q and <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q}$ . Let  $u \in L(X)$  and  $\varphi : X \to X$  be a non-singular measurable transformation. Then the pair  $(u, \varphi)$  induces a weighted composition operator  $uC_{\varphi}$  from  $L^p(\Sigma)$  into  $L^q(\Sigma)$  if and only if  $J = hE^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|u|^q) \circ \varphi^{-1} \in L^{\frac{r}{q}}(\Sigma)$ .

## Case: $1 \le p < q < \infty$

In this case we shall find the relationship between a  $\sigma$ -finite algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma$  and the set of multiplication operators which map  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$  into  $L^q(\Sigma)$ . Our first task is the description of the members of  $\mathcal{K}_{p,q}$  in terms of the conditional expectation induced by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$  and  $u \in L(X)$ . Then  $u \in \mathcal{K}_{p,q}$  if and only if u satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) 
$$E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u|^q) = 0$$
 on  $B$ .

(ii) 
$$\sup_{n\in N} \left( E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u(A_n)|^q) \right)^{\frac{s}{q}}/\mu(A_n) < \infty$$
, where  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p}$ .

*Proof.* To prove the theorem, we adopt the methods used by Axler [1] and Takagi [9]. Suppose that both (i) and (ii) hold. Put  $b = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u(A_n)|^q) \right)^{\frac{s}{q}} / \mu(A_n)$ . Then, for each  $f \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  with  $||f||_p \leq 1$  we have

$$||u.f||_{q}^{q} = \int_{X} E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u|^{q})|f|^{q}d\mu$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_{n}} E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u|^{q})|f|^{q}d\mu$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{\left(E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u(A_{n})|^{q})\right)^{\frac{s}{q}}}{\mu(A_{n})} \right)^{\frac{q}{s}} (|f(A_{n})|^{p}\mu(A_{n}))^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

$$\leq b^{\frac{q}{s}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (|f(A_{n})|^{p}\mu(A_{n}))$$

$$= b^{\frac{q}{s}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_{n}} |f|^{p}d\mu$$

$$\leq b^{\frac{q}{s}} \int_{X} |f|^{p} d\mu$$

$$\leq b^{\frac{q}{s}} ||f||_{p}^{p}$$

$$\leq b^{\frac{q}{s}}.$$

Hence  $u \in \mathcal{K}_{p,q}$ . Conversely, suppose that  $u \in \mathcal{K}_{p,q}$ . So the operator  $M_u: L^p(\mathcal{A}) \to L^q(\Sigma)$  given by  $M_u f = u.f$  is a bounded linear operator on  $X = B \cup (\bigcup_{n \in N} A_n)$ . Assume that  $\mu(\{x \in B : E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u(x)|^q) \neq 0\}) > 0$ . Then there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that  $\mu(\{x \in B : E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u(x)|^q) \geq \delta\}) > 0$ . Put  $K = \{x \in B : E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u(x)|^q) \geq \delta\}$ . Since K is non-atomic, by (b) we can find  $f_0 \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\int_K |f_0|^q d\mu = \infty$ . Then we have

$$\infty > \|M_u f_0\|_q^q \ge \int_K E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u|^q)|f_0|^q d\mu \ge \delta \int_K |f_0|^q d\mu = \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. In other words,  $E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u|^q) = 0$  on B. Now we prove that (ii) also holds. For any  $n \in N$  put  $f_n = (1/\mu(A_n)^{\frac{1}{p}})\chi_{A_n}$ . It is clear that  $f_n \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and  $||f_n||_p = 1$ . Hence we have

$$\frac{\left(E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u(A_n)|^q)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\mu(A_n)^{\frac{1}{s}}} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)^{\frac{q}{p}}} E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u(A_n)|^q)\mu(A_n) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
= \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)^{\frac{q}{p}}} \int_{A_n} E^{\mathcal{A}}(|u|^q) d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
= \left\{ \int_X E^{\mathcal{A}}(|uf_n|^q) d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
= \|M_u f_n\|_q \le \|M_u\|.$$

Since this holds for any  $n \in N$ , it follows that  $b \leq ||M_u||^s < \infty$ .

The next corollary follows immediately from Theorem 2.3 and the known fact that when  $\mathcal{A} = \Sigma$  then  $E^{\mathcal{A}} = I$  (identity operator).

COROLLARY 2.4. Suppose  $1 \le p < q < \infty$  and  $u \in L(X)$ . Then the operator  $M_u$  from  $L^p(\Sigma)$  into  $L^q(\Sigma)$  is a bounded linear operator if and only if u satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) u = 0 on B,
- (ii)  $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |u(A_n)|^s/\mu(A_n) < \infty$ , where  $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p}$ .

In the following theorem we give a necessary and sufficient condition for boundedness of weighted composition operators from  $L^p(\Sigma)$  into  $L^q(\Sigma)$ , where  $1 \le p < q < \infty$ .

THEOREM 2.5. Suppose  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ ,  $u \in L(X)$  and  $\varphi : X \to X$  is a non-singular measurable transformation. Then the pair  $(u, \varphi)$  induces a weighted composition operator  $uC_{\varphi}$  from  $L^{p}(\Sigma)$  into  $L^{q}(\Sigma)$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) J = 0 on B,

(ii) 
$$\sup_{n \in N} |J(A_n)|^{\frac{s}{q}} / \mu(A_n) < \infty$$
, where  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . We will have

$$\|uC_\varphi f\|_q^q = \int h E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|u|^q) \circ \varphi^{-1}|f|^q d\mu = \int |\sqrt[q]{J}f|^q d\mu = \|M_{\sqrt[q]{J}}f\|_q^q.$$

So by Corollary 2.4 the theorem holds.

COROLLARY 2.6. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.5,  $\varphi$  induces a composition operator  $C_{\varphi}: L^p(\Sigma) \to L^q(\Sigma)$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (i) h = 0 on B,
- (ii)  $\sup_{n \in N} |h(A_n)|^{\frac{s}{q}} / \mu(A_n) < \infty$ , where  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p}$ .

## 3. Fredholm weighted composition operators on $L^p$ -spaces

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then it is well-known fact that each  $g^* \in L^q(\Sigma)$  defines a bounded linear functional  $F_{g^*}$  on  $L^p(\Sigma)$  by

$$F_{g^*}(f) = \int f g^* d\mu \quad (f \in L^p(\Sigma)).$$

Moreover, the mapping  $g^* \to F_{g^*}$  is an isometry from  $L^q(\Sigma)$  onto  $(L^p)^*(\Sigma)$ , so the norm dual of  $L^p(\Sigma)$  can be identified with  $L^q(\Sigma)$ . In the following theorem we compute the adjoint of  $uC_{\varphi}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $W=uC_{\varphi}$  be a weighted composition operator on  $L^p(\Sigma)$  and  $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ . Then  $W^*g^*=hE(u.g^*)\circ \varphi^{-1}$  for all  $g^*\in L^q(\Sigma)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $A \in \Sigma$  such that  $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$ . For  $g^* \in L^q(\Sigma)$  consider a bounded linear functional  $F_{q^*}$  on  $L^p(\Sigma)$  as above. Then we have

$$(W^* F_{g^*})(\chi_A) = F_{g^*}(W\chi_A) = \int (W\chi_A)g^* d\mu$$
$$= \int u.\chi_A \circ \varphi \ g^* d\mu = \int hE(u.g^*) \circ \varphi^{-1}\chi_A d\mu = F_{hE(u.g^*) \circ \varphi^{-1}}\chi_A.$$

Hence,  $W^*F_{g^*} = F_{hE(u.g^*)\circ\varphi^{-1}}$ . After identifying  $(L^p)^*(\Sigma)$  with  $L^q(\Sigma)$  and  $g^*$  with  $F_{g^*}$ , we can write  $W^*g^* = hE(u.g^*)\circ\varphi^{-1}$  for all  $g^* \in L^q(\Sigma)$ .

In the following theorem we investigate a necessary and sufficient condition for a weighted composition operator  $W = uC_{\varphi}$  to be Fredholm. The proof of the theorem follows a similar method of proof as was used to prove Theorem 4.2 in [5] which is similar to a theorem of Takagi[8]. We use the symbols  $\mathcal{N}(W)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(W)$  to denote the kernel and the range of W, respectively. We recall that W is said to be a Fredholm operator if  $\mathcal{R}(W)$  is closed and if  $\dim \mathcal{N}(W) < \infty$  and  $\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{R}(W) < \infty$ .

THEOREM 3.2. Suppose that  $\mu$  is a non-atomic measure. Let  $W = uC_{\varphi}$  be a weighted composition operator on  $L^{p}(\Sigma)$ . Then W is a Fredholm operator if and only if  $J = hE^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|u|^{p}) \circ \varphi^{-1} \geq \delta$  almost every where on X for some  $\delta > 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that W is a Fredholm operator. We first claim that W is onto and takes an  $f_o \in L^p(\Sigma) \backslash \mathcal{R}(W)$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}(W)$  is closed, we can find a functional  $L_{g^*}$  on  $L^p(\Sigma)$  corresponding to  $g^* \in L^q(\Sigma)$   $(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1)$  which is defined as

(1) 
$$L_{g^*}(f) = \int_X f g^* d\mu$$
 such that  $L_{g^*}(f_0) = 1$  and  $L_{g^*}(\mathcal{R}(W)) = 0$ .

Hence the set  $E_{\delta} = \{x \in X : \operatorname{Re}(f_0g^*)(x) \geq \delta\}$  must have positive measure for some  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $\mu$  is non-atomic we can choose a sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of subsets of  $E_{\delta}$  with  $0 < \mu(E_n) < \mu(E_{\delta})$  and  $E_n \cap E_m = \emptyset$  for  $n \neq m$ . Let  $g_n^* = \chi_{E_n} g^*$ . Then  $g_n^* \in L^q(\Sigma)$  and is nonzero because

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_X f_0 g_n^* d\mu \ge \delta \mu(E_n) > 0.$$

Evidently for any  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $\chi_{E_n} f$  is in  $L^p(\Sigma)$ , and so the right equality of (1) yields

$$\int_X f(W^*g_n^*) d\mu = \int_X fh E(ug_n^*) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu = \int_{E_n} fE(ug^*) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1}$$

$$\int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_n)} f \circ \varphi E(ug^*) d\mu = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(E_n)} ug^* f \circ \varphi d\mu = \int_X g^* u f \circ \varphi(\chi_{E_n} \circ \varphi) d\mu$$
$$\int_X g^* u(f\chi_{E_n}) \circ \varphi d\mu = \int_X g^* W(f\chi_{E_n}) d\mu = 0.$$

This implies that  $g_n^* \in \mathcal{N}(W^*)$ . Thus the sequence  $\{g_n^*\}$  forms a linearly independent subset of  $\mathcal{N}(W^*)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\dim \mathcal{N}(W^*) = \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{R}(W) < \infty$ . Hence W is onto. Next we put  $Z(J) = \{x : J(x) = 0\}$ . Now we claim that  $\mu(Z(J)) = 0$ . For, if  $\mu(Z(J)) > 0$ , there exists a subset F of Z(J) with  $0 < \mu(F) < \infty$ . If  $\chi_F \in \mathcal{R}(W)$ , then there exists  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  such that  $\chi_F = Wf$ . Then

$$\mu(F) = \int_F |Wf|^p d\mu \int_F J|f|^p d\mu = 0$$

and this is a contradiction. So  $\chi_F \in L^p(\Sigma) \setminus \mathcal{R}(W)$ , which contradicts the fact that W is onto. Also since  $\mu(Z(J)) = 0$  and  $\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} \ll \mu$  we have  $\mu(Z(J \circ \varphi)) = 0$ . For each n = 1, 2, ..., let

$$H_n = \left\{ x \in X : \frac{\|J \circ \varphi\|_{\infty}}{(n+1)^2} < J \circ \varphi(x) \le \frac{\|J \circ \varphi\|_{\infty}}{n^2} \right\},\,$$

and  $H = \{n : \mu(H_n) > 0\}$ . Then the  $H_n$ 's are pairwise disjoint and  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$ . Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (J \circ \varphi(x)/\mu(H_n))^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } x \in H_n, \ n \in H, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{X} |f|^{p} d\mu = \sum_{n \in H} \int_{H_{n}} \frac{J \circ \varphi(x)}{\mu(H_{n})} d\mu$$

$$\leq \sum_{n \in H} \frac{\|J \circ \varphi\|_{\infty}}{n^{2}} \leq \|J \circ \varphi\|_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}} < \infty,$$

so  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . If  $g \in L^p(\Sigma)$  is such that Wg = f, then

$$\int_X E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|u|^p)|g|^p \circ \varphi d\mu = \int_X E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|f|^p)d\mu.$$

It follows that

$$\int_X h E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|u|^p) \circ \varphi^{-1}|g|^p d\mu = \int_X h E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|f|^p) \circ \varphi^{-1} d\mu.$$

Thus  $|g|^p = hE^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|f|^p) \circ \varphi^{-1}/J$  on off Z(J). Since  $\mu(Z(J)) = 0$ , it follows that

$$\int_{X} |g|^{p} d\mu = \int_{X} \frac{E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|f|^{p}) \circ \varphi^{-1}}{J} d\mu \circ \varphi^{-1} = \int_{X} \frac{E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(|f|^{p})}{J \circ \varphi} d\mu$$
$$= \int_{X} \frac{|f|^{p}}{J \circ \varphi} d\mu = \sum_{n \in H} \int_{H_{n}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu(H_{n})} = \sum_{n \in H} 1.$$

This implies that H must be finite set. Thus there is an  $n_o$  such that  $n \geq n_o$  implies  $\mu(H_n) = 0$  and so

$$\mu\left(\left\{x\in X:J\circ\varphi(x)\leq\frac{\|J\circ\varphi\|_{\infty}}{n_o^2}\right\}\right)=\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=n_o}^{\infty}H_n\cup Z(J\circ\varphi)\right)=0.$$

Therefore we obtain  $J \circ \varphi \geq \|J \circ \varphi\|_{\infty}/n_o^2$  almost everywhere on X. Since  $\mathcal{N}(W) = L^p(Z(J))$ ,  $\mu(Z(J)) = 0$  so dim  $\mathcal{N}(W) = \{0\}$  and then  $\varphi$  is essentially surjective. Hence  $J \geq \|J\|_{\infty}/n_o^2$  (=  $\delta$ ) almost everywhere on X.

Conversely, suppose that  $J \geq \delta$  almost everywhere for some  $\delta > 0$ . Since h > 0 and for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $||Wf||_p = (\int_X J |f|^p d\mu)^{1/P} \geq \delta^{1/p} ||f||_p$ , it follows that W and  $C_{\varphi}$  are injective and  $\mathcal{R}(W)$  is closed. Also since  $W = M_u C_{\varphi}$  we deduce that  $M_u$  is injective and so  $\mu(Z(u)) = 0$ . Now let  $g^* \in \mathcal{N}(W^*)$ . Then  $W^*g^* = hE^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(ug^*) \circ \varphi^{-1} = 0$  and so  $E^{\varphi^{-1}(\Sigma)}(ug^*) \circ \varphi^{-1} = 0$ . It follows that  $g^* = 0$ . Thus codim  $\mathcal{R}(W) = \dim \mathcal{N}(W^*) = 0$ . Therefore the theorem is proved.

REMARK 3.3. One of the interesting features of a weighted composition operator is that the multiplication operator alone may not define a bounded operator between two  $L^p(\Sigma)$  spaces. As an example, let X be (0,1),  $\Sigma$  be the Borel sets, and  $\mu$  be the Lebesgue measure. Let  $\varphi$  be the map  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt[3]{x}$  and  $u(x) = 1/\sqrt{x}$  on (0,1). Then  $M_u$  dos not define a bounded operator from  $L^1(\Sigma)$  into  $L^1(\Sigma)$ . However a simple computation shows that  $J(x) = 3\sqrt{x} \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma)$  and so  $Wf(x) = 1/\sqrt{x}f(\sqrt[3]{x})$  is bounded operator on  $L^1(\Sigma)$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author would like to thank the referees for their useful comments. This work was partially supported by a grant from Tabriz university research council.

#### References

 S. Axler, Zero multipliers of Bergman spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 28 (1985), 237–242.

- [2] J. Campbell and J. Jamison, On some classes of weighted composition operators, Glasg. Math. J. 32 (1990), 87–94.
- [3] T. Hoover, A. Lambert, and J. Quinn, The Markov process determined by a weighted composition operator, Studia Math. Poland, LXXII (1982), 225–235.
- [4] M. R. Jabbarzadeh and E. Pourreza, A note on weighted composition operators on L<sup>p</sup>-spaces, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 29 (2003), 47-54.
- [5] B. S. Komal and S. Gupta, Multiplication operators between Orlicz spaces, Integral Equations Operator Theory 41 (2001), 324–330.
- [6] A. Lambert, Localising sets for sigma-algebras and related point transformations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Ser. A 118 (1991), 111–118.
- [7] R. K. Singh and J. S. Manhas, Composition operators on function spaces, North-Holland, 1993.
- [8] H. Takagi, Fredholm weighted composition operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 16 (1993), 267–276.
- [9] H. Takagi and K. Yokouchi, Multiplication and composition operators between two L<sup>p</sup>-spaces, Contemp. Math. 232 (1999), 321–338.
- [10] A. E. Taylor and D. C. Lay, introduction to functional analysis, 2nd ed., Wiley, 1980
- [11] A. C. Zaanen, Integration, 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TABRIZ, TABRIZ, IRAN *E-mail*: mjabbar@tabrizu.ac.ir