

ON A COMPACT AND MINIMAL REAL HYPERSURFACE IN A QUATERNIONIC PROJECTIVE SPACE

YEONG-WU CHOE AND IMSOON JEONG

ABSTRACT. For a compact and orientable minimal real hypersurface M in QP^n , we prove that if the minimum of the sectional curvatures of M is $3/(4n-1)$, then M is isometric to the geodesic minimal hypersphere $M_{0,n-1}^Q$.

1. Introduction

Let QP^n be a quaternionic projective space of real dimension $4n$, $n \geq 2$, with the Fubini-Study metric G of constant \mathbb{Q} -sectional curvature 4 and let M be a connected $(4n-1)$ -dimensional real hypersurface of QP^n .

Let N be a local unit normal vector field to M . We denote by $\{J_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$ is a local basis of the quaternionic Kähler structure of QP^n . Then $U_i = -J_i N, i = 1, 2, 3$ are tangent to M , which will be called structure vectors [10].

Now we put $f_i(X) = g(X, U_i)$, for arbitrary $X \in TM, i = 1, 2, 3$, where TM is the tangent bundle of M and g denotes the Riemannian metric induced from the metric G .

Now, let us consider the following conditions that the second fundamental tensor A of M in QP^n may satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y = \sum_{i=1}^3 \{g(X, \phi_i Y)U_i - f_i(Y)\phi_i X\},$$

$$(1.2) \quad g((A\phi_i - \phi_i A)X, Y) = 0,$$

for any $i = 1, 2, 3$ and any tangent vector fields X and Y to M .

Received February 3, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25, 53C42.

Key words and phrases: minimal real hypersurface, quaternionic projective space, quaternionic space form.

Pak[10] investigated the above conditions and showed that they are equivalent to each other. Moreover he used the condition 1.1 to find a lower bound of $\|\nabla A\|$ for real hypersurfaces in QP^n . In fact, it was shown that $\|\nabla A\|^2 \geq 24(n-1)$ for any hypersurfaces and the equality holds if and only if the condition 1.1 holds. In this case it was also known that M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type A_1 or type A_2 , which means a tube of radius r over QP^k ($1 \leq k \leq n-1$) in the notion of Berndt[1], and Martínez and Pérez[8].

Now the purpose of this paper is to give another new characterization of a minimal real hypersurface in QP^n by using Lemmas, to be stated in Section 3, which is a quaternionic version of result of Kon[5].

Now we prepare the following theorem [5] without proof in order to compare with our result :

THEOREM 1.1. *Let M be a compact orientable real minimal hypersurface of CP^n . If the sectional curvature K of M satisfies $K \geq 1/(2n-1)$, then M is the geodesic minimal hypersphere $M_{0,n-1}^c$.*

2. Preliminaries

A *quaternionic Kähler manifold* is a Riemannian manifold (\tilde{M}, G) on which there exists a 3-dimensional vector bundle \tilde{V} of tensors of type $(1, 1)$ with a local basis of almost Hermitian structures $\{J_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$ satisfying the following conditions :

1. $J_i^2 = -id$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $J_i J_j = -J_j J_i = J_k$, where id denotes the identity endomorphism on $T\tilde{M}$ and (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$.

2. If $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the Riemannian connection on \tilde{M} , then there exist three local 1-forms P_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ on \tilde{M} such that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X J_i = P_k(X)J_j - P_j(X)J_k$$

for all vector field X on \tilde{M} , where (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$.

Let $Q(X)$ be the 4-dimensional subspace spanned by vectors $X, J_1 X, J_2 X$ and $J_3 X$ for any $X \in T_p \tilde{M}$, $p \in \tilde{M}$. If the sectional curvature of any section for $Q(X)$ depends only on X , we call it *Q-sectional curvature*. A *quaternionic space form* of Q -sectional curvature c is a connected quaternionic Kähler manifold with constant Q -sectional curvature c .

The standard models of quaternionic space forms are the quaternionic projective space $QP^n(c)$ ($c > 0$), the quaternionic space $Q^n(c = 0)$ and the quaternionic hyperbolic space $QH^n(c)$ ($c < 0$) ([1]).

The curvature tensor \tilde{R} of $QP^n(c), n \geq 2$, is given by

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4}[G(Y, Z)X - G(X, Z)Y + \sum_{k=1}^3 \{G(J_k Y, Z)J_k X - G(J_k X, Z)J_k Y - 2G(J_k X, Y)J_k Z\}]$$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on $QP^n(c)$ ([2]).

From now on we denote by QP^n the quaternionic projective space of constant Q -sectional curvature 4.

Let M be a connected $(4n - 1)$ -dimensional real hypersurface of QP^n and let N be a local unit normal vector field to M . The Riemannian connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in QP^n and ∇ in M are related by the following formulas for arbitrary vector fields X and Y tangent to M :

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)N$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X N = -AX,$$

where A is the second fundamental tensor of M in QP^n . The mean curvature h of M is defined by $h = \frac{1}{4n - 1} Tr A$.

If $h = 0$, then M is said to be *minimal*. Eigenvectors of the second fundamental tensor A are called *principal curvature vectors* and called the corresponding eigenvalues *principal curvatures*. We put

$$(2.3) \quad J_i X = \phi_i X + f_i(X)N, \quad J_i N = -U_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

for any vector field X tangent to M , where $\phi_i X$ is the tangential parts of $J_i X$, ϕ_i are tensors of type $(1,1)$ and f_i are 1-forms for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

As $J_i^2 = -id, i = 1, 2, 3, id$ denoting the identity endomorphism on TQP^n , we get

$$(2.4) \quad \phi_i^2 X = -X + f_i(X)U_i, \quad f_i(\phi_i X) = 0, \quad \phi_i U_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

for any vector field X tangent to M . As $J_i J_j = -J_j J_i = J_k, (i, j, k)$ being a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad f_i(U_i) = 1, \quad f_i(U_j) = f_i(U_k) = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \phi_i X = \phi_j \phi_k X - f_k(X)U_j = -\phi_k \phi_j X + f_j(X)U_k$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad f_i(X) = f_j(\phi_k X) = -f_k(\phi_j X),$$

for any vector field X tangent to M .

It is also easy to see that for any X, Y tangent to M ,

$$(2.8) \quad g(\phi_i X, Y) + g(X, \phi_i Y) = 0, \quad g(\phi_i X, \phi_i Y) = g(X, Y) - f_i(X)f_i(Y)$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \phi_i U_j = -\phi_j U_i = U_k,$$

where (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$.

The covariant derivatives of $J_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, are given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X J_i = P_k(X)J_j - P_j(X)J_k$$

for any $X \in TQP^n$, where $P_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, are local 1-forms on QP^n . Then from (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \nabla_X U_i = -P_j(X)U_k + P_k(X)U_j + \phi_i AX$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad (\nabla_X \phi_i)Y = -P_j(X)\phi_k Y + P_k(X)\phi_j Y + f_i(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)U_i$$

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M , where (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$.

Since ϕ_i is skew-symmetric and A is symmetric, (2.10) implies that

$$(2.12) \quad \operatorname{div} U_i = \sum_{a=1}^{4n-1} g(\nabla_a U_i, e_a) = -P_j(U_k) + P_k(U_j),$$

where (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$.

From the expression of the curvature tensor of $QP^n, n \geq 2$, the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given by

$$(2.13) \quad R(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + \sum_{i=1}^3 \{g(\phi_i Y, Z)\phi_i X \\ - g(\phi_i X, Z)\phi_i Y - 2g(\phi_i X, Y)\phi_i Z\} \\ + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \sum_{i=1}^3 \{f_i(X)\phi_i Y - f_i(Y)\phi_i X \\ + 2g(X, \phi_i Y)U_i\}$$

for any X, Y, Z tangent to M , where R denotes the curvature tensor of M ([8]).

We now put

$$T := \nabla_{U_i} U_i + \nabla_{U_j} U_j + \nabla_{U_k} U_k + (\operatorname{div} U_i)U_i + (\operatorname{div} U_j)U_j + (\operatorname{div} U_k)U_k$$

and take an orthonormal basis $\{e_a\}_{a=1,\dots,4n-1}$ of tangent vectors to M such that

$$\begin{aligned} e_n &:= \phi_i e_1, \dots, e_{2(n-1)} := \phi_i e_{n-1}, \\ e_{2n-1} &:= \phi_j e_1, \dots, e_{3(n-1)} := \phi_j e_{n-1}, \\ e_{3n-2} &:= \phi_k e_1, \dots, e_{4(n-1)} := \phi_k e_{n-1}, \\ e_{4n-3} &:= U_i, e_{4n-2} := U_j, e_{4n-1} := U_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (2.10) and (2.12) that

$$(2.15) \quad T = \phi_i A U_i + \phi_j A U_j + \phi_k A U_k$$

We note that T is a global vector field defined on M . For later use we compute $\operatorname{div}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{4n-1} g(\nabla_{e_a} T, e_a)$. Differentiating (2.15) covariantly and using (2.4), (2.6), (2.9)–(2.11), and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(T) &= (\operatorname{Tr} A) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 g(A U_i, U_i) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^3 g(A^2 U_i, U_i) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \operatorname{Tr}(A \phi_i)^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \{ g((\nabla_{e_l} A) \phi_i e_l - (\nabla_{\phi_i e_l} A) e_l + (\nabla_{\phi_j e_l} A) \phi_k e_l \\ &\quad - (\nabla_{\phi_k e_l} A) \phi_j e_l, U_i) + g((\nabla_{e_l} A) \phi_j e_l - (\nabla_{\phi_j e_l} A) e_l \\ &\quad + (\nabla_{\phi_k e_l} A) \phi_i e_l - (\nabla_{\phi_i e_l} A) \phi_k e_l, U_j) + g((\nabla_{e_l} A) \phi_k e_l \\ &\quad - (\nabla_{\phi_k e_l} A) e_l + (\nabla_{\phi_i e_l} A) \phi_j e_l - (\nabla_{\phi_j e_l} A) \phi_i e_l, U_k) \} \\ &\quad - g((\nabla_{U_j} A) U_k - (\nabla_{U_k} A) U_j, U_i) - g((\nabla_{U_k} A) U_i \\ &\quad - (\nabla_{U_i} A) U_k, U_j) - g((\nabla_{U_i} A) U_j - (\nabla_{U_j} A) U_i, U_k), \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(T) &= (\operatorname{Tr} A) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 g(A U_i, U_i) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^3 g(A^2 U_i, U_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \operatorname{Tr}(A \phi_i)^2 + 12(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we should explain model subspaces which will appear in our Theorem 3.3. We consider the Hopf fibration $\tilde{\pi}$:

$$S^3 \longrightarrow S^{4n+3} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} QP^n,$$

where S^k denotes the Euclidean sphere of curvature 1. In S^{4n+3} we have the family of generalized Clifford surfaces whose spheres lie in quaternionic subspaces(cf. [7]):

$$M_{4p+3,4q+3} := S^{4p+3} \left(\sqrt{\frac{4p+3}{2(2n+1)}} \right) \times S^{4q+3} \left(\sqrt{\frac{4q+3}{2(2n+1)}} \right),$$

where $p + q = n - 1$. Then we have a fibration π :

$$S^3 \longrightarrow M_{4p+3,4q+3} \xrightarrow{\pi} M_{p,q}^Q,$$

compatible with $\tilde{\pi}$. In the special case $p = 0$, $M_{0,n-1}^Q$ is called the geodesic minimal hypersphere of QP^n , and is a homogeneous, positively curved manifold diffeomorphic to the sphere (for details, see [1, 7, 10]).

3. Main results

In order to prove our theorem, we need the following result.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let M be a minimal real hypersurface of QP^n . Then*

$$(3.1) \quad g(\nabla^2 A, A) = \sum_{a,b} g((R(e_b, e_a)A)e_b, Ae_a) - 9TrA^2 + \frac{3}{2} \sum_i \|\phi_i, A\|^2,$$

where $[\phi_i, A]$ denotes $\phi_i A - A\phi_i$.

Proof. Let $\{e_a\}$ be an orthonormal frame for M . Then (2.14) implies

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_a (\nabla_{e_a} A)e_a = 0.$$

Thus, from (2.10), (2.11), (2.14), and (3.2) we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &g(\nabla^2 A, A) \\ &= \sum_{a,b} g((\nabla_{e_b} \nabla_{e_b} A)e_a, Ae_a) \\ &= \sum_{a,b} g((R(e_b, e_a)A)e_b - \sum_i \{g(\nabla_{e_b} U_i, e_a)\phi_i e_b \\ &\quad + f_i(e_a)(\nabla_{e_b} \phi_i)e_b - g(\nabla_{e_b} U_i, e_b)\phi_i e_a - f_i(e_b)(\nabla_{e_b} \phi_i)e_a \\ &\quad + 2g(e_a, (\nabla_{e_b} \phi_i)e_b)U_i + 2g(e_a, \phi_i e_b)\nabla_{e_b} U_i\}, Ae_a) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{a,b} g((R(e_b, e_a)A)e_b, Ae_a) - 3 \sum_i g(A^2U_i, U_i) + 3 \sum_i Tr(A\phi_i)^2.$$

Since $Tr(A\phi_i)^2 = -TrA^2 + g(A^2U_i, U_i) + \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_i, A\|^2$, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad -3 \sum_i g(A^2U_i, U_i) + 3 \sum_i Tr(A\phi_i)^2 = -9TrA^2 + \frac{3}{2} \sum_i \|\phi_i, A\|^2.$$

Substituting (3.4) into (3.3), we have our assertion. □

LEMMA 3.2. *Let M be a compact and orientable minimal real hypersurface in QP^n . If the minimum of the sectional curvatures of M is $3/(4n - 1)$, then $\|\nabla A\|^2 = 24(n - 1)$ and $g((A\phi_i - \phi_i A)X, Y) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

Proof. We choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_a\}$ of M such that

$$Ae_a = \lambda_a e_a, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, 4n - 1.$$

We denote by K_{ab} the sectional curvature of M spanned by e_a and e_b . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a,b} g((R(e_a, e_b)A)e_a, Ae_b) \\ &= \sum_{a,b} \{g(R(e_a, e_b)Ae_a, Ae_b) - g(AR(e_a, e_b)e_a, Ae_b)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} (\lambda_a - \lambda_b)^2 K_{ab} \\ &\geq \frac{3}{2(4n - 1)} \sum_{a,b} (\lambda_a - \lambda_b)^2 = 3TrA^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we see

$$(3.5) \quad 3TrA^2 - \sum_{a,b} g((R(e_a, e_b)A)e_a, Ae_b) \leq 0.$$

Since we have $\frac{1}{2} \Delta TrA^2 = \|\nabla A\|^2 + g(\nabla^2 A, A)$, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \int_M \|\nabla A\|^2 * 1 = - \int_M g(\nabla^2 A, A) * 1.$$

From Lemma 3.1, (3.6) and (2.16) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \int_M [\|\nabla A\|^2 - 24(n-1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \|\phi_i, A\|^2] * 1 \\
 &= \int [9 \operatorname{Tr} A^2 - \sum_{a,b} g((R(e_a, e_b)A)e_a, Ae_b) - 24(n-1) \\
 &\quad - \sum_i \|\phi_i, A\|^2] * 1 \\
 &= \int [3 \operatorname{Tr} A^2 - \sum_{a,b} g((R(e_a, e_b)A)e_a, Ae_b)] * 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

From this and (3.5) we complete the proof. \square

Combining Lemma 3.2 and the result of Kwon and Pak[6], we see that M is $M_{p,q}^Q$.

On the other hand if $p, q \geq 1$, then the sectional curvature K of $M_{p,q}^Q$ takes values 0 for some plane section [10]. But the sectional curvature K of $M_{0,n-1}^Q$ satisfies $K \geq 3/(4n-1)$.

Consequently, M is the geodesic minimal hypersphere $M_{0,n-1}^Q$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let M be a compact and orientable minimal real hypersurface in QP^n , If the minimum of the sectional curvatures of M is $3/(4n-1)$, then M is isometric to the geodesic minimal hypersphere $M_{0,n-1}^Q$.*

References

- [1] J. Berndt, *Real hypersurfaces in quaternionic space forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **419** (1991), 9–26.
- [2] S. Ishihara, *Quaternionic Kählerian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **9** (1974), 483–500.
- [3] U-H. Ki, Y. J. Suh, and J. D. D. Pérez, *Real hyperspheres of type A in quaternionic projective space*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **20** (1997), 115–122.
- [4] U-H. Ki and M. Kon, *Minimal CR submanifolds of a complex projective space with parallel section in the normal bundle*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **12** (1997), 665–678.
- [5] M. Kon, *Real minimal hypersurfaces in a complex projective space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1980), 285–288.
- [6] J.-H. Kwon and J. S. Pak, *QR-submanifolds of $(p-1)$ QR-dimension in quaternionic projective space $QP^{(n+p)/4}$* , Acta Math. Hugar. **86** (2000), 89–116.
- [7] H. B. Lawson, Jr., *Rigidity theorems in rank-1 symmetric spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **4** (1970), 349–357.

- [8] A. Martínez and J. D. Pérez, *Real hypersurfaces in quaternionic projective space*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **145** (1986), 355–384.
- [9] M. Okumura, *Compact real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space*, J. Differential Geom. **12** (1977), 595–598.
- [10] J. S. Pak, *Real hypersurfaces in quaternionic Kaehlerian manifolds with constant Q -sectional curvature*, Kodai Math. J. **29** (1977), 22–61.
- [11] R. Takagi, *On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space*, Osaka J. Math. **10** (1973), 495–506.
- [12] K. Yano and M. Kon, *Differential geometry of CR-submanifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **10** (1981), 369–391.
- [13] ———, *Structures on manifolds*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Ltd., Singapore, 1984.

YEONG-WU CHOE AND IMSOON JEONG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF DAEGU, GYEONGSAN 713-702, KOREA
E-mail: ywchoe@cu.ac.kr
jjs3557174@hanmail.net