

STABILITY OF ADDITIVE $(n, 2)$ -MAPPINGS

PYUNG-LYUN KANG* AND CHUN-GIL PARK**

ABSTRACT. We define an additive $(n, 2)$ -mapping, and prove the stability of additive $(n, 2)$ -mappings.

1. Additive $(n, 2)$ -mappings

In [1, Definition 4.2.3], the authors defined a linear 2-functional. We introduce an additive $(n, 2)$ -mapping that is weaker than a linear 2-functional.

DEFINITION 1. Let B be a Banach algebra, and let ${}_B\mathcal{A}$ and ${}_B\mathcal{C}$ be left B -modules. Let ${}_B\mathcal{D}$ be a left Banach B -module with norm $\|\cdot\|$. An *additive $(n, 2)$ -mapping* $f : {}_B\mathcal{A} \times {}_B\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ is a mapping such that

$$(i) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n z_j\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n f(x_i, z_j)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z_1, \dots, z_n \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$.

Th.M. Rassias [3] proved the stability of linear mappings in Banach spaces. If B is unital and we add the condition

$$(ii) \quad f(ax, bz) = abf(x, z), \text{ for all } a, b \in B, x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}, z \in {}_B\mathcal{C},$$

Received by the editors on February 02, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: Primary 39B52, 46Bxx.

Key words and phrases: stability, additive $(n, 2)$ -mapping, linear (n, m) -mapping, Banach module over Banach algebra.

to (i), then it is a B -bilinear mapping. But (i) is a weaker condition than

$$(i') \quad f(x_1 + x_2, z_1 + z_2) = f(x_1, z_1) + f(x_1, z_2) + f(x_2, z_1) + f(x_2, z_2).$$

In this paper we call $f : {}_B\mathcal{A} \times {}_B\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ a *linear $(n, 2)$ -mapping* if it satisfies (i) and (ii) rather than (i') and (ii) to emphasize the additivity on the sum of n elements.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the stability of additive $(n, 2)$ -mappings.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f : {}_B\mathcal{A} \times {}_B\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ be a mapping for which there exist a nonnegative function $\varphi : {}_B\mathcal{A}^n \times {}_B\mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ for some integer $n > 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) : \\ (iii) \quad & = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2k}} \varphi(n^k x_1, \dots, n^k x_n, n^k z_1, \dots, n^k z_n) < \infty \\ (iv) \quad & \left\| f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n z_j\right) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n f(x_i, z_j) \right\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z_1, \dots, z_n \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. Then there exists a unique additive $(n, 2)$ -mapping $T : {}_B\mathcal{A} \times {}_B\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$(v) \quad \|f(x, z) - T(x, z)\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, \dots, x, z, \dots, z)$$

for all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. If, in addition, f satisfies

$$(vi) \quad \|f(ax, bz) - abf(x, z)\| \leq \varphi(x, \dots, x, z, \dots, z)$$

for all $a, b \in B$, all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$, then T satisfies (ii) too.

Proof. For each integer $k \geq 0$, let $x_1 = \dots = x_n = n^k x$ and $z_1 = \dots = z_n = n^k z$ in (iv). Then we get

$$\|f(n^{k+1}x, n^{k+1}z) - n^2 f(n^k x, n^k z)\| \leq \varphi(n^k x, \dots, n^k x, n^k z, \dots, n^k z)$$

for all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. A division by n^{2k+2} gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{n^{2k}} f(n^k x, n^k z) - \frac{1}{n^{2k+2}} f(n^{k+1} x, n^{k+1} z) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n^{2k+2}} \varphi(n^k x, \dots, n^k x, n^k z, \dots, n^k z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. So we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x, z) - \frac{1}{n^{2k}} f(n^k x, n^k z) \right\| \\ (1) \quad & \leq \sum_{d=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n^{2d+2}} \varphi(n^d x, \dots, n^d x, n^d z, \dots, n^d z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$.

Let $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. For positive integers l and d with $l > d$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{n^{2l}} f(n^l x, n^l z) - \frac{1}{n^{2d}} f(n^d x, n^d z) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=d}^{l-1} \frac{1}{n^{2j}} \varphi(n^j x, \dots, n^j x, n^j z, \dots, n^j z), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $d \rightarrow \infty$ by (iii). So $\{\frac{1}{n^{2j}} f(n^j x, n^j z)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. Since ${}_B\mathcal{D}$ is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{n^{2j}} f(n^j x, n^j z)\}$ converges for all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. We can define a mapping $T : {}_B\mathcal{A} \times {}_B\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ by

$$(2) \quad T(x, z) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2j}} f(n^j x, n^j z)$$

for all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. By passing to the limit in (1) as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get the inequality (v).

By (2), it follows from (iv) and (vi) that the mapping $T : {}_B\mathcal{A} \times {}_B\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ satisfies (i) and (ii) respectively.

Now let $L : {}_B\mathcal{A} \times {}_B\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ be another additive $(n, 2)$ -mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x, z) - L(x, z)\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, \dots, x, z, \dots, z)$$

for all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x, z) - L(x, z)\| &= \frac{1}{n^{2j}} \|T(n^j x, n^j z) - L(n^j x, n^j z)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^{2j}} \|T(n^j x, n^j z) - f(n^j x, n^j z)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n^{2j}} \|f(n^j x, n^j z) - L(n^j x, n^j z)\| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n^2} \frac{1}{n^{2j}} \tilde{\varphi}(n^j x, \dots, n^j x, n^j z, \dots, n^j z), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$ by (iii). Thus $T(x, z) = L(x, z)$ for all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. This proves the uniqueness of T . \square

THEOREM 2. *Let $f : {}_B\mathcal{A} \times {}_B\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : {}_B\mathcal{A}^n \times {}_B\mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ for some integer $n > 1$ such that*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^{2k} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{n^k}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n^k}, \frac{z_1}{n^k}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{n^k}\right) < \infty$$

(viii)

$$\|f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n z_j\right) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n f(x_i, z_j)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. Then there exists a unique additive $(n, 2)$ -mapping $T : {}_B\mathcal{A} \times {}_B\mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$(ix) \quad \|f(x, z) - T(x, z)\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \tilde{\varphi}(x, \dots, x, z, \dots, z)$$

for all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$. If, in addition, f satisfies

$$(x) \quad \|f(ax, bz) - abf(x, z)\| \leq \varphi(x, \dots, x, z, \dots, z)$$

for all $a, b \in B$, all $x \in {}_B\mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in {}_B\mathcal{C}$, then T is a linear $(n, 2)$ -mapping.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2. \square

2. Linear (n, m) -mappings

DEFINITION 2. Let B be a Banach algebra, and ${}_B\mathcal{A}_i$ left B -modules, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let ${}_B\mathcal{D}$ be a left Banach B -module with norm $\|\cdot\|$. A linear (n, m) -mapping $f : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ is a mapping such that

- (a) $f(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{1i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n x_{mi}) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n f(x_{1i_1}, \dots, x_{mi_m})$
for all $x_{ji_j} \in {}_B\mathcal{A}_j$ ($1 \leq i_j \leq n$), $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$,
- (b) $f(a_1 x_1, \dots, a_m x_m) = a_1 \cdots a_m \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_m \in B$ and all $x_i \in {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

By a similar method to the proof of Theorem 2, one can obtain the following theorems. We need only the additivity on the sum of n elements in the proof of the existence and the uniqueness.

THEOREM 3. Let $f : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ for some integer $n > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\varphi}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}, \dots, x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mn}) \\ (xi) \quad & = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{mk}} \varphi(n^k x_{11}, \dots, n^k x_{1n}, \dots, n^k x_{m1}, \dots, n^k x_{mn}) < \infty \\ & \|f(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{1i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n x_{mi}) - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n f(x_{1i_1}, \dots, x_{mi_m})\| \\ & \leq \varphi(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}, \dots, x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mn}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(a_1x_1, \dots, a_mx_m) - a_1 \cdots a_m \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_m)\| \\ & \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_m \in B$ and all $x_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in} \in {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.
Then there exists a unique linear (n, m) -mapping $T : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$(xii) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|f(x_1, \dots, x_m) - T(x_1, \dots, x_m)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n^m} \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

THEOREM 4. Let $f : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ for some integer $n > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\varphi}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}, \dots, x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mn}) \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^{mk} \varphi\left(\frac{x_{11}}{n^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{1n}}{n^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{m1}}{n^k}, \dots, \frac{x_{mn}}{n^k}\right) < \infty \\ & \|f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{1i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n x_{mi}\right) - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n f(x_{1i_1}, \dots, x_{mi_m})\| \\ & \leq \varphi(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}, \dots, x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mn}) \\ & \|f(a_1x_1, \dots, a_mx_m) - a_1 \cdots a_m \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_m)\| \\ & \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_m \in B$ and all $x_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in} \in {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.
Then there exists a unique linear (n, m) -mapping $T : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_m) - T(x_1, \dots, x_m)\| \leq \frac{1}{n^m} \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_m)$$

for all $x_i \in {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

DEFINITION 3. Let B be a unital C^* -algebra, $\mathcal{U}(B)$ the unitary group of B , and ${}_B\mathcal{A}_i$ left B -modules, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let ${}_B\mathcal{D}$ be a left Banach B -module with norm $\|\cdot\|$. A *unitary linear (n, m) -mapping* $f : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ is a mapping such that

- (a) $f(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{1i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n x_{mi}) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n f(x_{1i_1}, \dots, x_{mi_m})$
for all $x_{j_i} \in {}_B\mathcal{A}_j$ ($1 \leq i_j \leq n$), $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$,
- (b) $f(u_1 x_1, \dots, u_m x_m) = u_1 \cdots u_m \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ for all $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ and all $x_i \in {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

THEOREM 5. Let B be a unital C^* -algebra with norm $|\cdot|$. Let $f : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ for some integer $n > 1$ satisfying (xi) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{1i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n x_{mi}\right) - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n f(x_{1i_1}, \dots, x_{mi_m}) \right\| \\ & \leq \varphi(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}, \dots, x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mn}) \\ & \left\| f(u_1 x_1, \dots, u_m x_m) - u_1 \cdots u_m \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \right\| \\ & \leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_1, \dots, x_m, \dots, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ and all $x_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in} \in {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then there exists a unique B -multilinear unitary linear (n, m) -mapping $T : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ satisfying (xii).

Proof. By the same method as the proof of Theorem 2, one can show that there exists a unique unitary linear (n, m) -mapping $T : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ satisfying (xii).

It is obvious that the unique unitary linear (n, m) -mapping $T : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ is a multi-additive mapping.

Now let $a \in B$ ($a \neq 0$) and K an integer greater than $4|a|$. Then

$$\left| \frac{a}{K} \right| = \frac{1}{K}|a| < \frac{|a|}{4|a|} = \frac{1}{4} < 1 - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

By [2, Theorem 1], there exist three elements $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathcal{U}(B)$ such that $3\frac{a}{K} = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$. And

$$\begin{aligned} & T(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \\ &= T(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3} x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \\ &= 3T(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \frac{1}{3} x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & T(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \frac{1}{3} x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} T(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_1, \dots, ax_i, \dots, x_m) &= T(x_1, \dots, \frac{K}{3} \cdot 3\frac{a}{K} x_i, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \frac{K}{3} T(x_1, \dots, 3\frac{a}{K} x_i, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \frac{K}{3} T(x_1, \dots, u_1 x_i + u_2 x_i + u_3 x_i, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \frac{K}{3} (u_1 + u_2 + u_3) T(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_m) \\ &= \frac{K}{3} \cdot 3\frac{a}{K} T(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_m) \\ &= aT(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_m) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$. Obviously,

$$T(x_1, \dots, 0x_i, \dots, x_m) = 0T(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_m)$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i$. So the unique unitary linear (n, m) -mapping $T : \prod_{i=1}^m {}_B\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow {}_B\mathcal{D}$ is a B -multilinear mapping. \square

Similarly, one can obtain a similar result to Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Cho, C.S. Lin, S. Kim and A. Misiak, *Theory of 2-Inner Product Spaces*, Nova Sci. Publ., Huntington, 2001.
2. R. Kadison and G. Pedersen, *Means and convex combinations of unitary operators*, Math. Scand. **57** (1985), 249–266.
3. Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.

*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
DAEJEON 305–764

E-mail: plkang@math.cnu.ac.kr

**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
DAEJEON 305–764

E-mail: cgpark@math.cnu.ac.kr