

ON THE STOCHASTIC PROCESS $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$

JONG MI CHOO*

ABSTRACT. We find some properties of a stochastic process $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$ which is of bounded variation.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is the underlying probability space and, without otherwise mentioned, $X(t, \omega)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, is a complex valued stochastic process of the second order, where ω is an element of Ω , that is,

$$E|X(t, \omega)|^2 = \|X(t, \omega)\|^2 < \infty \quad \text{for every } t.$$

Suppose that $X(t, \omega)$ is measurable on $\mathbf{R} \times \Omega$ and also suppose that

$$\int_a^b \|X(t, \omega)\|^2 dt < \infty, \quad \text{for every finite } a < b.$$

In this case, $X(t, \omega)$ is of $L^2(a, b)$ as a function of t almost surely.

DEFINITION 1.1. $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$ if and only if the set

$$S^2(\epsilon, X) \equiv \left\{ \tau; \sup_{u \in \mathbf{R}} \int_u^{u+1} \|X(t + \tau, \omega) - X(t, \omega)\|^2 dt < \epsilon \right\}$$

is relatively dense for every $\epsilon > 0$.

Received by the editors on October 28, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: Primary 60G12.

Key words and phrases: $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$, Fourier coefficient, Fourier exponent.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$. For

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \text{l.i.m.}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X(t, \omega) e^{-i\lambda t} dt,$$

there exists $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that $\alpha(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\alpha(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \notin \Lambda$. Let $\alpha(\lambda) \equiv \alpha_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ And then Parseval relation

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|X(t, \omega)\|^2 dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\alpha_n\|^2$$

holds. (We call the numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$, Fourier exponents and the numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$, Fourier coefficients of $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$.)

Proof. We know[1] there exist $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbf{R}^+$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty, \phi(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n e^{i\lambda_n u}$ where

$$\phi(u) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma+T} \langle X(t+u), X(t) \rangle dt.$$

(Convergence of the above limit is uniform for γ and u .)

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \phi(u) e^{-i\lambda u} du &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n e^{i(\lambda_n - \lambda)u} du \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \left(\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{i(\lambda_n - \lambda)u} du \right) \end{aligned}$$

The above value is γ_n if $\lambda = \lambda_n \in \Lambda, n = 1, 2, \dots$ and 0 if $\lambda \in \Lambda^c$.

Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \phi(u) e^{-i\lambda u} du \\
 &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda u} \left\{ \lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S} \int_0^S \langle X(u+t), X(t) \rangle dt \right\} du \\
 &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{TS} \int_0^S \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda u} \langle X(u+t), X(t) \rangle dt du \\
 &= \lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \langle l.i.m._{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-t}^{T-t} e^{-i\lambda u} X(u) du, \frac{1}{S} \int_0^S e^{-i\lambda t} X(t) dt \rangle \\
 &= \lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \langle \alpha(\lambda), \frac{1}{S} \int_0^S e^{-i\lambda t} X(t) dt \rangle \\
 &= \langle \alpha(\lambda), l.i.m._{S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S} \int_0^S e^{-i\lambda t} X(t) dt \rangle \\
 &= \langle \alpha(\lambda), \alpha(\lambda) \rangle \\
 &= \|\alpha(\lambda)\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\alpha(\lambda_n)\|^2 = \|\alpha_n\|^2 = \gamma_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\|\alpha(\lambda)\|^2 = 0, \lambda \in \Lambda^c.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(0) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|X(t)\|^2 dt \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\alpha(\lambda_n)\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\alpha_n\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

We, throughout this paper, make the following assumption:

There exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $|\lambda_m - \lambda_n| > \delta$, for $m \neq n$, where $\lambda_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, are Fourier exponents.

Without otherwise mentioned, $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$ means $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$ which admits the above condition.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $X(t, \omega), t \in \mathbf{R}$ be of $L^2(a, b)$. If

$$\sup_D \sum_{j=1}^n \|X(t_j, \omega) - X(t_{j-1}, \omega)\| = V < \infty,$$

where sup is taken for all divisions $D; a \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq b$, for every finite $[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$, then we say that $X(t, \omega)$ is of bounded variation and write $X(t, \omega) \in BV$.

In this paper, we find some propositions of a stochastic process $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$ which is of bounded variation.

2. Bounded variation

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $X(t, \omega) \in BV$ then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|X(t+h, \omega) - X(t, \omega)\| dt \leq c|h|,$$

for some constant c .

Proof. In the case of $h > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|X(t+h, \omega) - X(t, \omega)\| dt \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \int_t^{t+h} d\|X(u, \omega)\| \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \left[\int_0^h d\|X(u, \omega)\| \int_0^u dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_h^T d\|X(u, \omega)\| \int_{u-h}^u dt + \int_T^{T+h} d\|X(u, \omega)\| \int_{u-h}^T dt \right] \\ &\leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} h 3c_1 T \\ &\leq c_2 h, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants c_1, c_2 .

Similarly, in the case of $h > 0$, we can also have some constant c_3 such that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|X(t+h, \omega) - X(t, \omega)\| dt \leq c_3 |h|,$$

as desired. \square

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$ and $X(t, \omega) \in BV$, then $\|\alpha_n(\omega)\| \leq \frac{c}{|\lambda_n|}$ for some constant c .*

Proof. For each T ,

$$\int_0^T X(t, \omega) e^{-i\lambda_n t} dt = \left[\frac{e^{-i\lambda_n t} X(t, \omega)}{-i\lambda_n} \right]_0^T + \frac{1}{i\lambda_n} \int_0^T e^{-i\lambda_n t} dX(t, \omega).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E|\alpha_n(\omega)|^2 &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^2} E \left| \int_0^T X(t, \omega) e^{-i\lambda_n t} dt \right|^2 \\ &\leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} [E \left| \frac{e^{-i\lambda_n T} X(T, \omega) - X(0, \omega)}{\lambda_n T} \right|^2] \\ &\quad + \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^2} \frac{1}{T^2} E \int_0^T |dX(t, \omega)|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} E \left| \frac{e^{-i\lambda_n T} X(T, \omega) - X(0, \omega)}{\lambda_n T} \right|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{|\lambda_n T|^2} [E |X(T, \omega) - X(0, \omega)|^2 + 2E |X(0, \omega)|^2] \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{|\lambda_n|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for large T and some constant c_1 .

Also we have

$$E \left| \int_0^T |dX(t, \omega)|^2 \right| \leq \left(\int_0^T d\|X(t, \omega)\|^2 \right)^2 \leq c_2^2 T^2,$$

for some constant c_2 .

Therefore, by the following relation,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^2} \frac{1}{T^2} E \left| \int_0^T |dX(t, \omega)|^2 \right| &\leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|^2} \frac{1}{T^2} c_2^2 T^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c_3}{|\lambda_n|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant c_3 . We have the conclusion. \square

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $X(t, \omega) \in L_{s.a.p.}^2$ and $X(t, \omega) \in BV$. If $0 < \nu < \frac{1}{2}$ then $\alpha_n(\omega) = o(|\lambda_n|^{-\nu})$, a.s.*

Proof. For any $A > 0$,

$$P(\{\omega : |\alpha_n(\omega)| > A|\lambda_n|^{-\nu}\}) \leq (A|\lambda_n|^{-\nu})^{-2} E|\alpha_n(\omega)|^2.$$

By Proposition 2.2., for some constant c_1 , the last term is not greater than $c_1 A^{-2} |\lambda_n|^{2(\nu-1)}$.

Since there exists some constant c_2 such that $|\lambda_n| > c_2 n$, we have, for $0 < \nu < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$c_1 |\lambda_n|^{2(\nu-1)} < c_3 n^{2(\nu-1)},$$

for some constant c_3 .

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2(\nu-1)} < \infty$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\{\omega : |\alpha_n(\omega)| > A|\lambda_n|^{-\nu}\}) < \infty.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\alpha_n(\omega)|}{|\lambda_n|^{-\nu}} = 0, \text{ a.s.},$$

as desired. \square

REFERENCES

1. J.M. Choo, *Fourier series of a stochastic process $X(t, \omega) \in L^2_{s.a.p.}$* , Bulletin Korean Math. Soc. **21** (1984), 127–135.
2. T. Kawata, *Lipschitz classes and Fourier series of stochastic processes*, Tokyo J. Math **11** (1988), 269–280.
3. W. Kohnen, *Estimations for Fourier coefficients of Siegel cusp forms of degree two, II*, Nagoya Math. J. **128** (1992), 171–176.

*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MOKWON UNIVERSITY
DAEJEON 302-729, KOREA
E-mail: jmchoo@mokwon.ac.kr