

ON THE HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF A MODIFIED ADDITIVE AND QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

KIL-WOUNG JUN, HARK-MANN KIM, AND DON O LEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we solve the general solution of a modified additive and quadratic functional equation $f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - y) = f(x - 3y) + 3f(x + y)$ in the class of functions between real vector spaces and obtain the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the equation in the sense of Găvruta.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940, Ulam [17] raised a question concerning the stability of group homomorphism:

Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a function $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(f(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?

In other words, we are looking for situations when the homomorphisms are stable, *i. e.*, if a mapping is almost a homomorphism, then there exists a true homomorphism near it.

It is easy to see that the quadratic function $f(x) = cx^2$ on real field is a solution of the following equation:

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad (1.1)$$

So, it is natural that the equation (1.1) is called a *quadratic functional equation*. In particular, every solution of the quadratic equation (1.1) is said to be a *quadratic function*. It is well known that a function f between real vector spaces is quadratic if

Received by the editors July 15, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 39A11, 39B72.

Key words and phrases. Hyers-Ulam stability, quadratic function.

This work was supported by grant No. R01-2000-000-0005-0(2002) from the KOSEF.

and only if there exists a unique symmetric biadditive function B such that $f(x) = B(x, x)$ for all x (see Aczél & Dhombres [1], Kannappan [14]). The biadditive function B is given by

$$B(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(f(x + y) - f(x - y)). \quad (1.2)$$

The case of approximately additive functions was solved by Hyers [7] and generalized by Rassias [15]. During the last decades, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors Baker [2], Hyers, Isac & Rassias [8, 9], Hyers & Rassias [10], Jun & Kim [12], Rassias [16]. A stability problem for the quadratic functional equation (1.1) was solved by a lot of authors Czerwik [4], Grabiec [6], Jung [13]. Further, Jun & Lee [11] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the pexiderized quadratic equation (1.1).

Now, we investigate the following new additive and quadratic functional equation,

$$f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - y) = f(x - 3y) + 3f(x + y). \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper, we obtain the general solution of equation (1.3) in the class of functions between real or complex vector spaces and we establish the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for the equation (1.3) in the sense of Găvruta.

2. GENERAL SOLUTION OF (1.3)

We here present the general solution of the functional equation (1.3).

Theorem 2.1. *Let X and Y be real vector spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.3) if and only if there exist functions $Q : X \rightarrow Y$, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and a constant c in Y such that $f(x) = Q(x) + A(x) + c$ for all $x \in X$, where Q is quadratic, and A is additive.*

Proof. We first assume that f is a solution of the functional equation (1.3).

Let $A(x) := \frac{1}{2}[f(x) - f(-x)]$ and $Q(x) := \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)] - f(0)$. Then it follows that $A(0) = 0$, $A(-x) = -A(x)$ and $Q(0) = 0$, $Q(-x) = Q(x)$. Since f satisfies the functional equation (1.3), we get that

$$A(x + 3y) + 3A(x - y) = A(x - 3y) + 3A(x + y) \quad (2.1)$$

$$Q(x + 3y) + 3Q(x - y) = Q(x - 3y) + 3Q(x + y) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Now we prove that A is additive.

Putting $y = x$ and $x = 0$ in (2.1), separately, we have $A(2x) = 2A(x)$ and $A(3y) = 3A(y)$.

Interchanging x and y in (2.1) and rewriting the resulting equation, we get

$$A(3x + y) + A(3x - y) = A(3x + 3y) + A(3x - 3y) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

From (2.3), we deduce

$$A(u) + A(v) = A(2u - v) + A(2v - u) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $u, v \in X$.

Replacing y by $-2x + y$ in (2.3) and x by $x - 2y$ in (2.1), we get

$$A(x + y) + A(5x - y) = 3A(-x + y) + 3A(3x - y) \quad (2.5)$$

$$A(x + y) + 3A(x - 3y) = A(x - 5y) + 3A(x - y) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Using (2.5) and (2.6), one obtains

$$A(5x - y) + A(x - 5y) = -6A(x - y) + 3A(3x - y) + 3A(x - 3y) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Applying the relation (2.4) to the left hand side of (2.7), we have

$$A(3x + y) - A(x + 3y) = -2A(x - y) + A(3x - y) + A(x - 3y). \quad (2.8)$$

Replacing y by $-y$ in (2.8), we have

$$A(3x - y) - A(x - 3y) = -2A(x + y) + A(3x + y) + A(x + 3y). \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.8) and (2.9), we arrive at

$$A(3x + y) - A(3x - y) = A(x + y) - A(x - y). \quad (2.10)$$

Adding (2.10) to (2.3), we get

$$A(3x + y) + A(-x + y) = A(2x + 2y). \quad (2.11)$$

Letting $\alpha = 3x + y$ and $\beta = -x + y$ in (2.11), then we see

$$A(\alpha) + A(\beta) = A(\alpha + \beta).$$

Therefore A is an additive.

Next, we show that Q is quadratic. By putting $y = x$ and $y = \frac{x}{3}$ in (2.2), respectively, we see that $Q(2x) = 4Q(x)$ and $Q(3x) = 9Q(x)$.

Interchanging x and y in (2.2), we get

$$Q(3x + y) + 3Q(x - y) = Q(3x - y) + 3Q(x + y) \quad (2.12)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Replacing x by $2x + y$ in (2.2) and y by $x + 2y$ in (2.12), respectively, we get

$$Q(x + 2y) + 3Q(x) = Q(x - y) + 3Q(x + y) \quad (2.13)$$

$$Q(2x + y) + 3Q(y) = Q(x - y) + 3Q(x + y) \quad (2.14)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Therefore, we have the following crucial equation from (2.13) and (2.14)

$$Q(2x + y) - Q(x + 2y) = 3Q(x) - 3Q(y) \quad (2.15)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Now utilizing (2.15) one obtains the following two relations

$$Q(x + y) - Q(x - \frac{y}{2}) = \frac{1}{3}Q\left(3(x + \frac{y}{2})\right) - \frac{1}{3}Q(3x),$$

$$Q(x - y) - Q(x + \frac{y}{2}) = \frac{1}{3}Q\left(3(x - \frac{y}{2})\right) - \frac{1}{3}Q(3x).$$

Since $Q(2x) = 4Q(x)$, $Q(3x) = 9Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$, adding the above two relations we get

$$Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) + 6Q(x) = Q(2x + y) + Q(2x - y), \quad (2.16)$$

which is equivalent to the original quadratic functional equation $Q(x+y)+Q(x-y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y)$ Chang & Kim [3]. Therefore, Q is quadratic.

That is, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.3), then $f(x) = Q(x) + A(x) + f(0)$ for all $x \in X$, where Q is quadratic and A is additive.

Conversely, if there exist functions $Q : X \rightarrow Y$, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and a constant c in Y such that $f(x) = Q(x) + A(x) + c$ for all $x \in X$, where Q is quadratic and A is additive, then it is obvious that f satisfies the equation (1.3). \square

3. STABILITY OF (1.3)

Throughout this section X and Y will be a real normed linear space and a real Banach space, respectively. Given $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we set

$$Df(x, y) := f(x + 3y) + 3f(x - y) - f(x - 3y) - 3f(x + y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Let $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping satisfying one of the conditions (A), (B) and one of the conditions (C), (D):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(A)} \quad \Phi_1(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{k-1}} \varphi(2^{k-1}x, 2^{k-1}y) < \infty \\
 \text{(B)} \quad \Phi_2(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^{k+1} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{y}{2^{k+1}}\right) < \infty \\
 \text{(C)} \quad \Psi_1(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \varphi(2^{k-1}x, 2^{k-1}y) < \infty \\
 \text{(D)} \quad \Psi_2(x, y) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k+1} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+1}}, \frac{y}{2^{k+1}}\right) < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

One of the conditions (A), (B) will be needed to derive a quadratic function and one of the conditions (C), (D) will be needed to derive an additive function in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique additive function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the equation (1.3) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x) - f(0)\| &\leq \frac{1}{32} \left[\Phi_i(x, x) + \Phi_i(-x, -x) \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \left[\Psi_j(x, x) + \Psi_j(-x, -x) \right], \\
 \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) - f(0) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{32} \left[\Phi_i(x, x) + \Phi_i(-x, -x) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left[\Psi_j(x, x) + \Psi_j(-x, -x) \right]$$

for all $x \in X$ and for $i = 1$ or 2 , $j = 1$ or 2 .

The functions Q and A are given by

$$\begin{cases} Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x)}{2 \cdot 4^n} & \text{if } \mathcal{A} \text{ holds,} \\ Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \frac{f(\frac{x}{2^n}) + f(-\frac{x}{2^n}) - 2f(0)}{2}}{2} & \text{if } \mathcal{B} \text{ holds,} \\ A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) - f(-2^n x)}{2^{n+1}} & \text{if } \mathcal{C} \text{ holds,} \\ A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n \frac{f(\frac{x}{2^n}) - f(-\frac{x}{2^n})}{2}}{2} & \text{if } \mathcal{D} \text{ holds} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function defined by $f_1(x) := (1/2)[f(x) + f(-x)] - f(0)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $f_1(0) = 0$, $f_1(x) = f_1(-x)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df_1(x, y)\| &= \|f_1(x + 3y) + 3f_1(x - y) - f_1(x - 3y) - 3f_1(x + y)\| \\ &\leq (1/2)[\varphi(x, y) + \varphi(-x, -y)] \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $y = x$ in (3.2) yields

$$\|f_1(4x) - 4f_1(2x)\| \leq (1/2)[\varphi(x, x) + \varphi(-x, -x)] \tag{3.3}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Case 1. Assume that φ satisfies the condition (\mathcal{A}) . Dividing both sides of (3.3) by 4 and letting $\frac{x}{2}$ for x , we have

$$\left\| \frac{f_1(2x)}{4} - f_1(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) \right] \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $2^{n-1}x$ and dividing by 4^{n-1} in (3.4) we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{f_1(2^{n-1} x)}{4^{n-1}} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^n} \left[\varphi(2^{n-2} x, 2^{n-2} x) + \varphi(-2^{n-2} x, -2^{n-2} x) \right] \tag{3.5}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

An induction argument implies easily that

$$\left\| \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n} - f_1(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{32} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{4^{i-1}} \left[\varphi(2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x) + \varphi(-2^{i-1} x, -2^{i-1} x) \right] \tag{3.6}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence by (3.6) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{f_1(2^m x)}{4^m} \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{4^m} \left\| \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^{n-m}} - f_1(2^m x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{32} \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \frac{1}{4^{i-1}} \left[\varphi(2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x) + \varphi(-2^{i-1} x, -2^{i-1} x) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$. Since the right hand side of (3.7) tends to zero as $m \rightarrow \infty$, $\{ \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n} \}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$ and thus

converges by the completeness of Y . Therefore we can define a function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n}, \quad x \in X.$$

Note that $Q(0) = 0$, $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Replacing x, y in (3.2) by $2^n x, 2^n y$ and dividing both sides by 4^n , and after then taking the limit in the resulting inequality, we have

$$Q(x + 3y) + 3Q(x - y) - Q(x - 3y) - 3Q(x + y) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Since Q is even and $Q(2^n x) = 4^n Q(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function Q is quadratic as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Taking the limit in (3.6) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\|f_1(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{32} [\Phi_1(x, x) + \Phi_1(-x, -x)] \tag{3.9}$$

for all $x \in X$.

To prove the uniqueness, let Q' be another quadratic function satisfying (3.9). Then $Q'(0) = 0$, $Q'(2^n x) = 4^n Q'(x)$, and $Q'(-x) = Q'(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - Q'(x)\| &\leq \left\| \frac{Q(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\| + \left\| \frac{f_1(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{Q'(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^n} \left\{ \|Q(2^n x) - f_1(2^n x)\| + \|f_1(2^n x) - Q'(2^n x)\| \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \frac{\Phi_1(2^n x, 2^n x) + \Phi_1(-2^n x, -2^n x)}{4^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $Q(x) = Q'(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Case 2. Assume that φ satisfies the condition (B) (and hence (D)).

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{4}$ in (3.3) we get

$$\left\| f_1(x) - 4f_1\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{4}, -\frac{x}{4}\right) \right] \tag{3.10}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}$ and multiplying by 4^{n-1} in (3.10) we obtain that

$$\left\| 4^{n-1} f_1\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 4^n f_1\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{4^{n+1}}{32} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, -\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right] \tag{3.11}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An induction argument implies that

$$\left\| 4^n f_1\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - f_1(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{32} \sum_{i=1}^n 4^{i+1} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, -\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) \right] \tag{3.12}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence

$$\left\| 4^n f_1\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 4^m f_1\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{32} \sum_{i=m+1}^n 4^{i+1} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, -\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) \right] \tag{3.13}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

This shows that $\{4^n f_1(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$ and thus converges. Therefore we can define a function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f_1\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right).$$

Note that $Q(0) = 0$, $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$. By (3.2) we have

$$Q(x + 3y) + 3Q(x - y) - Q(x - 3y) - 3Q(x + y) = 0 \tag{3.14}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and thus Q is quadratic.

Taking the limit in (3.12) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\|f_1(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{32} \left[\Phi_2(x, x) + \Phi_2(-x, -x) \right] \tag{3.15}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Using the similar argument to that of Case 1, we easily have the uniqueness of Q satisfying (3.15).

Now let $f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function defined by $f_2(x) := (1/2)[f(x) - f(-x)]$ for all $x \in X$. Then $f_2(0) = 0$, $f_2(-x) = -f_2(x)$, and the relation (3.1) can be written by

$$\begin{aligned} \|Df_2(x, y)\| &= \|f_2(x + 3y) + f_2(x - y) - f_2(x - 3y) - 3f_2(x + y)\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\varphi(x, y) + \varphi(-x, -y) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $y = x$ in (3.16) yields

$$\|f_2(2x) - 2f_2(x)\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) \right] \tag{3.17}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Case 3. Assume that φ satisfies the condition (C) (and hence (A)).

Dividing the inequality (3.17) by 2 we have

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2x)}{2} - f_2(x) \right\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{x}{2}\right) \right] \tag{3.18}$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $2^{n-1}x$ in (3.18) and dividing by 2^{n-1} we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f_2(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f_2(2^{n-1} x)}{2^{n-1}} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \left[\varphi(2^{n-2} x, 2^{n-2} x) + \varphi(-2^{n-2} x, -2^{n-2} x) \right] \tag{3.19}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It follows by an induction argument that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f_2(2^n x)}{2^n} - f_2(x) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \varphi(2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x) + \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \varphi(-2^{i-1} x, -2^{i-1} x) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f_2(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f_2(2^m x)}{2^m} \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \varphi(2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} x) + \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \varphi(-2^{i-1} x, 2^{i-1} - x) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

This shows that $\left\{ \frac{f_2(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$ and thus converges in Y . Therefore we can define a function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_2(2^n x)}{2^n}, \quad x \in X.$$

Note that $A(0) = 0$, $A(-x) = -A(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

By (3.16) we get

$$A(x + 3y) + 3A(x - y) - A(x - 3y) - 3A(x + y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and thus A is additive as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Taking the limit in (3.20) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\|f_2(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left[\Psi_1(x, x) + \Psi_1(-x, -x) \right] \quad (3.22)$$

for all $x \in X$.

If A' is another additive function satisfying the inequality (3.22), then $A'(0) = 0$, $A'(-x) = A'(x)$ and $A'(2^n x) = 2^n A'(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus one obtains that by (3.22)

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x) - A'(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} \left(\|A(2^n x) - f_2(2^n x)\| + \|f_2(2^n x) - A'(2^n x)\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\Psi_1(2^n x, -2^n x) + \Psi_1(-2^n x, 2^n x)}{2^{n+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can conclude that we obtain $A(x) = A'(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Case 4. Assume that φ satisfies the condition (\mathcal{D}) .

$$\left\| f_2(x) - 2f_2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{4}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{4}, -\frac{x}{4}\right) \right] \tag{3.23}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}$ in (3.23) and multiplying by 2^{n-1} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^{n-1} f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^{n-1}}\right) - 2^n f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| \\ \leq 2^{n-2} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, -\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An induction argument implies that

$$\left\| f_2(x) - 2^n f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{i+1} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, -\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) \right] \tag{3.25}$$

holds for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 2^n f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 2^m f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=m+1}^n 2^{i+1} \left[\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) + \varphi\left(-\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}, -\frac{x}{2^{i+1}}\right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > m$.

This implies that $\{2^n f_2(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$ and thus converges. Therefore we can define a function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f_2\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right), \quad x \in X.$$

Note that $A(0) = 0$, $A(-x) = -A(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and thus A is additive.

Taking the limit in (3.25) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\|f_2(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left[\Psi_2(x, x) + \Psi_2(-x, -x) \right] \tag{3.27}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Similarly we have easily that A is a unique additive mapping subject to (3.27).

We complete the proof. □

From the main theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary concerning the stability of the equation (1.3).

Corollary 3.2. *Let $p \neq 1$, $p \neq 2$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$ be real numbers. Assume that a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \tag{3.28}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ ($x, y \in X \setminus \{0\}$ if $p < 0$). Then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique additive function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.3) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x) - f(0)\| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon\|x\|^p}{2^p} \left(\frac{1}{|4 - 2^p|} + \frac{1}{|2 - 2^p|} \right), \\ \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) - f(0) \right\| &\leq \frac{2\varepsilon\|x\|^p}{2^p|4 - 2^p|}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon\|x\|^p}{2^p|2 - 2^p|}$$

for all $x \in X$ ($x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ if $p < 0$).

Proof. Let $\varphi(x, y) := \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $\varphi(x, x) = 2\varepsilon\|x\|^p$ for all $x \in X$ ($x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ if $p < 0$).

If $p < 2$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}y)}{4^{n-1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{p(n-1)} \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)}{4^{n-1}} = \frac{16\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)}{2^p(4 - 2^p)}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ ($x, y \in X \setminus \{0\}$ if $p < 0$). If $p > 2$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4^{n+1} \varphi(2^{-n-1}x, 2^{-n-1}y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4^{n+1} \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)}{2^{p(n+1)}} = \frac{16\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)}{2^p(2^p - 4)}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If $p < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}y)}{2^{n-1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{(n-1)p} \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{4\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)}{2^p(2 - 2^p)}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ ($x, y \in X \setminus \{0\}$ if $p < 0$). If $p > 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n+1} \varphi(2^{-n-1}x, 2^{-n-1}y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n+1} \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)}{2^{(n+1)p}} = \frac{4\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)}{2^p(2^p - 2)}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Thus applying Theorem 3.1 for the three cases $p < 1$, $1 < p < 2$ and $2 < p$, we obtain easily the results. \square

Corollary 3.3. Assume that for some $\theta > 0$, a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y)\| \leq \theta \tag{3.29}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique additive function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.3) such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x) - f(0)\| \leq \frac{4}{3}\theta,$$

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) - f(0) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{3}\theta,$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq \theta$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Putting $\varphi(x, y) := \theta$, we get immediately the result. \square

REFERENCES

1. J. Aczél & J. Dhombres: *Functional equations in several variables*, With applications to mathematics, information theory and to the natural and social sciences. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. MR 90h:39001
2. J. Baker: The stability of the cosine equation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1980), no. 3, 411–416. MR 81m:39015
3. I. S. Chang & T. M. Kim: On the Hyers-Ulam stability of quadratic functional equations. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (2002), no. 3, Article 33, 12 pp. (electronic). MR 2003f:39092
4. S. Czerwik: On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **62** (1992), 59–64. MR 94e:39026
5. P. Găvruta: A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994), no. 3, 431–436. MR 95e:47089
6. A. Grabiec: The generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a class of functional equations. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **48** (1996), no. 3–4, 217–235. MR 98a:39027
7. D. H. Hyers: On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **27** (1941), 222–224. MR 2,315a
8. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac & Th. M. Rassias: *Stability of functional equations in several variables*. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998.
9. ———: On the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), no. 2, 425–430. MR 98d:39004
10. D. H. Hyers & Th. M. Rassias: Approximate homomorphisms. *Aequationes Math.* **44** (1992), no. 2–3, 125–153. MR 93i:39007
11. K. W. Jun & Y. H. Lee: On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a Pexiderized quadratic inequality. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **4** (2001), no. 1, 93–118. MR 2001j:39040

12. K. W. Jun & H. M. Kim: The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* To appear.
13. S. -M. Jung: On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **222** (1998), no. 1, 126–137. MR **99e**:39095
14. Pl. Kannappan: Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces. *Results Math.* **27** (1995), no. 3–4, 368–372. MR **96h**:39011
15. Th. M. Rassias: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), no. 2, 297–300. MR **80d**:47094
16. ———: On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **251** (2000), no. 1, 264–284. MR **2003b**:39036
17. S. M. Ulam: *Problems in modern mathematics*. Science Editions John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York 1964. MR **43#**6031

(K. -W. JUN) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 220 GUNG-DONG, YUSEONG-GU, DAEJEON 305-335, KOREA
Email address: kwjun@math.cnu.ac.kr

(H. -M. KIM) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 220 GUNG-DONG, YUSEONG-GU, DAEJEON 305-335, KOREA
Email address: hmkim@math.cnu.ac.kr

(D. O LEE) INFORMATION CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 373-1 GUSEONG-DONG, YUSEONG-GU, DAEJEON 305-701, KOREA
Email address: donolee@icms.kaist.ac.kr