# ON COMPLETE CONVERGENCE OF WEIGHTED SUMS OF $\phi$ -MIXING RANDOM VARIABLES WITH APPLICATION TO MOVING AVERAGE PROCESSES<sup>†</sup>

J. I. Baek<sup>1</sup>, H. Y. Liang<sup>2</sup>, Y. K. Choi<sup>3</sup> and H. I. Chung<sup>1</sup>

### ABSTRACT

We discuss complete convergence of weighted sums for arrays of  $\phi$ -mixing random variables. As application, we obtain the complete convergence of moving average processes for  $\phi$ -mixing random variables. The result of Baum and Katz (1965) as well as the result of Li *et al.* (1992) on *iid* case are extended to  $\phi$ -mixing setting.

AMS 2000 subject classifications. Primary 60G50; Secondary 60F15. Keywords. Complete convergence,  $\phi$ -mixing sequence, moving average processes.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\{X_n | n = 1, 2, ...\}$  be a sequence of random variables. Hsu and Robbins (1947) introduced the concept of complete convergence of  $\{X_n\}$ . A sequence  $\{X_n\}$  of random variables is said to converge completely to a constant c if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n - c| > \varepsilon) < \infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Moreover, it was proved that the sequence of arithmetic means of independent identically distributed (*iid*) random variables converges completely to the expected value if the variance of the summands is finite.

Received October 2003; accepted March 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>This paper was supported by grant NO. 2001-042-D00008 from Korea Research Foundation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Informational Statistics and Institute of Basic Natural Science and School of Business Administration, Wonkwang University, Iksan 570-749, Korea (e-mail: jibaek@wonkwang.ac.kr)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China (e-mail: hyliang83@hotmail.com)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kyungsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Korea

This result has been generalized and extended in several directions and carefully studied by many authors (see Pruitt, 1966; Rohatgi, 1971; Hu et al., 1989; Gut, 1992; Wang et al., 1993; Kuczmaszewska and Szynal, 1994; Hu et al., 1999; Ahmed et al., 2002). Li et al. (1992) also had obtained the result on complete convergence in iid random variables.

The concept of  $\phi$ -mixing was introduced by Ibragimov (1962). It is well known that  $\phi$ -mixing variables include iid, m-dependent,  $\psi$ -mixing variables, and  $\phi$ -mixing variables are used in many practical questions. So, the notion of  $\phi$ -mixing has been received considerable attention recently. We refer to Ibragimov (1962) for fundamental properties, Ibragimov and Linnik (1971) for the central limit theorem, Roussas (1988) for a nonparametric estimation, Peligrad (1985, 1993) for an invariance principle and asymptotic results, Hu (1991) for a law of the iterated logarithm, Liu et al. (1998) for the law of large numbers, Chen et al. (2003) for the law of iterated logarithm, Prakasa (2003) for the moment inequality for supremum of empirical processes. The purpose of this paper is to discuss a general conclusion for complete convergence of weighted sums for arrays of  $\phi$ -mixing random variables. As application, we obtain that the famous result of Baum and Katz (1965) from iid case to  $\phi$ -mixing array case. Also we discuss the complete convergence of moving average processes for  $\phi$ -mixing random variables, which extends the result of Li et al. (1992) in iid setting.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some lemmas, which will be used in the proof of our main theorem and in Section 3, we derive the complete convergence for arrays of  $\phi$ -mixing random variables under some suitable conditions. In Section 4, we obtain a result on complete convergence of moving average processes.

Finally,  $a \ll b$  means a = O(b) and C will represent positive constants whose value may change from one place to another, for  $x \ge 0$  the symbol [x] denotes the greatest integer in x, and for a finite set A the symbol  $\sharp A$  denotes the number of elements in the set A.

## 2. Preliminaries

This section will be contain some background materials which will be used in obtaining the main results in the next section.

DEFINITION 2.1 (Ibragimov, 1962). Let  $\{X_n | n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Let  $F_n^m = \sigma(X_i, n \leq i \leq m), 1 \leq i \leq m$ 

 $n \leq m < \infty$ . We say that  $\{X_n | n \geq 1\}$  is  $\phi$ -mixing if

$$\phi(n) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\{A \in F_1^m, P(A) \neq 0, B \in F_{n+m}^{\infty}\}} |P(B|A) - P(B)| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

LEMMA 2.1 (Ibragimov, 1962). Let p, q > 1 with  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . Suppose that  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are measurable with  $F_1^k$  and  $F_{k+m}^{\infty}$ . Moreover, suppose that  $E|\xi|^p < \infty$  and  $E|\eta|^p < \infty$ . Then

$$|E\xi\eta - E\xi E\eta| \le 2(\phi(n))^{1/2} E^{1/p} |\xi|^p E^{1/q} |\eta|^q$$
.

LEMMA 2.2 (Shao, 1993). Let  $\{X_n | n \geq 1\}$  be a  $\phi$ -mixing sequence and  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . If there exists a sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of positive numbers such that

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} ES_i^2 \le C_n,$$

then for any  $q \geq 2$ , there exists  $C = C(q, \phi(\cdot))$  such that

$$E \max_{1 \le i \le n} |S_i|^q \le C(C_n^{q/2} + E \max_{1 \le i \le n} |X_i|^q).$$

LEMMA 2.3 (Burton and Dehling, 1990). Let  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i$  be an absolutely convergent series of real numbers with  $a = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i$ ,  $b = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_i|$ . Suppose  $\Phi: [-b,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\Phi$  is bounded and continuous at a.
- (ii) There exist  $\delta > 0$  and C > 0 such that for all  $|x| \leq \delta$ ,  $|\Phi(x)| \leq C|x|$ .

Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}\Phi\bigg(\sum_{j=i+1}^{i+n}a_j\bigg)=\Phi(a).$$

Remark 2.1. Taking  $\Phi(x) = |x|^q$ ,  $q \ge 1$ , from Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i = -\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+n} a_j \right|^q = |a|^q.$$

# 3. Main Result

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\{X_{ni}| i \geq 1, n \geq 1\}$  be an array of  $\phi$ -mixing random variables with  $EX_{ni} = 0$  and  $P(|X_{ni}| > x) = O(1)P(|X| > x)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \geq 0$ . Let  $\{a_{ni}| i \geq 1, n \geq 1\}$  be an array of constants such that

$$\sup_{i>1} |a_{ni}| = O(n^{-r}) \quad \text{for some} \quad r > 0$$
 (3.1)

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ni}| = O(n^{\alpha}) \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in [0, r).$$
 (3.2)

Let  $\beta$  be such that  $\alpha + \beta \neq -1$  and fix  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(\alpha/r) + 1 < \delta \leq 2$ , and  $s = \max(1 + (1 + \alpha + \beta)/r, \delta)$ . If

$$E|X|^s < \infty \ and \ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi^{1/2}(m) < \infty,$$
 (3.3)

then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} P\left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ni} X_{ni} \right| > \varepsilon \right) < \infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

PROOF. Note that the result is of interest only for  $\beta \geq -1$ . Moreover, since  $\delta > \alpha/r + 1$ , we have that  $\alpha - r(\delta - 1) < 0$ .

We can assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\sup_{i>1} |a_{ni}| = n^{-r}, \ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ni}| = n^{\alpha}, \ \frac{1}{|a_{ni}|} := b_{ni}, \ |a_{ni}| \le 1,$$

and

$$I_{nk} = \{i | (nk)^r \le |a_{ni}|^{-1} = |b_{ni}| < (n(k+1))^r\}, \ k \ge 1, \ n \ge 1.$$
 (3.4)

Note that by (3.4)  $\bigcup_{j=1} I_{nj} = N$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , where N is the set of positive integers. Note also that for all  $j \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sharp I_{nj} = \sharp \{ i \in N | b_{ni} < (n(k+1))^r \} := \sharp A_{nk},$$

and for all  $k \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , by (3.4), we have

$$n^{lpha} \ge \sum_{i \in A_{nk}} |a_{ni}| = \sum_{i \in A_{nk}} \frac{1}{|b_{ni}|} \ge \frac{\sharp A_{nk}}{(n(k+1))^r}$$

and hence

$$\sharp A_{nk} \le n^{\alpha+r} (k+1)^r \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^k \sharp I_{nj} = \sharp A_{nk} \le n^{\alpha+r} (k+1)^r.$$
 (3.5)

Using  $EX_{ni} = 0$ , we get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Ea_{ni}X_{ni}I(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \le 1)| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|a_{ni}X_{ni}|^{\delta} |a_{ni}X_{ni}|^{1-\delta} I(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| > 1)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|a_{ni}X_{ni}|^{\delta} I(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| > 1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ni}|^{\delta-1} |a_{ni}| E|X_{ni}|^{\delta} I(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| > 1)$$

$$\ll \sup_{i \ge 1} |a_{ni}|^{\delta-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ni}| E|X|^{\delta} I(|X| > 1)$$

$$\ll n^{\alpha-r(\delta-1)} E|X|^{\delta} = o(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} P\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{ni} X_{ni}\right| > \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} P\left(\sup_{i \geq 1} |a_{ni} X_{ni}| > 1\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ni} X_{ni} I(|a_{ni} X_{ni}| \leq 1)\right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$$

$$:= I_1 + I_2.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that  $I_1 < \infty$  and  $I_2 < \infty$ .

To prove  $I_1 < \infty$ , using (3.5), we get

$$I_{1} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| > 1)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|X_{ni}| > b_{ni})$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|X| \geq b_{ni})$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sharp I_{nj}) P(|X| \geq (nj)^{r})$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sharp I_{nj}) \sum_{k=nj}^{\infty} P(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k+1)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k+1) n^{\alpha+r} \left(\left[\frac{k}{n}\right] + 1\right)^{r}$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} n^{\alpha+r} n^{-r} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{r} P(k \le |X|^{1/r} < k+1) 
\le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{r} P(k \le |X|^{1/r} < k+1) \sum_{n=1}^{k} n^{\alpha+\beta} 
\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha+\beta+r+1} P(k \le |X|^{1/r} < k+1) 
\ll E|X|^{1+(\alpha+\beta+1)/r} < \infty \quad \text{by (3.3)}.$$

To prove  $I_2 < \infty$ , we need only to prove from (3.6) that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$I_2^* := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} P\left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ni} X_{ni} I(|a_{ni} X_{ni}| \le 1) - E a_{ni} X_{ni} I(|a_{ni} X_{ni}| \le 1) \right| \ge \varepsilon \right) < \infty.$$

Note that, by writing  $\xi_{ni} = a_{ni}X_{ni}I(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \leq 1)$ , we obtain from Lemma 2.1 and  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi^{1/2}(m) < \infty$  that

$$\sup_{1 \le m \le \infty} E \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_{ni} X_{ni} I(|a_{ni} X_{ni}| \le 1)) - E \sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_{ni} X_{ni} I(|a_{ni} X_{ni}| \le 1)) \right\}^{2}$$

$$= \sup_{1 \le k \le \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} E(\xi_{ni} - E\xi_{ni})^{2} + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{t=s+1}^{m} E\{(\xi_{ns} - E\xi_{ns})(\xi_{nt} - E\xi_{nt})\} \right]$$

$$\le \sup_{1 \le k \le \infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} E(\xi_{ni} - E\xi_{ni})^{2} + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{t=s+1}^{m} 2\phi^{1/2}(t-s)E^{1/2}(\xi_{ns} - E\xi_{ns})^{2}E^{1/2}(\xi_{nt} - E\xi_{nt})^{2} \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{1 \le k \le \infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} E(\xi_{ni} - E\xi_{ni})^{2} + 4 \sum_{l=1}^{m} \phi^{1/2}(l) \sum_{s=1}^{m-l} E^{1/2}(\xi_{ns} - E\xi_{ns})^{2}E^{1/2}(\xi_{n(l+s)} - E\xi_{n(l+s)})^{2} \right\}$$

$$\le \sup_{1 \le k \le \infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} E(\xi_{ni} - E\xi_{ni})^{2} + 4 \sum_{l=1}^{m} \phi^{1/2}(l) \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{m-l} E(\xi_{ns} - E\xi_{ns})^{2} \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{m-l} E(\xi_{n(l+s)} - E\xi_{n(l+s)})^{2} \right\}^{1/2} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{1 \leq k \leq \infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} E(\xi_{ni} - E\xi_{ni})^{2} + 4 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \phi^{1/2}(l) \cdot \sum_{s=1}^{m} E(\xi_{ns} - E\xi_{ns})^{2} \right\} \\
\leq C \sup_{1 \leq k \leq \infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} E(\xi_{ni} - E\xi_{ni})^{2} \right\} \\
\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(\xi_{ni})^{2} = C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(a_{ni}X_{ni}I(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \leq 1))^{2}.$$

So, according to Lemma 2.2, we have for  $M \geq 2$ ,

$$I_{2}^{*} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} E \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{ni} X_{ni} I(|a_{ni} X_{ni}| \leq 1) - E(a_{ni} X_{ni} I(|a_{ni} X_{ni}| \leq 1)) \right|^{M}$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|a_{ni}^{2} X_{ni}|^{2} I(|a_{ni} X_{ni}| \leq 1) \right)^{M/2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|a_{ni} X_{ni}|^{M} I(|a_{ni} X_{ni}| \leq 1) \right\}$$

$$:= I_{3} + I_{4}.$$

In order to prove  $I_3 < \infty$  and  $I_4 < \infty$ , we need to verify that

$$E|a_{ni}X_{ni}I(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \le 1)| \ll E|a_{ni}XI(|a_{ni}X| \le 1)| + P(|a_{ni}X| \ge 1).$$
 (3.7)

In fact,

$$E|a_{ni}X_{ni}|I(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \le 1)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} x dP(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \le x)$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{1} x dP(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \ge x)$$

$$= -\left\{ xP(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \ge x) \Big|_{0}^{1} - \int_{0}^{1} P(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \ge x) dx \right\}$$

$$= -P(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \ge 1) + \int_{0}^{1} P(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \ge x) dx.$$
(3.8)

Similarly,

$$E|a_{ni}X|I(|a_{ni}X| \le 1) = -P(|a_{ni}X| \ge 1) + \int_0^1 P(|a_{ni}X| \ge x) dx,$$

which, together with (3.8), follows (3.7).

Next, we will use the fact: for any  $\eta \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|a_{ni}X| > 1) \right\}^{\eta} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|a_{ni}X| > 1) < \infty.$$

We now verify  $I_3 < \infty$ . Taking M large enough such that  $M > (\beta + 1)/(r(\delta 1) - \alpha)$ , we have

$$I_{3} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{ni}^{\delta} E|X|^{\delta} I(|a_{ni}X| \leq 1)) \right)^{M/2}$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| > 1) \right)^{M/2}$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ni}|^{\delta} E|X|^{\delta} \right)^{M/2} + C$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \left( \sup_{i \geq 1} |a_{ni}|^{\delta-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ni}| E|X|^{\delta} \right)^{M/2} + C$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} (n^{-r(\delta-1)} n^{\alpha} E|X|^{\delta})^{M/2} + C$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta+M(\alpha-r(\delta-1))} + C < \infty.$$

As to  $I_4$ , we have

$$I_{4} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in A_{nj}} E|a_{ni}X|^{M} I(|a_{ni}X| \leq 1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(|a_{ni}X| > 1)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sharp I_{nj})(nj)^{-rM} E|X|^{M} I(|X| \leq (n(j+1))^{r}) + C$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sharp I_{nj})(nj)^{-rM} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq (n(j+1))} E|X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k+1) + C$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sharp I_{nj})(nj)^{-rM} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} E|X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k+1) + C$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sharp I_{nj})(nj)^{-rM} \sum_{k=2n+1}^{n(j+1)} E|X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k+1) + C$$

$$:= I_{5} + I_{6} + C.$$

Note that for all  $m \geq 1$ , we have

$$n^{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{ni}^{+}| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in I_{nj}} |a_{ni}| \ge \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sharp I_{nj} (n(j+1))^{-r}$$

$$\ge \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} (\sharp I_{nj}) (n(j+1))^{-r} \ge \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} (\sharp I_{nj}) (n(j+1))^{-rM} (n(j+1))^{r(M-1)}.$$

So,

$$\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (\sharp I_{nj})(nj)^{-rM} \ll n^{\alpha - r(M-1)} m^{-r(M-1)}.$$

Hence, by choosing  $M > 1 + (\alpha + \beta + 1)/r$ , we have

$$I_{5} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} n^{\alpha - r(M-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} E|X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k + 1)$$

$$\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=[k/2]}^{\infty} n^{\beta + \alpha - r(M-1)} E|X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k + 1)$$

$$\ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\beta + \alpha + 1 - r(M-1)} E|X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k + 1)$$

$$\ll E|X|^{1 + (\alpha + \beta + 1)/r} < \infty.$$

$$I_{6} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \geq k/n-1} (\sharp I_{nj}) (nj)^{-rM} E |X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k+1)$$

$$\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha-r(M-1)} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{-r(M-1)} E |X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k+1)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta+\alpha} \sum_{k=2n+1}^{\infty} k^{-r(M-1)} E |X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k+1)$$

$$\ll \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{[k/2]} n^{\beta+\alpha} k^{-r(M-1)} E |X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k+1)$$

$$\ll \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\beta+\alpha+1-r(M-1)} E |X|^{M} I(k \leq |X|^{1/r} < k+1)$$

$$\ll E |X|^{1+(\beta+\alpha+1)/r} < \infty.$$

Let  $1 \le t < 2$ , p > 1. As application, in Theorem 3.1, taking  $\beta = p - 2$ ,  $a_{ni} = n^{1/t}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $a_{ni} = 0$  for i > n, then we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.1. Let  $1 \le t < 2$ , p > 1, and let  $\{X_{ni} | 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$  be an array of  $\phi$ -mixing random variables with  $EX_{ni} = 0$  and  $P(|X_{ni}| > x) = O(1)P(|X| > x)$  for all  $i \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$  and  $x \ge 0$ . If  $E|X|^{pt} < \infty$  and  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi^{1/2}(m) < \infty$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} P\left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ni} \right| > \varepsilon n^{1/t} \right) < \infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

REMARK 3.1. When  $X_{ni} = X_i$  for  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $\{X_i | i \ge 1\}$  is a sequence of *iid* random variables, Corollary 3.1 becomes into the famous result of Baum and Katz (1965).

# 4. Complete Convergence of Moving Average Processes

In this section, we present one result about the convergence of moving average processes which follows from Theorem 3.1. The result is the extension on the  $\phi$ -mixing setting of the result of Li et al. (1992) in iid case.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that  $\{Y_i|-\infty < i < \infty\}$  is a sequence of  $\phi$ -mixing random variables with  $EY_i=0$  and  $P(|Y_i|>x)=O(1)P(|Y|>x)$  for all  $i\geq 1$  and  $x\geq 0$ . Let  $\{a_i|-\infty < i < \infty\}$  be an absolutely summable sequence of real numbers and  $X_k=\sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty a_{i+k}Y_i,\ k\geq 1$ . If  $E|X|^{(\beta+2)t}<\infty$ , where  $1\leq t<2,\ (\beta+2)t\neq 1,\ \beta>-1,\ and\ \sum_{m=1}^\infty \phi^{1/2}(m)<\infty$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta} P(|\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k| \ge \varepsilon n^{1/t}) < \infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon \ge 0.$$

PROOF. Let  $X_{ni} = Y_i$  and  $a_{ni} = (1/n^{1/t}) \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i+k}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . Then  $(1/n^{1/t}) \sum_{k=1}^n X_k = \sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty a_{ni} X_{ni}$ . By Lemma 2.3, since  $a = \sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty a_i$  and  $b = \sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty |a_i|$ , we have that  $\sup_{i\geq 1} |a_{ni}| \leq bn^{-1/t}$  and  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty |a_{ni}| \leq C|n|^{1-1/t}$ . The result follows by Theorem 3.1 with  $\alpha = 1 - 1/t$ , r = 1/t and  $t < \delta < 2$ .

# REFERENCES

- AHMED, S. E., ANTONINI, R. G. AND ANDREI, V. (2002). "On the rate of complete convergence for weighted sums of arrays of Banach space valued elements with application to moving average processes", Statistics & Probability Letters, 58, 185-194.
- BAUM, L. E. AND KATZ, M. (1965). "Convergence rates in the law of large numbers", Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 120, 108-123.
- Burton, R. M. and Dehling, H. (1990). "Large deviations for some weakly dependent random processes", Statistics & Probability Letters, 9, 397-401.
- CHEN, P. Y.AND LIU, X. D. (2003). "A Chover-type law of iterated logarithm for the weighted partial sums", *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, **46**, 999-1006.
- Gut, A. (1992). "Complete convergence for arrays", Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, 25, 51-75.
- HSU, P. L AND ROBBINS, H. (1947). "Complete convergence and the law of large numbers", Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 33, 25-31.
- Hu, S. H. (1991). "A law of the iterated logarithm for double array sums of  $\phi$ -mixing sequence", Chinese Science Bulletin, 36, 1057-1061.
- Hu, T. C., Móricz, F. and Taylor, R. L. (1989). "Strong laws of large numbers for arrays of rowwise independent random variables", Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 54, 153-162.
- Hu. T. C., Rosalsky, A., Szynal, D. and Volodin, A. (1999). "On complete convergence for arrays of rowwise independent random elements in Banach spaces", Stochastic Analysis and Applications, 17, 963-992.
- IBRAGIMOV, I. A. (1962). "Some limit theorems for stationary process", Theory of Probability and Its Applications, 7, 349-382.
- IBRAGIMOV, I. A. AND LINNIK, Yu. V. (1971). Independent and Stationary Sequences of Random variables, Walters-Noordhoff, Groningen, Netherlands.
- KUCZMASZEWSKA, A. AND SZYNAL, D. (1994). "On complete convergence in a Banach space", International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 17, 1-14.
- LI, D., RAO, M. B. AND WANG, X. (1992). "Complete convergence of moving average processes", Statistics & Probability Letters, 14, 111-114.
- LIU, J., CHEN, P. AND GAN, S. (1998). "The law of large numbers for  $\phi$ -mixing sequence", Journal of Mathematics (Wuhan), 18, 91-95.
- PELIGRAD, M. (1985). "An invariance principle for  $\phi$ -mixing sequences", The Annals of Probability, 13, 1304-1313.
- Peligrad, M. (1993). "Asymptotic results for φ-mixing sequences", Doeblin and Modern Probability (Blaubeuren, 1991), 163-169, Contemporary Mathematics, 149, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhodes Island.
- Prakasa Rao, B. L. S. (2003). "Moment inequalities for supremum of empirical processes for φ-mixing sequences", Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, 32, 1695-1701.
- PRUITT, W. E. (1966). "Summability of independent random variables", Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, 15, 769-776.
- ROHATGI, V. K. (1971). "Convergence of weighted sums of independent random variables", Proceeding of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 69, 305-307.
- Roussas, G. (1988). "Nonparametric estimation in mixing sequences of random variables", Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, 18, 135-149.

- Shao, Q. M. (1993). "Almost sure invariance principles for mixing sequences of random variables", Stochastic Processes and Their Applications, 48, 319-334.
- Wang, X., Rao, M. B. and Yang, X. (1993). "Convergence rates on strong laws of large numbers for arrays of rowwise independent elements", *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*. 11. 115-132.