

## OSCILLATION THEOREMS OF SOLUTIONS FOR SOME DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

RAKJOONG KIM

ABSTRACT. Some oscillation criteria are given for second order nonlinear differential equations by means of integral averaging technique.

### §1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study oscillatory properties of solutions with mixed argument

- $$(1) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{p(t)} k(x'(t)) \right]' + q(t) f(x(t), x(\phi(t)), x(\psi(t))) = 0,$$
- $$(2) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{p(t)} k(x'(t)) \right]' + q(t) f(x(t), x(\phi(t)), x(\psi(t))) g(x'(t)) = 0,$$
- $$(3) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{p(t)} k(x'(t)) \right]' + r(t) k(x'(t)) + q(t) f(x(t), x(\phi(t))) = 0,$$

where  $t \geq t_0$  and  $k(s) = |s|^\nu \operatorname{sgn} s$  ( $\nu \geq 1$ ). Now  $f, g, p, q, \phi, \psi$  are to be specified in the following text. In this paper we always define a function  $P(t)$  as

$$(H) \quad P(t) = \int_{t_0}^t p(s)^{1/\nu} ds, \quad t_0 \leq t,$$

and assume that  $P(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

---

Received December 11, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C10, 34C15.

Key words and phrases: oscillation, delay equation, half-linear equation.

This research was supported by Hallym University Research Fund. (HRF-1997).

By a solution of (1), we mean a continuously differentiable function  $x : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(t)$  satisfies (1) for all  $t \geq t_0$ . Let  $\xi : [\phi(t_0), t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. By a solution of (2), we mean a continuously differentiable function  $x : [\phi(t_0), \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(t) = \xi(t)$  for  $\phi(t_0) \leq t_0$ , and  $x(t)$  satisfies (2) for all  $t \geq t_0$ . In the sequel it will be always assumed that nonconstant solutions of (1) exist on some ray  $[T, \infty)$ ,  $T \geq t_0$ . A solution  $x(t)$  is oscillatory if there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of zeros of  $x(t)$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Otherwise it is said to be nonoscillatory. Equation (1) is called oscillatory if all solutions are oscillatory.

Numerous oscillation criteria have been obtained ([1-13]). A half-linear differential equation

$$(4) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{p(t)} k(x'(t)) \right]' + q(t)k(x(t)) = 0,$$

a delay differential equation

$$\left[ \frac{1}{p(t)} k(x'(t)) \right]' + q(t)|x(t)|^\alpha |x(\phi(t))|^\beta \operatorname{sgn} x(t) = 0$$

and an advanced differential equation

$$\left[ \frac{1}{p(t)} k(x'(t)) \right]' + q(t)|x(t)|^\alpha |x(\psi(t))|^\beta \operatorname{sgn} x(t) = 0$$

are the particular cases of (1) where  $\alpha + \beta = \nu$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ .

In the study of oscillatory behavior of solutions for differential equations, the averaging technique (Winter [14]) is a very important tool. The Winter's results were improved by many authors including Philos [10].

Following Philos, we introduce a class of functions  $P$ . Let  $D_0 = \{(t, s) : t > s \geq t_0\}$  and  $D = \{(t, s) : t \geq s \geq t_0\}$ . We say that a function  $H \in C(D, (-\infty, \infty))$  is said to belong to a function class  $P$  if

$$(H_1) \quad H(t, t) = 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_0, \quad H(t, s) > 0 \text{ on } D_0$$

$$(H_2) \quad \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} = -h(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}$$

where  $h$  is a positive function defined on  $D$ . We note that  $k^{-1}(t) = |t|^{1/\nu} \operatorname{sgn} t$  is the inverse function of  $k(s) = |s|^\nu \operatorname{sgn} s = |s|^{\nu-1}s$ .

## §2. Main results

Hereinafter we assume that

- (A<sub>1</sub>) the differentiable function  $p \in C[t_0, \infty)$  is positive and nonincreasing.
- (A<sub>2</sub>) the function  $q \in C[t_0, \infty)$  is positive.
- (A<sub>3</sub>)  $\phi(t)$  is nondecreasing and continuously differentiable,  $\phi(t) \leq t$  and  $\phi(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (A<sub>4</sub>)  $\psi(t)$  is nondecreasing and continuously differentiable,  $\psi(t) \geq t$ .
- (A<sub>5</sub>)  $a(t)$  is positive and continuously differentiable for all  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ .
- (A<sub>6</sub>)  $f(s, t, u) = |s|^\alpha |t|^\beta |u|^\gamma \operatorname{sgn} s$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ ,  
 $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = \nu$ ,  $\nu \geq 1$ .
- (A<sub>7</sub>)  $g(s) \geq M > 0$  for  $s \neq 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let the conditions (A<sub>1</sub>) – (A<sub>6</sub>) be satisfied. Assume that the following*

$$(5) \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left[ H(t, s) a(s) q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta - V(t, s)^2 \right] ds = \infty$$

is valid, where

$$V(t, s) = \frac{a(t)^{1/(2\nu)} \left[ h(t, s) - \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \right]}{2\sqrt{\nu} p(t)^{1/(2\nu)}}.$$

Then the equation (1) is oscillatory.

**PROOF.** Assume that  $x(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1) and that there exists  $T_0 \geq t_0$  such that

$$(6) \quad x(t) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq T_0.$$

The similar argument holds also for the case when  $x(t)$  is eventually negative. Then there exists a  $T_1$  with  $T_0 \geq T_1$  such that  $x(\phi(t)) \geq 0$  for

$t \geq T_1 \geq T_0$ . It follows from (6) that  $\frac{1}{p(t)}|x'(t)|^\nu \operatorname{sgn} x'(t)$  is decreasing for  $t \geq T_1$ . We may assume that there exists  $T \geq T_1$  such that

$$(7) \quad x'(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \geq T \geq T_1.$$

Otherwise, for every  $T \geq T_1$  there exists  $t_0 \geq T \geq T_1$  such that  $x'(t_0) < 0$ . Then for  $t \geq t_0$  we have

$$\frac{1}{p(t)}|x'(t)|^\nu \operatorname{sgn} x'(t) \leq C$$

where  $C = \frac{1}{p(t_0)}|x'(t_0)|^\nu \operatorname{sgn} x'(t_0) < 0$ . Since  $g$  is increasing, it follows that

$$x'(t) \leq g^{-1}(Cp(t)) = -|Cp(t)|^{1/\nu} < 0.$$

Integrating from  $t_0$  to  $t$  we obtain

$$x(t) \leq x(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t |Cp(s)|^{1/\nu} ds,$$

which implies that  $x(t)$  is eventually negative. Thus (7) follows. On the other hand, from  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$ , (6), (7) and that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{p(t)} x'(t)^\nu \right] = -\frac{p'(t)}{p(t)^2} x'(t)^\nu + \frac{1}{p(t)} \nu x'(t)^{\nu-1} x''(t) \leq 0$$

we obtain for  $t \geq T_1$

$$(8) \quad x''(t) \leq 0.$$

Hence by [6, Lemma 2.1], for any  $k \in (0, 1)$  there exists a  $T_2 \geq T_1$  such that for  $t \geq T_2$

$$(9) \quad x(\phi(t)) \geq k \frac{\phi(t)}{t} x(t).$$

We note that for  $t \geq T_2$

$$(10) \quad x(\phi(t)) \leq x(t) \leq x(\psi(t))$$

because of (6). We consider a Riccati transform

$$(11) \quad W(t) = a(t) \frac{\frac{1}{p(t)} x'(t)^\nu}{x(t)^\nu}.$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{W(t)}{a(t)} \right] = -q(t) \left[ \frac{x(\phi(t))}{x(t)} \right]^\beta \left[ \frac{x(\psi(t))}{x(t)} \right]^\gamma - \nu p(t)^{1/\nu} |W(t)|^{1+1/\nu} \leq 0$$

we may assume that

$$(12) \quad 0 < W(t) \leq 1.$$

By means of (8), (9) and (10) we have

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} W'(t) &= \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} W(t) - a(t)q(t) \frac{f(x(t), x(\phi(t)), x(\psi(t)))}{x(t)^\nu} \\ &\quad - \nu a(t)^{-1/\nu} p(t)^{1/\nu} |W(t)|^{1+1/\nu} \\ &\leq \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} W(t) - a(t)q(t) \left[ k \frac{\phi(t)}{t} \right]^\beta - \nu a(t)^{-1/\nu} p(t)^{1/\nu} W^2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating for  $t \geq T \geq T_0$  after multiplying (11) by  $H(t, s)$  we obtain, in view of  $(H_2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_T^t H(t, s) a(s) q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta ds \\ &\leq - \int_T^t H(t, s) W'(s) ds - \int_T^t \nu a(s)^{-1/\nu} p(s)^{1/\nu} H(t, s) W(s)^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_T^t \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)} H(t, s) W(s) ds \\ &= -H(t, s) W(s) \Big|_{s=T}^{s=t} + \int_T^t \frac{\partial H(t, s)}{\partial s} W(s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_T^t \left[ \nu a(s)^{-1/\nu} p(s)^{1/\nu} H(t, s) W(s)^2 - \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)} H(t, s) W(s) \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= H(t, T)W(T) - \int_T^t \left[ \nu a(s)^{-1/\nu} p(s)^{1/\nu} H(t, s)W(s)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left\{ h(t, s) - \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \right\} \sqrt{H(t, s)}W(s) \right] ds \\
&= H(t, T)W(T) - \int_T^t \left[ \left\{ \nu a(s)^{-1/\nu} p(s)^{1/\nu} \right\}^{1/2} \sqrt{H(t, s)}W(s) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + V(t, s) \right]^2 ds + \int_T^t V(t, s)^2 ds
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$V(t, s) = \frac{a(t)^{1/(2\nu)} \left[ h(t, s) - \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \right]}{2\sqrt{\nu}p(t)^{1/(2\nu)}}.$$

From latter inequality and  $(H_2)$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_T^t \left[ H(t, s)a(s)q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta - V(t, s)^2 \right] ds \leq H(t, T)W(T) \\
&- \int_T^t \left[ \left\{ \nu a(s)^{-1/\nu} p(s)^{1/\nu} \right\}^{1/2} \sqrt{H(t, s)}W(s) + V(t, s) \right]^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since this inequality is valid for all  $t \geq T_0$ , by  $(H_2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{T_0}^t \left[ H(t, s)a(s)q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta - V(t, s)^2 \right] ds \\
(14) \quad &\leq H(t, T_0)|W(T_0)| \leq H(t, t_0)|W(T_0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (14) and  $(H_2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(15) \quad &\int_{t_0}^t \left[ H(t, s)a(s)q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta - V(t, s)^2 \right] ds \\
&\leq \int_{t_0}^{T_0} \left[ H(t, s)a(s)q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta - V(t, s)^2 \right] ds + H(t, t_0)|W(T_0)| \\
&\leq H(t, t_0) \left\{ \int_{t_0}^{T_0} a(s)q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta ds + |W(T_0)| \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption (5). Thus (1) is oscillatory. □

REMARK 1. In order for (1) to be oscillatory it is clear that (5) can be replaced by the conditions

$$(16) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s)a(s)q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta ds = \infty,$$

$$(17) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t V(t, s)^2 ds < \infty.$$

COROLLARY 1. *If the equality*

$$(18) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t [H(t, s)a(s)q(s) - V(t, s)^2] ds = \infty$$

*is valid with  $V(t, s)$  the same as in Theorem 1, then the differential equation (4) is oscillatory.*

COROLLARY 2. *Let the assumptions  $(A_1) - (A_6)$  be satisfied. For  $n \geq 1$  if the inequality*

$$(19) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{t_0}^t \left[ (kl)^\beta (t-s)^n a(s)q(s) - \frac{a(t)^{1/\nu}}{4\nu p(t)^{1/\nu}} (t-s)^{n-2} \left\{ n - \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} (t-s) \right\}^2 \right] ds = \infty$$

*is valid where a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$ , then the equation (1) with  $\phi(t) = lt$  ( $0 < l \leq 1$ ) is oscillatory.*

PROOF. For  $n \geq 1$  if we choose the functions  $H(t, s)$  and  $h(t, s)$  by

$$(20) \quad H(t, s) = (t-s)^n,$$

$$(21) \quad h(t, s) = n(t-s)^{(n-2)/2},$$

the Corollary follows from Theorem 1. □

REMARK 2. We can make use of various form of  $H(t, s)$ . For  $n \geq 1$  we may define the function  $H(t, s)$  by

$$H(t, s) = \{P(t) - P(s)\}^n = \left\{ \int_s^t p(\tau)^{1/\nu} d\tau \right\}^n,$$

$$h(t, s) = np(s)^{1/\nu} \{P(t) - P(s)\}^{(n-2)/2}.$$

REMARK 3. In the proof of Theorem 1 we assume that (12) is valid with  $a(t) \equiv 1$ . Then if we define the function  $H(t, s)$  by (20), it follows that

$$V(t, s) = \frac{h(t, s)}{2\sqrt{\nu}p(t)^{1/(2\nu)}} = \frac{n(t-s)^{(n-2)/2}}{2\sqrt{\nu}p(t)^{1/(2\nu)}}.$$

Now it is obvious that

$$(22) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{n-2} ds = 0.$$

Thus if  $p(t)$  is bounded below by a positive constant and if  $\phi(t)/t \geq L > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , the left side of (17) is equal to 0. On the other hand  $H(t, s)$  satisfies the conditions  $(K_1) - (K_3)$  in Wong [15]. Thus if the equality

$$(23) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t q(s) ds = \infty$$

is valid, by Lemma [15] we obtain

$$(24) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^n q(s) ds = \infty.$$

Moreover, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s) q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta ds \\ & \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(kL)^\beta}{t^n} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^n q(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude that both (1) and (2) are oscillatory if (23) is valid. We note that the left side of (24) is equal to 0 if  $q(t) \in L^1[t_0, \infty)$  (see [15]).

REMARK 4. Let the function  $H(t, s)$  be defined by (20) and put

$$U(t) \equiv \frac{a(t)^{1/\nu}}{4\nu p(t)^{1/\nu}}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, s)^2 &= U(t) \left[ h(t, s) - \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \right]^2 \\ &\geq 2U(t) \left[ h(t, s)^2 + \frac{a'(t)^2}{a(t)^2} H(t, s) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that  $U(t, s)$  is bounded and that  $\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \in L^2[t_0, \infty)$ . If then the equality

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t a(s)q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta ds = \infty$$

is valid, by (21), (22) and Lemma [15] (1) is oscillatory.

**THEOREM 2.** *Under the conditions  $(A_1) - (A_7)$  we assume that the following*

$$(25) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left[ MH(t, s)a(s)q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta - V(t, s)^2 \right] ds = \infty$$

is valid where  $V(t, s)$  is the same as in Theorem 1. Then the equation (2) is oscillatory.

**PROOF.** We define the function  $W(t)$  by (11). Then it follows that

$$(26) \quad W'(t) \leq \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)}W(t) - Ma(t)q(t) \left[ k \frac{\phi(t)}{t} \right]^\beta - \nu a(t)^{-1/\nu} p(t)^{1/\nu} W^2(t).$$

The rest of proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1. □

**THEOREM 3.** *Under the conditions  $(A_1) - (A_5)$  and  $(A_6)$  with  $\gamma = 0$  we assume that the following*

$$(27) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left[ H(t, s)a(s)q(s) \left[ k \frac{\phi(s)}{s} \right]^\beta - V_1(t, s)^2 \right] ds = \infty$$

is valid where

$$V_1(t, s) = \frac{a(t)^{1/(2\nu)} \left[ h(t, s) - \left\{ \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} - r(t)p(t) \right\} \sqrt{H(t, s)} \right]}{2\sqrt{\nu}p(t)^{1/(2\nu)}}.$$

Then the equation (3) is oscillatory.

PROOF. We define the function  $W(t)$  by (11). Then it follows that

$$W'(t) = \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)}W(t) - a(t)\frac{r(t)x'(t)^\nu + q(t)f(x(t), x(\phi(t)))}{x(t)^\nu}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$(28) \quad W'(t) \leq \left[ \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} - r(t)p(t) \right] W(t) - a(t)q(t) \left[ k \frac{\phi(t)}{t} \right]^\beta - \nu a(t)^{-1/\nu} p(t)^{1/\nu} W^2(t)$$

The rest of proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

We consider a perturbed differential equation of the form

$$(29) \quad \left[ \frac{1}{p(t)} k(x'(t)) \right]' + q(t)f_1(x(t)) = m(t)$$

with the condition

$$(A_8) \quad \frac{f_1(s)}{s^\nu} \geq K \quad \text{for } s \neq 0.$$

THEOREM 4. Let the conditions  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$ ,  $(A_4)$  and  $(A_8)$  be satisfied. Assume that

$$(30) \quad \int^\infty a(s)m(s) ds < \infty,$$

and that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t [KH(t, s)a(s)q(s) - V(t, s)^2] ds = \infty,$$

where  $V(t, s)$  is the same as in Theorem 1. Then the equation (29) is oscillatory.

PROOF. Assume that  $x(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution. Then we may assume that there exist a positive constant  $C$  and  $T_0 \geq t_0$  such that

$$x(t) > C \quad \text{for all } t \geq T_0.$$

We define the function  $W(t)$  by (11). Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} W'(t) &= \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)}W(t) + \frac{a(t)}{x(t)^\nu} \{-q(t)f_1(x(t)) + m(t)\} \\ &\quad - \nu a(t)^{-1/\nu} p(t)^{1/\nu} |W(t)|^{1+1/\nu} \\ &\leq \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)}W(t) - Ka(t)q(t) + \frac{a(t)m(t)}{x(t)^\nu} - \nu a(t)^{-1/\nu} p(t)^{1/\nu} W(t)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all  $t \geq T \geq T_0$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_T^t H(t,s)Ka(s)q(s) ds \leq - \int_T^t H(t,s)W'(s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_T^t \nu a(s)^{-1/\nu} p(s)^{1/\nu} H(t,s)W(s)^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_T^t H(t,s) \frac{a(s)m(s)}{x(s)^\nu} ds + \int_T^t \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)} H(t,s)W(s) ds \\ &= H(t,T)W(T) - \int_T^t \left[ \nu a(s)^{-1/\nu} p(s)^{1/\nu} H(t,s)W(s)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\{ h(t,s) - \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)} \sqrt{H(t,s)} \right\} \sqrt{H(t,s)}W(s) \right] ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{C^\nu} \int_T^t H(t,s)a(s)m(s) ds \\ &= H(t,T)|W(T)| - \int_T^t \left[ \left\{ \nu a(s)^{-1/\nu} p(s)^{1/\nu} \right\}^{1/2} \sqrt{H(t,s)}W(s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + V(t,s) \right]^2 ds + \int_T^t V(t,s)^2 ds + \frac{1}{C^\nu} \int_T^t H(t,s)a(s)m(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

where  $V(t,s)$  is the same as in Theorem 1. Consequently for each  $t \geq T_0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_0}^t [KH(t,s)a(s)q(s) - V(t,s)^2] ds &\leq H(t,T_0)|W(T_0)| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{C^\nu} H(t,T_0) \int_T^t a(s)m(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1. □

## References

- [1] M. Del Pino, M. Elgueta, and R. Manasevich, *Generalizing Hartman's oscillation result for  $(|x'(t)|^{p-2}x'(t))' + c(t)|x(t)|^{p-2}x = 0, p > 1$* , Houston J. Math. **17** (1991), 63–70.
- [2] J. Dzurina, *Oscillation of second order differential equation with mixed argument*, J. of Math. Anal. Appl. **190** (1995), 821–828.
- [3] A. Elbert, *A half-linear second order differential equation*. in “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations.”, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai. **30** (1979), 153–180.
- [4] ———, *Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for some nonlinear ordinary differential equations in “Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations.” Lecture Notes in Mathematics.*, vol. 964, Springer-Verlag, New York /Berlin, 1982, pp. 187–212.
- [5] A. Elbert and T. Kusano, *Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for a class of second order quasilinear differential equations*, Acta Math. Hungar. **56** (1990), 325–336.
- [6] L. Erbe, *Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear delay equations*, Canad. Math. Bull. **16** (1973), 49–56.
- [7] H. E. Gollwitzer, *Nonoscillation theorems for a nonlinear differential equation*., Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1979), 78–84.
- [8] J. W. Heidel, *A nonoscillation theorem for a nonlinear second order differential equation*., Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), 485–488.
- [9] M. K. Kong and J. S. W. Wong, *Nonoscillation theorems for a sublinear ordinary differential equation*., Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), 467–474.
- [10] Ch. G. Philos, *Oscillation theorems for linear differential equations*, Arch. Math. **53** (1989), 483–492.
- [11] Y. V. Rogovchenko, *Oscillation Criteria for certain nonlinear differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **215** (1997), 334–357.
- [12] ———, *Oscillation Criteria for certain nonlinear differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **229** (1999), 399–416.
- [13] K. Takasi, *Nonoscillation theorems for a class of quasilinear differential equations of second order*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **189** (1995), 115–127.
- [14] A. Winter, *A criterion of oscillatory stability*, Quart. Appl. Math. **7** (1949), 115–117.
- [15] J. S. W. Wong, *On Kamenev-Type Oscillation Theorems for Second-Order Differential Equations with Damping*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **258** (2001), 244–257.

Department of Mathematics  
 Hallym University  
 Kangwon 200-702, Korea  
*E-mail*: rjkim@hallym.ac.kr