

Changes in Functional Constituents of Grape (*Vitis vinifera*) Seed by Different Heat Pretreatments

Ki-Teak Lee¹, Jun-Young Lee, Yun-Ju Kwon, Feng Yu¹ and Sang-Won Choi[†]

Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Catholic University of Daegu, Gyeongbuk 712-702, Korea
¹Department of Food Science and Technology, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Korea

Abstract

Changes in functional constituents of grape (*Vitis vinifera*) seeds prepared by three different heat pretreatments were determined and compared with those of non-treated grape seed. The recovery of grape seed oils was generally increased by roasting, steaming and microwave processes, although the recovery of specific constituents varied among three heat pretreatments. The recovery of MeOH extracts of the seeds increased following the roasting process, whereas that of MeOH extracts decreased gradually with steaming and microwave treatments. Levels of four catechins in grape seeds: (+)-catechin, procyanidin B₂, (-)-epicatechin, and (-)-epicatechin gallate, were decreased with increased roasting and steaming time, but were unaffected by microwave treatment. During the three different heat pretreatments, levels and compositions of fatty acid did not change, whereas those of phytosterol compositions decreased greatly. These results suggest that a mild heat pretreatment, controlled for temperature and time, is needed to prevent a considerable loss in the level of valuable functional components in grape seed.

Key words: grape (*Vitis vinifera*) seed, heat pretreatments, functional constituents

INTRODUCTION

Grape (*Vitis vinifera*) seeds are a rich source of monomeric phenolic compounds, such as (+)-catechins, (-)-epicatechin and (-)-epicatechin 3-*O*-gallate, and their oligomeric procyanidins, which have been reported to have a variety of biological activities, including antioxidative (1-3), anti-atherosclerotic (4-6), anti-carcinogenic (7-9), anti-ulceric (10), and anti-cataractic (11) activities. Additionally, grape seeds are known to possess many phytochemical constituents such as linoleic acid, dietary fiber, tocopherol and phytosterols (12,13), although levels of all functional compounds varied by cultivar, maturation and processing (14-18).

At present, grape seed oil is widely used in many countries as a dietary supplement with antioxidative and antiatherosclerotic effects (19,20). Grape seed oils are traditionally prepared by a conventional method, which involves cleaning, roasting, grinding, and pressing processes, but not a refining process (21). Roasting grape seeds during the oil production was found to play important roles in the development of a pleasant aroma and taste (22,23), and to improve the recovery and functional components of the seed oils (24-26). Moreover, steaming and microwave processing along with the roasting pro-

cess are currently employed as preliminary treatments to improve the physicochemical and nutritional quality of food products (27,28), and to increase the levels of one or more valuable phytochemicals in plant seeds (29-31). Thus, heat pretreatments, such as roasting, steaming, and microwave heating, have been reported to greatly influence on the yield and chemical composition of plant seeds. However, few studies have been conducted on the effects of heat pretreatments on the functional constituents of grape seeds.

The objective of this study was to investigate changes in catechins, fatty acid compositions and other phytochemical components, such as tocopherol and phytosterol, of grape seeds prepared with three different heat pretreatments including roasting, steaming and microwave heating.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and chemicals

Grape (*Vitis vinifera*) seed from Campbell Early grape was harvested in early September 2003 at the Modong farm, Sangju, Gyeongbuk, Korea. (+)-Catechin [(+)-C] and (-)-epicatechin [(-)-EC] were purchased from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland). Procyanidin B₂ [PC-B₂, epicatechin-

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail: swchoi@cu.ac.kr
Phone: +82-53-850-3525, Fax: +82-53-850-3504

(4 β →8)-epicatechin] and (-)-epicatechin gallate [(-)-ECg] were obtained from Iwai Chem. Co. (Tokyo, Japan). Four tocopherol isomers, three phytosterols (campesterol, stigmasterol, β -sitosterol), and free fatty acids were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). HPLC solvents were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All other reagents used for this study were of analytical grade.

Sample preparation

Grape seeds (50 g) were roasted in an electric roaster with constant stirring at 200°C for 5, 10, and 15 min. Meanwhile, another sample of the same seeds (50 g) was steamed in a domestic stainless steel steamer [dimensions 260 (W)×200 mm (H)] for 10, 30, and 60 min, and a third sample (50 g) was placed in a rotating glass container (dimensions 290 mm id) in the center of a domestic microwave (MW) oven (Samsung RE-C200T, frequency 2450 MHz, pulsed variable MW power output from 90 to 700 W by a timer, inner volume 21.8 L) and heated for 1, 3 and 5 min. The grape seeds preheated by three different heating methods were ground with a coffee maker and dried for 2 hr in a dry oven at 50°C before analysis of phytochemical constituents.

Preparation of oil and MeOH extract from grape seeds

To calculate the yields of oil and MeOH extract from grape seeds prepared by three different heat pretreatments, each ground seed (10 g) was extracted twice with CHCl₃-MeOH (2:1, v/v, 100 mL) for 2 hr in an ultrasonic cleaner (Branson 5210R-DTH, USA) at room temperature, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The concentrated sample was redissolved again in *n*-hexane (10 mL) and filtered through a Whatman GF/A glass fiber filter (Whatman Laboratory Products, Clifton, NJ, USA) to remove particles, and evaporated *in vacuo* to yield oil. Meanwhile, the defatted grape seed residues obtained above were extracted twice with 80% aq. MeOH (100 mL) for 2 hr under reflux, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo* to obtain MeOH extracts.

Catechin analysis

Four catechins, (+)-C, (-)-EC, PC-B₂ and ECg, in grape seed during heat pretreatments were determined by HPLC as previously described (32). Each MeOH extract (0.2 g) obtained previously was solubilized in 80% aq. MeOH (10 mL) and passed through a 0.45 μ m membrane filter (Gelman, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and injected in HPLC for quantification of the four catechins. HPLC analysis was performed on an HPLC system (Gilson 506B, Middleton, WI, USA) equipped with 170 UV-VIS detector, Gilson UnipointTM 3.0 software and 231XL autosampler

with a 10 μ L loop. A YMC-Pack Pro C₁₈ column (5 μ m, 4.6 ID×250 mm, YMC Inc, Milford, MA, USA) at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min with UV detector at 280 nm. A mobile phase eluted gradiently from solvent A (4.5% formic acid in H₂O) to solvent B (90% CH₃CN containing 10% solvent A) for 50 min.

Analysis of fatty acid composition

Each grape seed oil (100 mg) obtained previously was placed in a tube (10 mL) with screw cap and solubilized with 6% H₂SO₄ in MeOH (3 mL) and then heptadecanoic acid (10 μ L, 1 mg/mL in hexane) as an internal standard was added. The mixture was vortexed vigorously and esterified for 1 hr in a dry oven at 70°C. Methyl esters of FA were extracted with hexane and then dehydrated with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The aliquots (1 μ L) of the extracts were injected into a gas chromatography (Hewlett-Packard 6890 series, USA) equipped with a FID. The column used was a SupelcowaxTM-10 fused-silica capillary column (60 m×0.25 mm ID; Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). The carrier gas was helium, and the total gas flow rate in inlet was 52.5 mL/min (constant flow mode) with split mode (50:1). The injector, oven, and detector temperatures were 250°C, 190°C and 260°C, respectively.

Analysis of phytosterols

Quantitative analysis of the three phytosterols in grape seed oil was performed with the obtained external standard curve as previously reported (33). Grape seed oil (0.1 g) obtained above was placed into a test tube (25 mL) with a screw cap and then redissolved in 2 N KOH in EtOH (2 mL). The sample was saponified for 15 min in a water bath at 100°C and cooled in an ice bath. Two mL each of water and hexane was added and shaken gently, and the upper layer was dehydrated with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. Aliquots (1 μ L) of the extracts adding 5-cholesterol (1 mg/1 mL in *n*-hexane, 100 μ L) as an internal standard were injected into a gas chromatography (Hewlett-Packard 6890 series, Avondale, PA, USA) equipped with a FID. The column used was a Ultra 2 fused-silica capillary column (60 m×0.25 mm ID; Hewlett-Packard, Avondale, PA, USA) and the carrier gas was helium (25 mL/min). The injector, oven, and detector temperatures were 300°C, 285°C and 300°C, respectively.

Analysis of tocopherols

Quantitative analysis of four tocopherol isomers in grape seed oil was carried out according to the AOCS method reported previously (33). Grape seed oil (0.1 g) was solubilized in hexane (10 mL) and passed through a PTFE syringe filter (25 mm 0.2 μ m, Whatman, Clifton, NJ, USA) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The

concentrated sample was solubilized in *n*-hexane and injected into a liquid chromatography (LC) to quantify four tocopherols (α -, β -, γ - and δ -tocopherols). The LC system consisted of an HPLC (Younglin Acme, Seoul, Korea) injector with a 10 μ L sample loop and a UV detector (Younglin Absorbance, Seoul, Korea) at 295 nm. A LiChrosorb DIOL column (5 μ m, 3 \times 100 mm, Merck Co, Chrompack, Palo Alto, CA, USA) was used. The mobile phase was the mixture of *n*-hexane and acetic acid (1000:1, v/v) at 0.5 mL/min.

For quantitative analysis of functional constituents in grape seeds, each heat pretreatment was repeated twice with duplicate samples, and the data presented are means \pm standard deviation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield of oil and MeOH extract from heat-pretreated grape seeds

The yields (%) of oils and MeOH extracts from grape seeds prepared by three different heat pretreatments; roasting, steaming and microwave heating, are shown in Table 1. The yield of oil increased progressively up to \sim 13% and \sim 6% for steaming and microwave heating, respectively, as compared to the control, while that of oil for roasting process increased up to \sim 8% for 5 min of heat treatment and then decreased to \sim 3% for 10 min. In contrast, the yield of MeOH extract from roasted seeds increased progressively up to 5%, whereas for steamed seeds it decreased progressively up to 19%. Particularly, microwave heating caused a considerable increase up to 17% in the yield of MeOH extracts for 1 min, and then decreased slightly to \sim 8% for 5 min.

Table 1. Yield of oils and MeOH extracts from grape seed prepared by three different heat pretreatments

Sample	Yield (% , dried grape seed)	
	Oil	MeOH ext.
Control (no heat treatment)	7.37 \pm 0.53	4.50 \pm 0.13
Roasting time (min)		
3	7.31 \pm 0.43 ¹⁾ (99.2) ²⁾	4.45 \pm 0.14 (98.9)
5	7.94 \pm 0.64 (107.7)	4.52 \pm 0.27 (100.4)
10	7.58 \pm 0.28 (102.9)	4.73 \pm 0.32 (105.1)
Steaming time (min)		
10	7.69 \pm 0.34 (104.3)	4.55 \pm 0.24 (101.1)
30	7.82 \pm 0.41 (106.1)	4.25 \pm 0.16 (94.4)
60	8.31 \pm 0.54 (112.8)	3.66 \pm 0.10 (81.3)
Microwave time (min)		
1	7.57 \pm 0.33 (102.7)	5.26 \pm 0.43 (116.9)
3	7.77 \pm 0.36 (105.4)	4.94 \pm 0.34 (109.8)
5	7.79 \pm 0.52 (105.7)	4.88 \pm 0.31 (108.4)

¹⁾Values are mean \pm SD of duplicate analyses.

²⁾% change.

Thus, three heat pretreatments resulted in some small changes in the yield of oil and MeOH extract from grape seed. Organoleptic observation revealed that a pleasant aroma or taste of the grape seed developed during the roasting processing for 10 min and microwave treatment for 5 min, but roasting for 20 min resulted in the production of extensive charring, and very low yields ($<$ 20%) of grape seed oil and MeOH extract (data not shown). Additionally, the yields of oil and MeOH extract from the grape seeds used in this study were considerably lower than those of grape seeds harvested in September 2002, indicating that the yields of oil and MeOH extract of grape seeds could be affected by maturity, genotype and processing (14,16,18).

Catechin composition

Changes in level of four catechins, such as (+)-C, (-)-EC, PC-B₂ and ECg, which are the predominant phenolic compounds in grape seeds, were determined by HPLC in relation to three different heat pretreatments (Table 2). During the roasting and steaming processes, concentrations of the four catechins decreased considerably with increased roasting and steaming time. During the microwave treatment, there was a slight increase in levels of two catechins, but not for PC-B₂ and ECg of grape seeds. Thus, roasting and steaming processes caused a considerable decrease in concentrations of four catechins, which are very susceptible to oxidation (34).

FA composition

The effect of heat pretreatments on the fatty acids (FA) composition of grape seeds is shown in Table 3. Grape seed oil (non-treated) consisted of 0.10% myristic acid, 10.2% palmitic acid, 0.20% palmitoleic acid, 2.0% stearic acid, 22.5% oleic acid, 64.0% linoleic acid, and 0.4% linolenic acid. Following the three different heat pretreatments, there were no differences in FA composition of grape seed oils. A similar trend has been reported for the FA composition of corn fiber and rice germ oils following heat pretreatments including roasting and microwave processes (25,30).

Phytosterol and tocopherol composition

Changes in the concentrations of three phytosterols in grape seed oils prepared by three different heat pretreatments are shown in Table 4. Three phytosterol derivatives, campesterol, stigmasterol and β -sitosterol, were identified, of which β -sitosterol was the predominant phytosterol component.

Grape seed oil (non-treated) had 20.42 mg% campesterol, 15.16 mg% stigmasterol, and 116.03 mg% β -sitosterol. With three types of heat pretreatments, the levels of three phytosterols decreased progressively with

Table 2. Changes in the concentrations of four catechins in grape seed prepared by three different heat pretreatments

Treatment	Catechins (% , grape seed)				Total catechin ¹⁾
	(+)-Catechin	Procyanidin B ₂	(-)-Epicatechin	(-)-Epicatechin gallate	
Control (no heat treatment)	0.627 ± 0.030 ²⁾	0.047 ± 0.003	0.507 ± 0.023	0.031 ± 0.001	1.212 ± 0.076
Roasting time (min)					
3	0.581 ± 0.020	0.032 ± 0.013	0.478 ± 0.024	0.027 ± 0.002	1.118 ± 0.068
5	0.579 ± 0.016	0.029 ± 0.007	0.456 ± 0.023	0.028 ± 0.001	1.092 ± 0.053
10	0.334 ± 0.017	0.022 ± 0.009	0.229 ± 0.020	0.016 ± 0.001	0.601 ± 0.028
Steaming time (min)					
10	0.583 ± 0.008	0.039 ± 0.002	0.487 ± 0.008	0.028 ± 0.002	1.137 ± 0.019
30	0.524 ± 0.011	0.027 ± 0.004	0.431 ± 0.013	0.020 ± 0.001	1.002 ± 0.024
60	0.385 ± 0.015	0.022 ± 0.003	0.387 ± 0.013	0.014 ± 0.001	0.808 ± 0.032
Microwave time (min)					
1	0.623 ± 0.012	0.043 ± 0.007	0.498 ± 0.015	0.033 ± 0.001	1.197 ± 0.026
3	0.627 ± 0.023	0.042 ± 0.005	0.521 ± 0.017	0.034 ± 0.002	1.224 ± 0.050
5	0.693 ± 0.018	0.041 ± 0.008	0.582 ± 0.017	0.034 ± 0.002	1.350 ± 0.031

¹⁾(+)-Catechin + procyanidin B₂ + (-)-epicatechin + (-)-epicatechin gallate.²⁾Values are mean ± SD of duplicate analyses.**Table 3.** Changes in the fatty acid composition of grape seed oil prepared by three different heat pretreatments

Treatment	Fatty acids (Mol %)						
	Myristic acid (C ₁₄)	Palmitic acid (C _{16:0})	Palmitoleic acid (C _{16:1})	Stearic acid (C _{18:0})	Oleic acid (C _{18:1})	Linoleic acid (C _{18:2})	Linolenic acid (C _{18:3})
Control (no heat treatment)	0.1 ± 0.1 ¹⁾	10.2 ± 0.8	0.2 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.1	22.5 ± 0.1	64.0 ± 0.9	0.4 ± 0.1
Roasting time (min)							
3	0.1 ± 0.0	10.5 ± 0.4	0.2 ± 0.0	3.1 ± 0.1	22.5 ± 0.4	63.2 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.0
5	0.1 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.7	0.2 ± 0.0	3.1 ± 0.1	22.8 ± 0.1	63.5 ± 0.8	0.5 ± 0.1
10	0.1 ± 0.0	10.3 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.0	3.1 ± 0.1	23.0 ± 0.1	62.8 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.1
Steaming time (min)							
10	0.1 ± 0.0	9.9 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.0	3.0 ± 0.0	22.3 ± 0.1	64.1 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.1
30	1.2 ± 0.3	10.3 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.0	2.9 ± 0.0	22.2 ± 0.5	62.5 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.0
60	1.3 ± 0.1	10.2 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.0	3.0 ± 0.1	21.9 ± 0.0	62.9 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.0
Microwave time (min)							
1	1.2 ± 0.2	10.1 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.0	3.1 ± 0.1	22.0 ± 0.1	62.8 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.1
3	1.4 ± 0.1	10.8 ± 0.8	0.4 ± 0.1	3.3 ± 0.2	22.3 ± 0.0	61.4 ± 1.2	0.5 ± 0.1
5	0.7 ± 0.1	10.1 ± 0.9	0.5 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.3	22.0 ± 0.9	62.6 ± 2.6	1.5 ± 1.1

¹⁾Values are mean ± SD of duplicate analyses.

increases in treatment time, with the exception of cam-
pesterol for the roasting process. Levels of total phy-
tosterol for roasting, steaming and microwave heating
decreased progressively up to totals of ~72%, ~23% and
~37%, respectively, as compared to the control. Particu-
larly, there was a significant decrease in the content of
total phytosterol in steamed and microwaved seeds, but
much less loss for roasted seeds. Moreau et al. (30) of-
fered a possible explanation for the heat-induced de-
crease in the levels of free phytosterols in corn fiber oil,
suggesting that free phytosterols evaporates easily under
vacuum and high temperature due to their low boiling
points. Therefore, development of suitable processing

technology is needed to retain or enhance the levels of
phytochemicals in grape seed oil without removing sig-
nificant amounts of valuable cholesterol-lowering phy-
tosterol components (35).

Four tocopherol isomers were not found in grape seeds
in this study, regardless of heat pretreatments, or lack
thereof (data not shown). In contrast to this experiment,
Kinsella (12) reported that grape seeds contained a large
amount of α -tocopherol, suggesting that levels of to-
copherol in grape seeds varies among cultivar and mat-
uration. Previously, several studies (24,25,29,30) reported
that heat pretreatments resulted in significant increases
or decreases in tocopherol content, which is not con-

Table 4. Changes in phytosterol content of grape seed oil prepared by three different heat pretreatments

Treatment	Phytosterols (mg%, grape seed oil)			
	Campesterol	Stigmasterol	β -Sitosterol	Total sterol ¹⁾
Control (no heat treatment)	20.42 \pm 1.45 ²⁾	15.16 \pm 0.54	116.03 \pm 3.53	151.61 \pm 2.42
Roasting time (min)				
3	13.23 \pm 0.53	15.31 \pm 0.56	104.52 \pm 3.10	133.06 \pm 2.31
5	14.61 \pm 0.57	12.71 \pm 0.34	101.62 \pm 2.43	128.94 \pm 1.83
10	14.63 \pm 0.36	8.42 \pm 0.11	85.35 \pm 1.13	108.40 \pm 0.72
Steaming time (min)				
10	13.34 \pm 0.31	8.33 \pm 0.24	77.63 \pm 1.31	99.30 \pm 0.74
30	9.53 \pm 0.24	7.73 \pm 0.12	66.82 \pm 0.87	84.08 \pm 0.53
60	ND ³⁾	ND	34.53 \pm 1.03	34.53 \pm 1.03
Microwave time (min)				
1	8.54 \pm 0.13	8.02 \pm 0.42	62.72 \pm 0.52	79.28 \pm 0.53
3	6.53 \pm 0.24	7.83 \pm 0.32	57.43 \pm 1.23	71.79 \pm 0.63
5	ND	ND	55.53 \pm 0.92	55.53 \pm 0.92

¹⁾Campesterol + stigmasterol + β -sitosterol.

²⁾Values are mean \pm SD of duplicate analyses.

³⁾ND: Not detected.

sistent with our results. Previous study recommended that a saponification step is often required to release bound tocopherol as part of the routine extraction of total tocopherol (36). However, we found that saponification of grape seed oil by alkaline hydrolysis was not helpful to quantify tocopherol isomers in grape seed oil.

In conclusion, the heat pretreatments, such as roasting, steaming and microwave heating, have a positive effect on recovery of oils and MeOH extracts from grape seeds. However, a modest reduction in levels of catechins and phytosterols in grape seeds was observed following the three different heat pretreatments, but fatty acid and tocopherol compositions were not affected. Therefore, a mild heat pretreatment, controlling for temperature and time, is needed to prevent a considerable loss of the level of valuable functional components in grape seeds. This study is the first report on chemical changes in grape seeds with different heat pretreatments including roasting, steaming and microwave processing.

REFERENCES

1. Bagchi D, Garg A, Krohn RL, Bagchi M, Bagchi DJ, Balmoori J, Stohs SJ. 1998. Protective effects of grape seed proanthocyanidins and selected antioxidants against TPA-induced hepatic and brain lipid peroxidation and DNA fragmentation, and peritoneal macrophage activation in mice. *Gen Pharmacol* 30: 771-776.
2. Koga T, Moro K, Nakamori K, Yamakoshi J, Hosoyama H, Kataoka S, Ariga T. 1999. Increase of antioxidative potential of rat plasma by oral administration of proanthocyanidin-rich extract from grape seeds. *J Agric Food Chem* 47: 1892-1897.
3. Castillo J, Benavente-Garcia O, Lorente J, Alcaraz M, Redondo A, Ortuno A, Del Rio J. 2000. Antioxidant activity and radioprotective effects against chromosomal damage induced *in vivo* by X-rays of flavan-3-ols (procyanidins) from grape seeds (*Vitis vinifera*): Comparative study versus other phenolic and organic compounds. *J Agric Food Chem* 48: 1738-1745.
4. Tebib K, Besancon P, Rouanet JM. 1994. Dietary grape seed tannins affect lipoproteins, lipoprotein lipases and tissue lipids in rats fed hypercholesterolemic diets. *J Nutr* 124: 2451-2457.
5. Yamakoshi J, Kataoka S, Koga T, Ariga T. 1999. Proanthocyanidin-rich extract from grape seeds attenuates the development of aortic atherosclerosis in cholesterol-fed rabbits. *Atherosclerosis* 142: 139-149.
6. Fitzpatrick DF, Fleming RC, Bing B, Maggi DA, O'Malley RM. 2000. Isolation and characterization of endothelium-dependent vasorelaxing compounds from grape seeds. *J Agric Food Chem* 48: 6384-6390.
7. Gali HU, Perchellet EM, Gao XM, Karchesy JJ, Perchellet JP. 1994. Comparison of the inhibitory effects of monomeric, dimeric, and trimeric procyanidins on the biochemical markers of skin tumor promotion in mouse epidermis *in vivo*. *Planta Med* 60: 235-239.
8. Zhao J, Wang J, Chen Y, Agarwal R. 1999. Anti-tumor-promoting activity of a polyphenolic fraction isolated from grape seeds in the mouse skin two-stage initiation-promotion protocol and identification of procyanidin B₅-3'-gallate as the most effective antioxidant constituent. *Carcinogenesis* 20: 1737-1745.
9. Sen CK, Bagchi D. 2001. Regulation of inducible adhesion molecule expression in human endothelial cells by grape seed proanthocyanidin extract. *Mol Cell Biochem* 215: 1-7.
10. Saito M, Hosoyama H, Ariga T, Kataoka S, Yamaji N. 1998. Antiulcer activity of grape seed extract and procyanidins. *J Agric Food Chem* 46: 1460-1464.
11. Yamakoshi J, Saito M, Kataoka S, Tokutake S. 2002. Procyanidin-rich extract from grape seeds prevents cataract formation in hereditary cataractous (ICR/f) rats. *J Agric Food Chem* 50: 4983-4988.
12. Kinsella JE. 1976. Properties of oil of grapeseed and their seeds in cosmetics. *Cosme Toiletries* 91: 19-24.

13. Kamel BS, Dawson H, Kakuda Y. 1985. Characteristics and composition of melon and grape seed oils and cakes. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 62: 881-883.
14. Romeyer FM, Macheix JJ, Sapis JC. 1986. Changes and importance of oligomeric procyanidins during maturation of grape seeds. *Phytochemistry* 25: 219-221.
15. Escribano-Bailon T, Gutierrez-Fernandez Y, Rivas-Gonzalo JC, Santos-Buelga C. 1992. Characterization of procyanidins of *Vitis vinifera* variety Tinta del pais grape seeds. *J Agric Food Chem* 40: 1794-1799.
16. Santos-Buelga C, Francia-Aricha EM, Escribano-Bailon T. 1995. Comparative flavan-3-ol composition of seeds from different grape varieties. *Food Chem* 53: 197-201.
17. Fuleki T, Ricardo Da Silva JM. 1997. Catechin and procyanidin composition of seeds from grape cultivars grown in Ontario. *J Agric Food Chem* 45: 1156-1160.
18. Kang HC, Park WJ, Kim SD, Park JC. 1998. Characterization of grape seed oil. *Agric Chem Biotechnol* 41: 578-582.
19. Laparra J, Michaud J, Masquelier J. 1979. Action of oligomeric procyanidins on vitamin C deficient guinea pig. *Bull Soc Pharm Bordeaux* 118: 7-13.
20. Korea Food & Drug Administration. 2000. Grape seed extract. In *Food Code*. Moonyoungsa, Seoul. p 330.
21. Fukuda Y, Namiki M. 1988. Recent studies on sesame seed and oil. *Nippon Shokuhin Kogyo Gakkaishi* 37: 552-562.
22. Newell JA, Mason ME, Matloch RS. 1967. Precursors of typical and atypical peanut flavor. *J Agric Food Chem* 15: 767-772.
23. Masuda H, Mihara S. 1986. Synthesis of alkoxy, (alkylthio)-, phenoxy-, and (phenylthio) pyrazines and their olfactive properties. *J Agric Food Chem* 34: 377-381.
24. Yen GC. 1990. Influence of seed roasting process on the changes in composition and quality of sesame (*Sesame indicum*) oil. *J Sci Food Agric* 50: 563-570.
25. Kim IH, Kim CJ, You JM, Lee KW, Kim CT, Chung SH, Tae BS. 2002. Effect of roasting temperature and time on the chemical composition of rice germ oil. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 79: 413-418.
26. Ko SN, Kim CJ, Kim IH. 2003. Effects of roasting condition on the quality characteristics and oxidative stabilities of rice germ. *Kor J Food Sci Technol* 35: 347-352.
27. Kang MH, Chung HK, Song ES, Park WJ. 2002. Improved method for increasing of the oil yields in grape seed. *Kor J Food Sci Technol* 34: 931-934.
28. Kadlec P, Skulinova M, Kaasova J, Bubnik Z, Pour V, Dostalova J, Valentova H, Hosnedl V. 2003. Changes in composition of pea during germination, microwave treatment and drying. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 12: 213-218.
29. Yoshida H, Takagi S. 1997. Effects of seed roasting temperature and time of the quality characteristics of sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) oil. *J Sci Food Agric* 75: 19-26.
30. Moreau RA, Hicks KB, Powell MJ. 1999. Effect of heat pretreatment on the yield and composition of oil extracted from corn fiber. *J Agric Food Chem* 47: 2869-2871.
31. Singh V, Johnston DB, Moreau RA, Hicks KB, Dien BS, Bothast RJ. 2003. Pretreatment of wet-milled corn fiber to improve recovery of corn fiber oil and phytosterols. *Cereal Chem* 80: 118-122.
32. Moon SO, Lee JY, Kim EJ, Choi SW. 2003. An improved method for determination of catechin and its derivatives in extract and oil of grape seeds. *Kor J Food Sci Technol* 35: 576-585.
33. Park RK, Lee KT. 2003. Optimization for the phytosterol extraction and production of structured lipids from safflower seed. *Kor J Food Preserv* 10: 219-223.
34. Oszmianski J, Sapis JC, Macheix JJ. 1985. Changes in grape seed phenols as affected by enzymic and chemical oxidation *in vitro*. *J Food Sci* 50: 1505-1506.
35. Ling WH, Jones PJH. 1995. Dietary phytosterols: A review of metabolism, benefits and side effects. *Life Sci* 57: 195-206.
36. Kramer JG, Blais L, Fouchard RC, Melnyk RA, Kallury KMR. 1997. A rapid method for the determination of vitamin E forms in tissues and diet by high-performance liquid chromatography using a normal phase diol column. *Lipids* 32: 323-330.

(Received April 27, 2004; Accepted June 4, 2004)