#### FUZZY SUBRINGS OF FUNDAMENTAL RINGS

# B. DAVVAZ

ABSTRACT.  $H_v$ -rings first were introduced by Vougiouklis in 1990. The largest class of algebraic systems satisfying ring-like axioms is the  $H_v$ -ring. Let R be an  $H_v$ -ring and  $\gamma_R^*$  the smallest equivalence relation on R such that the quotient  $R/\gamma_R^*$ , the set of all equivalence classes, is a ring. In this case  $R/\gamma_R^*$  is called the fundamental ring. In this short communication, we study the fundamental rings with respect to the product of two fuzzy subsets.

#### 1. Introduction

In 1971, Rosenfeld [8] applied the concept of fuzzy set theory to algebra and introduced the concept of fuzzy subgroup of a group. Sherwood [9] defined the direct product of fuzzy subgroups, Osmer [6] and Ray [7] investigated this concept, also you can see Davvaz [2, 3]. In 1982, Liu [5] defined and studied fuzzy subrings as well as fuzzy ideals.

Vougiouklis in the Fourth AHA Congress 1990 Vougiouklis [11] introduced the notion of  $H_v$ -structures and then some researchers followed him. Davvaz [1, 4] defined the concepts of fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideals and fuzzy  $H_v$ -subrings which are a generalization of the concepts of fuzzy ideals and fuzzy subrings. Davvaz [4] used the definition of a fuzzy  $H_v$ -subring and defined the product of fuzzy  $H_v$ -subrings. Let R be an  $H_v$ -ring and  $\gamma_R^*$  the smallest equivalence relation on R such that the quotient  $R/\gamma_R^*$ , the set of all equivalence classes, is a ring. In this case  $R/\gamma_R^*$  is called the fundamental ring. In this short communication, we study the fundamental rings with respect to the product of two fuzzy subsets.

Received by the editors August 21, 2003 and, in revised form, April 13, 2004. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y99, 20N20, 20N25.

Key words and phrases.  $H_v$ -ring,  $H_v$ -subring, fundamental relation, fundamental ring, fuzzy subset.

128 B. DAVVAZ

### 2. Basic Definitions

In this section we recall some basic definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let X be a non-empty set. A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of X is a function  $\mu: X \longrightarrow [0,1]$ . Let X, Y be non-empty sets and  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  fuzzy subsets of X, Y, respectively. The direct product  $\mu \times \lambda$  is usually defined by  $(\mu \times \lambda)(x,y) = \min\{\mu(x), \lambda(y)\}$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Liu [5]). Let A be an ordinary ring and  $\mu : A \longrightarrow [0,1]$  be a fuzzy subset of A. Then  $\mu$  is called a fuzzy subring of A if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \le \mu(x+y)$  for all x, y in A,
- (2)  $\mu(x) \leq \mu(-x)$  for all x in A,
- (3)  $\min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \leq \mu(xy)$  for all x, y in A.

Let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy subring of A and 0 be the additive identity of A. Then it is easy to verify the following:  $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0)$  and  $\mu(x) = \mu(-x)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

**Definition 2.3** (Vougiouklis [12]). A hyperstructure is a non-empty set R together with a function  $*: R \times R \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(R)$  called hyperoperation, where  $\mathcal{P}^*(R)$  is the set of all non-empty subsets of R. A hyperstructure (R, \*) is called an  $H_v$ -group if the following axioms hold:

- (1)  $(x*y)*z \cap x*(y*z) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ ,
- (2) a \* R = R \* a = R for all  $a \in R$ .

An  $H_v$ -ring is a multivalued system  $(R, +, \cdot)$  satisfying the ring-like axioms in the following way:

- (1) (R, +) is an  $H_v$ -group,
- (2)  $(R,\cdot)$  is an  $H_v$ -semigroup, i. e.,  $(x\cdot y)\cdot z\cap x\cdot (y\cdot z)\neq\emptyset$  for all  $x,y,z\in R$ ,
- (3) · is weak distributive with respect to +, i. e.,  $x \cdot (y+z) \cap (x \cdot y + x \cdot z) \neq \emptyset$  and  $(x+y) \cdot z \cap (x \cdot z + y \cdot z) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ .

Let A and B be two  $H_v$ -rings. Then in  $A \times B$  we can define two hyperoperations as follows:

$$(a_1,b_1) \oplus (a_2,b_2) = \{(a,b)|a \in a_1 + a_2, b \in b_1 + b_2\},\$$
  
 $(a_1,b_1) \odot (a_2,b_2) = \{(a,b)|a \in a_1 \cdot a_2, b \in b_1 \cdot b_2\}.$ 

Then  $A \times B$  is an  $H_v$ -ring. We call this  $H_v$ -ring the external direct product of A, B.

**Definition 2.4** (Davvaz [4]). Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be an  $H_v$ -ring and  $\mu$  a fuzzy subset of R. Then  $\mu$  is said to be a fuzzy  $H_v$ -subring of R, if the following axioms hold:

- (1)  $\min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \leq \inf_{\alpha \in x+y} \{\mu(\alpha)\}\$  for all  $x, y \in R$ ,
- (2) for all  $x, a \in R$  there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $x \in a + y$  and  $\min\{\mu(a), \mu(x)\} \leq \mu(y)$ ,
- (3) for all  $x, a \in R$  there exists  $z \in R$  such that  $x \in z+a$  and  $\min\{\mu(a), \mu(x)\} \le \mu(z)$ ,
- (4)  $\min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \leq \inf_{\alpha \in x \cdot y} \{\mu(\alpha)\}\$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

# 3. Fundamental Relations And Fuzzy Subrings

Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be an  $H_v$ -ring. The relation  $\gamma_R^*$  is the smallest equivalence relation on R such that the quotient  $R/\gamma_R^*$ , the set of all equivalenc classes, is a ring.  $\gamma_R^*$  is called the fundamental relation on R, and  $R/\gamma_R^*$  is called the fundamental ring. If  $\mathcal{U}$  denotes the set of all finite polynomials of elements of R, over  $\mathbb{N}$  (the set of all natural numbers), then a relation  $\gamma_R$  can be defined on R whose transitive closure is the fundamental relation  $\gamma_R^*$  (see Vougiouklis [12]). The relation  $\gamma_R$  is as follows: For x, y in R we write  $x\gamma_R y$  if and only if  $\{x, y\} \subseteq u$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ . Suppose  $\gamma_R^*(a)$  is the equivalence class containing  $a \in R$ . Then both the sum  $\oplus$  and the product  $\odot$  on  $R/\gamma_R^*$  are defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} \gamma_R^*(a) \oplus \gamma_R^*(b) &= \gamma_R^*(c), \ \text{ for all } \ c \in \gamma_R^*(a) + \gamma_R^*(b), \\ \gamma_R^*(a) \odot \gamma_R^*(b) &= \gamma_R^*(d), \ \text{ for all } \ d \in \gamma_R^*(a) \cdot \gamma_R^*(b). \end{split}$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let R be an  $H_v$ -ring and  $\mu$  a fuzzy subset of R. The fuzzy subset  $\mu_{\gamma_R^*}: R/\gamma_R^* \longrightarrow [0,1]$  is defined as follows:

$$\mu_{\gamma_R^*}(\gamma_R^*(x)) = \sup_{a \in \gamma_R^*(x)} \{\mu(a)\}.$$

**Theorem 3.2** (Davvaz [4]). Let R be an  $H_v$ -ring and  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $H_v$ -subring of R. Then  $\mu_{\gamma_R^*}$  is a fuzzy subring of the ring  $R/\gamma_R^*$ .

The kernel of the canonical map  $\varphi: R \longrightarrow R/\gamma_R^*$  is called the core of R and is denoted by  $\omega_R$ . Here we also denote by  $\omega_R$  the zero element of  $R/\gamma_R^*$ , (see Spartalis & Vougiouklis [10], Vougiouklis [11, 12]).

130 B. DAVVAZ

**Theorem 3.3** (Vougiouklis [12]). Let A, B be  $H_v$ -rings. Let  $\gamma_A^*$ ,  $\gamma_B^*$  and  $\gamma_{A\times B}^*$  are fundamental relations on A, B and  $A\times B$ , respectively. Then

$$(A \times B)/\gamma_{A \times B}^* \cong A/\gamma_A^* \times B/\gamma_B^*$$
.

**Theorem 3.4** (Davvaz [4]). Let A, B be  $H_v$ -rings and let  $\gamma_A^*$ ,  $\gamma_B^*$  and  $\gamma_{A\times B}^*$  be fundamental relations on A, B and  $A\times B$ , respectively. If  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  are fuzzy  $H_v$ -subrings of A, B respectively, then we have

$$(\mu \times \lambda)_{\gamma_{A \times B}^*} = \mu_{\gamma_A^*} \times \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  be fuzzy subsets of  $H_v$ -rings A and B, respectively. If  $\mu \times \lambda$  is a fuzzy  $H_v$ -subring of  $A \times B$ , then at least one of the following two statements must be held:

- (1)  $\lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\omega_B) \ge \mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\gamma_A^*(a))$  for all  $a \in A$ ,
- (2)  $\mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\omega_A) \ge \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\gamma_B^*(b))$  for all  $b \in B$ .

Proof. Suppose  $\mu \times \lambda$  is a fuzzy  $H_v$ -subring of  $A \times B$ . Then by Theorem 3.2,  $(\mu \times \lambda)_{\gamma_{A \times B}^*}$  is a fuzzy subring of  $(A \times B)/\gamma_{A \times B}^*$ . Using Theorem 3.4, we have  $(\mu \times \lambda)_{\gamma_{A \times B}^*} = \mu_{\gamma_A^*} \times \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}$ . By contraposition, suppose that none of the statements (1) and (2) holds. Then we can find  $a_0 \in A$  and  $b_0 \in B$  such that

$$\mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\gamma_A^*(a_0)) > \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\omega_B) \text{ and } \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\gamma_B^*(b_0)) > \mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\omega_A).$$

Now, we have

$$(\mu_{\gamma_A^*} \times \lambda_{\gamma_B^*})(\gamma_A^*(a_0), \ \gamma_B^*(b_0)) = \min\{\mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\gamma_A^*(a_0)), \ \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\gamma_B^*(b_0))\}$$
$$> \min\{\mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\omega_A), \ \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\omega_B)\}$$
$$= (\mu_{\gamma_A^*} \times \lambda_{\gamma_B^*})(\omega_A, \ \omega_B).$$

On the other hand, it can be easily verified that a fuzzy subring of a ring attains its supremum at zero element, and so we have

$$(\mu_{\gamma_A^*} \times \lambda_{\gamma_B^*})(\omega_A, \ \omega_B) \ge (\mu_{\gamma_A^*} \times \lambda_{\gamma_B^*})(\gamma_A^*(a_0), \ \gamma_B^*(b_0)).$$

Thus  $\mu_{\gamma_A^*} \times \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}$  is not a fuzzy subring of  $A/\gamma_A^* \times B/\gamma_B^*$ . Therefore either  $\lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\omega_B) \geq \mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\gamma_A^*(a))$  for all  $a \in A$  or  $\mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\omega_A) \geq \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\gamma_B^*(b))$  for all  $b \in B$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  are fuzzy subsets of  $H_v$ -rings A, B, respectively, such that  $\mu \times \lambda$  is a fuzzy  $H_v$ -subring of  $A \times B$ . If  $\mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\gamma_A^*(a)) \leq \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\omega_B)$  for all  $a \in A$ , then  $\mu_{\gamma_A^*}$  is a fuzzy subring of  $A/\gamma_A^*$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $x, y \in A$ , then we have

Also, we have

$$\mu_{\gamma_A^*}(-\gamma_A^*(x)) = \min \left\{ \mu_{\gamma_A^*}(-\gamma_A^*(x)), \ \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\omega_B) \right\}$$

$$= (\mu_{\gamma_A^*} \times \lambda_{\gamma_B^*})(-\gamma_A^*(x), \ \omega_B)$$

$$= (\mu_{\gamma_A^*} \times \lambda_{\gamma_B^*})(-(\gamma_A^*(x), \ \omega_B))$$

$$\geq (\mu_{\gamma_A^*} \times \lambda_{\gamma_B^*})(\gamma_A^*(x), \ \omega_B)$$

$$= \min \left\{ \mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\omega_A^*(x)), \ \lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\omega_B) \right\}$$

$$= \mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\gamma_A^*(x)).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\gamma_A^*(x) \odot \gamma_A^*(y)) \ge \min \left\{ \mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\gamma_A^*(x)), \ \mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\gamma_A^*(y)) \right\}.$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\gamma_A^*}$  is a fuzzy subgring of  $A/\gamma_A^*$ .

Corollary 3.7. Let  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  be fuzzy subsets of  $H_v$ -rings A, B, respectively, such that  $\mu \times \lambda$  is a fuzzy  $H_v$ -subring of  $A \times B$ . If  $\lambda_{\gamma_B^*}(\gamma_B^*(b)) \leq \mu_{\gamma_A^*}(\omega_A)$  for all  $b \in B$ , then  $\lambda_{\gamma_B^*}$  is a fuzzy subring of  $B/\gamma_B^*$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 3.8. Let  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  be fuzzy subsets of  $H_v$ -rings A, B, respectively. If  $\mu \times \lambda$  is a fuzzy  $H_v$ -subring of  $A \times B$ , then either  $\mu_{\gamma_A^*}$  is a fuzzy subring of  $A/\gamma_A^*$  or  $\lambda_{\gamma_B^*}$  is a fuzzy subring of  $B/\gamma_B^*$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorems 3.5, 3.6 and Corollary 3.7.

132 B. DAVVAZ

#### REFERENCES

- 1. B. Davvaz: On  $H_v$ -rings and fuzzy  $H_v$ -ideals. J. Fuzzy Math. 6 (1998), no. 1, 33–42. CMP 1609919
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_: Interval-valued fuzzy subhypergroups. Korean J. Comput. Appl. Math. 6 (1999), no. 1, 197-202. MR 99k:20124
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_: Product of fuzzy  $H_v$ -subgroups. J. Fuzzy Math. 8 (2000), no. 1, 43–51. MR 2001a:20118
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_: T-fuzzy  $H_v$ -subrings of an  $H_v$ -ring. J. Fuzzy Math. 11 (2003), no. 1, 215–224. MR 2004a:20078
- 5. W. J. Liu: Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 8 (1982), no. 2, 133-139. MR 83h:08007
- 6. M. T. Osmen: On the direct product of fuzzy subgroups. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 12 (1984), no. 1, 87-91. MR 85e:03128
- A. K. Ray: On product of fuzzy subgroups. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 105 (1999), no. 1, 181–183. CMP 1687982
- 8. A. Rosenfeld: Fuzzy groups. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35 (1971), 512-517. MR 43#6355
- 9. H. Sherwood: Products of fuzzy subgroups. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 11 (1983), no. 1, 79-89. MR 85a:03070
- 10. S. Spartalis & T. Vougiouklis: The fundamental relations of  $H_v$ -rings. Riv. Mat. Pura Appl. no. 14, (1994), 7-20. MR 95h:16061
- T. Vougiouklis: The fundamental relation in hyperrings. The general hyperfield. In: T. Vougiouklis (Ed.), Algebraic hyperstructures and applications. Proceedings of the Fourth International Congress held at "Demokritos" University of Thrace, Xanthi, June 27–30, 1990 (pp. 203-211). World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1991. MR 92d:20003
- 12. \_\_\_\_\_: Hyperstructures and their representations. Hadronic Press, Inc., Palm Harbor, FL, 1994. MR 95h:20093

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YAZD UNIVERSITY, YAZD, IRAN Email address: davvaz@yazduni.ac.ir