

WEAKLY KRULL AND RELATED PULLBACK DOMAINS

GYU WHAN CHANG

ABSTRACT. Let T be an integral domain, M a nonzero maximal ideal of T , $K = T/M$, $\varphi : T \rightarrow K$ the canonical map, D a proper subring of K , and $R = \varphi^{-1}(D)$ the pullback domain. Assume that for each $x \in T$, there is a $u \in T$ such that u is a unit in T and $ux \in R$. In this paper, we show that R is a weakly Krull domain (*resp.*, GWFD, AWFD, WFD) if and only if $\text{ht}M = 1$, D is a field, and T is a weakly Krull domain (*resp.*, GWFD, AWFD, WFD).

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that an integral domain D is called a *weakly Krull domain* if

$$D = \bigcap_{P \in X^1(D)} D_P$$

and this intersection has finite character, that D is a *generalized weakly factorial domain* (GWFD) if each nonzero prime ideal of D contains a primary element, that D is an *almost weakly factorial domain* (AWFD) if for each nonzero nonunit element x of D , there is a positive integer $n = n(x)$ such that x^n can be written as a product of primary elements, and that D is a *weakly factorial domain* (WFD) if each nonzero nonunit element of D is a product of primary elements. Clearly, a WFD is an AWFD and an AWFD is a GWFD. It is also known that a GWFD is a weakly Krull domain Anderson, Chang & Park [4, Corollary 2.3].

Let T be an integral domain, M a nonzero maximal ideal of T , $K = T/M$, $\varphi : T \rightarrow K$ the canonical map, D a proper subring of K , and $R = \varphi^{-1}(D)$ the

Received by the editors March 18, 2003 and, in revised form, March 27, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 13F15, 14M05.

Key words and phrases. weakly Krull domain, GWFD, AWFD, WFD, pullback domain.

pullback domain.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R = \varphi^{-1}(D) & \longrightarrow & D \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 T & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & K = T/M
 \end{array}$$

(□)

We shall refer to R as a pullback domain of type (□) and as a pullback domain of type (□*) if for each $0 \neq x \in T$, there is a $u \in U(T)$ such that $ux \in R$. If R is a pullback domain of type (□), then M is a divisorial ideal (and hence a t -ideal) of R , M has the same height in both R and T , and for any prime ideal $P(\not\supseteq M)$ of R , $T \subseteq R_P$ and $R_P = T_{P R_P \cap T}$ (cf. Fontana & Gabelli [9, p. 805]). One can show that if $T = K + M$ (and hence $R = D + M$), then R is a pullback domain of type (□*).

In Anderson, Chang & Park [5, Section 2], we showed that if $T = K + M$ (and hence $R = D + M$), then R is a weakly Krull domain (*resp.*, GWFD, AWFD, WFD) if and only if $\text{ht}M = 1$, D is a field, and T is a weakly Krull domain (*resp.*, GWFD, AWFD, WFD). The purpose of this paper is to generalize these results to a pullback domain of type (□) or (□*). That is, we show that if R is a pullback domain of type (□), then R is a weakly Krull domain if and only if $\text{ht}M = 1$, D is a field, and T is a weakly Krull domain; and that if R is of type (□*), then R is a GWFD (*resp.*, AWFD, WFD) if and only if $\text{ht}M = 1$, D is a field, and T is a GWFD (*resp.*, AWFD, WFD).

Let D be an integral domain with quotient field K and I a nonzero fractional ideal of D . Then

$$I^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq D\}, I_v = (I^{-1})^{-1}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$I_t = \cup \{J_v \mid (0) \neq J \subseteq I \text{ is finitely generated}\}.$$

If $I_v = I$ (*resp.*, $I_t = I$, $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)_v$ for some $(0) \neq (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq I$), then I is said to be a *divisorial ideal* (*resp.*, *t-ideal*, *finite type t-ideal*). An ideal of D maximal among proper integral t -ideals is called a *maximal t-ideal*. A fractional ideal I is *t-invertible* if $(II^{-1})_t = D$. It is well known that a maximal t -ideal is a prime ideal, every proper integral t -ideal is contained in a maximal t -ideal, a t -invertible t -ideal is of finite type, and a t -invertible prime t -ideal is a maximal t -ideal. We say that D has t -dimension one, denoted by $t\text{-dim}(D) = 1$, if each maximal t -ideal of D has height-one.

Let $\mathcal{T}(D)$ be the set of t -invertible fractional t -ideals of an integral domain D . Then $\mathcal{T}(D)$ is an abelian group under the t -product $I * J = (IJ)_t$, and hence the quotient group $Cl(D) = \mathcal{T}(D)/Prin(D)$, called the *class group* of D , is also an abelian group, where $Prin(D)$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{T}(D)$ of nonzero principal fractional ideals of D . If D is a Krull domain, then $Cl(D)$ is the usual divisor class group, and if D is a Prüfer domain, then $Cl(D)$ is the ideal class group of invertible ideals (or Picard group) of D .

All rings considered in this paper are commutative integral domains with identity and for an integral domain D , $U(D)$ denotes the set of unit elements of D and $X^1(D)$ is the set of height-one prime ideals of D . A nonzero nonunit element a of D is said to be *primary* if aD is a primary ideal. It is known that if aD is primary, then \sqrt{aD} is a maximal t -ideal. The reader is referred to Gilmer [12, § 32 and § 34] and Zafrullah [16] for the t -operation; to Anderson & Zafrullah [1], Anderson, Mott & Zafrullah [2], Anderson, Chang & Park [4, 5] for weakly Krull and related domains; to Brewer & Rutter [8], Fontana & Gabelli [9], Gabelli & Houstongh [11], Lucas [15] for pullback domains; to Anderson [3], Bouvier [6], Bouvier & Zafrullah [7], Fontana & Gabelli [9], Fossum [10] for the class group; and to Fossum [10], Gilmer [12], Kaplansky [14] for standard notations and definitions.

We first study when the pullback domain R is weakly Krull. Recall that a weakly Krull domain has t -dimension one Anderson, Mott & Zafrullah [2, Lemma 2.1].

Theorem 1 (*cf.* Anderson, Chang & Park [5, Theorem 2.3]). *Let R be a pullback domain of type (\square) . Then R is a weakly Krull domain if and only if $htM = 1$, D is a field, and T is a weakly Krull domain.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume that R is a weakly Krull domain. Then $t\text{-dim}(R) = 1$, and since M is a t -ideal of R , M is a height-one maximal t -ideal of R . If $a \in D \setminus \{0\}$, then $\varphi^{-1}(aD)$ is an invertible ideal of R such that $M \subsetneq \varphi^{-1}(aD) \subseteq R$ (*cf.* Fontana & Gabelli [9, Corollary 1.7]). Hence M being a maximal t -ideal of R implies that D is a field.

We next show that T is weakly Krull. Let $Q(\neq M)$ be a maximal ideal of T , and let $P = Q \cap R$. Then $T_Q = R_P$, and since R_P is weakly Krull Anderson, Chang & Park [5, Lemma 2.1(2)],

$$T_Q = \cap \{T_{Q'} | Q' \in X^1(T) \text{ and } Q' \subseteq Q\}$$

so

$$T = \bigcap_{Q \in \text{Max}(T)} T_Q = \bigcap_{Q' \in X^1(T)} T_{Q'}.$$

Note that for each $Q' \in X^1(T) \setminus \{M\}$, $T_{Q'} = R_{Q' \cap R}$ (and hence $\text{ht}(Q' \cap R) = 1$) and T is an overring of R . Hence the intersection $T = \bigcap_{Q' \in X^1(T)} T_{Q'}$ has finite character, and thus T is weakly Krull.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $\text{ht}M = 1$, D is a field, and T is weakly Krull. Let M_1 be a maximal ideal of R such that $M_1 \neq M$. Then $R_{M_1} = T_Q$ for some prime ideal Q of T . Note that T_Q is weakly Krull Anderson, Chang & Park [5, Lemma 2.1(2)]; so

$$T_Q = R_{M_1} = \bigcap \{R_P \mid P \in X^1(R) \text{ and } P \subseteq M_1\}.$$

Since $R = \bigcap \{R_{M'} \mid M' \text{ is a maximal ideal of } R\}$ and $\text{ht}M = 1$, we have $R = \bigcap_{P \in X^1(R)} R_P$. Moreover, since $R \subseteq T$ and for each $P \in X^1(R) \setminus \{M\}$, $R_P = T_{Q'}$ for some $Q' \in X^1(T)$, the intersection $R = \bigcap_{P \in X^1(R)} R_P$ has finite character, and thus R is weakly Krull. \square

Our next corollary, which was observed in the proof of Theorem 1 above, will be very useful in the subsequent arguments.

Corollary 2. *Let R be a pullback domain of type (\square) . If R is a weakly Krull domain, then $X^1(R) = \{Q \cap R \mid Q \in X^1(T)\}$ and for each $Q \in X^1(T) \setminus \{M\}$, $R_{Q \cap R} = T_Q$.*

Theorem 3 (cf. Anderson, Chang & Park [5, Theorem 2.4]). *If R is a pullback domain of type (\square^*) , then R is a GWFD if and only if $\text{ht}M = 1$, D is a field, and T is a GWFD.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume that R is a GWFD. Then since a GWFD is weakly Krull Anderson, Chang & Park [4, Corollary 2.3], by Theorem 1 above, $\text{ht}M = 1$, D is a field, and T is weakly Krull (and hence $t\text{-dim}(T) = 1$).

Let $Q \in X^1(T)$ and $P = Q \cap R$. Then $\text{ht}P = 1$ (Corollary 2), and so $P = \sqrt{aR}$ for some $a \in R$ (cf. Anderson, Chang & Park [4, Theorem 2.2]). Thus $Q = \sqrt{aT}$ since Q is the unique prime ideal of T lying over P and $t\text{-dim}(T) = 1$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $\text{ht}M = 1$, D is a field, and T is a GWFD. Then as a GWFD is weakly Krull, R is weakly Krull by Theorem 1. Let $P \in X^1(R) \setminus \{M\}$ and $Q \in X^1(T)$ such that $R_P = T_Q$ (Corollary 2). Then there is an $x \in R$ such that $Q = \sqrt{xT}$ (cf. Anderson, Chang & Park [4, Theorem 2.2]) since T is a GWFD and R is of type (\square^*) . If P' is a minimal prime ideal of xR , then P' is a t -ideal of R , and hence $\text{ht}P' = 1$ (note that $t\text{-dim}(R) = 1$); so $P' = Q' \cap R$ for some $Q' \in X^1(T)$.

Hence $x \in Q'$, and so $Q = Q'$ and $P = P'$. Therefore, $P = \sqrt{xR}$, and thus R is a GWFD Anderson, Chang & Park [4, Theorem 2.2]. \square

The proof of Theorem 3 shows that the “ \Rightarrow ” implication in Theorem 3 holds for a pullback domain of type (\square) . Recall that an integral domain D is an AWFd if and only if D is a weakly Krull domain and $Cl(D)$ is torsion Anderson, Mott & Zafrullah [2, Theorem 3.4].

Theorem 4 (*cf.* Anderson, Chang & Park [5, Theorem 2.5]). *If R is a pullback domain of type (\square^*) , then R is an AWFd if and only if $htM = 1$, D is a field, and T is an AWFd.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume that R is an AWFd. Then R is weakly Krull Anderson, Mott & Zafrullah [2, Theorem 3.4]; so by Theorem 1 and Anderson, Mott & Zafrullah [2, Theorem 3.4], it suffices to show that if J is a t -invertible t -ideal of T , then $(J^n)_t$ is principal for some integer $n \geq 1$. Since M is a t -ideal of T (note that $htM = 1$) and J is t -invertible, $JJ^{-1} \not\subseteq M$. Thus there is a $u \in J^{-1}$ such that $uJ \not\subseteq M$. Replacing J with uJ , we may assume that $J \not\subseteq M$. Since J is t -invertible and R is of type (\square^*) , there are some $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ such that $J = ((x_1, \dots, x_n)T)_v = (IT)_t$, where $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)R$.

Clearly, $I \not\subseteq M$, and hence $IR_M = R_M$. For $P \in X^1(R) \setminus \{M\}$, let $Q \in X^1(T)$ such that $Q \cap R = P$ and $R_P = T_Q$ (Corollary 2). Then since JT_Q is principal Kang [13, Corollary 2.7], $(IR_P)_t = (IT_Q)_t = ((IT)_t T_Q)_t = (IT)_t T_Q = JT_Q$ is principal Kang [13, Lemma 3.4] (note that Q is a prime t -ideal of T and J is t -invertible). So I is t -locally principal, and hence I is t -invertible Kang [13, Corollary 2.7]. Thus as $Cl(R)$ is torsion, $(I^n)_t = aR$ for some $a \in R$ and integer $n \geq 1$ Anderson, Mott & Zafrullah [2, Theorem 3.4].

We claim that $(J^n)_t = aT$. Let $Q \in X^1(T) \setminus \{M\}$ and $P = Q \cap R$. Then $T_Q = R_P$ (Corollary 2), and since $(J^n)_t$ is a t -invertible t -ideal of T , $(J^n)_t T_Q = ((J^n)_t T_Q)_t$, and hence (*cf.* Kang [13, Lemma 3.4])

$$\begin{aligned} (J^n)_t T_Q &= \left(((IT)_t)^n \right)_t T_Q = \left(((IT)^n)_t T_Q \right)_t = ((IT)^n T_Q)_t = ((IT_Q)^n)_t \\ &= ((IR_P)^n)_t = (I^n R_P)_t = ((I^n)_t R_P)_t = (aR_P)_t = aR_P = aT_Q. \end{aligned}$$

Also, since $I \not\subseteq M$, $aT \not\subseteq M$, and hence $(J^n)_t T_M = T_M = (aT)_t T_M$. Thus $(J^n)_t = \bigcap_{Q \in X^1(T)} (J^n)_t T_Q = \bigcap_{Q \in X^1(T)} (aT)_t T_Q = aT$ (*cf.* Kang [13, Proposition 2.8]).

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $\text{ht}M = 1$, D is a field, and T is an AWFD. Let I be a t -invertible t -ideal of R . As in the beginning of the above proof, we may assume that $I \not\subseteq M$. Since I is t -invertible, $II^{-1} \not\subseteq P$ for all $P \in X^1(R)$, and hence $II^{-1} \not\subseteq Q$ for all $Q \in X^1(T)$ by Corollary 2. Hence IT is a t -invertible ideal of T . Also, since T is an AWFD and R is of type (\square^*) , there are an integer $n \geq 1$ and $a \in R$ such that $((IT)_t)^n = (I^n T)_t = aT$. Note that $(I^n)_t$ is a t -ideal of R , and that for each $P \in X^1(R) \setminus \{M\}$ and $Q \in X^1(T)$ with $Q \cap R = P$ (Corollary 2), $(I^n R_P)_t = (I^n T_Q)_t = ((I^n T)_t T_Q)_t$ Kang [13, Lemma 3.4]. So by Kang [13, Proposition 2.8], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (I^n)_t &= \bigcap_{P \in X^1(R)} (I^n)_t R_P \\
 &= (I^n)_t R_M \cap \left(\bigcap \{ (I^n)_t R_P \mid P \in X^1(R) \text{ and } P \neq M \} \right) \\
 &= R_M \cap \left(\bigcap \{ (I^n)_t T_Q \mid Q \in X^1(T) \text{ and } Q \neq M \} \right) \\
 &= aR_M \cap \left(\bigcap \{ aT_Q \mid Q \in X^1(T) \text{ and } Q \neq M \} \right) \\
 &= \bigcap_{P \in X^1(R)} aR_P = aR.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence R is an AWFD Anderson, Mott & Zafrullah [2, Theorem 3.4]. \square

The proof of Theorem 4 yields the following theorem as a special case for $n = 1$ since R is a WFD if and only if R is weakly Krull and $Cl(R) = 0$ (cf. Anderson & Zafrullah [1, Theorem]).

Theorem 5 (cf. Anderson, Chang & Park [5, Theorem 2.6]). *If R is a pullback domain of type (\square^*) , then R is a WFD if and only if $\text{ht}M = 1$, D is a field, and T is a WFD.*

Remark 1. Although some parts of the proofs of Theorems 1, 3, and 4 are the same as those of their counterparts in Anderson, Chang & Park [5], we give them here for the completeness.

We end this paper with an example which shows that Theorems 3, 4, and 5 do not hold without the assumption that R is of type (\square^*) . However, we do not know if R being of type (\square^*) is best possible for Theorems 3, 4 and 5.

Example 6. Let K be a field of characteristic 0, X an indeterminate over K , and Y an indeterminate over the field $K(X)$. Let $\varphi : K(X^2)[Y] \rightarrow K(X)$ be the ring homomorphism determined by $Y \mapsto X$, and let $M = \ker(\varphi)$. See the following pullback diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R = \varphi^{-1}(K) & \longrightarrow & K \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 T = K(X^2)[Y] & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & T/M = K(X)
 \end{array}$$

- (1) M is a height-one maximal ideal of T such that $T/M = K(X)$.
- (2) R is not of type (\square^*) .
- (3) The map $\psi : \text{Spec}(T) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$, given by $Q \mapsto Q \cap R$, is bijective.
- (4) $\dim(R) = \dim(T) = 1$.
- (5) R is not a GWF, while T is a PID, $\text{ht}M = 1$, and K is a field.

Proof. (1) Since $Y^2 - X^2 \in M$, $M \neq (0)$, and hence M is a height-one maximal ideal of T because T is a PID. In particular, $\varphi(T) = T/M$ is a subfield of $K(X)$ containing $K(X^2)$ and X , and thus $T/M = K(X)$.

(2) Note that $U(T) = K(X^2) \setminus \{0\}$; so $Yu \notin R$ for all $u \in U(T)$. For if $Yu \in R$, then $\varphi(Yu) = Xu = a \in K$, and thus $u = \frac{a}{X} \notin K(X^2)$, a contradiction.

(3) and (4) Since K is a field, M is a maximal ideal of R . Hence if P is a prime ideal of R such that $P \neq M$, then there is a unique prime ideal Q of T such that $Q \cap R = P$ and $T_Q = R_P$ (cf. Fontana & Gabelli [9, p. 805]). This implies that ψ is bijective and that $\dim(R) = \dim(T) = 1$ by (1) and the fact that T is a PID.

(5) Let $Q = (Y - X^2)T$ and $P = Q \cap R$. Then $Y - X^2$ is a prime element of T , and hence Q is a prime ideal of T . Assume that $P = \sqrt{fR}$ for some $f \in R$. Then Q is a unique prime ideal of T containing f by (3) and (4); so $Q = \sqrt{fT}$. Since T is a PID, there is a positive integer n and $u \in U(T) = K(X^2) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f = (Y - X^2)^n u$. Moreover, since $f \in R$, we have $\varphi(f) = (X - X^2)^n u = a \in K$, and hence

$$u = \frac{a}{(X - X^2)^n}.$$

However, since the characteristic of K is 0, $(X - X^2)^n \notin K[X^2]$, and thus

$$u = \frac{a}{(X - X^2)^n} \notin K(X^2),$$

a contradiction. Hence P is not the radical of a principal ideal. Therefore R is not a GWF Anderson, Chang & Park [4, Theorem 2.2] because $\dim(R) = 1$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Example 6 was suggested by one of the referees. The author would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. D. D. Anderson & M. Zafrullah: Weakly factorial domains and groups of divisibility. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), no. 4, 907–913. MR **90k**:13015
2. D. D. Anderson, J. Mott & M. Zafrullah: Finite character representations for integral domains. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7)* **6** (1992), no. 3, 613–630. MR **93k**:13001
3. D. F. Anderson: The class group and local class group of an integral domain. In: Scott T. Chapman & Sarah Glaz (Eds.), *Non-Noetherian commutative ring theory* (pp. 33–55). Math. Appl., 520, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000. MR **2003b**:13015
4. D. F. Anderson, G. W. Chang & J. Park: Generalized weakly factorial domains. *Houston J. Math.* **29** (2003), no. 1, 1–13. MR **2004e**:13003
5. ———: Weakly Krull and related domains of the form $D + M$, $A + XB[X]$, and $A + X^2B[X]$. *Rocky Mountain Math. J.* To Appear.
6. A. Bouvier: Le groupe des classes d'un anneau int gr . *107 me Congr s National des Soci t s Savantes, Brest, fasc. IV* (1982), 85–92.
7. A. Bouvier & M. Zafrullah: On some class groups of an integral domain. *Bull. Soc. Math. Grece (N.S.)* **29** (1988), 45–59. MR **91g**:13015
8. J. Brewer & E. Rutter: $D + M$ constructions with general overrings. *Michigan Math. J.* **23** (1976), no. 1, 33–42. MR **53#**5571
9. M. Fontana & S. Gabelli: On the class group and the local class group of a pullback. *J. Algebra* **181** (1996), no. 3, 803–835. MR **97h**:13011
10. R. Fossum: *The divisor class group of a Krull domain*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. MR **52#**3139
11. S. Gabelli & E. Houston: Ideal theory in pullbacks. In: Scott T. Chapman & Sarah Glaz (Eds.), *Non-Noetherian commutative ring theory* (pp. 199–227). Math. Appl., 520, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000. MR **2003a**:13001
12. R. Gilmer: *Multiplicative ideal theory*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 12. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1972. MR **55#**323
13. B. G. Kang: Pr fer v -multiplication domains and the ring $R[X]_{N_v}$. *J. Algebra* **123** (1989), no. 1, 151–170. MR **90e**:13017
14. I. Kaplansky: *Commutative rings*. Revised edition. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1974. MR **49#**10674

15. T. G. Lucas: Examples built with $D + M$, $A + XB[X]$ and other pullback constructions. In: Scott T. Chapman & Sarah Glaz (Eds.), *Non-Noetherian commutative ring theory* (pp. 341–368). Math. Appl., 520, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000. MR **2002g**:13007
16. M. Zafrullah: Putting t -invertibility to use. In: Scott T. Chapman & Sarah Glaz (Eds.), *Non-Noetherian commutative ring theory* (pp. 429–457). Math. Appl., 520, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000. MR **2002g**:13009

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF INCHON, 177 DOHWA-DONG, NAM-GU, INCHEON
402-749, KOREA

Email address: whan@incheon.ac.kr