

REGULARITY OF THE GENERALIZED CENTROID OF SEMI-PRIME GAMMA RINGS

MEHMET ALI ÖZTÜRK AND YOUNG BAE JUN

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Prof. Dr. Mehmet Sapanci.

ABSTRACT. The aim of this note is to study properties of the generalized centroid of the semi-prime gamma rings. Main results are the following theorems: (1) Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and Q a quotient Γ -ring of M . If W is a non-zero submodule of the right(left) M -module Q , then $W\Gamma W \neq 0$. Furthermore Q is a semi-prime Γ -ring. (2) Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and C_Γ the generalized centroid of M . Then C_Γ is a regular Γ -ring. (3) Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and C_Γ the extended centroid of M . If C_Γ is a Γ -field, then the Γ -ring M is a prime Γ -ring.

1. Introduction

Nobusawa studied on Γ -ring for the first time in [6]. After his research, Barnes studied on this Γ -ring in [1]. But Barnes approached to Γ -ring in some different way from that of Nobusawa and he defined the concept of Γ -ring and related definitions. After these two papers were published, many mathematicians made good works on Γ -ring in the sense of Barnes and Nobusawa, which are parallel to the results in the ring theory (see [2, 6, 9]). On the other hand, the topic of “prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity” is important to and essential source of many researchers containing Martindale [5]. In [7] and [8], some parts of the researches on them have been extended to Γ -ring. That is, the concept of “centroid of a prime Γ -ring” was defined and researched in [7] and [8]. Furthermore it is shown that the extended centroid is a Γ -field in [8]. The aim of this paper is to prove that the generalized centroid of a semi-prime Γ -ring is a regular Γ -ring.

Received September 2, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16N60, 16Y30, 16A76, 16Y99.

Key words and phrases: semi-prime Γ -ring, generalized centroid, (regular, prime, quotient) Γ -ring.

2. Preliminaries

The gamma ring is defined in [1] as follows : A Γ -ring is a pair (M, Γ) where M and Γ are (additive) abelian groups for which exists a $(-, -, -) : M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (the image of (a, α, b) being denoted by $a\alpha b$ for $a, b \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$) satisfying for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$:

- $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$,
- $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$,
- $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$,
- $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$.

Let M be a Γ -ring. A *right* (resp. *left*) *ideal* of M is an additive subgroup U such that $U\Gamma M \subseteq U$ (resp. $M\Gamma U \subseteq U$). If U is both a right and left ideal, then we say that U is an *ideal*. For each $a \in M$ the smallest right (resp. left) ideal containing a is called the *principal right* (resp. *left*) *ideal* generated by a and is denoted by $|a\rangle$ (resp. $\langle a|$). Also, we define $\langle a \rangle$, the principal two-side (right and left) ideal generated by a . An ideal Q of M is *semi-prime* if, for any ideal U of M , $U\Gamma U \subseteq Q$ implies $U \subseteq Q$. A Γ -ring M is said to be *semi-prime* if the zero ideal is semi-prime.

REMARK 2.1. A Γ -ring M is semi-prime if and only if all of its non-zero ideals have a non-zero multiplication, i.e., for an ideal U the equality $U\Gamma U = \langle 0 \rangle$ implies $U = \langle 0 \rangle$.

THEOREM 2.2. [2] *If Q is an ideal of a Γ -ring M , then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) Q is a semi-prime ideal.
- (ii) If $a \in M$ such that $a\Gamma M\Gamma a \subseteq Q$, then $a \in Q$.
- (iii) If $\langle a \rangle$ is a principal ideal in M such that $\langle a \rangle\Gamma\langle a \rangle \subseteq Q$, then $a \in Q$.
- (iv) If U is a right ideal in M such that $U\Gamma U \subseteq Q$, then $U \subseteq Q$.
- (v) If U is a left ideal in M such that $V\Gamma V \subseteq Q$, then $V \subseteq Q$.

LEMMA 2.3. [2] *A Γ -ring M is semi-prime if and only if $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = \langle 0 \rangle$ implies $a = 0$.*

Let M be a Γ -ring. For a subset U of M ,

$$\text{Ann}_l U = \{a \in M \mid a\Gamma U = \langle 0 \rangle\}$$

is called the *left annihilator* of U . A *right annihilator* $\text{Ann}_r U$ can be defined similarly. An ideal of M is said to be *essential* if it has non-zero intersection with any non-zero ideal of M .

LEMMA 2.4. [9] *Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and U a non-zero ideal of M . Then $Ann_l U = Ann_r U$, and in this case we will write $Ann_l U = Ann_r U = AnnU$.*

LEMMA 2.5. [9] *Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and U a non-zero ideal of M . Then*

- (i) *$AnnU$ is an ideal of M ,*
- (ii) *$U \cap AnnU = \langle 0 \rangle$.*

DEFINITION 2.6. [8] *Let M be a Γ -ring with unity. An element u in M is called a *unity* of M if it has a multiplicative inverse in M . If every nonzero element of M is a unity, we say that M is a Γ -*division ring*. A Γ -ring M is a Γ -*field* if it is a commutative Γ -division ring.*

DEFINITION 2.7. [8] *Let M be a Γ -ring and Q the quotient Γ -ring of M . The set*

$$C_\Gamma := \{g \in Q \mid g\gamma f = f\gamma g \text{ for all } f \in Q \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

*is called the *extended centroid* of M .*

LEMMA 2.8. [8] *If M is a Γ -ring, then the extended centroid C_Γ of M is a Γ -field.*

3. Main results

Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring. Let us denote by F a set of all ideals of M which have zero annihilator in M . In this case, the set F is closed under multiplication by Lemma 2.4. Indeed, let U and V be in F . The equality $U\Gamma V\beta x = 0$ for $x \in M$ and all $\beta \in \Gamma$ yields $V\beta x \subseteq Ann_r U = \langle 0 \rangle$, i.e., $V\beta x = 0$ and so $x \in Ann_r V = \langle 0 \rangle$ which implies $x = 0$. Then we get that $U\Gamma V \in F$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and U a non-zero ideal of M . Then the direct sum $U + AnnU$ belongs to F .*

PROOF. We get that $U \cap AnnU = \langle 0 \rangle$ by Lemma 2.5(ii). Since U is a non-zero ideal of M , $AnnU$ is equal to zero. If $(U + AnnU)\Gamma x = \langle 0 \rangle$, where $x \in M$, then $U\Gamma x + AnnU\Gamma x = \langle 0 \rangle$ and since $AnnU = \langle 0 \rangle$, we have $U\Gamma x = \langle 0 \rangle$, where $x \in M$ and so $x \in AnnU = B$. On the other hand, B is an ideal of M by Lemma 2.5.(i). Therefore, we get that $B\Gamma x = \langle 0 \rangle$, because $U\Gamma x + AnnU\Gamma x = \langle 0 \rangle$ and $U\Gamma x = \langle 0 \rangle$. So $x \in AnnB$ and $x \in B \cap AnnB = \langle 0 \rangle$ implies $x = 0$ which is required proof. □

LEMMA 3.2. *Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and U a non-zero ideal of M . Then $U \in F$ if and only if U is essential.*

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Let U be in F . Then $\langle 0 \rangle \neq U\Gamma V \subseteq U \cap V$ where V is a non-zero ideal of M . Thus U is essential.

(\Leftarrow) Let U be essential. In this case, we get that $U \cap \text{Ann}U = \langle 0 \rangle$ by Lemma 2.5(ii). Since U is an essential, we get $\text{Ann}U = \langle 0 \rangle$ and so $U \in F$. \square

REMARK 3.3. *If $U, V \in F$, then $U \cap V \in F$.*

Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring such that $M\Gamma M \neq M$. Denote

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ (U, f) \left| \begin{array}{l} f : U \rightarrow M \text{ is a right } M\text{-module} \\ \text{homomorphism for all } U \in F \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

Define a relation, “ \sim ” on \mathcal{M} by $(U, f) \sim (V, g) \Leftrightarrow \exists W \subset U \cap V$ such that $f = g$ on $W \in F$. Since the set F is closed under multiplication, it is possible to find such an ideal $W \in F$ and so “ \sim ” is an equivalence relation. This gives a chance for us to get a partition of \mathcal{M} . We denote the equivalence class by $Cl(U, f) = \hat{f}$, where

$$\hat{f} := \{g : V \rightarrow M \mid (U, f) \sim (V, g)\},$$

and denote by Q the set of all equivalence classes. We define an addition “+” on Q as follows:

$$\hat{f} + \hat{g} := Cl(U, f) + Cl(V, g) = Cl(U \cap V, f + g)$$

where $f + g : U \cap V \rightarrow M$ is a right M -module homomorphism. Assume that $(U_1, f_1) \sim (U_2, f_2)$ and $(V_1, g_1) \sim (V_2, g_2)$. Then $\exists W_1 (\in F) \subset U_1 \cap U_2$ such that $f_1 = f_2$ and $\exists W_2 (\in F) \subset V_1 \cap V_2$ such that $g_1 = g_2$. Taking $W = W_1 \cap W_2$ and so $W \in F$. For any $w \in W$, we have $(f_1 + g_1)(w) = f_1(w) + g_1(w) = f_2(w) + g_2(w) = (f_2 + g_2)(w)$ and so $f_1 + g_1 = f_2 + g_2$ in W . Therefore, $(U_1 \cap V_1, f_1 + g_1) \sim (U_2 \cap V_2, f_2 + g_2)$, which means that the addition “+” in Q is well-defined.

Now we will prove that Q is additive abelian group. Let $\hat{f} := Cl(U, f)$, $\hat{g} := Cl(V, g)$ and $\hat{h} := Cl(W, h)$ be elements of Q . Then one can easily check $(\hat{f} + \hat{g}) + \hat{h} = \hat{f} + (\hat{g} + \hat{h})$ and $\hat{f} + \hat{g} = \hat{g} + \hat{f}$. Taking $\hat{0} := Cl(M, 0)$ where $0 : M \rightarrow M, x \mapsto 0$ for all $x \in M$ we have $\hat{f} + \hat{0} = Cl(U, f) + Cl(M, 0) = Cl(U \cap M, f + 0) = Cl(U, f) = \hat{f}$ and similarly $\hat{0} + \hat{f} = \hat{f}$. $\hat{0}$ is the additive identity in Q . For any element $\hat{f} = Cl(U, f)$ of Q , it is easy to show that $-\hat{f} = Cl(U, -f)$ additive inverse of $\hat{f} = Cl(U, f)$. Therefore, $(Q, +)$ is an abelian group. Since $M\Gamma M \neq M$ and M is a semi-prime Γ -ring, $M\Gamma M (\neq 0)$ is an ideal of

M and so is $M\beta M$ for every $\beta(\neq 0) \in \Gamma$. $0 \neq M\beta M\Gamma U \subset M\beta M \cap U$ where U

is a non-zero ideal of M . Therefore $M\beta M$ is essential and so $M\beta M \in F$ for every $\beta(\neq 0) \in \Gamma$ by Lemma 3.2. We can take the homomorphism $1_{M\beta} : M\beta M \rightarrow M$ defined by $1_{M\beta}(m_1\beta m_2) = m_1\beta m_2$ as non-zero M -module homomorphism. Denote

$$\mathcal{N} := \{(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta}) \mid 0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma\}$$

and define a relation, “ \approx ” on \mathcal{N} by $11(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta}) \approx (M\gamma M, 1_{M\gamma}) \Leftrightarrow \exists W := M\alpha M (\in F) \subset M\beta M \cap M\gamma M$ such that $1_{M\beta} = 1_{M\gamma}$ on $W \in F$. We can easily check that “ \approx ” is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{N} . Denote by $Cl(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta}) = \hat{\beta}$, the equivalence class containing $(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta})$ and by $\hat{\Gamma}$ the set of all equivalence classes of \mathcal{N} with respect to $11\approx$, that is, $\hat{\Gamma} := \{\hat{\beta} \mid 0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma\}$. Define an addition “+” on $\hat{\Gamma}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma} &:= Cl(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta}) + Cl(M\gamma M, 1_{M\gamma}) \\ &= Cl(M\beta M \cap M\gamma M, 1_{M\beta} + 1_{M\gamma}) \end{aligned}$$

for every $\beta(\neq 0), \gamma(\neq 0) \in \Gamma$. Then, $(\hat{\Gamma}, +)$ is an abelian group. Now we define a mapping

$$(-, -, -) : Q \times \hat{\Gamma} \times Q \rightarrow Q, (\hat{f}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{g}) \mapsto \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g},$$

as follows:

$$\hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g} = Cl(U, f)Cl(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta})Cl(V, g) = Cl(V\Gamma M\beta M\Gamma U, f1_{M\beta}g)$$

where $V\Gamma M\beta M\Gamma U \in F$ and $f1_{M\beta}g : V\Gamma M\beta M\Gamma U \rightarrow M$, which is given by

$$(f1_{M\beta}g)\left(\sum v_i\gamma_i m_i\beta n_i\alpha_i u_i\right) = f\left(\sum g(v_i)\gamma_i m_i\beta n_i\alpha_i u_i\right)$$

is a right M -module homomorphism. Then it is routine to check that such mapping is well-defined. Now we will show that Q is a $\hat{\Gamma}$ -ring with unity. Let \hat{f}, \hat{g} and $\hat{h} \in Q$ and $\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma} \in \hat{\Gamma}$, i.e., $\hat{f} = Cl(U, f)$, $\hat{g} = Cl(V, g)$, $\hat{h} = Cl(W, h)$, $\hat{\beta} = Cl(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta})$, and $\hat{\gamma} = Cl(M\gamma M, 1_{M\gamma})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{f} + \hat{g})\hat{\beta}\hat{h} &= Cl(U \cap V, f + g)Cl(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta})Cl(W, h) \\ &= Cl(W\Gamma M\beta M\Gamma(U \cap V), (f + g)1_{M\beta}h) \\ &= Cl((W\Gamma M\beta M\Gamma)U \cap (W\Gamma M\beta M\Gamma)V, f1_{M\beta}h + g1_{M\beta}h) \\ &= \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{h} + \hat{g}\hat{\beta}\hat{h}. \end{aligned}$$

and the equalities $\hat{f}(\hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma})\hat{g} = \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g} + \hat{f}\hat{\gamma}\hat{g}$, $\hat{f}\hat{\beta}(\hat{g} + \hat{h}) = \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g} + \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{h}$, and $(\hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{g})\hat{\gamma}\hat{h} = \hat{f}\hat{\beta}(\hat{g}\hat{\gamma}\hat{h})$ are proved in an analogous way.

Next we will show that Q has a multiplicative identity. Let $\hat{f} \in Q$ and $\hat{\beta} \in \hat{\Gamma}$. Take $\hat{I} = Cl(M, I) \in Q$ where $I : M \rightarrow M, x \mapsto x$, is a M -module homomorphism. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}\hat{\beta}\hat{I} &= Cl(U, f)Cl(M\beta M, 1_{M\beta})Cl(M, I) \\ &= Cl(M\Gamma M\beta M\Gamma U, f1_{M\beta}I) = Cl(U, f) = \hat{f} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly we have $\hat{I}\hat{\beta}\hat{f} = \hat{f}$. Notice that the mapping $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ defined by $\varphi(\beta) = \hat{\beta}$ for every $0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma$. Here the case of to be $0 \neq \beta$, it does not mean that image of zero of Γ under φ doesn't map to zero of $\hat{\Gamma}$. That is, $\varphi(0) = \hat{0} = Cl(M\Gamma M, 0_{M\Gamma})$. If $\beta = 0$, then $M\beta M = 0$. In this case, there is a contradiction with $M\beta M \neq 0$. For this reason, we get the mapping $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ defined by $\varphi(\beta) = \hat{\beta}$ for every $0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma$. Noticing that the mapping φ is an isomorphism, we know that the $\hat{\Gamma}$ -ring Q is a Γ -ring.

For a fixed element a in M and every element γ in Γ , consider a mapping $\lambda_{a\gamma} : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $\lambda_{a\gamma}(x) = a\gamma x$ for all $x \in M$. It is easy to prove that the mapping $\lambda_{a\gamma}$ is a right M -module homomorphism and so $\lambda_{a\gamma}$ is an element of Q . Define a mapping $\psi : M \rightarrow Q$ by $\psi(a) = \hat{a} = Cl(M, \lambda_{a\gamma})$ for all $a \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. It is easy to prove that the mapping ψ is a right M -module injective homomorphism and so M is a subring of Q , and in this case, we call Q the *right quotient Γ -ring* of M and will be denoted by $Q_r(M)$ (or, briefly Q). One can, of course, characterize $Q_l(M)$, the left quotient Γ -ring of M in a similar manner. For purposes of convenience, we use q instead of $\hat{q} \in Q$.

DEFINITION 3.4. Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and Q the quotient Γ -ring of M . Then the set

$$C_\Gamma := \{g \in Q \mid g\gamma f = f\gamma g \text{ for all } f \in Q \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

is called the *generalized centroid* of M .

The following theorem characterizes the quotient Γ -ring Q of M . The proof is a minor modification of the proof of the corresponding theorem in ring theory, and we omit it.

THEOREM 3.5. Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and Q the quotient Γ -ring of M . Then the Γ -ring Q satisfies the following properties:

- (i) For any element $q \in Q$, there exists an ideal $U_q \in F$ which is an essential ideal with a right M -module homomorphism $q : U \rightarrow M$, such that $q(U_q) \subseteq M$ (or $q\gamma U_q \subseteq M$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$).
- (ii) If $q \in Q$ and $q(U_q) = \langle 0 \rangle$ for a certain $U_q \in F$ (or $q\gamma U_q = \langle 0 \rangle$ for a certain $U_q \in F$ and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$), then $q = 0$.

- (iii) If $U \in F$ and $\Psi : U \rightarrow M$ is a right M -module homomorphism, then there exists an element $q \in Q$ such that $\Psi(u) = q(u)$ for all $u \in U$ (or $\Psi(u) = q\gamma u$ for all $u \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$).
- (iv) Let W be a submodule (an (M, M) -sub-bimodule) in Q and $\Psi : W \rightarrow Q$ a right M -module homomorphism. If W contains the ideal U of the Γ -ring M such that $\Psi(U) \subseteq M$ and $AnnU = Ann_rW$, then there is an element $q \in Q$ such that $\Psi(b) = q(b)$ for any $b \in W$ (or $\Psi(b) = q\gamma b$ for any $b \in W$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$) and $q(a) = 0$ for any $a \in Ann_rW$ (or $q\gamma a = 0$ for any $a \in Ann_rW$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$).

Let W be a non-zero submodule of the right M -module Q . Then we get that $(0 \neq)w \in W$ and U_w is an essential ideal of the Γ -ring M such that $w\gamma U_w \subseteq M$ (or $w(U_w) \subseteq M$) for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and so $\langle 0 \rangle \neq w\gamma U_w \Gamma w\gamma U_w \subseteq W\Gamma W$, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by Theorem 3.5(i). Thus, the right and left annihilators of the (M, M) -submodule W in Q are the same, since the equality $Ann_rW = \langle 0 \rangle$ implies $(Ann_lW)\Gamma(Ann_lW) = \langle 0 \rangle$ or $(Ann_rW)\Gamma(Ann_rW) = \langle 0 \rangle$ and $Ann_lW = \langle 0 \rangle$ implies

$$(Ann_rW)\Gamma(Ann_rW) = \langle 0 \rangle.$$

This property shows that it is possible to obtain similar results for left or two-sided annihilators instead of right annihilators which are defined in case (iv) of Theorem 3.5.

Let W satisfy the case (iv) of Theorem 3.5. Let us denote an annihilator of an ideal U in M by L . Let us extend $\Psi : U \rightarrow M$ which is a right M -module homomorphism to $\Psi : L + U \rightarrow M$ such that $\Psi(L) = 0$, since $L + U$ is an ideal of M by Lemma 2.5(i). Since the annihilator of $L + U$ equals zero, in this case, we find an element q in Q such that $q(L) = 0$ (or $q\gamma L = \langle 0 \rangle$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$) and $\Psi(u) = q(u)$ (or $\Psi(u) = q\gamma u$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$), where $u \in U$, by Theorem 3.5(iii). Then, if $w \in W$ and $a \in U\Gamma U_w$, then $w\beta a \in U$ and hence $\Psi(w)\beta a = \Psi(w\beta a) = q(w\beta a)$ (or $\Psi(w\beta a) = q\gamma w\beta a$ for all $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$) for all $\beta \in \Gamma$ and so $(\Psi(w) - q(w))\beta a = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma$ (or $(\Psi(w) - q\gamma w)\beta a = 0$ for all $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$). Therefore $(\Psi(w) - q(w))\Gamma U = \langle 0 \rangle$. Also, since $W\Gamma L = \langle 0 \rangle$ by Theorem 3.5(iv), i.e., $(\Psi(w) - q(w))\Gamma L = \langle 0 \rangle$, which implies $\Psi(w) = q(w)$ (or $\Psi(w) = q\gamma w = \langle 0 \rangle$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$). If $Ann_rW = \langle 0 \rangle$, then $Ann_rW\Gamma U_a = \langle 0 \rangle$ and so $b\Gamma U_a \subseteq L$, where $b \in Ann_rW$. Consequently, $q(b\beta U_a) = 0$ (or $q\gamma b\beta U_a = 0$ for all $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$) and so we get that $q(b) = 0$ (or $q\beta b = 0$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma$) by Theorem 3.5(ii), and so we give the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and Q the quotient Γ -ring of M . If W is a non-zero submodule of the right(left) M -module Q , then $W\Gamma W \neq 0$. Furthermore Q is a semi-prime Γ -ring.*

DEFINITION 3.7. A Γ -ring M is called *regular* if for any element $x \in M$, there exists an element $x' \in M$ such that $x'\beta x\gamma x = x$, where $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and C_Γ the generalized centroid of M . Then C_Γ is a regular Γ -ring.*

PROOF. Let a be an element of C_Γ . Then $a, a^2 \in Q$, and so we get that U_a and U_{a^2} are essential ideals of M and so $U_a \cap U_{a^2} \in F$. We consider a mapping $\psi : U_a \cap U_{a^2} \rightarrow M$ defined by $\psi(a^2\beta x) = a\beta x$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma$ and where x runs through the set $J = U_a \cap U_{a^2}$. Let $a^2\beta x = 0$. Then $(a\beta x)\Gamma M\Gamma(a\beta x) = 0$ implies $a\beta x = 0$. Therefore ψ is a right M -module homomorphism. Hence, there is an element $a_1 \in Q$ such that $a_1\alpha a^2\beta x = a\beta x$ for all $x \in J$ by Theorem 3.5(iii). We have that $a_1\alpha a^2 = a$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ by Theorem 3.5(ii). Let us prove that the element a_1 in C_Γ . In this case, let q be an arbitrary element of Q . Then $[(a_1\alpha a^2)^2, q]_\beta = [a^2, q]_\beta$ where $[a^2, q]_\beta = a^2\beta q - q\beta a^2$. Since $a \in C_\Gamma$, we have $0 = [a_1^2, q]_\beta = [(a_1\alpha a^2)^2, q]_\beta = [a_1^2\alpha a^4, q]_\beta = a^4\alpha[a_1^2, q]_\beta$. Multiplying this equality from the left by a_1^3 ($a_1^3 = a_1\gamma_1 a_1\gamma_2 a_1$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$), we get $0 = a\alpha[a_1^2, q]_\beta = a\alpha[a_1, q]_\beta$. Thus, we get $[a_1, q]_\beta = 0$ by Proposition 3.6. This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 3.9. We have shown that C_Γ is a regular Γ -ring. For any element $a \in C_\Gamma$, there exists an element $a' \in C_\Gamma$ such that $a'\beta a\gamma a = a$ for $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$. If $a'\beta a\gamma a = a'\beta a^2 = a$, then $(a'\beta a)^2 = (a'\beta a)\gamma(a'\beta a) = a'\beta(a'\gamma a\beta a) = a'\beta a$, i.e., $e = a'\beta a$ is an idempotent, and so we get $e\gamma a = a$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Therefore the C_Γ has a sufficient number of idempotents. Thus in the set E of all the central idempotents the relation \leq defined by

$$e_1 \leq e_2 \iff e_2\gamma e_1 = e_1, \gamma \in \Gamma$$

is a partial order.

DEFINITION 3.10. Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring, Q the quotient Γ -ring of M and let $S \subseteq Q$. The least of idempotent elements $e(S) = e \in C_\Gamma$ such that $e\gamma s = s$ for all $s \in S$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is called the *support* of the set S .

LEMMA 3.11. *Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring, Q the quotient Γ -ring of M and $S \subseteq Q$. If S has a support $e(S) = e \in C_\Gamma$, then the equality $q\gamma M\Gamma S = 0$ for an element $q \in Q$ ($S\Gamma M\gamma q = 0$) is equivalent to $q\gamma e(S) = 0$.*

PROOF. Let V be a (two-sided) M -submodule in Q that is generated by the set S . In this case, $U = V \cap M$ is a (two-sided) ideal of the

Γ -ring M . We proved that its annihilator in the Γ -ring M coincides with the annihilator of V in M . Now, let $q\gamma U = 0$. If $v \in V$, then $v\beta U_v \in U$ and so $q\alpha v\beta U_v = 0$. We get $q\alpha v = 0$ by Theorem 3.5(iii). By Theorem 3.5(iv), we have that for the identical mapping $\psi : V \rightarrow V$, there exists an element $e \in Q$ such that $e\gamma v = v$ for all $v \in V$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and e annihilates the annihilator L of the set V in the Γ -ring Q . This implies that for any $1 \in L$, $v \in V$, $q \in Q$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ the following equalities are valid:

$$[e, q]_{\beta\gamma}(1 + v) = 0, (e^2 - e)\gamma(1 + v) = 0.$$

Since the annihilator of the sum $L + V$ has a zero multiplication, we have $e \in C_{\Gamma}$ and e is an idempotent by Proposition 3.6. If e_1 is a central idempotent such that $e_1\alpha s = s$ for all $s \in S$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $e_1\alpha v = v$ for $v \in V$, $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and so $1 - e_1 \in L$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e\gamma(1 - e_1) = e - e\gamma e_1 \Rightarrow e\gamma e_1 = e \\ &\Rightarrow e_1\gamma e = e \quad (e_1 \in C_{\Gamma}) \Rightarrow e \leq e_1 \end{aligned}$$

by Remark 3.9. Finally, let $q\gamma M\Gamma S = 0$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $q\beta M$ is in the annihilator of V and so $q\beta M\gamma e = 0$, where $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$, which implies $M\beta q\gamma e = 0$ and $q\gamma e = 0$. This completes the proof. □

LEMMA 3.12. *Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring, Q the quotient Γ -ring of M and $S \subseteq Q$ that has a support $e(S) = e \in C_{\Gamma}$. If $0 \neq e_1 \leq e(S)$, then $e_1\Gamma S \neq 0$.*

PROOF. If $e_1\Gamma S = 0$, then the idempotent $f = 1 - e_1$ adjust to $f\gamma s = s$ for all $s \in S$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Therefore $f \geq e(S) \geq e_1$, i.e., $f\gamma e_1 = e_1 = 0$ which is a contradiction. □

Now we give the converse of Lemma 2.8 in the following.

PROPOSITION 3.13. *Let M be a semi-prime Γ -ring and C_{Γ} the extended centroid of M . If C_{Γ} is a Γ -field, then the Γ -ring M is a prime Γ -ring.*

PROOF. If $x\Gamma M\Gamma y = 0$, then we have $e(x)\gamma y = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by Lemma 3.12. Therefore we get $e(x)\Gamma M\Gamma y = 0$ and so, since C_{Γ} is Γ -field, $M\Gamma y = 0$ which implies $y = 0$. Thus the proof is over. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors are highly grateful to the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions for improving the paper.

References

- [1] W. E. Barnes, *On the Γ -ring of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), no. 3, 411–422.
- [2] S. Kyuno, *On prime gamma rings*, Pacific J. Math. **75** (1978), no. 1, 185–190.
- [3] J. Luh, *On the theory of simple Γ -rings*, Michigan Math. J. **16** (1969), 65–75.
- [4] ———, *The structure of primitive gamma rings*, Osaka J. Math. **7** (1970), 267–274.
- [5] W. S. Martindale, *Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity*, J. Algebra **12** (1969), 576–584.
- [6] N. Nobusawa, *On a generalization of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math. **1** (1964), 81–89.
- [7] M. A. Öztürk and Y. B. Jun, *On the centroid of the prime gamma rings*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **15** (2000), no. 3, 469–479.
- [8] ———, *On the centroid of the prime gamma rings II*, Turkish J. Math. **25** (2001), 367–377.
- [9] M. A. Öztürk, M. Sapanci, M. Soytürk and K. H. Kim, *Symmetric bi-derivation on prime gamma rings*, Sci. Math. **3** (2000), no. 2, 273–281.

Mehmet Ali Öztürk
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Arts and Science
Cumhuriyet University
58140 Sivas, Turkey
E-mail: maozturk@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

Young Bae Jun
Department of Mathematics Education and RINS
Gyeongsang National University
Chinju 660-701, Korea
E-mail: ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr