

Semiopen sets on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense

Eun Pyo Lee

Department of Mathematics, Seonam University

Abstract

We introduce the concepts of fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen sets and fuzzy (r, s) -semicontinuous mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense and then we investigate some of their characteristic properties.

Key words : intuitionistic fuzzy topology in Sostak's sense, fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set, fuzzy (r, s) -semicontinuity

1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [11]. Chang [2] defined fuzzy topological spaces. These spaces and its generalizations are later studied by several authors, one of which, developed by Sostak [10], used the idea of degree of openness. This type of generalization of fuzzy topological spaces was later rephrased by Chattopadhyay, Hazra, and Samanta [3], and by Ramadan [9].

As a generalization of fuzzy sets, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was introduced by Atanassov [1]. Recently, Coker and his colleagues [4,6,7] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces using intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

Using the idea of degree of openness and degree of nonopenness, Coker and Demirci [5] defined intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense as a generalization of smooth fuzzy topological spaces and intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen sets and fuzzy (r, s) -semicontinuous mappings on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces in Sostak's sense and then we investigate some of their characteristic properties.

2. Preliminaries

Let I be the unit interval $[0,1]$ of the real line. A member μ of I^X is called a fuzzy set of X . By $\tilde{0}$ and $\tilde{1}$ we denote constant maps on X with value 0 and 1, respectively. For any $\mu \in I^X$, μ^c denotes the complement $\tilde{1} - \mu$. All other notations are standard notations of

fuzzy set theory.

Let X be a nonempty set. An *intuitionistic fuzzy set* A is an ordered pair

$$A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$$

where the functions $\mu_A: X \rightarrow I$ and $\gamma_A: X \rightarrow I$ denote the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership, respectively, and $\mu_A + \gamma_A \leq \tilde{1}$.

Obviously every fuzzy set μ on X is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of the form $(\mu, \tilde{1} - \mu)$.

Definition 2.1 [1] Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ be intuitionistic fuzzy sets on X . Then

- (1) $A \subseteq B$ iff $\mu_A \leq \mu_B$ and $\gamma_A \geq \gamma_B$.
- (2) $A = B$ iff $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.
- (3) $A^c = (\gamma_A, \mu_A)$.
- (4) $A \cap B = (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B, \gamma_A \vee \gamma_B)$.
- (5) $A \cup B = (\mu_A \vee \mu_B, \gamma_A \wedge \gamma_B)$.
- (6) $0_{\sim} = (\tilde{0}, \tilde{1})$ and $1_{\sim} = (\tilde{1}, \tilde{0})$.

Let f be a map from a set X to a set Y . Let $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of X and $B = (\mu_B, \gamma_B)$ an intuitionistic fuzzy set of Y . Then:

(1) The image of A under f , denoted by $f(A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in Y defined by

$$f(A) = (f(\mu_A), \tilde{1} - f(\tilde{1} - \gamma_A)).$$

(2) The inverse image of B under f , denoted by $f^{-1}(B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X defined by

$$f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(\mu_B), f^{-1}(\gamma_B)).$$

A *smooth fuzzy topology* on X is a map $T: I^X \rightarrow I$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $T(\tilde{0}) = T(\tilde{1}) = 1$.

접수일자 : 2003년 5월 29일
 완료일자 : 2004년 3월 8일

- (2) $T(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) \geq T(\mu_1) \wedge T(\mu_2)$.
 (3) $T(\vee \mu_i) \geq \wedge T(\mu_i)$.

The pair (X, T) is called a *smooth fuzzy topological space*.

An *intuitionistic fuzzy topology* on X is a family T of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $0_-, 1_- \in T$.
 (2) If $A_1, A_2 \in T$, then $A_1 \cap A_2 \in T$.
 (3) If $A_i \in T$ for all i , then $\cup A_i \in T$.

The pair (X, T) is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy topological space*.

Let $I(X)$ be a family of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets of X and let $I \otimes I$ be the set of the pair (r, s) such that $r, s \in I$ and $r + s \leq 1$.

Definition 2.2 [5] Let X be a nonempty set. An *intuitionistic fuzzy topology in Sostak's sense* (SoIFT for short) $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ on X is a map $\tau: I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\tau_1(0_-) = \tau_1(1_-) = 1$ and $\tau_2(0_-) = \tau_2(1_-) = 0$.
 (2) $\tau_1(A \cap B) \geq \tau_1(A) \wedge \tau_1(B)$ and $\tau_2(A \cap B) \leq \tau_2(A) \vee \tau_2(B)$.
 (3) $\tau_1(\cup A_i) \geq \wedge \tau_1(A_i)$ and $\tau_2(\cup A_i) \leq \vee \tau_2(A_i)$.

The $(X, \tau) = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is said to be an *intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Sostak's sense* (SoIFTS for short). Also, we call $\tau_1(A)$ a *gradation of openness* of A and $\tau_2(A)$ a *gradation of nonopenness* of A .

Definition 2.3 [8] Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS (X, τ_1, τ_2) and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be

- (1) *fuzzy (r, s) -open* if $\tau_1(A) \geq r$ and $\tau_2(A) \leq s$,
 (2) *fuzzy (r, s) -closed* if $\tau_1(A^c) \geq r$ and $\tau_2(A^c) \leq s$.

Definition 2.4 [8] Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a SoIFTS. For each $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$ and for each $A \in I(X)$, the *fuzzy (r, s) -interior* is defined by

$$\text{int}(A, r, s) = \cup \{B \in I(X) \mid A \supseteq B, \\ B \text{ is fuzzy } (r, s)\text{-open}\}$$

and the *fuzzy (r, s) -closure* is defined by

$$\text{cl}(A, r, s) = \cap \{B \in I(X) \mid A \subseteq B, \\ B \text{ is fuzzy } (r, s)\text{-closed}\}.$$

The operators $\text{int}: I(X) \times I \otimes I \rightarrow I(X)$ and $\text{cl}: I(X) \times I \otimes I \rightarrow I(X)$ are called the *fuzzy interior operator* and *fuzzy closure operator* in (X, τ_1, τ_2) , respectively.

Lemma 2.5 [8] For an intuitionistic fuzzy set A in a SoIFTS (X, τ_1, τ_2) and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$,

- (1) $\text{int}(A, r, s)^c = \text{cl}(A^c, r, s)$.
 (2) $\text{cl}(A, r, s)^c = \text{int}(A^c, r, s)$.

Let (X, τ) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space in Sostak's sense. Then it is easy to see that for each $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$, the family $\tau_{(r,s)}$ defined by

$$\tau_{(r,s)} = \{A \in I(X) \mid \tau_1(A) \geq r \text{ and } \tau_2(A) \leq s\}$$

is an intuitionistic fuzzy topology on X .

Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the map $T^{(r,s)}: I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ defined by

$$T^{(r,s)}(A) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = 0_-, 1_-, \\ (r, s) & \text{if } A \in T - \{0_-, 1_-\}, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

becomes an intuitionistic fuzzy topology in Sostak's sense on X .

3. Fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen sets

Definition 3.1 Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS (X, τ_1, τ_2) and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then A is said to be

- (1) *fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen* if there is a fuzzy (r, s) -open set B in X such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(B, r, s)$,
 (2) *fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed* if there is a fuzzy (r, s) -closed set B in X such that $\text{int}(B, r, s) \subseteq A \subseteq B$.

It is obvious that every fuzzy (r, s) -open ((r, s) -closed) set is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen ((r, s) -semiclosed) set but the converse need not be true which is shown by the following example.

Example 3.2 Let $X = \{x, y\}$ and let A_1 and A_2 be intuitionistic fuzzy set of X defined as

$$A_1(x) = (0.6, 0.3), \quad A_1(y) = (0.3, 0.5);$$

and

$$A_2(x) = (0.8, 0.1), \quad A_2(y) = (0.5, 0.4).$$

Define $\tau: I(X) \rightarrow I \otimes I$ by

$$\tau(A) = (\tau_1(A), \tau_2(A)) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } A = 0_-, 1_-, \\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}) & \text{if } A = A_1, \\ (0, 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly (τ_1, τ_2) is a SoIFT on X . The intuitionistic fuzzy set A_2 is $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semiopen which is not $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -open. Also, A_2^c is $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semiclosed which is not

$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -closed.

Theorem 3.3 Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in a SoIFTS (X, τ_1, τ_2) and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) A is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set.
- (2) A^c is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed set.
- (3) $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A, r, s), r, s) \supseteq A$.
- (4) $\text{int}(\text{cl}(A^c, r, s), r, s) \subseteq A^c$.

Proof. (1) \rightarrow (3) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set of X . Then there is a fuzzy (r, s) -open set B in X such that $B \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(B, r, s)$. So

$$B = \text{int}(B, r, s) \subseteq \text{int}(A, r, s).$$

Hence

$$A \subseteq \text{cl}(B, r, s) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(A, r, s)).$$

(3) \rightarrow (1) Let $\text{cl}(\text{int}(A, r, s), r, s) \supseteq A$ and take $B = \text{int}(A, r, s)$. Then B is a fuzzy (r, s) -open set and

$$\begin{aligned} B = \text{int}(A, r, s) &\subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(A, r, s), r, s) \\ &= \text{cl}(B, r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus A is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set.

(2) \leftrightarrow (4) It is similar to the proof of (1) \leftrightarrow (3).

(3) \leftrightarrow (4) It follows from Lemma 2.5.

Theorem 3.4 Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a SoIFTS and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$.

(1) If A is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen and $\text{int}(A, r, s) \subseteq B \subseteq \text{cl}(A, r, s)$, then B is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen.

(2) If A is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed and $\text{int}(A, r, s) \subseteq B \subseteq \text{cl}(A, r, s)$, then B is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed.

Proof. (1) Let A be a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set and $\text{int}(A, r, s) \subseteq B \subseteq \text{cl}(A, r, s)$. Then there is a fuzzy (r, s) -open set C such that $C \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(C, r, s)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C = \text{int}(C, r, s) &\subseteq \text{int}(A, r, s) \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}(A, r, s) \\ &\subseteq \text{cl}(\text{cl}(C, r, s), r, s) = \text{cl}(C, r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$C \subseteq \text{int}(A, r, s) \subseteq B \subseteq \text{cl}(A, r, s) \subseteq \text{cl}(C, r, s).$$

Thus B is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set.

(2) Similar to (1).

Theorem 3.5 Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a SoIFTS and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$.

(1) If $\{A_i\}$ is a family of fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen sets of X , then $\cup A_i$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen.

(2) If $\{A_i\}$ is a family of fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed sets of X , then $\cap A_i$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed.

Proof. (1) Let $\{A_i\}$ be a collection of fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen sets. Then for each i , there is a fuzzy (r, s) -open set B_i such that $B_i \subseteq A_i \subseteq \text{cl}(B_i, r, s)$. Since $\tau_1(\cup B_i) \geq \wedge \tau_1(B_i) \geq r$ and $\tau_2(\cup B_i) \leq \vee \tau_2(B_i) \leq s$, $\cup B_i$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -open set. Moreover,

$$\cup B_i \subseteq \cup A_i \subseteq \cup \text{cl}(B_i, r, s) \subseteq \text{cl}(\cup B_i, r, s).$$

Hence $\cup A_i$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set.

(2) It follows from (1) using Theorem 3.3.

Definition 3.6 Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a SoIFTS. For each $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$ and for each $A \in I(X)$, the fuzzy (r, s) -semiinterior is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sint}(A, r, s) &= \cup \{B \in I(X) \mid A \supseteq B, \\ &\quad B \text{ is fuzzy } (r, s)\text{-semiopen}\} \end{aligned}$$

and the fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosure is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scl}(A, r, s) &= \cap \{B \in I(X) \mid A \subseteq B, \\ &\quad B \text{ is fuzzy } (r, s)\text{-semiclosed}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $\text{scl}(A, r, s)$ is the smallest fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed set which contains A and $\text{sint}(A, r, s)$ is the greatest fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set which is contained in A . Also, $\text{scl}(A, r, s) = A$ for any fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed set A and $\text{sint}(A, r, s) = A$ for any fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set A . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}(A, r, s) &\subseteq \text{sint}(A, r, s) \subseteq A \\ &\subseteq \text{scl}(A, r, s) \subseteq \text{cl}(A, r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have the following results:

- (1) $\text{scl}(0_-, r, s) = 0_-, \text{scl}(1_-, r, s) = 1_-$.
- (2) $\text{scl}(A, r, s) \supseteq A$.
- (3) $\text{scl}(A \cup B, r, s) \supseteq \text{scl}(A, r, s) \cup \text{scl}(B, r, s)$.
- (4) $\text{scl}(\text{scl}(A, r, s), r, s) = \text{scl}(A, r, s)$.
- (5) $\text{sint}(0_-, r, s) = 0_-, \text{sint}(1_-, r, s) = 1_-$.
- (6) $\text{sint}(A, r, s) \subseteq A$.
- (7) $\text{sint}(A \cap B, r, s) \subseteq \text{sint}(A, r, s) \cap \text{sint}(B, r, s)$.
- (8) $\text{sint}(\text{sint}(A, r, s), r, s) = \text{sint}(A, r, s)$.

Theorem 3.7 For an intuitionistic fuzzy set A of a SoIFTS (X, τ_1, τ_2) and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$, we have:

- (1) $\text{sint}(A, r, s)^c = \text{scl}(A^c, r, s)$.
- (2) $\text{scl}(A, r, s)^c = \text{sint}(A^c, r, s)$.

Proof. (1) Since $\text{sint}(A, r, s) \subseteq A$ and $\text{sint}(A, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen in X , $A^c \subseteq \text{sint}(A, r, s)^c$ and $\text{sint}(A, r, s)^c$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed in X . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scl}(A^c, r, s) &\subseteq \text{scl}(\text{sint}(A, r, s)^c, r, s) \\ &= \text{sint}(A, r, s)^c. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, since $A^c \subseteq \text{scl}(A^c, r, s)$ and $\text{scl}(A^c, r, s)$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed in X , $\text{scl}(A^c, r, s)^c \subseteq A$ and

$\text{scl}(A^c, r, s)^c$ is fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen in X . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{scl}(A^c, r, s)^c &= \text{sint}(\text{scl}(A^c, r, s)^c, r, s) \\ &\subseteq \text{sint}(A, r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\text{sint}(A, r, s)^c \subseteq \text{scl}(A^c, r, s)$.

(2) Similar to (1).

Definition 3.8 Let $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, w_1, w_2)$ be a mapping from a SolFSTS X to another SolFSTS Y and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then f is said to be

(1) *fuzzy (r, s) -semicontinuous* if $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set of X for each fuzzy (r, s) -open set B of Y ,

(2) *fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen* if $f(A)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen set of Y for each fuzzy (r, s) -open set A of X ,

(3) *fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed* if $f(A)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed set of Y for each fuzzy (r, s) -closed set A of X .

It need not be true that f and g are fuzzy (r, s) -semicontinuous ((r, s) -semiopen and (r, s) -semiclosed, respectively) mappings then so is $g \circ f$. But we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9 Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) , (Y, w_1, w_2) and (Z, σ_1, σ_2) be SolFSTSs and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be mappings and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are true:

(1) If f is a fuzzy (r, s) -semicontinuous mapping and g is a fuzzy (r, s) -continuous mapping, then $g \circ f$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semicontinuous mapping.

(2) If f is a fuzzy (r, s) -open mapping and g is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen mapping, then $g \circ f$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiopen mapping.

(3) If f is a fuzzy (r, s) -closed mapping and g is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed mapping, then $g \circ f$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed mapping.

Proof. Straightforward.

Theorem 3.10 Let $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, w_1, w_2)$ be a mapping and $(r, s) \in I \otimes I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) f is a fuzzy (r, s) -semicontinuous mapping.

(2) $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed set of X for each fuzzy (r, s) -closed set B of Y .

(3) $\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s), r, s) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B, r, s))$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set B of Y .

(4) $f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A, r, s), r, s)) \subseteq \text{cl}(f(A), r, s)$ for each intuitionistic fuzzy set A of X .

Proof.(1) \leftrightarrow (2) It is obvious.

(2) \rightarrow (3) Let B be any intuitionistic fuzzy set of Y . Then $\text{cl}(B, r, s)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -closed set of Y . By

(2), $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B, r, s))$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed set of X . By Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B, r, s)) &\supseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B, r, s)), r, s), r, s) \\ &\supseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \rightarrow (4) Let A be any intuitionistic fuzzy set of X . Then $f(A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of Y . By (3),

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(A), r, s)) &\supseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}f(A), r, s), r, s) \\ &\supseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(A, r, s), r, s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}(f(A), r, s) &\supseteq ff^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(A), r, s)) \\ &\supseteq f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A, r, s), r, s)). \end{aligned}$$

(4) \rightarrow (2) Let B be any fuzzy (r, s) -closed set of Y . Then $f^{-1}(B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of X . By (4),

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s), r, s)) &\subseteq \text{cl}(ff^{-1}(B), r, s) \\ &\subseteq \text{cl}(B, r, s) = B \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s), r, s) &\subseteq f^{-1}f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B), r, s), r, s)) \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}(B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f^{-1}(B)$ is a fuzzy (r, s) -semiclosed set of X .

References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986), 87-90.
- [2] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [3] K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra and S. K. Samanta, *Gradation of openness : fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 49 (1992), 237-242.
- [4] D. Coker, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 88 (1997), 81-89.
- [5] D. Coker and M. Demirci, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological sapces in Sostak's sense*, BUSEFAL 67 (1996), 67-76.
- [6] D. Coker and A. Haydar Es, *On fuzzy compactness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological sapces*, J. Fuzzy Math. 3 (1995), 899-909.
- [7] H. Gurcay, D. Coker and A. Haydar Es, *On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math. 5 (1997), 365-378.
- [8] E. P. Lee and Y. B. Im, *Mated fuzzy topological spaces*, J. of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems 11 (2001), 161-165.
- [9] A. A. Ramadan, *Smooth topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 48 (1992), 371-375.

- [10] A. Sostak, *On a fuzzy topological structure*, Supp. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (Ser. II) 11 (1985), 89-103.
- [11] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control 8 (1965), 338-353.
-

저 자 소 개



이은표(Eun Pyo Lee)

한국퍼지 및 지능시스템학회 논문지 제 12권 제3호 참조
현재 : 서남대학교 수학과