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Recently, we have reported on the synthesis of anisole derivatives from 4 -alkylidene-2-cyclohexene-l-ones with iodine in methanol. ${ }^{1}$ 4-Alkylidene-2-cyclohexene-l-ones could be synthesized from the reaction of Baylis-Hillman acetates and 2.4-pentanedione according to the reported procedure by Chamakh and Amri.?

We and other groups have reported the selective introduction of nucleophiles at the secondary benzylic position of the Baylis-Hillman acetates via the corresponding DABCO salts. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Thus, we envisioned that we could prepare 4 -methyl-ene-2-cyclohexenone skeleton and para-methoxymethyl anisoles by combining the DABCO salt concept and the aromatization reaction with iodine in methanol, ${ }^{1.3}$ Suitably substituted anisoles are useful as the starting materials for the fragrances, dyes and pesticides, as antioxidants in oils and fats, or as stabilizers of plastics. ${ }^{1}$ Moreover, paramethoxymethyl anisoles have been used for the kinetic acetalization of diol or amino alcohol systems in the presence of DDQ ${ }^{5}$ during the synthesis of ( + )-FR900482, ${ }^{\text {st }}$ taxotere side chain, ${ }^{\text {sc }}$ cyclopropyl lactone oxylipins, ${ }^{\text {sd }}$ and erythromycin $A$. ${ }^{56}$
Our synthetic scheme for the synthesis of 4-methylene-2cyclohexenone skeleton and para-methoxymethyl anisole is shown in Scheme 1 by using $4 a$ and $5 a$ as the representative examples. The reaction of the Baylis-Hillman acetate $\mathbf{I a}$ and DABCO in aqueous THF gave the corresponding DABCO
salt $\mathbf{2 a}$ instantaneously as reported. ${ }^{3}$ The reaction of the DABCO salt $2 \mathbf{a}$ and 2,4 -pentanedione afforded the intermediate 3 a in moderate yield ( $44 \%$ ). During the synthesis of 3a, some side products were produced (including dihydropyran skeleton), ${ }^{6}$ which diminished the yield of $\mathbf{3 a}$. With the compound 3a in our hand, we examined the next conversion toward 4 -methylene-2-cyclohexeneone $\mathbf{4 a}$ by using the Amri's conditions ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in ethanol $)^{2}$ and we could prepare 4 -methylene-2-cyclohexenone $4 \boldsymbol{a}$ in good yield ( $72 \%$ ). ${ }^{7}$ The synthesis of $4 a$ was tried by using the modified conditions in order to improve the yield of $\mathbf{4 a}$ as follows. In situ generation of the DABCO salt $\mathbf{2 a}$ in ethanol and the following reaction with 2,4 -pentanedione in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ gave 4 a in a similar isolated yield ( $47 \%$ ) (Scheme 1). ${ }^{8}$ The use of ethyl acetoacetate instead of 2,4-pentanedione also gave 4a in lower yield (not shown in Scheme 1). The structure of 4 a was confirmed as shown in Table 1 by NOF, experiments. As an example, irradiation of the methyl group $(\delta-2.11 \mathrm{ppm})$ of 4 a showed increments of the proton at the 2-position ( $\delta-6.00 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and one of the methylene protons $(\delta-5.51 \mathrm{ppm})$ in 0.6 and $0.7 \%$, respectively. As the final step, the reaction of 4 a and iodine ( 1.1 equiv.) in methanol $\left(40-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) aflorded methoxymethyl anisole 5 a in good yield ( $84 \%$ ). ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Similarly, 5 c and 5 e were synthesized in good yields from 4b and 4c. As shown in Table 1, the use of ethanol as the solvent afforded the ethoxymethyl phenetole derivatives


[^0]Table 1. Synthesis of 4-methylene-2-cyclohexenones 4, 4-methoxymethyl anisoles 5a, 5c, 5e, and 4-ethoxymethyl phenetoles $\mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 d}, \mathbf{5 f}$
Fitry

5b, 5d, and 5f. When we used ethanol, somewhat longer reaction time was required.
In sumnary, we have developed an efficient synthetic methodology for the synthesis of 4-methylene-2-cyclohexenones, 4 -methoxymethyl anisoles, and 4-cthoxymethyl phenetoles in moderate yields, starting from the BaylisHillman acetates.

## Experimental Section

Typical procedure for the synthesis of 3a. To a stirred solution of the Baylis-F Iillman acetate (1a, $436 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in aq. THF $\left(\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)=3: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added DABCO ( $448 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred at room temperature for 15 min . To the reaction mixture 2,4 -pentanedione ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2$ mmol ) was added and stirred at room temperature for 24 h . After the normal aqueous workup and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/ether, $4: 1$ ) pure 3a was obtained, $228 \mathrm{mg}(44 \%)$. Spectroscopic data of prepared compounds are as follows. 3a: $44 \%$ : ${ }^{111}$ NMR (CDCly) $\delta$ $1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .2 .26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d} . J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d} . J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{II}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .6 .13(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{II})$, 7.17-7.27 (m, 5H). 3b: $25 \% ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta 1.94(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .2 .14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .2 .26(\mathrm{~s} .3 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d} . J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HH})$, $4.88(\mathrm{~d} . J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{lH}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{IH}), 7.14-$ $7.30(\mathrm{~m} .4 \mathrm{I})$. 3c: $45 \% ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{I}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.98$ (t. $J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{liz}, \mathrm{IH}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{lz}, 11 \mathrm{I}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{IH})$, $6.09(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{lH}), 7.15-7.28(\mathrm{~m} .5 \mathrm{H}){ }^{19}{ }^{12} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.04$,
$28.29,30.60,31.39,45.07,73.41,123.54,127.18,128.24$, 128.68, 138.98. 148.52, 201.28, 202.64, 202.80.

Typical procedure for the synthesis of 4 a . To a stirred solution of $3 \mathrm{a}(129 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and heated to reflux for 30 min . After the normal aqueous workup and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/ether, $10: 1$ ) pure 4 a was obtained, 72 mg ( $72 \%$ ). 4a: 72\%: IR ( KBr ) $1666,1585,1496 \mathrm{~cm}^{\prime}$; ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5$ Hz, 311), 2.77 (dd, $J=16.1$ and $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91$ (dd. $. J=$ 16.1 and $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz} . \mathrm{IH}), 4.01-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .5 .06(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t} . J=1.5$ Hz, III), $5.5 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{IH}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 11 \mathrm{I}), 7.19-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{II}):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 20.62,43.74,46.81,117.99,127.05$, 127.86, 127.88, 128.61, 141.29, 146.05, 154.08, 198.35. 4b: $60 \%$; white solid, mp 99-100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) 1658 , 1585,1489 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; 1$ II NMR (CDCll $) \delta 2.11(\mathrm{~d} . J=1.2 \mathrm{Itz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=16.1$ and $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .2 .86(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1$ and $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, ~ \mathrm{IH})$, 3.99-4.04 (m, 1H ) , $5.06(\mathrm{appt} \mathrm{t} . J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{HH})$, $5.99(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d} . J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .7 .30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 211): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 20.56,43.55,46.15,118.12$, 127.86, 128.72, 129.14, 132.79, 139.72, 145.57, 153.90, 197.87. 4e: $59 \% ;$ IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) 1666.1496 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1}$ II NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{qd} . J=7.5$ and 1.2 $\mathrm{H} \mathrm{z}, 2[\mathrm{l}), 2.78$ (dd. $J=16.2$ and $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93$ (dd. $. J=$ 16.2 and $9.1 \mathrm{~Hz} . \mathrm{IH}), 4.00-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .5 .06(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t} . J=1.2$ Hz, 11H), 5.53 (s, 111), $5.99(\mathrm{~s}, 11 \mathrm{I}), 7.19-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{II}):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{I} 1.36 .25 .29,42.66,46.23,116.04,124.71$, 126.00, 126.83, 127.55, 140.16. 144.25. 158.38, 197.67.

Typical procedure for the synthesis of 5a. A stirred
solution of $4 \mathrm{a}(99 \mathrm{mg} .0 .5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and iodine ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55$ mmol) in methanol ( 3 mL ) was heated to $40-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 5 h. After the normal aqueous workup and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/ether, $20: 1$ ) pure 5a was obtained, $102 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$.

5a: $84 \%$; mp $56-58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. $3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .3 .78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .4 .19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .6 .67(\mathrm{~d} . J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$. $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d} . J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz} .1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.42(\mathrm{~m} .5 \mathrm{H}):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.59 .55 .17 .57 .81 .69 .32 .112 .52 .115 .47$. 126.07. 126.98, $127.88,129.26 .140 .52,141.65,144.82$. 158.60; Mass ( 70 eV ) $m z$ (rel intensity) 165 (28), 196 (43). $211(100), 242\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} .57\right)$.

5b: $77 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. $1.38(\mathrm{t} . J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .2 .45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .3 .42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$. $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s} .2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .6 .76$ (d. $J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz} .1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.82 .15 .27 .19 .65,63.34,65.50,67.33$. 113.24. 116.14, 126.10, 126.91. 127.82, 129.32, 140.43. 141.77. 144.73, 157.95 : Mass ( 70 eV ) $m z$ (rel intensity) 197 (57). 225 (100). 241 (38). $270\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} .83\right.$ ).

5c: $88 \%$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .3 .30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. $3.79(\mathrm{~s} .3 \mathrm{H}) .4 .15(\mathrm{~s} .2 \mathrm{H}) .6 .62(\mathrm{~d} . J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz} .1 \mathrm{H}) .6 .77(\mathrm{~d} . J$ $=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $18.60,54.21 .56 .93,68.25$. $111.53,114.61,125.03 .127 .08$. 129.59. 132.14, 139.04, 139.69. 142.58, 157.70: Mass (70 eV) $m z$ (rel intensity) 195 (37), 209 (90), 245 (100). 276 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 77\right), 278\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2.27\right)$.
5d: $75 \% ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. $1.39(\mathrm{t} . J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .2 .44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .3 .44(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$. $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~s} .2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{IH}) .6 .76$ (d. $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz} .1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .36(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.80 .15 .28 .19 .64 .63 .38 .65 .63 .67 .26 .113 .24$. $116.24 .126 .03,128.00,130.62 .133 .06,140.15,140.59$. 143.48. 158.04; Mass ( 70 eV ) mz (rel intensity) 196 (47). $223(70) .231(43), 259(100) .304\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} .70\right) .306\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}+2.23\right)$.

5e: $78 \%:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz} .3 \mathrm{H}) .2 .80$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz} .2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s} .3 \mathrm{H}), 3.8 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. $6.67(\mathrm{~d} . J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz} . \mathrm{IH}) .6 .82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz} . \mathrm{IH}) .7 .35-7.44$ (m. 5 H ): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 15.56 .25 .87 .55 .23 .57 .79$. 68.83 , 112.43. 113.89. 125.55. 127.00. 127.88. 129.35. 141.87. 145.11. 146.49. 158.89: Mass ( 70 eV ) $m z$ (rel intensity) 165 (37), 195 (55), 224 (100). 256 ( $\mathrm{M}^{-}, 61$ ).
5f: 70\%: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.19(\mathrm{t} . J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .1 .30$ $(\mathrm{t} . J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz} .3 \mathrm{H}) .1 .40(\mathrm{t} . J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz} .3 \mathrm{H}) .2 .80(\mathrm{q} . J=7.5$
$\mathrm{Hz} .2 \mathrm{H}), 3.4 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{q} . J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .4 .03(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz} .2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d} . J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz} .1 \mathrm{H}) .6 .81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). $7.31-7.45(\mathrm{~m} .5 \mathrm{H}):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.84,15.28$. $15.50,25.87$. 63.33. 65.46. 66.79, 113.07. 114.52. 125.55, 126.88, 127.76. 129.37. 141.96, 144.97, 146.36, 158.20: Mass ( 70 eV ) $m z$ (rel intensity) 165 (41). 183 (43). 238 (100), 255 (30), 284 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+} .55$ ).
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