Kangweon-Kyungki Math. Jour. 11 (2003), No. 2, pp. 177-188

# H-FUZZY SUPRATOPOLOGICAL SPACES

WON KEUN MIN, CHUN-KEE PARK AND MYEONG-WHAN KIM

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concepts of a gradation of supraopenness,  $S(S^*)$ -gradation preserving maps, and weakly  $S(S^*)$ -gradation preserving maps. And we investigate several properties of such concepts.

# 1. Introduction

Fuzzy topological spaces were first introduced in the literature by Chang [2] who studied a number of the basic concepts including fuzzy continuous maps and compactness. And fuzzy topological spaces are a very natural generalization of topological spaces. In [3], R. N. Hazra et.al introduced a new fuzzy topology and fuzzy topological space in terms of lattices L and L', both of which were taken to be I = [0, 1]. In this paper, we will call the new fuzzy topology an H-fuzzy topology.  $0_X$  and  $1_X$  will denote the characteristic functions of the crisp sets  $\emptyset$ and X, respectively. An H-fuzzy topological space [3] is a pair  $(X, \tau)$ , where X is a non-empty set and  $\tau : I^X \to I$  is a mapping satisfying the following properties:

(O1)  $\tau(0_X) = \tau(1_X) = 1.$ 

(O2) If  $\tau(A) > 0, \tau(B) > 0$ , then  $\tau(A \cap B) > 0$ , for  $A, B \in I^X$ .

(O3) For every subfamily  $\{A_i : i \in J\} \subset I^X$ , if  $\tau(A_i) > 0$ , then  $\tau(\bigcup_{i \in J} A_i) > 0$ .

Then the mapping  $\tau : I^X \to I$  is called an H-fuzzy topology or a gradation of openness on X.

If the H-fuzzy topoloy  $\tau$  on X has the following property:

(O4)  $\tau(I^X) \subset \{0,1\}$ , then  $\tau$  corresponds in a one to one way to a fuzzy topology in Chang's sense [2].

Received July 25, 2003.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40.

Key words and phrases: H-fuzzy topology, gradation of supraopenness,  $S(S^*)$ -gradation preserving maps, weakly  $S(S^*)$ -gradation preserving maps.

A mapping  $\tau^*: I^X \to I$  is called an H-fuzzy cotopology or a gradation closedness [3] iff the following three conditions are satisfied:

(C1)  $\tau^*(0_X) = \tau^*(1_X) = 1.$ 

(C2) If  $\tau^*(A), \tau^*(B) > 0$ , then  $\tau^*(A \cup B) > 0$ , for  $A, B \in I^X$ .

(C3) For every subfamily  $\{A_i : i \in J\} \subset I^X$ , if  $\tau^*(A_i) > 0$ , then  $\tau^*(\cap_{i \in J} A_i) > 0$ .

If  $\tau$  is an H-fuzzy topology on X, then a mapping  $\tau^* : I^X \to I$ , defined by  $\tau^*(A) = \tau(A^c)$  where  $A^c$  denotes the complement of A, is an H-fuzzy cotopology. Conversely, if  $\tau^*$  is an H-fuzzy cotopology on X, then a mapping  $\tau : I^X \to I$ , defined by  $\tau(A) = \tau^*(A^c)$ , is an H-fuzzy topology on X [3].

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy topological space and  $A \in I^X$ . Then the H-fuzzy closure of A, denoted by  $A^-$ , is defined by

$$A^{-} = \cap \{ K \in I^{X} : \tau^{*}(K) > 0, A \subset K \},\$$

where  $\tau^*(K) = \tau(K^c)$  [3].

Let  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be a map between two H-fuzzy topological spaces. Then the mapping f is called a gradation preserving (gp-) map if  $\tau(f^{-1}(A)) \ge \sigma(A)$  for each  $A \in I^Y$ . And the mapping f is called a weakly gradation preserving (wgp-) if  $\sigma(U) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau(f^{-1}(U)) > 0$  for each  $U \in I^Y$  [3].

In 1987, M. E. Abd El-Monsef et al.[1] introduced a fuzzy supratopology as the following way: A subclass  $\tau$  of  $I^X$  is called a fuzzy supratopology for the set X if

# (1) $0_X, 1_X \in \tau$ .

(2) For every subfamily  $\{A_i : i \in J\} \subset I^X, \cup_{i \in J} A_i \in \tau$ .

And the pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called a fuzzy supratopological space.

### 2. Gradations of supraopenness

DEFINITION 2.1. A gradation of supraopenness  $\tau$  on X is a map  $\tau: L^X \to L'$  satisfying the following properties, where L = L' = [0, 1]:

(S1)  $\tau(0_X) = \tau(1_X) = 1.$ 

(S2) For every subfamily  $\{A_i : i \in J\} \subset I^X$ , if  $\tau(A_i) > 0$ , then  $\tau(\bigcup_{i \in J} A_i) > 0$ .

Then the pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called an H-fuzzy supratopological space. If  $\tau$ is a crisp (i.e.  $L' = \{0, 1\}$ ), then the  $\tau$  is a classical fuzzy supratopology on X [1].

DEFINITION 2.2. A mapping  $\tau^*: I^X \to I$  is called a gradation of supraclosedness if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(C1)  $\tau^*(0_X) = \tau^*(1_X) = 1.$ 

(C2) For every subfamily  $\{A_i : i \in J\} \subset I^X$ , if  $\tau^*(A_i) > 0$ , then  $\tau^*(\cap_{i\in J}A_i) > 0.$ 

Obviously we get the following theorem from Definition 2.2.

THEOREM 2.3. (1) Let  $\tau$  be a gradation of supraopenness on X and  $\tau^*: I^X \to I$  be a mapping defined by  $\tau^*(A) = \tau(A^c)$ , where  $A^c$  is the complement of A. Then  $\tau^*$  is a gradiation of supraclosedness on X.

(2) Let  $\tau^*$  be a gradation of supraclosedness on X and  $\tau: I^X \to I$ be a mapping defined by  $\tau(A) = \tau^*(A^c)$ . Then  $\tau$  is a gradation of supraopenness on X.

*Proof.* (1). (C1) Obvious. (C2) For every subfamily  $\{A_i : i \in A_i\}$  $J \subset I^X$ , if  $\tau^*(A_i) > 0$ , then  $\tau(A_i^c) > 0$ . Since  $\tau$  is a gradation of supraopenness, we get  $\tau^*(\cap_{i \in J} A_i) = \tau(\bigcup_{i \in J} A_i^c) > 0$ 

(2). Similar to (1).

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  be the gradation of supraopenness on X. We say that  $\tau$  is finer than  $\sigma$  or  $\sigma$  is coarser than  $\tau$  (denoted by  $\tau > \sigma$  ) is  $\tau(A) \ge \sigma(A)$  for every  $A \in I^X$ .

DEFINITION 2.5. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy supratopological space and  $A \in I^X$ . Then

(1) The H-fuzzy supraclosure of A, denoted by sl(A), is defined by

$$sl(A) = \cap \{ K \in I^X : \tau^*(K) > 0, A \subset K \},\$$

where  $\tau^*(K) = \tau(K^c)$ .

(2) The H-fuzzy suprainterior of A, denoted by si(A), is defined by

$$si(A) = \bigcup \{ K \in I^X : \tau(K) > 0, K \subset A \}.$$

THEOREM 2.6. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy supratopoogical space and  $A, B \in I^X$ . Then

- $(1) \ si(1_X) = 1_X,$   $(2) \ si(A) \subset A,$  $(3) \ A \subset B \Rightarrow si(A) \subset si(B),$
- $(4) \ si(si(A)) = si(A),$

(5)  $si(A \cap B) \subset si(A) \cap si(B)$ .

*Proof.* (1),(2) and (3) can be obtained from Definition 2.5.

(4) For each  $A \in I^X$ , we get  $\tau(si(A)) = \tau(\bigcup\{K \in I^X : \tau(K) > 0, K \subset A\})$  by Definition 2.5. Since  $\tau$  is a gradation of supraopenness on X, we can say  $\tau(si(A)) > 0$ . Consequently we have si(si(A)) = si(A) from the concept of H-fuzzy suprainterior.

(5) From (2) we obtain  $si(A \cap B) \subset si(A)$  and  $si(A \cap B) \subset si(B)$ , and so easily (5) is obtained.

The following example shows that the equality of Theorem 2.6(5) is not true in general.

EXAMPLE 2.7. Let X = I and  $\tau : I^X \to I$  be defined by

$$\tau(A) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A(x) \le 1/2 \text{ for all } x \in X, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for each  $A \in I^X - \{0_X\}$ . Now we consider two fuzzy sets A, B defined as the following:

$$A(x) = x$$
, for all  $x \in X$ ,  
 $B(x) = 1 - x$ , for all  $x \in X$ 

Then  $\tau(A) = \tau(B) = 1$ , but  $\tau(A \cap B) = 0$ . Thus  $\tau$  is a gradation of supraopennes. And we have  $si(A) \cap si(B) = A \cap B$  and  $si(A \cap B) = 0_X$ , from the gradation  $\tau$  of supraopenness. Thus  $si(A) \cap si(B)$  is not equal to  $si(A \cap B)$ .

THEOREM 2.8. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy supratopological space and  $A, B \in I^X$ . Then

(1)  $sl(1_X) = 1_X,$ (2)  $A \subset sl(A),$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} (3) \ A \subset B \Rightarrow sl(A) \subset sl(B), \\ (4) \ sl(A) = sl(sl(A)), \\ (5) \ sl(A) \cup sl(B) \subset sl(A \cup B). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Similar to Theorem 2.6.

The following example shows the equality of Theorem 2.8(5) is not true in general.

EXAMPLE 2.9. Let X = I and  $\tau : I^X \to I$  be a gradation of suraopenness defined as Example 2.7. Let two fuzzy sets A, B be defined as the following:

$$A(x) = x,$$
 for all  $x \in X,$   
 $B(x) = 1 - x,$  for all  $x \in X.$ 

Since  $\tau^*(A) = \tau(A^c) = \tau(B) > 0$  and  $\tau^*(B) = \tau(B^c) = \tau(A) > 0$ , we have A = sl(A) and B = sl(B). Let C be a fuzzy set such that  $C \neq 1_X$  and  $A \cup B \subset C$ . Then  $\tau^*(C) = \tau(C^c) = 0$ , and so  $sl(A \cup B) = 1_X$ . Thus we have  $sl(A) \cup sl(B) \neq sl(A \cup B)$ .

THEOREM 2.10. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy supratopological space and  $A \in I^X$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{l} (1) \ (si(A))^c = sl(A^c). \\ (2) \ (sl(A))^c = si(A^c). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The proof is obtained from Definition 2.5.

THEOREM 2.11. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy supratopological space and  $A \in I^X$ . Then

(1)  $\tau(A) > 0$  iff A = si(A). (2)  $\tau^*(A) > 0$  iff A = sl(A).

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\tau(A) > 0$ . Then  $A \subset \bigcup \{K \in I^X : \tau(K) > 0, K \subset A\} = si(A)$ . Hence we get A = si(A) from Theorem 2.6(2).

For the converse, let A = si(A). Then

$$\tau(A) = \tau(si(A)) = \tau(\cup \{K \in I^X : \tau(K) > 0, K \subset A\}).$$

Since  $\tau$  is a gradation of supraopenness, we have  $\tau(A) > 0$ 

(2) Similar to (1).

181

DEFINITION 2.12. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy topological space and  $A \in I^X$ . Then the H-fuzzy interior of A, denoted by  $A^o$ , is defined by

$$A^o = \bigcup \{ K \in I^X : \tau(K) > 0, K \subset A \}.$$

THEOREM 2.13. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy topological space and  $A \in I^X$ . Then

(1)  $(A^{o})^{c} = (A^{c})^{-},$ (2)  $(A^{-})^{c} = (A^{c})^{o},$ (3)  $\tau(A) > 0$  iff  $A = A^{o}.$ 

*Proof.* Similar to Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 2.11.

THEOREM 2.14. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy topological space and  $A \in I^X$ . Then

(1)  $1_X^o = 1_X$ , (2)  $A^o \subset A$ , (3)  $A \subset B \Rightarrow A^o \subset B^o$ , (4)  $(A^o)^o = A^o$ , (5)  $(A \cap B)^o = A^o \cap B^o$ .

*Proof.* (1), (2) and (3) are obvious.

(4) For each  $A \in I^X$ , it is obvious  $\tau(A^o) > 0$ . So from Theorem 2.13(3), we get  $(A^o)^o = A^o$ .

(5) From (2) and (3), it is obvious  $(A \cap B)^o \subset A^o \cap B^o$ . Since  $\tau(A^o) > 0, \tau(B^o) > 0$  and  $\tau$  is a gradation of openness, we can say  $\tau(A^o \cap B^o) > 0$ . Therefore

$$A^{o} \cap B^{o} = (A^{o} \cap B^{o})^{o} \subset (A \cap B)^{o}.$$

Thus we have  $A^o \cap B^o = (A \cap B)^o$ .

DEFINITION 2.15. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy topological space and  $\tau_s$  be a gradation of supraopenness on X. We call  $\tau_s$  an associated gradation of supraopenness with  $\tau$  on X if for every  $A \in I^X, \tau(A) \leq \tau_s(A)$ .

Given a gradation of openness on X, we can find an associated gradation of supraopenness with  $\tau$  as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 2.16. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an H-fuzzy topological space. Define  $\tau_s: I^X \to I$  as

$$\tau_s(A) = \begin{cases} \tau(A^o), & \text{if } A \subset A^{o-}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $\tau_s(0_X) = \tau_s(1_X) = 1$ . For any index set J, let  $\tau_s(A_i) > 0$ for all  $i \in J$ . Easily we can show  $\cup A_i \subset (\cup A_i)^{o^-}$ , and from Theorem 2.13 and Theorem 2.14, we get  $\tau_s(\cup A_i) = \tau((\cup A_i)^o) > 0$ . Thus  $\tau_s$  is a gradation of supraopenness on X. Let  $\tau(A) > 0$  for  $A \in I^X$ . Since  $A \subset A^{o^-}$  and  $\tau(A) = \tau(A^o)$ , we have  $\tau(A) \leq \tau_s(A)$  for each  $A \in I^X$ . Thus  $\tau_s$  is an associated gradation of supraopenness with  $\tau$ .

#### 3. S-GRADATION PRESERVING MAPS.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be H-fuzzy topological spaces and  $\tau_s$  be an associated gradation of supraopenness with  $\tau$ . The map  $f: X \to Y$  is called

(1) an associated S-gradation preserving (S-gp-)map relative to  $\tau_s$  if for each  $A \in I^Y$ ,

$$\sigma(A) \le \tau_s(f^{-1}(A)).$$

(2) an associated weakly S-gradation preserving (wS-gp-)map relative to  $\tau_s$  if for each  $A \in I^Y$ ,

$$\sigma(A) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau_s(f^{-1}(A)) > 0.$$

The concepts of associated S-gradation preserving (S-gp-) maps and associated weakly S-gradation preserving (wS-gp-) maps depend on a given associated gradation of supraopenness. Thus if no confusion will arise, we simply call them S-gradation preserving (S-gp-) maps and weakly S-gradation preserving (wS-gp-) maps, respectively.

From the notions of S-gp-map and wS-gp-map, we get that S-gp-maps implie wS-gp-maps. However, the converse is not necessarily true as is evident from the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let  $A_1, A_2$  be fuzzy subsets of X = I, defined as

$$A_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1/2, \\ 2x - 1, & \text{if } 1/2 \le x \le 1; \end{cases}$$
$$A_2(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1/4, \\ -4x + 2, & \text{if } 1/4 \le x \le 1/2, \\ 0, & \text{if } 1/2 \le x \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\tau \colon I^X \to I$  be defined by

$$\tau(0_X) = \tau(1_X) = 1$$
  

$$\tau(A_1) = 1/2$$
  

$$\tau(A_2) = 1/3$$
  

$$\tau(A_3) = 1/4, \ A_3 = A_1 \cup A_2$$
  

$$\tau(B) = 0, \text{ if } B \neq A_i, i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let  $\sigma \colon I^X \to I$  be defined by

$$\sigma(0_X) = \sigma(1_X) = 1$$
  

$$\sigma(A_1) = 1/4$$
  

$$\sigma(A_2) = 1/3$$
  

$$\sigma(A_3) = 1/2, \ A_3 = A_1 \cup A_2$$
  

$$\sigma(B) = 0, \text{ if } B \neq A_i, i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We define  $\tau_s: I^X \to I$  as

$$\tau_s(A) = \begin{cases} \tau(A^o), & \text{if } A \subset A^{o-} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the identity mapping  $f: (X, \tau) \to (X, \sigma)$  is a wS-gp-map but not an S-gp-map.

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$  be H-fuzzy topological spaces and  $\tau_s$  be an associated gradation of supraopenness with  $\tau$ . If  $f: X \to Y$  is a map, then the following are equivalent:

 $\begin{array}{l} (1) \ f \ \text{is a wS-gp-map,} \\ (2) \ \sigma^*(B) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau_s^*(f^{-1}(B)) > 0, \ \text{for } B \in I^Y, \\ (3) \ f(sl(A)) \subset f(A)^-, \ \text{for } A \in I^X, \\ (4) \ sl(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(B^-), \ \text{for } B \in I^Y, \\ (5) \ f^{-1}(B^o) \subset si(f^{-1}(B)), \ \text{for } B \in I^Y. \end{array}$ 

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $\sigma^*(B) > 0$  for  $B \in I^Y$ . Then we get  $\sigma(B^c) > 0$ , and so  $\tau_s(f^{-1}(B^c)) > 0$  follows from (1). Finally we get  $\tau_s^*(f^{-1}(B)) > 0$  by Theorem 2.3.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). For  $A \in I^X$ , it is obvious  $\sigma^*(f(A)^-) > 0$  from Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.11. Thus we have  $\tau_s^*(f^{-1}(f(A)^-)) > 0$  by the condition (2).

And  $sl(f^{-1}(f(A)^{-})) = f^{-1}(f(A)^{-})$  follows from Theorem 2.11, so consequently we get  $f(sl(A)) \subset f(A)^{-}$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ . It is obvious.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Let  $B \in I^Y$ . Then  $\sigma(B^o) > 0$  implies  $\sigma^*((B^o)^c) > 0$ . From the condition (4) and Theorem 2.14, we get

$$sl(f^{-1}(B^o)^c) \subset f^{-1}(((B^o)^c)^-) = f^{-1}((B^o)^c).$$

It is obtained  $si(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(B^o)$  from Theorem 2.10.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Suppose  $\sigma(B) > 0$  for  $B \in I^Y$ , then  $B = B^o$  and from the condition (5) we get  $si(f^{-1}(B)) \supset f^{-1}(B^o) = f^{-1}(B)$ .

It follows that  $si(f^{-1}(B)) = f^{-1}(B)$ . Thus from Theorem 2.11 and Definition 2.12, we get  $\tau_s(f^{-1}(B)) > 0$ .

THEOREM 3.4. Let  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$  be H-fuzzy topological spaces and  $\tau_s$  be an associated gradation of supraopenness with  $\tau$ . A map f:  $X \to Y$  is S-gradation preserving if and only if  $\sigma^*(B) \leq \tau_s^*(f^{-1}(B))$ , for  $B \in I^Y$ ,

*Proof.* The proof is obvious from Theorem 2.3.

COROLLARY 3.5. Let  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$  be H-fuzzy topological spaces and  $\tau_s$  be an associated gradation of supraopenness with  $\tau$ . If  $f: X \to Y$  is an S-gp-map, then we have

(1)  $f(sl(A)) \subset (f(A))^{-}$ , for  $A \in I^{X}$ , (2)  $sl(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(B^{-})$ , for  $B \in I^{Y}$ , (3)  $f^{-1}(B^{0}) \subset si(f^{-1}(B))$ , for  $B \in I^{Y}$ .

DEFINITION 3.6. Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be H-fuzzy supratopological spaces. The map  $f: X \to Y$  is called

(1) an S<sup>\*</sup>-gradation preserving(S<sup>\*</sup>-gp-)map, if for each  $A \in I^Y$ ,

$$\sigma(A) \le \tau(f^{-1}(A)).$$

Won Keun Min, Chun-Kee Park and Myeong-Whan Kim

(2) a weakly S\*-gradation preverving (wS\*-gp-)map, if for each  $A \in$  $I^Y$ 

$$\sigma(A) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau(f^{-1}(A)) > 0.$$

Clearly  $S^*$ -gp-map  $\Rightarrow wS^*$ -gp-map but the converse may not be true.

EXAMPLE 3.7. Let  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma$  be two gradations of openness and let  $\tau_s$  be an associated gradation of supraopenness defined as it in Example 3.2. And we define  $\sigma_s: I^X \to I$  as  $\sigma_s(A) = \sigma(A^o)$ , if  $A \subset A^{o-}$ . Otherwise,  $\sigma_s(A) = 0.$ 

Then the identity mapping  $f: (X, \tau_s) \to (X, \sigma_s)$  is a wS<sup>\*</sup>-gp-map but not an  $S^*$ -gp-map.

THEOREM 3.8. Let  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$  be H-fuzzy supratopological spaces. If  $f: X \to Y$  is a map, then the following are equivalent: (1) f is a  $wS^*$ -gp-map, (2)  $\sigma^*(B) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau^*(f^{-1}(B)) > 0$ , for  $B \in I^Y$ ,

(3)  $f(sl(A)) \subset sl(f(A))$ , for  $A \in I^X$ ,

(4)  $sl(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(sl(B)), \text{ for } B \in I^Y,$ (5)  $f^{-1}(si(B)) \subset si(f^{-1}(B)), \text{ for } B \in I^Y.$ 

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $\sigma^*(B) > 0$  for  $B \in I^Y$ . Then  $\sigma(B^c) > 0$ , and from the condition (1)  $\tau(f^{-1}(B^c)) > 0$ . Thus we get  $\tau^*(f^{-1}(B)) > 0$ by Theorem 2.3.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). For  $A \in I^X$ , we get  $\tau^*(f^{-1}(sl(f(A)))) > 0$ , since  $\sigma^*(sl(f(A))) > 0$ . It follows that  $sl(f^{-1}(sl(f(A)))) = f^{-1}(sl(f(A)))$ from Theorem 2.11, and so we get  $f(sl(A)) \subset f(A)^{-}$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ . It is obvious from the condition (3).

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Let  $B \in I^Y$ . Then  $\sigma(si(B)) > 0$  implies  $\sigma^*((si(B))^c) > 0$ 0. From the condition (4) and Theorem 2.10, we get

$$sl(f^{-1}(si(B))^c) \subset f^{-1}(sl((si(B))^c)) = f^{-1}((si(B))^c).$$

Thus we have  $si(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(si(B))$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Suppose  $\sigma(B) > 0$  for  $B \in I^Y$ . Then B = si(B) and from the condition (5) we get

$$si(f^{-1}(B)) \supset f^{-1}(si(B)) = f^{-1}(B)$$

Thus we get  $\tau(f^{-1}(B)) > 0$ .

THEOREM 3.9. Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be H-fuzzy supratopological spaces. A map  $f: X \to Y$  is  $S^*$ -gradation preserving map if and only if  $\sigma^*(B) \leq \tau^*(f^{-1}(B))$ , for  $B \in I^Y$ .

*Proof.* Similar to Theorem 3.4.

The following corollary is obtained from Definition 3.6 and Theorem 3.8.

COROLLARY 3.10. Let  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$  be H-fuzzy supratopological spaces. If  $f: X \to Y$  is an  $S^*$ -gp-map, then we have  $(1) f(sl(A)) \subset sl(f(A)), \text{ for } A \in I^X,$  $(2) sl(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(sl(B))), \text{ for } B \in I^Y,$  $(3) f^{-1}(si(B)) \subset si(f^{-1}(B)), \text{ for } B \in I^Y.$ 

DEFINITION 3.11. Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be H-fuzzy topological spaces and let  $\tau_s$  and  $\sigma_s$  be associated gradations of supraopenness with  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$ , respectively. The map  $f: X \to Y$  is called

(1) an associated S<sup>\*</sup>-gradation preserving(S<sup>\*</sup>-gp-)map relative to  $\tau_s$  and  $\sigma_s$  if for each  $A \in I^Y$ ,

$$\sigma_s(A) \le \tau_s(f^{-1}(A)).$$

(2) an associated weakly  $S^*$ -gradation preserving(w $S^*$ -gp-)map relative to  $\tau_s$  and  $\sigma_s$  if for each  $A \in I^Y$ ,

$$\sigma_s(A) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau_s(f^{-1}(A)) > 0.$$

The concepts of associated  $S^*$ -gradation preserving $(S^*$ -gp-)maps and associated weakly  $S^*$ -gradation preserving $(wS^*$ -gp-)maps depend on given associated gradations of supraopenness. Thus if no confusion will arise, we simply call them  $S^*$ -gradation preserving $(S^*$ -gp-)maps and weakly  $S^*$ -gradation preserving $(wS^*$ -gp-)maps, respectively.

REMARK. Let  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$  be H-fuzzy topological spaces and let  $\tau_s$ ,  $\sigma_s$  be associated gradations of supraopenness with  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma$ , respectively. If  $f: X \to Y$  is a map, we get the diagrams:

 $\operatorname{gp-map} \Rightarrow S\operatorname{-gp-map} \Leftarrow S^*\operatorname{-gp-map}.$ 

wgp-map  $\Rightarrow$  wS-gp-map  $\Leftarrow$  wS\*-gp-map.

### References

- M.E. Abd El-Monsef and A.E Ramadan, On fuzzy supra topology spaces, Indian J. Pure. Appl. 18(4) (1987), 322–329.
- [2] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182–190.
- [3] R. N. Hazra, S. K. Samanta and Chattopashyay, *Fuzzy topology redefined*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 45 (1992), 79–82.
- [4] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control 8 (1965), 338–353.

Won keun Min Department of Mathematics Kangwon National University Chuncheon 200-701, Korea *E-mail*: wkmin@cc.kangwon.ac.kr

Chun-Kee Park Department of Mathematics Kangwon National University Chuncheon 200-701, Korea *E-mail*: ckpark@kangwon.ac.kr

Myeong Whan Kim Department of Mathematics Kangwon National University Chuncheon 200-701, Korea *E-mail*: kimmh@kangwon.ac.kr