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#### Abstract

In this paper, we obtain the sharp estimates for coefficients of harmonic, orientation-preserving, univalent mappings defined on $\Delta=\{z:|z|>1\}$ when harmonic mappings are of bounded variation on $|z|=1$.


## 1. Introduction

A continuous function $f=u+i v$ defined in a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is harmonic if $u$ and $v$ are real harmonic in $D$. Study of univalent harmonic functions is pioneered by J. G. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small. They[2] obtained a number of sharp results when a univalent harmonic orientation-preserving mapping $f$ defined in $\mathbb{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ is convex, convex in one direction, or close-to-convex. Hengartner and Schober[4] studied the class $\Sigma$ of all complex-valued, harmonic, orientation-preserving, univalent mappings $f$ defined on $\Delta=\{z:|z|>$ $1\}$, which are normalized at infinity by $f(\infty)=\infty$. Such functions admit the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}+A \log |z| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(z)=\alpha z+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{-k}$ and $g(z)=\beta z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{-k}$ are analytic in $\Delta$ and $0 \leq|\beta|<|\alpha|,|A| / 2 \leq|\alpha|+|\beta|$. In addition, $a=\overline{f_{\bar{z}}} / f_{z}$ is analytic and satisfies $|a(z)|<1$. In this paper, we obtain the sharp bounds for the Fourier coefficients of (1) when the harmonic mapping $f \in \Sigma$ is of bounded variation on $|z|=1$.
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## 2. Some Coefficient Estimates

Every homeomorphism of the unit circle onto a convex Jordan curve extends continuously to a univalent harmonic mapping of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ onto a convex domain bounded by a Jordan curve. T. Radó posed this as a problem. H. Kneser [5] gave an elegant solution which uses the monodromy theorem to deduce global univalence from local univalence. In the converse direction, a univalent harmonic function which maps the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ onto a strictly convex domain bounded by a Jordan curve has a continuous extension to $\overline{\mathbb{D}}[1]$. We also have the same result for the function $f \in \Sigma$ which maps onto the exterior $U$ of a strictly convex Jordan curve $\Gamma$.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $f$ is a complex-valued, harmonic, orientation-preserving, univalent mapping from $\Delta=\{z:|z|>1\}$ onto the exterior $U$ of a strictly convex Jordan curve $\Gamma$ with $f(\infty)=\infty$. Then $f$ has a continuous extension to $\bar{\Delta}$.

Proof. Since $f \in \Sigma, f$ has the representation (1). Consider $f(z)-$ $\alpha z-\overline{\beta z}-A \log |z|$. Then $f(1 / z)-\alpha / z-\overline{\beta / z}+A \log |z|$ is a bounded harmonic function in $|z|<1$. Thus $\operatorname{Lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left\{f\left(r^{-1} e^{-i \theta}\right)-\alpha r^{-1} e^{-i \theta}-\right.$ $\left.\bar{\beta} r^{-1} e^{i \theta}+A l o g r\right\}$ exists a.e. Therefore the radial limits $\operatorname{Lim}_{r \rightarrow 1^{+}} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ exists a.e. and belongs to $\Gamma$. A univalent analytic mapping $\phi$ from $\Delta$ onto $U$ extends homeomorphically to $\bar{\Delta}$, and $\phi(\partial \Delta)=\Gamma$. Let $\Phi=\phi^{-1}$ in $U$. Then $\Phi \circ f$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of $\Delta$ onto itself. Its radial limit function $k$ exists and has modulus 1 a.e. on $\partial \Delta$. By redefining $k$ on a set of measure zero, we may write $k\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=e^{i \eta(\theta)}$, where $\eta$ is a nondecreasing function on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\eta(\theta+2 \pi)=\eta(\theta)+2 \pi$. Define $E$ to be the at most countable set of points $e^{i \theta}$ on $\partial \Delta$ that correspond to the discontinuities, which are finite jumps, of $\eta$. On $(\partial \Delta) \backslash E$ the function $\phi \circ k$ is continuous and its values belong to $\Gamma$. At the points of the countable set $E$, the function $\phi \circ k$ has one-sided limits, which also belong to $\Gamma$ since $\Gamma$ is closed. Now $\phi \circ k$ and the radial limit function of $f$ agree almost everywhere, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z)-\alpha z & -\overline{\beta z}-A \log |z| \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{e^{i \theta}+z}{e^{i \theta}-z}\right]\left(\phi \circ k\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-\alpha e^{i \theta}-\bar{\beta} e^{-i \theta}\right) d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the unrestricted limits

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{z \rightarrow e^{i \theta}}\{f(z)-\alpha z-\overline{\beta z}-\operatorname{Alog}|z|\}
$$

exist and are equal to $\phi \circ k-\alpha e^{i \theta}-\bar{\beta} e^{-i \theta}$ at all points of $(\partial \Delta) \backslash E$. Therefore we conclude that the unrestricted limits $\hat{f}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \equiv \operatorname{Lim}_{z \rightarrow e^{i \theta}} f(z)$ exist and are equal to $\phi \circ k$ at all points of $(\partial \Delta) \backslash E$. Next, let $e^{i \theta_{0}}$ belong to $E$. Then the cluster set of $f$ at $\theta_{0}$ is the straight-line segment joining $A_{0}=\operatorname{Lim}_{\theta \uparrow \theta_{0}} \hat{f}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ to $B_{0}=\operatorname{Lim}_{\theta \downarrow \theta_{0}} \hat{f}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$. If $A_{0}=B_{0}$, then the cluster set is a singleton; so $f$ has a limit and $\hat{f}$ is continuous there. If $A_{0} \neq B_{0}$, then $\Gamma$ would have to contain line segments corresponding to points of the discontinuity set. Since $U^{c}$ is assumed to be strictly convex, the discontinuity set must be empty. Therefore $f$ extends continuously to $\bar{\Delta}$.

Lemma 2.2. If $f \in \Sigma$ and $f$ extends to be of bounded variation on $|z|=1$, then $L_{r} \leq L_{1}+6 \pi(|\alpha|+|\beta|)$ for $1 \leq r \leq 2$ where $L_{r}$ denotes the length of $f(|z|=r)$.

Proof. If $\psi(z)=f(z)-\alpha z-\overline{\beta z}-A \log |z|$, then $\psi(\infty)=a_{0}$. For any partition $P=\left[t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N}\right]$ of $[0,2 \pi]$, the expression $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \mid \psi\left(z e^{i t_{k}}\right)-$ $\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right) \mid$ is a subharmonic function of $z$ in $\Delta \cup\{\infty\}$. Hence, by the Maximum Principle for subharmonic functions, we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k}}\right)-\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)\right| \leq \limsup _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k}}\right)-\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)\right| .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k}}\right)-\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)\right| \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|f\left(z e^{i t_{k}}\right)-f\left(z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)-\alpha\left(z e^{i t_{k}}-z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)-\overline{\beta\left(z e^{i t_{k}}-z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\{\left|f\left(z e^{i t_{k}}\right)-f\left(z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)\right|-\left|e^{i t_{k}}-e^{i t_{k-1}}\right|(|\alpha|+|\beta|)|z|\right\} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k}}\right)-\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \limsup _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k}}\right)-\psi\left(z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \limsup _{|z| \rightarrow 1} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\{\left|f\left(z e^{i t_{k}}\right)-f\left(z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)\right|+(|\alpha|+|\beta|)|z|\left|e^{i t_{k}}-e^{i t_{k-1}}\right|\right\} \\
\leq & L_{1}+2 \pi(|\alpha|+|\beta|) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus this implies that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|f\left(z e^{i t_{k}}\right)-f\left(z e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)\right| \leq L_{1}+2 \pi(|\alpha|+|\beta|)(1+|z|)
$$

Let $z=r$, then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|f\left(r e^{i t_{k}}\right)-f\left(r e^{i t_{k-1}}\right)\right| \leq L_{1}+2 \pi(|\alpha|+|\beta|)(1+r)
$$

Since $P$ is arbitrary, we have $L_{r} \leq L_{1}+2 \pi(|\alpha|+|\beta|)(1+r)$. For $r \leq 2, L_{r} \leq L_{1}+6 \pi(|\alpha|+|\beta|)$.

Theorem 2.3. If $f \in \Sigma$ and $f$ extends to be of bounded variation on $|z|=1$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\alpha+\bar{b}_{1}\right| \leq \frac{L_{1}}{2 \pi}, \quad\left|\beta+\bar{a}_{1}\right| \leq \frac{L_{1}}{2 \pi} \\
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{L_{1}}{2 n \pi} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{L_{1}}{2 n \pi} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

where $L_{1}$ is the length of $f(|z|=1)$. The first inequality $\left|\alpha+\bar{b}_{1}\right| \leq$ $L_{1} /(2 \pi)$ is sharp for the function $f(z)=z+i /(2 \bar{z})+(1 / 2) \log |z|$. The
inequality $\left|\beta+\bar{a}_{1}\right| \leq L_{1} /(2 \pi)$ is sharp for the function $f(z)=z-1 / \bar{z}+$ $2 \log |z|$. The inequalities $\left|b_{n}\right| \leq L_{1} /(2 n \pi)$ and $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq L_{1} /(2 n \pi)$ for $n \geq$ 2 are sharp for the function $f(z)=z-1 / \bar{z}+2 \arg \left(\frac{1+i / z}{1-i / z}\right)$.

Proof. Let $n$ be any nonzero integer. Lemma 2.2 implies that the $L_{r}$ 's are uniformly bounded for $1 \leq r \leq 2$. By the Helly selection theorem, there exists a sequence $\left\langle r_{k}\right\rangle$ such that $r_{k} \searrow 1$ and $\int_{|z|=r_{k}} z^{n} d f \rightarrow \int_{|z|=1} z^{n} d f$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|z|=r_{k}} z^{n} d f= & \int_{|z|=r_{k}} z^{n}\left(f_{z} d z+f_{\bar{z}} d \bar{z}\right) \\
= & \int_{|z|=r_{k}} z^{n}\left\{\left(\alpha+\frac{A}{2 z}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}(-k) z^{-k-1}\right) d z\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\bar{\beta}+\frac{A}{2 \bar{z}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{k}(-k) \bar{z}^{-k-1}\right) d \bar{z}\right\} \\
= & \begin{cases}2 \pi i\left(\alpha+\bar{b}_{1} r_{k}^{-2}\right) & \text { if } n=-1 \\
2 \pi i\left(-n \bar{b}_{-n} r_{k}^{2 n}\right) & \text { if } n \leq-2 \\
2 \pi i\left(-a_{1}-r_{k}^{2} \bar{\beta}\right) & \text { if } n=1 \\
2 \pi i\left(-n a_{n}\right) & \text { if } n \geq 2,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|=1} z^{n} d f= \begin{cases}\alpha+\bar{b}_{1} & \text { if } n=-1 \\ -n \bar{b}_{-n} & \text { if } n \leq-2 \\ -a_{1}-\bar{\beta} & \text { if } n=1 \\ -n a_{n} & \text { if } n \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Since $\left|\int_{|z|=1} z^{n} d f\right| \leq \int_{|z|=1}|d f|=L_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\alpha+\bar{b}_{1}\right| \leq \frac{L_{1}}{2 \pi}, \quad\left|\beta+\bar{a}_{1}\right| \leq \frac{L_{1}}{2 \pi} \\
n\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{L_{1}}{2 \pi} \quad \text { and } \quad n\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{L_{1}}{2 \pi} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

These inequalities are equivalent to the desired ones.

Corollary 2.4. If $f \in \Sigma$ and $\mathbb{C} \backslash f(\Delta)$ is strictly convex, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\alpha+\bar{b}_{1}\right| \leq \frac{L}{2 \pi}, \quad\left|\beta+\bar{a}_{1}\right| \leq \frac{L}{2 \pi} \\
n\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{L}{2 \pi} \quad \text { and } \quad n\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{L}{2 \pi} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

where $L$ is the length of $\partial(\mathbb{C} \backslash f(\Delta))$.
Proof. Since $f \in \Sigma$ and $\mathbb{C} \backslash f(\Delta)$ is strictly convex, $f$ has a continuous extension to $\bar{\Delta}$ by Theorem 2.1. Thus $f$ extends to be of bounded variation on $|z|=1$. The equalities follow directly from Theorem 2.3. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. If $f \in \Sigma$ and $f(\Delta)=\Delta$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left|\alpha+\bar{b}_{1}\right| \leq 1, \quad\left|\beta+\bar{a}_{1}\right| \leq 1 \\
& \left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text { for } n \geq 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Delta$ is strictly convex and the unit circle has the length $2 \pi$, the inequalities follow directly from Corollary 2.4.

## References

1. G. Choquet, Sur un type de transformation analytique généralisant la représentation conforme et définie au moyen de fonctions harmoniques, Bull. Sci. Math.(2) 69 (1945), 156-165.
2. J. G. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I 9 (1984), 3-25.
3. P. Duren and G. Schober, A variational method for harmonic mappings onto convex regions, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 9 (1987), 153-168.
4. W. Hengartner and G. Schober, Univalent harmonic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 299 (1987), 1-31.
5. H. Kneser, Lösung der Aufgabe 41, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 35 (1926), 123-124.

Department of Mathematics
Seoul Women's University
126 Kongnung 2-dong, Nowon-Gu
Seoul, 139-774, Korea


[^0]:    Received April 14, 2003.
    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C15, 30C50.
    Key words and phrases: Harmonic, univalent functions.
    This work was supported by the research fund of Nat. Sci. Inst., Seoul Women's University, 2001.

