

Evaluation of Long-Term Performance of Composite Geotextiles for Reinforcement

보강용 복합 지오텍스타일의 장기성능 평가

*1 Jeon, Han-Yong 전한용

*2 Ryu, Jung-Jae 류중재

*3 Lee, Su-Nam 이수남

*4 An, Yang-Nim 안양님

*5 Cho, Bong-Gyun 조봉균

요 지

부직포와 결합한 보강용폴리에스터 직포 지오텍스타일을 제조한 다음 크리프 시험결과로부터 허용인장강도를 구하였다. 설계 및 시공을 고려한 안전율로부터 장기설계인장강도를 구하였고 보강기능을 조사하기 위하여 지오그리드와 복합 직포 지오텍스타일의 장기거동을 비교하였다. 실험결과로부터 보강용 직포 지오텍스타일이 지오그리드와 같은 충분한 보강성능이 있음을 확인할 수 있었고, 향후 추가실험을 통하여 복합 직포 지오텍스타일의 우수한 보강성능이 지속적으로 검증되어야 할 것으로 생각된다.

Abstract

Polyester woven geotextiles to be bonded with nonwovens were manufactured for reinforcement and the allowable strength of these were obtained by the results of creep tests. Long-term design strength were calculated in consideration with factors of safety for design and construction and the long-term behaviors of geogrids were compared to those of composite woven geotextiles to examine the reinforcement function. From the experimental results, it was confirmed that these woven composite geotextiles could have the sufficient performance as an alternative geosynthetics instead of geogrids and the further study will be continue to confirm the possibility of woven composite geotextiles as the excellent reinforcing material.

Keywords : Polyester woven geotextiles, Reinforcement, Creep test, Long-term design strength, Long-term behaviors

1. Introduction

Woven geotextiles have the reinforcement/ protection functions due to high tensile strengths in nature and

they are frequently used as reinforcements in civil and geotechnical fields, slopes, segmental retaining walls and so on [1].

In general, woven geotextiles are made of high

*1 Member, Professor, Faculty of Applied Chemical Eng., Chonnam National University, Gwangju, Korea

*2 Graduate Student, Dept. of Textile Eng., Chonnam Graduate School, Gwangju, Korea

*3 Graduate Student, Dept. of Textile Eng., Chonnam Graduate School, Gwangju, Korea

*4 Graduate Student, Dept. of Textile Eng., Chonnam Graduate School, Gwangju, Korea

*5 Manager, Dongbu Corporation, Seoul, Korea

tenacity polyester or polypropylene split yarns and low elongation woven geotextiles are used as reinforcing materials in construction fields [4].

The most important factor to affect on the allowable strength of woven geotextiles for reinforcement is the creep behavior and to interpret the long-term design strength this the accelerated creep tests were performed with different temperature [2,3].

In this study, polyester woven geotextiles to be bonded with nonwovens were manufactured as a kind of composite fabrics for reinforcement.

The allowable strength of these were obtained by the results of creep tests.

The long-term design strength were calculated in consideration with factors of safety for design and construction and the long-term behaviors of geogrids were compared to those of woven geotextiles to examine the reinforcement function.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1 Theory of creep deformation

‘Time-temperature superposition principle’ is applied to predict the long-term creep behaviors of geosynthetics and the relationship among strain-time-temperature in creep deformation could be explained by following equation:

$$E(T_{0,t}) = E\left(T, \frac{t}{a_T}\right) \quad (1)$$

where E is the creep modulus, T_0 and T are reference and test temperature, respectively, and a_T is the shift factor and a_T is the test period.

Shift factor, a_T , is the parameter to be related to the overlapping movements of each creep deformation curve to write the master curve for

long-term creep deformation with the different temperature and represented as

$$\text{Log } a_T = \frac{-C_1(T - T_0)}{(C_2 + T - T_0)} \quad (2)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants to be related to the geosynthetics respectively.

If the creep test were taken in lower temperature than T_g of polymer materials which compose the geosynthetics, these constants could be written by

$$C_1^g = \frac{C_1 C_2}{C_2 + T_g - T_0} \quad (3)$$

$$C_2^g = C_2 + T_g - T_0 \quad (4)$$

Therefore, eq. (2) is

$$\text{Log } a_T = \frac{-C_1^g(T - T_0)}{(C_2^g + T - T_0)} \quad (5)$$

The master curve of creep deformation with different temperature could be obtained by application a_T to overlapping operation by ‘time-temperature superposition principle’ for each creep curve.

By extrapolating the master curve to the creep strain axis, the allowable creep strain could be predicted for long-term scale.

The slope of this regressive equation means the creep deformation rate during geosynthetic’s service period.

2.2 Long-term design strength

Design strength of geosynthetics is calculated by eq. (6) in consideration with the factor of safety for design and construction as follows:

$$T_{design} = \frac{T_{allow}}{FS_{uc}} \quad (6)$$

where T_{design} and T_{allow} are the allowable and

design strength of geosynthetic and FS_{uc} is the factor of safety for design and construction.

Finally, long-term design strength for reinforcement of geosynthetics could be written by

$$T_{allow} = T_{ultimate} \left[\frac{1}{FS} \right] \quad (7)$$

where $T_{ultimate}$ is the ultimate strength of geosynthetics and FS is total factor of safety for design and construction

3. Experimental

3.1 Preparation of samples

700~2,000g/m² polypropylene needle punched nonwovens and 5~20 ton/m;(design strength) woven mats of 2,700 denier polyester split yarns were used to manufacture the woven geotextiles as a kind of composite fabrics.

For nonwovens, the high packing density web was used to strengthen the structural effects by fiber entanglements and to decrease the elongation against the applied stress.

The split yarns of warp and weft for woven geotextiles were manufactured specially to have the high performance and tenacity.

Woven geotextiles is located in the middle and the [Nonwoven/woven mat/nonwoven] structured composite fabrics were produced by needle punching and thermal bonding methods to obtain the rein-

forcement/protection effects.

Geogrids were used to compare the reinforcing effects and Table 1 shows the specifications of these geosynthetics.

3.2 Estimation of mechanical properties

3.2.1 Mechanical properties

Tensile properties were examined in accordance with ASTM D 4595-86 and the ultimate strength values and those at 10% strain were applied to calculate the partial factors of safety.

3.2.2 Creep deformations

Creep tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D5269-92 and different levels of temperatures




Fig. 1. Scheme of creep test Apparatus

Table 1. Specifications of geosynthetics

Geosynthetics	Specifications	Type	Design Strength, T_D (ton/m)	Composition
WGT-1		Geocomposite	5	NW/WM/NW
WGT-2		"	8	"
WGT-3		"	18	"
GG-1		Geogrid	5	Coated by PVC
GG-2		"	10	"
GG-3		"	20	"

Table 2. Wide-width tensile properties of geosynthetics

Geosynthetics	Tensile Properties		Machine Direction		Cross Direction	
	Strength (ton/m)	Strain (%)	Strength (ton/m)	Strain (%)	Strength (ton/m)	Strain (%)
WGT-1	4.7	12.1	4.8	11.5		
WGT-2	8.1	12.6	8.4	12.1		
WGT-3	18.2	12.3	18.6	11.8		
GG-1	5.2	11.4	2.7	15.9		
GG-2	10.6	13.6	3.8	14.5		
GG-3	22.6	12.8	7	12.1		

(20~60°C) were used to perform this accelerated test.

Fig. 1 shows the schematic of creep apparatus to be used in this experiment.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Tensile properties

The reinforcing geosynthetics should have excellent tensile and the fabric type geogrids are widely used in the field of soil retaining wall due to these reinforcing effects.

In this study, woven geotextiles were made to develop the reinforcing effects and confirm the alternative possibility instead of geogrids.

Table 2 shows the wide-width tensile properties of geosynthetics.

It is shown that there is no significant difference of tensile properties both machine and cross direction for woven geotextiles and this is due to the uniform evenness of woven geotextiles both directions.

But for geogrids, tensile properties of cross direction are smaller than those of machine direction and this is due to the structural specialty of geogrids e.g., aperture structure, tenacity of warp and weft, junction method, viscosity and bonding strength of coating materials etc.

From this, it is known that woven geotextiles are more excellent reinforcing materials than geogrids without regard to directions.

4.2 Creep behaviors

The master curves were obtained by the creep tests to be taken in the temperature range as 20~60°C and eq. (1)~(6).

Fig. 2~7 show the master curves of long-term creep deformations of geosynthetics.

From these results of creep deformations, it is shown that the slopes of regression curves for woven geotextiles were a little smaller than those for geogrids at the same design strength level.

It is seen that the applied stress could be distributed with fiber and yarn orientation direction for woven geotextiles but concentrated on the warp direction for geogrids.

It means that this is a kind of evidence that woven geotextiles would be an alternative reinforcing material instead of geogrid.

While it is known that the larger the design strength of woven geotextiles and geogrids, the lower the stability on the creep deformation.

WGT-1, -2, -3 and GG-1, -2, -3 show the same creep behaviors without regard to the applied loads but the initial creep strains of these are different and this is due to the composition of geosynthetics as considered as the case of tensile properties.

From this consideration, it is concluded that WGT s would be a reinforcing geosynthetics.




Fig. 2. The long-term creep deformation of WGT-1




Fig. 3. The long-term creep deformation of WGT-2




Fig. 4. The long-term creep deformation of WGT-3




Fig. 5. The long-term creep deformation of GG-1




Fig. 6. The long-term creep deformation of GG-2




Fig. 7. The long-term creep deformation of GG-3

4.3 Factors of safety for creep deformation

The factor of safety for creep deformations of geosynthetics could be the following equation

$$F_{cr} = \frac{T_{ultimate}}{T_{10\%}} \quad (8)$$

where $T_{10\%}$ is 10 year design life strength of

Table 3. Long-term design strength T_{LTD} of geosynthetics

Geosynthetics	Long-Term Property		
	FS_{LTD}	T_D	T_{LTD} (= T_D/FS_{LTD}) (ton/m)
WGT-1	2.55	5	1.96
WGT-2	2.35	8	3.4
WGT-3	2.24	18	8.04
GG-1	2.25	5	2.22
GG-2	2.23	10	4.48
GG-3	2.23	20	8.97

geosynthetics in sustained ASTM D 5262.

The factors of safety for creep deformation are as following:

* WGT-1, -2, -3 ; 1.84, 1.81, 1.80

* GG-1, -2, -3 ; 1.87, 1.84, 1.82

4.4 Long-term design strength

The long-term design strength of WGTs and GGs could be calculated by

$$T_{LTD} = T_D / FS_{LTD} \quad (9)$$

and the total factor of safety, FS, is

$$FS_{LTD} = FS_{ID} \times FS_{CR} \times FS_{CD} \times FS_{BD} \times FS_{JCT} \quad (10)$$

where, FS_{ID} is the factor of safety for installation damage, FS_{CR} is the factor of safety for creep deformation, FS_{CD} is the factor of safety for chemical degradation, FS_{BD} is the factor of safety for biological degradation, FS_{JCT} is the factor of safety

for joint, respectively.

Table 3 shows the long-term design strength of geosynthetics and it is known that WGTs and GGs are the same tendency as the reinforcing geosynthetics.

From the above results, it was confirmed that woven geotextiles could have the sufficient performance as an alternative geosynthetics instead of geogrids.

The further study will be continue to confirm the possibility of woven geotextiles as the excellent reinforcing material.

References

1. ASTM, (1995), *ASTM Standard on Geosynthetics*, ASTM, Philadelphia, PA.
2. GRI, (1998), *GRI Test Methods & Standards*, Drexel Univ., Philadelphia, PA.
3. R. D. Holtz, (1997), *Geosynthetic Engineering*, BiTech Pub. Ltd., Richmond, Chapter 3.
4. Koerner, R. M, (1998), *Designing with Geosynthetics*, 4th Edition, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, pp.162-234.