

## THE DUAL OF A FORMULA OF VISKOV

FRANCISZEK HUGON SZAFRANIEC

ABSTRACT. This minipaper offers a formula which is dual to that of Viskov [5]. While Viskov's can be thought of as a rising formula for Laguerre polynomials, ours is precisely the lowering one. Besides documenting the formula, which seems to be missing, we want to provide a (rather elementary) operator theory argument instead of making crude calculations. In other words, the annihilation and creation *operators* are confronted with lowering and rising *formulae*; they are often failed to be distinguished.

The Laguerre polynomials  $L_n^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ , can be given as

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{1}{n!} x^{-\alpha} e^x \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [x^{n+\alpha} e^{-x}], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad L_0^{(\alpha)} = 1.$$

The formula proven in [5] and generalizing that of [2] is

$$(1) \quad (n+1)L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(x)x = [-xD^2 - (\alpha+1-2x)D + (\alpha+1-x)]L_n^{(\alpha)}(x),$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  with the shorthand notation  $D \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \frac{d}{dx}$ .

### The dual formula

The formula is (with convention  $L_{-1}^{(\alpha)} = 0$ )

$$(2) \quad (n+\alpha)L_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(x) = [-xD^2 - (\alpha+1)D + 1]L_n^{(\alpha)}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

To *prove* it consider the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{L}^2([0, +\infty), x^\alpha e^{-x} dx)$  and denote by  $R$  the operator which appears in the right hand side of (1), that is more precisely

$$R \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} -xD^2 - (\alpha+1-2x)D + (\alpha+1-x), \quad \mathcal{D}(R) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \mathbb{C}[X].$$

---

Received October 2, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 33C45; Secondary 47A05.

Key words and phrases: Laguerre polynomials, raising and lowering formulae, weighted shift operator.

If  $\langle \cdot, - \rangle$  stands for the inner product in  $\mathcal{L}^2([0, +\infty), x^\alpha e^{-x} dx)$ , integration by parts gives us for  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(R)$

$$\langle xDf, g \rangle = fx^{\alpha+1}e^{-x}\bar{g}|_0^{+\infty} - \int_0^{+\infty} (f((\alpha+1)-x)x^\alpha e^{-x}\bar{g} + fx^{\alpha+1}e^{-x}\bar{g}')dx.$$

Since  $\alpha > -1$ , we infer that  $\mathbb{C}[X] \subset \mathcal{D}((xD)^*)$  and

$$(3) \quad (xD)^* = -(\alpha + 1) + x(1 - D).$$

Now we can perform further calculations as follows (using (3) a couple of times and the fact that multiplication by  $x$  in the Hilbert space in question is a symmetric operator)

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Rf, g \rangle \\ &= -\langle (xD + \alpha + 1)Df, g \rangle + 2\langle xDf, g \rangle + (\alpha + 1)\langle f, g \rangle - \langle xf, g \rangle \\ &= -\langle Df, x(1 - D)g \rangle + 2\langle xDf, g \rangle + (\alpha + 1)\langle f, g \rangle - \langle xf, g \rangle \\ &= -\langle xDf, (1 - D)g \rangle + 2\langle xDf, g \rangle + (\alpha + 1)\langle f, g \rangle - \langle f, xg \rangle \\ &= -\langle f, (-\alpha + 1) + x(1 - D)(1 - D)g \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\langle f, (-\alpha + 1) + x(1 - D)g \rangle + (\alpha + 1)\langle f, g \rangle - \langle f, xg \rangle \\ &= \langle f, [-xD^2 - (\alpha + 1)D + 1]g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad R^* = -xD^2 - (\alpha + 1)D + 1.$$

Set  $l_n^{(\alpha)} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}} L_n^{(\alpha)}$ . Then (1) reads as

$$(5) \quad R l_n^{(\alpha)} = \sqrt{(n+1)(n+\alpha+1)} l_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}.$$

Due to orthogonality

$$\int_0^\infty L_m^{(\alpha)}(x)L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)x^\alpha e^{-x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!} \delta_{m,n}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$\{l_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis in  $\mathcal{L}^2([0, +\infty), x^\alpha e^{-x} dx)$ . Thus the operator  $R$  act as an unbounded weighted shift with respect to  $\{l_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^\infty$  with the weights  $\{\sqrt{(n+1)(n+\alpha+1)}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Consequently, the adjoint  $R^*$  must necessarily be a backward weighted shift, that is

$$R^* l_n^{(\alpha)} = n\sqrt{n(n+\alpha)} l_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}.$$

Going back to the Laguerre polynomials  $\{L_n^{(\alpha)}\}_{n=0}^\infty$  we get immediately by (4) the wanted formula (2).  $\square$

### Back to Viskov's formula

For the reader to enjoy more the paper we propose a brisk *proof* of (1). It uses the well know formula

$$[1 - D]L_{n-1}^{(\alpha)} = -DL_n^{(\alpha)}$$

as well as the Laguerre differential equation

$$[xD^2 + (\alpha + 1 - x)D]L_n^{(\alpha)} = -nL_n^{(\alpha)}$$

and goes as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & [-xD^2 - (\alpha + 1 - 2x)D + (\alpha + 1 - x)]L_n^{(\alpha)} \\ &= [xD + (\alpha + 1 - x)][1 - D]L_n^{(\alpha)} = [xD + (\alpha + 1 - x)][-D]L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)} \\ &= (n + 1)L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

### References

- [1] R. Koekoek and R. F. Swarttouw, *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue*, Delft University of Technology, Report of the Department of Technical Mathematics and Informatics no. 98-17, 1998.
- [2] L. B. Redeï, *An identity for Laguerre polynomials*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **37** (1975), 115–116.
- [3] Jan Stochel and F. H. Szafraniec, *A few assorted questions about unbounded subnormal operators*, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. **28** (1991), 163–170.
- [4] F. H. Szafraniec, *Orthogonal polynomials and subnormality of related shift operators*, "Orthogonal polynomials and their applications" Proc., Segovia (Spain), 1986 Monogr. Acad. Cienc. Zaragoza R.-C. Palacios **1** (1988), 153–155.
- [5] O. V. Viskov, *L. B. Redeï identity for Laguerre polynomials [in Russian]*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **39** (1977), 27–28.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIWERSYTET JAGIELLOŃSKI, UL. REYMONTA 4, PL-30059 KRAKÓW  
*E-mail*: fhszafra@im.uj.edu.pl