

AN EXTENSION OF THE FUGLEDE-PUTNAM THEOREM TO w -HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

HYUNG KOO CHA

ABSTRACT. The Fuglede-Putnam Theorem is that if A and B are normal operators and X is an operator such that $AX = XB$, then $A^*X = X^*B^*$. In this paper, we show that if A is w -hyponormal and B^* is invertible w -hyponormal such that $AX = XB$ for a Hilbert-Schmidt operator X , then $A^*X = X^*B^*$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable complex Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the $*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on \mathcal{H} . An operators T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called normal $T^*T = TT^*$ and p -hyponormal if $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$, where $0 < p \leq 1$. In particular, 1-hyponormal is called hyponormal and $\frac{1}{2}$ -hyponormal is called semi-hyponormal. The Löwner-Heinz inequality implies that if T is p -hyponormal, then it is q -hyponormal for any $0 < q \leq p$. Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T , where U is partial isometry, then $|T|$ is a positive square root of T^*T and $\ker T = \ker |T| = \ker U$.

Aluthge [1] introduced the operator $\tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which is called the Aluthge transform. An operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be w -hyponormal if $|\tilde{T}| \geq |T| \geq |\tilde{T}^*|$. Evidently, if T is w -hyponormal, then \tilde{T} is semi-hyponormal. Aluthge & Wang [2, 3] proved that if an operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is p -hyponormal, then it is w -hyponormal and also show the following results:

Theorem 1.1 (Aluthge & Wang [2]). *If T is an invertible w -hyponormal operator, then so is T^{-1} .*

Received by the editors June 27, 2003 and, in revised form, November 21, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 47B20.

Key words and phrases. w -hyponormal, Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

This paper was supported by research fund Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea, 2002.

Theorem 1.2 (Aluthge & Wang [2]). *Let T be a w -hyponormal operator. If $Tx = \lambda x, \lambda \neq 0$, then $T^*x = \bar{\lambda}x$.*

Theorem 1.3 (Aluthge & Wang [3]). *An operator T is w -hyponormal if and only if*

$$|T| \geq (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}|T^*||T|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |T^*| \leq (|T^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}|T||T^*|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

An operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be M -hyponormal for $M \geq 0$ if $\|(T - zI)^*x\| \leq M\|(T - zI)x\|$ for all complex numbers z and for all x in \mathcal{H} , and dominant if $R(T - zI) \subset R(T^* - \bar{z}I)$ for all complex numbers z , where $R(T)$ is the range of an operator T .

The following inclusions are well-known and proper (cf. Aluthge & Wang [2], Patel [12], Stampfli & Wadachwa [13], Wadachwa [14] and Xia [15]).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Normal} &\subset \text{Hyponormal} \subset p\text{-Hyponormal for } \frac{1}{2} < p < 1 \\ &\subset \text{semihyponormal} \subset p\text{-Hyponormal for } 0 < p < \frac{1}{2} \\ &\subset w\text{-Hyponormal,} \\ \text{Hyponormal} &\subset M\text{-hyponormal} \subset \text{Dominant.} \end{aligned}$$

The well known Fugledge-Putnam theorem asserts that if A and B are normal operators and $AX = XB$ for some operator X , then $A^*X = XB^*$ (Conway [6], Halmos [8]). In past years several authors have extended this theorem for non-normal operators (cf. Berberian [4], Chō & Huruya [5], Duggal [7], Moore, Rogers & Trent [9], Radjabalipour [11], Patel [12] and Stampfli & Wadachwa [13]).

Duggal [7] has extended the result by assuming A and B^* to be dominant and M -hyponormal respectively. However the result fails to hold in case when A is M -hyponormal and B^* is dominant.

Berberian [4] has extended the result by assuming A and B^* are hyponormal and X is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Recently, Chō & Huruya [5] have extended the result by assuming A, B^* and X to be p -hyponormal, invertible p -hyponormal and Hilbert-Schmidt respectively and also Patel [12] has extended the result by assuming A and B^* are p -hyponormals which have reducing normal part.

In this paper, we show that the p -hyponormality of A and B^* in the result of Chō & Huruya can be replaced by the w -hyponormality.

2. THE MAIN THEOREM

Let T be an operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and suppose that $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} .

We define the Hilbert -Schmidt norm of T to be

$$\|T\|_2 = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Te_n\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This definition is independent of the choice of basis (cf. Conway [6], Murphy [10]). If $\|T\|_2 < \infty$, T is said to be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and we denote the set of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ by $B_2(\mathcal{H})$.

Let $B_1(\mathcal{H})$ be the set $\{C = AB \mid A, B \in B_2(\mathcal{H})\}$. Then operators belonging to $B_1(\mathcal{H})$ are called trace class operators.

We define a linear functional

$$\text{tr} : B_1(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by $\text{tr}(C) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Ce_n, e_n)$ for an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ for \mathcal{H} .

In this case, the definition of $\text{tr}(C)$ does not depend on the choice of an orthonormal basis and $\text{tr}(C)$ is called the trace of C . Then we know the followings:

Theorem 2.1 (Conway [6], Murphy [10]). *We have the following properties.*

- a) *The set $B_2(\mathcal{H})$ is self-adjoint ideal of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.*
- b) *If $(A, B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Ae_n, Be_n) = \text{tr}(B^*A) = \text{tr}(AB^*)$ for A and B in $B_2(\mathcal{H})$ and for any orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ for \mathcal{H} , then (\cdot, \cdot) is an inner product on $B_2(\mathcal{H})$ and $B_2(\mathcal{H})$ is a Hilbert space with respect to this inner product.*

Theorem 2.2 (Conway [6], Murphy [10]). *If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $A \in B_2(\mathcal{H})$, then $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$, $\|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\|\|A\|_2$ and $\|AT\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2\|T\|$.*

For each pair operators A, B in $B_2(\mathcal{H})$, there is an operator \mathcal{J} defined on $B_2(\mathcal{H})$ via the formula $\mathcal{J}X = AXB$, which is due to Berberian [4]. Evidently, by the above Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, $\|\mathcal{J}\| \leq \|A\|\|B\|$ and the adjoint of \mathcal{J} is given by the formula $\mathcal{J}^*X = A^*XB^*$, as one sees from the calculation $(\mathcal{J}^*X, Y) = (X, \mathcal{J}Y) = (X, AYB) = \text{tr}((AYB)^*X) = \text{tr}(XB^*Y^*A^*) = \text{tr}(A^*XB^*Y^*) = (A^*XB^*, Y)$. If $A \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$, then also $\mathcal{J} \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\frac{1}{2}}X = A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}$ because of

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{J}X, X) &= \text{tr}(AXBX^*) = \text{tr}(A^{\frac{1}{2}}XBX^*A^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \text{tr}((A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}})(A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}})^*) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. *If A and B^* are w -hyponormal operators, then the operator \mathcal{J} in $\mathcal{L}(B_2(\mathcal{H}))$ defined by $\mathcal{J}X = AXB$ is also w -hyponormal.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{J}^*\mathcal{J}X = A^*AXB$ and $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J}^*X = AA^*XB^*B$ for any operator X in $B_2(\mathcal{H})$, we get $|\mathcal{J}|X = |A|X|B^*$ and $|\mathcal{J}^*|X = |A^*|X|B|$ and so, $|\mathcal{J}|^{\frac{1}{2}}X = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}X|B^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|\mathcal{J}^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}X = |A^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}X|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus, we have

$$|\mathcal{J}|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathcal{J}^*||\mathcal{J}|^{\frac{1}{2}}X = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}|A^*||A|^{\frac{1}{2}}X|B^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}|B||B^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{J}^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathcal{J}||\mathcal{J}^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}X = |A^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}|A||A^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}X|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}|B^*||B|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and hence,

$$(|\mathcal{J}|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathcal{J}^*||\mathcal{J}|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}X = (|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}|A^*||A|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}X(|B^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}|B||B^*|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$(|\mathcal{J}^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathcal{J}||\mathcal{J}^*|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}X = (|A^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}|A||A^*|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}X(|B|^{\frac{1}{2}}|B^*||B|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since operator A and B^* are w -hyponormal, by Theorem 1.3, we obtain

$$(|\mathcal{J}|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathcal{J}^*||\mathcal{J}|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}X \leq |A|X|B^*| = |J|X$$

and

$$(|\mathcal{J}^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\mathcal{J}||\mathcal{J}^*|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}X \geq |A^*|X|B| = |J^*|X,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.4. *If A is w -hyponormal and B^* is invertible w -hyponormal such that $AX = XB$ for any operator X in $B_2(\mathcal{H})$, then $AX^* = XB^*$*

Proof. Let \mathcal{J} be the operator on $B_2(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $\mathcal{J}X = AYB^{-1}$. Since $(B^*)^{-1} = (B^{-1})^*$ is w -hyponormal by Theorem 1.1, by Lemma 2.3, \mathcal{J} is also w -hyponormal. The hypothesis $AX = XB$ implies $\mathcal{J}X = AXB^{-1} = X$ and so, by Theorem 1.2, $J^*X = X$. Hence we have $A^*X(B^{-1})^* = J^*X = X$. Therefore, $A^*X = XB^*$ which is the desired relation. \square

REFERENCES

1. A. Aluthge: On p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$. *Integral Equation and Operator Theory* **13** (1990), no. 3, 307–315. MR **91a**:47025
2. A. Aluthge & D. Wang: w -hyponormal operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **36** (2000), no. 1, 1–10. MR **2000k**:47024

3. ———: w -hyponormal operators. II. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **37** (2000), no. 3, 324–331. MR **2001i**:47032
4. S. K. Berberian: Extensions of a theorem of Fuglede and Putnam. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **71** (1978), no. 1, 113–114. MR **58**#7176
5. M. Chō & T. Huruya: p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$. *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.* **33** (1993), 23–29. MR **95b**:47021
6. J. B. Conway: *Subnormal operators*, Research Notes in Mathematics, 51. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, Mass.-London, 1981. MR **83i**:47030
7. B. P. Duggal: On dominant operators. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **46** (1986), no. 4, 353–359. MR **87h**:47056
8. P. R. Halmos: *A Hilbert space problem book*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 19. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 17. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. MR **84e**:47001
9. R. L. Moore, D. D. Rogers & T. T. Trent: A note on intertwining M -hyponormal operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1981), no. 3, 514–516. MR **82j**:47033
10. G. J. Murphy: *C^* -algebras and operator theory*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990. MR **91m**:46084
11. M. Radjabalipour: An extension of Putnam-Fuglede theorem for hyponormal operators. *Math. Z.* **194** (1987), no. 1, 117–120. MR **88c**:47065
12. S. M. Patel: On intertwining p -hyponormal operators. *Indian J. Math.* **38** (1996), no. 3, 287–290. MR **99g**:47043
13. J. G. Stampfli & B. L. Wadhwa: An asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem for dominant operators. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **25** (1976), no. 4, 359–365. MR **53**#14197
14. B. L. Wadhwa: M -hyponormal operators. *Duke Math. J.* **41** (1974), 655–660. MR **49**#11302
15. D. Xia: *Spectral theory of hyponormal operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 10. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1983. MR **87j**:47036

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, 17 HAENGDANG-DONG, SEONGDONG-GU, SEOUL 133-791, KOREA

Email address: hkcha@hanyang.ac.kr -