

ON THE FEKETE-SZEGÖ PROBLEM FOR CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

OH SANG KWON AND NAK EUN CHO

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{CS}_\alpha(\beta)$ denote the class of normalized strongly α -close-to-convex functions of order β , defined in the open unit disk \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{C} by

$$\left| \arg \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} + \alpha \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \beta \quad (\alpha, \beta \geq 0),$$

such that $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$, the class of normalized starlike functions. In this paper, we obtain the sharp Fekete-Szegö inequalities for functions belonging to $\mathcal{CS}_\alpha(\beta)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of analytic functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1.1}$$

which are univalent in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ & let \mathcal{S}^* be the subclass of \mathcal{S} consisting of all starlike functions. A classical theorem of Fekete and Szegö [4] states that, for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ given by (1.1),

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu & \text{if } \mu \leq 0, \\ 1 + 2e^{-2\mu/(1-\mu)} & \text{if } 0 \leq \mu < 1, \\ 4\mu - 3 & \text{if } \mu \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

The inequality is sharp in the sense that for each μ , there exists a function in \mathcal{S} such that equality holds. There are also several results of this type in the literature. Various interesting developments involving the Fekete-Szegö problem can be found in Abdel-Gawad & Thomas [1], Keogh & Merkes [7] and London [8].

Received by the editors June 18, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. univalent, starlike, Fekete-Szegö problem, close-to-star, close-to-convex, strongly α -close-to-convex.

We denote by $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ the class of strongly close-to-convex functions of order β . Thus $f \in \mathcal{K}(\beta)$ if and only if there exists $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$ such that

$$\left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad (\beta \geq 0; z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

For $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, the class $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ is a subclass of close-to-convex functions introduced by Kaplan [6] and hence contains only univalent functions. However, Goodman [5] showed that $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ can contain functions with infinite valence for $\beta > 1$. The Fekete-Szegö problems for $\mathcal{K}(1)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$, respectively, have been solved by Keogh & Merkes [7] and London [8], respectively.

We now introduce a new class which covers the class $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ as follows:

Definition. A function $f \in \mathcal{S}$, given by (1.1) is said to be strongly α -close-to-convex of order β if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$ such that

$$\left| \arg \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} + \alpha \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \quad (\alpha, \beta \geq 0; z \in \mathcal{U}). \tag{1.2}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{CS}_\alpha(\beta)$ the class of strongly α -close-to-convex functions of order β . We note that $\mathcal{CS}_0(1) = \mathcal{CS}$, the class of close-to-star functions introduced by Reade [10] and $\mathcal{CS}_1(\beta) = \mathcal{K}(\beta)$.

The purpose of the present paper is to prove the sharp Fekete-Szegö inequalities for the functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{CS}_\alpha(\beta)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem. Let $f \in \mathcal{CS}_\alpha(\beta)$ and be given by (1.1). Then for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, we have

$$2(1 + 2\alpha)|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{2(1 + \beta)^2((1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu)}{(1 + \alpha)^2} & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{\beta(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(1 + \beta)(1 + 2\alpha)}, \\ 1 + 2\beta + \frac{2((1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu)}{(1 + \alpha)^2 - \beta((1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu)} & \text{if } \frac{\beta(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(1 + \beta)(1 + 2\alpha)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(1 + 2\alpha)}, \\ 1 + 2\beta & \text{if } \frac{(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(1 + 2\alpha)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(\beta + 2)(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(\beta + 1)(1 + 2\alpha)}, \\ -1 + \frac{2(1 + \beta)^2(2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu - (1 + \alpha)^2)}{(1 + \alpha)^2} & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{(\beta + 2)(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(\beta + 1)(1 + 2\alpha)}. \end{cases}$$

For each μ , there is a function in $\mathcal{CS}_\alpha(\beta)$ such that equality holds in all cases.

To prove above Theorem, we need the following.

Lemma. *Let p be analytic in \mathcal{U} and satisfying $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$, with $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$. Then*

$$|p_n| \leq 2 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\left| p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right| \leq 2 - \frac{|p_1|^2}{2}. \tag{2.2}$$

The inequality (2.1) can be first proved by Carathéodory [2] (also, see Duren [3], p. 41) and the inequality (2.2) can be found in [Pommerenke [9], p. 166].

Proof of Theorem. Let $f \in \mathcal{CS}_\alpha(\beta)$. Then it follows from (1.2) that we may write

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} + \alpha \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} = p^\beta(z), \tag{2.3}$$

where g is starlike and p has positive real part. Let $g(z) = z + b_2z^2 + b_3z^3 + \dots$, and let $p(z)$ be given as in Lemma. Then by equating coefficients of both side of (2.3), we obtain

$$(1 + \alpha)a_2 = b_2 + \beta p_1$$

and

$$(1 + 2\alpha)a_3 = b_3 + \beta p_1 b_2 + \frac{\beta(\beta - 1)}{2} p_1^2 + \beta p_2.$$

So, with

$$x = \frac{(1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu}{(1 + \alpha)^2},$$

we have

$$(1 + 2\alpha)(a_3 - \mu a_2^2) = b_3 + \frac{1}{2}(x - 1)b_2^2 + \beta(p_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta x - 1)p_1^2) + \beta x p_1 b_2. \tag{2.4}$$

Since rotations of f also belong to $\mathcal{CS}_\alpha(\beta)$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $a_3 - \mu a_2^2$ is positive. Thus we now estimate $\operatorname{Re}(a_3 - \mu a_2^2)$.

Since $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$, there exists $h(z) = 1 + k_1z + k_2z^2 + \dots (|z| < 1)$ with positive real part such that $zg'(z) = g(z)h(z)$, and so equating coefficients, we have $b_2 = k_1$ and $b_3 = (k_2 + k_1^2)/2$. Hence, by Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left(b_3 + \frac{1}{2}(x - 1)b_2^2 \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(k_2 - \frac{1}{2}k_1^2 \right) + \frac{1 + 2x}{4} \operatorname{Re} k_1^2 \\ &\leq 1 - \rho^2 + (1 + 2x)\rho^2 \cos 2\phi, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $b_2 = k_1 = 2\rho e^{i\phi}$ for some ρ in $[0,1]$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left(p_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta x - 1)p_1^2 \right) &= \operatorname{Re} \left(p_2 - \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2}\beta x \operatorname{Re} p_1^2 \\ &\leq 2(1 - r^2) + 2\beta x r^2 \cos 2\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $p_1 = 2re^{i\theta}$ for some r in $[0,1]$. From (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} (1 + 2\alpha)(a_3 - \mu a_2^2) \\ &\leq 1 - \rho^2 + (1 + 2x)\rho^2 \cos 2\phi + 2\beta(1 - r^2 + r^2\beta x \cos 2\theta) + 4\beta x r \rho \cos(\theta + \phi), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

and we now proceed to maximize the right-hand side of (2.7). This function will be denote $\psi(x)$ whenever all parameters except x are held constant.

At first, we assume that

$$\frac{\beta(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(1 + \beta)(1 + 2\alpha)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(1 + 2\alpha)},$$

so that $0 \leq x \leq 1/(1 + \beta)$. Since the expression $-t^2 + t^2\beta x \cos 2\theta + 2xt$ is the largest when $t = x/1 - \beta x \cos 2\theta$, we have

$$-t^2 + t^2\beta x \cos 2\theta + 2xt \leq \frac{x^2}{1 - \beta x \cos 2\theta} \leq \frac{x^2}{1 - \beta x}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &\leq 1 + 2x + 2\beta \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{1 - \beta x} \right) \\ &= 1 + 2\beta + \frac{2((1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu)}{(1 + \alpha)^2 - \beta((1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu)} \end{aligned}$$

and with (2.7) this establishes the second inequality in the theorem. Equality occurs only if

$$p_1 = \frac{2((1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu)}{(1 + \alpha)^2 - \beta((1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu)}, \quad p_2 = 2, \quad b_2 = 2, \quad b_3 = 3,$$

and the corresponding function f is defined by

$$(1 - \alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} \left(\lambda \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \right)^\beta,$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{(1 + \alpha)^2 + (1 - \beta)((1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu)}{2((1 + \alpha)^2 - \beta((1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu))}.$$

We now prove the first inequity. Let

$$\mu \leq \frac{\beta(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(\beta + 1)(1 + 2\alpha)},$$

so that $x \geq 1/(1 + \beta)$. With $x_0 = 1/(1 + \beta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \psi(x_0) + 2(x - x_0)(\rho^2 \cos 2\phi + \beta^2 r^2 \cos 2\theta + 2\rho\beta r \cos(\theta + \phi)) \\ &\leq \psi(x_0) + 2(x - x_0)(1 + \beta)^2 \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{2(1 + \beta)^2((1 + \alpha)^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu)}{(1 + \alpha)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. Equality occurs only if $p_1 = p_2 = 2$, $b_2 = 2$, $b_3 = 3$, and the corresponding function f is defined by

$$(1 - \alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} \left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right)^\beta.$$

Let $x_1 = -1/(1 + \beta)$. We shall find that $\psi(x_1) \leq 1 + 2\beta$, and the remaining inequalities follow easily from this one. By an argument similar to the one above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &\leq \psi(x_1) + 2|x - x_1|(1 + \beta)^2 \\ &\leq -1 + \frac{2(1 + \beta)^2(2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu - (1 + \alpha)^2)}{(1 + \alpha)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

if $x \leq x_1$, that is,

$$\mu \geq \frac{(\beta + 2)(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(\beta + 1)(1 + 2\alpha)}.$$

Equality occurs only if $p_1 = 2i$, $p_2 = -2$, $b_2 = 2i$, $b_3 = -3$, and the corresponding function f is defined by

$$(1 - \alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - iz)^2} \left(\frac{1 + iz}{1 - iz} \right)^\beta.$$

Also, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\lambda x_1) &= \lambda\psi(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)\psi(0) \\ &\leq \lambda(1 + 2\beta) + (1 - \lambda)(1 + 2\beta) = 1 + 2\beta, \end{aligned}$$

so $\psi(x) \leq 1 + 2\beta$ for $x_1 \leq x \leq 0$, *i. e.*,

$$\frac{(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(1 + 2\alpha)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(\beta + 2)(1 + \alpha)^2}{2(\beta + 1)(1 + 2\alpha)}.$$

Equality occurs only if $p_1 = b_2 = 0$, $p_2 = 2$, $b_3 = 1$, and the corresponding function f is defined by

$$(1 - \alpha)f(z) + \alpha z f'(z) = \frac{z(1 + z^2)^\beta}{(1 - z^2)^{1+\beta}}.$$

We now show that $\psi(x_1) \leq 1 + 2\beta$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & -(1 - \beta x_1 \cos 2\theta)t^2 + 2x_1 \rho \cos(\theta + \phi)t \\ &= (1 - \beta x_1 \cos 2\theta) \left(t - \frac{x_1 \rho \cos(\theta + \phi)}{1 - \beta x_1 \cos 2\theta} \right)^2 + \frac{x_1^2 \rho^2 \cos^2(\theta + \phi)}{1 - \beta x_1 \cos 2\theta} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$1 - \beta x_1 \cos 2\theta = 1 + \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} \cos 2\theta \geq 1 - \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\psi(x_1) - (1 + 2\beta) \leq \rho^2 \left(-1 + (1 + 2x_1) \cos 2\phi + \frac{\beta x_1^2 (1 + \cos 2(\theta + \phi))}{1 - \beta x_1 \cos 2\theta} \right).$$

Thus we consider the inequality

$$\beta x_1^2 (1 + \cos 2(\theta + \phi)) + (1 - \beta x_1 \cos 2\theta)(-1 + (1 + 2x) \cos 2\phi) \leq 0.$$

After some simplifications, this becomes

$$\beta^2 (\cos 2\phi - 1)(\cos 2\theta + 1) - \beta(1 + \cos 2\theta + \sin 2\theta \sin 2\phi) - 1 - \cos 2\phi \leq 0,$$

which is true if

$$2\beta^2 \sin^2 \phi \cos^2 \phi + 2\beta \cos \theta \sin \theta \cos \phi \sin \phi + \cos^2 \phi \geq 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Now, for all real t ,

$$2t^2 + 2t \sin \theta \cos \phi + \cos^2 \phi \geq 0,$$

so, by taking $t = \beta \sin \phi \cos \theta$, we obtain (2.8). This completes the proof of Theorem.

For the case $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem, we have the following. \square

Corollary. *Let $f \in \mathcal{CS}_0(\beta)$ and be given by (1.1). Then for $\beta \geq 0$, we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 1 + 2(1 + \beta)^2(1 - 2\mu) & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{\beta}{2(1 + \beta)}, \\ 1 + 2\beta + \frac{2(1 - 2\mu)}{1 - \beta(1 - 2\mu)} & \text{if } \frac{\beta}{2(1 + \beta)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1 + 2\beta & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2 + \beta}{2(1 + \beta)}, \\ -1 + \frac{2(1 + \beta)^2(2(1 + 2\alpha)\mu - (1 + 3\alpha))}{(1 + \alpha)^2} & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{2 + \beta}{2(1 + \beta)}. \end{cases}$$

For each μ , there is a function in $\mathcal{CS}_0(\beta)$ such that equality holds in all cases.

Remark. (i) Putting $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in Theorem, we have the result by Keogh & Merkes [7].

(ii) Taking $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem, we obtain the corresponding results by Abdel-Gawad & Thomas [1] and London [8].

REFERENCES

1. H. R. Abdel-Gawad & D. K. Thomas: The Fekete-Szegö problem for strongly close-to-convex functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1992), no. 2, 345–349. MR **92e**:30004
2. C. Carathéodory: Über den ariabilitatsbereich der fourierschen konstanten von positiven harmonischen funktionen. *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo.* **32** (1911), 193–217.
3. P. L. Duren: *Univalent functions*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. 259. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. MR **85j**:30034
4. M. Fekete & G. Szegö: Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte function. *J. London Math. Soc.* **8** (1933), 85–89.
5. A. W. Goodman: On close-to-convex functions of higher order. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eotvos Sect. Math.* **15** (1972), 17–30 (1973). MR **48**#11470
6. W. Kaplan: Close-to-convex schlicht functions. *Michigan Math. J.* **1** (1952), 169–185 (1953). MR **14**,966e
7. F. R. Keogh & E. P. Merkes: A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1969), 8–12. MR **38**#1249
8. R. R. London: Fekete-Szegö inequalities for close-to-convex functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1993), no. 4, 947–950. MR **93e**:30029
9. Ch. Pommerenke: *Univalent functions*. Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Gottingen, 1975. MR **58**#22526
10. M. O. Reade: On close-to-close univalent functions. *Michigan Math. J.* **3**, (1955), 59–62. MR **17**,25c

(O. S. KWON) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGSUNG UNIVERSITY, 110-1 DAEYEON-DONG, NAM-GU, PUSAN 608-736, KOREA
Email address: oskwon@star.ks.ac.kr

(N. E. CHO) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, PUKYONG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 599-1 DAEYEON3-DONG, NAM-GU, BUSAN 608-737, KOREA
Email address: necho@pknu.ac.kr