# SEMI-PRIMENESS OF THE ENDOMORPHISM RING OF A PROJECTIVE MODULE

## SOON-SOOK BAE

ABSTRACT. Semi-meet-prime projective modules and fully invariant meet-prime submodules of a projective module are studied. Actually a generalization of the Schur's lemma and semi-prime endomorphism rings of projective modules are considered.

#### 1. Introduction

Assume that ring R is any associative ring with identity. The ring of all R-endomorphisms on a left R-module RM, denoted by  $End_R(M)$ , will be written on the right side of M as right operators on RM, that is,  $RM_{End_R(M)}$  will be considered in this paper.

A module  $_RM$  is said to be simple if 0 and M are the only submodules of  $_RM$ .

For any subset J of  $End_R(M)=S$ , let  $Im J=MJ=\sum_{f\in J}Im f=\sum_{f\in J}Mf$  be the sum of images of endomorphisms in J.

Also we call N an open submodule if  $N=N^o$ ,  $N^o=\sum_{f\in S, \operatorname{Im} f\leq N}\operatorname{Im} f$ , is the sum of all images of endomorphisms contained in N.

A left R-module  $_RM$  is said to be *openly simple* if every *open* submodule is improper, that is, every *open* submodule is either 0 or M.

THEOREM 1.1 ([5]). (Generalized Schur's Lemma I) Each projective and openly simple module  $_RT$  has a division endomorphism ring  $End_R(T)$ .

Received November 19, 2002.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D40, 16N60, 16S60, 16S50,

Key words and phrases: projective, self-generated, openly simple, simple modules, subdirect product, sudirect sum, division ring.

DEFINITION 1.2 ([4]). For a submodule  $P \leq M$  of a left R-module RM, P is said to be a *meet-prime* submodule of RM if it satisfies the following conditions: for any *open* submodules  $A, B \leq M$  with  $P^o + A \neq M$  or  $P^o + B \neq M$ ,

- (1) if  $A \cap B \leq P$ , then  $A \leq P$  or  $B \leq P$ ,
- (2) if  $(P \cap A \cap B)^o \neq 0$ , then  $A \leq P$  or  $B \leq P$ ,
- (3) if  $P \cap A = 0$ , then A = 0 or P + A = M.

One of the important results related to meet-prime submodules is as follows: If  $P \leq M$  is any fully invariant meet-prime submodule of  $_RM$ , then

$$I^P = \{ f \in S \mid \text{Im} f \leq P \} \subseteq S \text{ is a prime ideal of } S.$$

Any ring R is said to be *semi-prime* if it has the zero intersection of all prime ideals, i.e.,  $\cap P_{\alpha} = 0$ , for which  $P_{\alpha}$  is a prime ideal of R.

Any left R-module  $_RM$  is said to be semi-meet-prime if it has the zero intersection of all fully invariant meet-prime open submodules of  $_RM$ , i.e.,  $\cap P_{\alpha}=0$ , for which  $P_{\alpha}$  is a fully invariant meet-prime open submodule of  $_RM$ .

Since the next proposition is the same as  $_RM$  with epimorphisms  $\phi_{\gamma}: _RM \to _RM_{\gamma}$  is a subdirect product of the modules  $_RM_{\gamma}$  if and only if  $\cap_{\gamma} \ker \phi_{\gamma} = 0$ , the definition of P-reject of a module studied in [1] will not be introduced here.

PROPOSITION 1.3 [2]. An R-module M is a subdirect product of a class  $\mathcal{U}$  of left R-modules if and only if the P-reject of M in  $\mathcal{U}$  is zero.

## 2. Results

THEOREM 2.1. For any module  $_RM$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $_{R}M$  is semi-meet prime;
- (2) <sub>R</sub>M is a subdirect product of openly simple modules.

PROOF. Since the meet-prime radical  $rad(M) = \bigcap_{\alpha} P_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}{}^{o}$ , for which  $P_{\alpha}$  is a meet-prime submodule of  ${}_{R}M$  for  $\alpha$  the proof is completed easily by applying the Corollary 2.10 in [4].

Since distinct fully invariant meet-prime open submodules  $P \leq M$  and  $Q \leq M$  of any left R-module RM have the sum P+Q=M, we have that  $RM/P = R(P+Q)/P \simeq RQ/(P\cap Q) \to RP/(P\cap Q) \simeq RM/Q$  is the only trivial homomorphism. Thus we have a next remark.

REMARK 2.2. If P and Q are distinct fully invariant meet-prime open submodules of any self-generated left R-module  $_RM$ , then the additive group

$$Hom_R(M/P, M/Q) = 0.$$

LEMMA 2.3. For a fully invariant meet-prime submodule P of a left R-module  $_RM$ , if  $_RM$  is self-generated, then we have the openly simple quotient R-module  $_RM/P$ .

THEOREM 2.4. For any self-generated R-module  $_RM$ , if  $_RM$  is semimeet prime, then the endomorphism ring  $End_R(M)$  is a sudirect sum of prime rings. Furthermore the endomorphism ring  $End_R(M)$  is a semiprime ring.

PROOF. The proof is completed by Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3. □

Assume that a left R-module  $_RM$  is projective. Then for any fully invariant meet-prime submodule  $P \leq M$  in  $_RM$ , we let  $f:_RM/P \to _RM/P$  be any endomorphism on the quotient module  $_RM/P$  over ring R. Then we have that  $P \leq K = \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}f) \leq M$  and  $K/P = \mathrm{Im}f$ , where  $\pi:_RM \to _RM/P$  is the projection. It suffices to show that K is an open submodule of  $_RM$ , because it follows that K = M or K = P from the meet-primeness of P. More precisely, to show that K is open in  $_RM$  consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} _{R}M & \xrightarrow{\exists \ k} & _{R}K \\ \\ \pi \Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow \pi_{K} \\ \\ _{R}M/P & \xrightarrow{f} & _{R}K/P & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Since  $_RM$  is projective for an epimorphic homomorphism  $\pi f:_RM \xrightarrow{\pi} _RM/P \xrightarrow{f} _RK/P$ , there is an endomorphism  $k:_RM \to _RK \subseteq _RM$  such that  $\pi f = k\pi_K$ , where  $\pi_K:_RK \to _RM/P$  is the restriction of  $\pi$  to K. Therefore  $\mathrm{Im} k = K$  follows immediately. Thus K = M or K = P follows from the meet-primeness of  $P \leq M$ . Therefore the quotient module  $_RM/P$  is openly simple, for each fully invariant meet-prime submodule  $P \leq M$ , if  $_RM$  is projective.

As a result of this, we can generalize the Schur's lemma.

THEOREM 2.5. (Generalized Schur's Lemma III) If  $_RM$  is projective and if  $P \leq M$  is any fully invariant meet-prime submodule of  $_RM$ , then  $End_R(M/P)$  is a division ring.

PROOF. For any fully invariant meet-prime submodule P, the quotient module  $_RM/P$  is an openly simple module over ring R. Considering the following diagram:

for any non-zero endomorphism  $f: {}_RM/P \to {}_RM/P$  we have an epimorphism f. We claim that f is an automorphism. Since  ${}_RM$  is projective and  $\pi f: {}_RM \xrightarrow{\pi} {}_RM/P \xrightarrow{f} {}_RM/P$  and  $\pi: {}_RM \to {}_RM/P$  are epimorphisms, there are endomorphisms  $f_0, g: {}_RM \to {}_RM$  such that  $g\pi f = \pi$  and  $f_0\pi = \pi f$ . Now that  $f_0$  has its induced homomorphism  $f: {}_RM/P \to {}_RM/P$ , in other words,  $f = f_0^*$  is the induced homomorphism by  $f_0$ , then we conclude that  $g^*f = 1_{RM/P}$  follows from  $gf_0\pi = \pi$ . Thus f is an automorphism. Therefore the endomorphism ring  $End_R(M/P)$  is a division ring.

Remark 2.6. Since every simple module  $_RM$  over any ring R is projective and the trivial  $0 \le M$  is fully invariant meet-prime in  $_RM$ , the above Theorem 2.5 is a generalization of the Schur's lemma.

PROPOSITION 2.7. For any projective module  $_RM$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $_{R}M$  is semi-meet prime;
- (2) <sub>R</sub>M is a subdirect product of openly simple projective modules;
- (3)  $End_R(M)$  is a subdirect sum of division rings.

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that (3) implies (2) because the rest parts of proof directly follow from the previous results. Assume that  $End_R(M)$  is a subdirect sum of division rings  $\{E_\alpha\}$ . Then there is a monomorphism  $\iota: End_R(M) \to \prod_\alpha E_\alpha$  such that  $End_R(M)\iota\pi_\alpha = E_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha$ . From a construction of the direct product  $\prod_\beta F_\beta \leq \prod_\alpha E_\alpha$ , with  $F_\alpha = 0$  and  $F_\beta = E_\beta$  whenever  $\beta \neq \alpha$ . Then  $\iota^{-1}(\prod_\beta F_\beta) \leq End_R(M)$  is a subring of the endomorphism ring  $End_R(M)$  whose image  $Im(\iota^{-1}(\prod_\beta F_\beta)) = \sum_{f \in \iota^{-1}(\prod_\beta F_\beta)} Imf \leq M$  is an open meet-prime submodule of  $_RM$ , in fact it is the kernel  $\ker \iota^{-1}(\prod_\gamma G_\gamma)$  of  $\iota^{-1}(\prod_\gamma G_\gamma)$ , where  $G_\alpha = E_\alpha$  and  $G_\gamma = 0$  if  $\gamma \neq \alpha$  for every  $\gamma$ . Furthermore  $_RM$  is a subdirect product of the openly simple modules, images  $\{Im(\iota^{-1}(\prod_\gamma G_\gamma))\}_\alpha$ . Since  $E_\alpha$  is a division ring for each  $\alpha$ , we have an openly simple module  $Im(\iota^{-1}(\prod_\gamma G_\gamma))$  for  $\gamma$ .

THEOREM 2.8. For any projective module  $_RM$ , if at least one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- (1)  $_{R}M$  is semi-meet prime;
- (2) <sub>R</sub>M is a subdirect product of openly simple projective modules;
- (3)  $End_R(M)$  is a subdirect sum of division rings,

then we have a semi-prime endomorphism ring  $End_R(M)$ .

PROOF. It follows from Proposition 2.7.

### References

- F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of modules, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1992.
- [2] S. -S. Bae, On Reject of Subdirect Product, Theses of Kyungnam University 14 (1987), 7–10.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_\_, On Ideals of Endomorphism Ring of Projective Module, Pusan Kyŏngnam Mathematical Journal 5 (1989), 81–85.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Modules with Prime Endomorphism Rings, J. Korean Math. Soc. 38 (2001), no. 5, 987-1030.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Generalized Schur's Lemmas, Journal of Graduate School, Kyungnam University 16 (2001), no. 2, 7–11.
- [6] I. N. Herstein, Noncommutative Rings, The Mathematical Association of America, 1968, 1994.
- [7] Joachim Lambek, Lectures on Rings and Modules, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, N.Y. 2nd ed., 1976.
- [8] Joseph J. Rotman, An introduction to Homological Algebra, Academic Press, 1979.

[9] Roger Ware, Endomorphism Rings of Projective Modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1971), 233–256.

Hyundai Apartment 203-1403 Wolyoung-dong 705-2 Masan 631-250, Korea

E-mail: soon474@hotmail.com