

## Development of Resistance to *Bombyx mori* Densonucleosis Virus into a Susceptible Silkworm Breed

G. P. Singh\*, Xu Mengkui<sup>1</sup>, Chen Yuyin<sup>1</sup> and R. K. Datta<sup>2</sup>

Silkworm Pathology Laboratory, Central Tasar Research and Training Institute, Piska Nagri, Ranchi 835303, India.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Sericulture, College of Animal Science, Zhejiang University, Huajia Chi Campus, Hangzhou 290031, P. R. China.

<sup>2</sup>Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Srirampura, MYSORE 570 008, India.

(Received 17 November 2002; Accepted 30 March 2003)

**Seeing inadequate disinfection and unhygienic condition in rearing area, use of disease resistant silkworm variety is the best option. In order to this, an attempt has been made to develop the resistance to *Bombyx mori* densonucleosis virus (BmDENV-2) into a susceptible silkworm breed Zhenon1 by cross breeding with a resistant silkworm breed SU12 and exposing the subsequent generations to BmDENV-2 followed by the selection of individuals from the surviving batches. After seven generation the evolved DENV-2 resistant strain showed the significantly higher resistance to BmDENV-2 than control Zhenon1. The economic characters of both of the breeds were almost on par.**

**Key words:** Silkworm, *Bombyx mori* densonucleosis virus (BmDENV-2), Breeding, Resistance

### Introduction

The silkworm diseases are the main factors which seriously affect the cocoon production (Watanabe, 1986). Hence, prevention of silkworm diseases becomes one of the most important aspect in the success of commercial sericulture. In order to obtain high and stable cocoon yield it is necessary to make efforts firstly to decrease pathogen quantity, pathogenicity and secondly to strengthen the larval constitute by increasing its disease resistance ability. It is generally recommended to disinfect the rearing houses and the appliances before the commencement of rearing, but it is not necessarily ade-

quate to prevent the occurrence of diseases. Moreover, among many measures of silkworm disease controlling and prevention, the utilisation of disease resistant silkworm variety along with disinfection would be a most effective step in the direction of disease prevention.

Of all silkworm diseases, which cause damage, viral diseases are the most serious (Samson *et al.*, 1990; Subba Rao *et al.*, 1991; Shivaprakasham and Rabindra, 1995). *Bombyx mori* densonucleosis virus cause considerable crop loss (Sato, 1992), hence a need has felt to develop silkworm breed which are resistant to BmDENV.

Very few studies have been made on the development of resistance of insects to pathogens. David and Gardiner (1960) have obtained a stock of *Pieris brassicae* resistant to granulosis virus following laboratory selection. Resistance of silkworm to nuclear polyhedrosis and cytoplasmic polyhedrosis virus (NPV and CPV) and infectious flacherie virus (IFV) is controlled by polygenes (Watanabe, 1986, 1991). Whereas, resistance of *B. mori* to densonucleosis virus (BmDENV) is controlled by a single major gene (Watanabe and Maeda, 1978, 1981; Eguchi *et al.*, 1986). Uzigawa and Aruga (1966) have developed a strain of silkworm resistant to infectious flacherie virus (BmIFV) after selecting survivors from infectious flacherie virus fed larvae for five generations. Watanabe (1967) had evolved a silkworm strain, resistant to cytoplasmic polyhedrosis by selecting survivors from virus fed larvae for eight generations. Similarly Ratna Sen *et al.* (1999) have developed a silkworm strain DR-1 comparatively tolerant to nuclear polyhedrosis virus (BmNPV). Recently, Eguchi *et al.* (1998) have succeeded in evolving a silkworm hybrid "Taisei" which is resistant to *B. mori* densonucleosis virus type 1 (BmDENV-1). Adopting the same procedure, an attempt was made to develop the resistance for BmDENV- 2 into a susceptible silkworm breed.

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Central Tasar Research and Training Institute, Piska Nagari, Ranchi 835303, India. Tel: +91-651-2775628; Fax: +91-651-75629; E-mail: gajendra\_palsingh@yahoo.com

## Materials and Methods

### Silkworm Breeds

A commercially used silkworm breed Zhenon1, Chinese bivoltine having plane larvae and white oval shape cocoon with moderate economic characters and low resistance to BmDENV-2 was selected to improve its resistance to BmDENV-2. Another Japanese bivoltine breed SU12 having normal marked larvae and dumbbell shaped white cocoon and whose resistance to BmDENV-2 (measured as survival percent on challenge with virus) was found to be five times higher than Zhenon 1 was selected as the donor parent.

### *Bombyx mori* densovirus (BmDENV-2) inoculum

Fresh BmDENV-2 inoculum was prepared from diseased silkworms and infectivity was determined by bioassay. The silkworm larvae of 3<sup>rd</sup> instar were orally inoculated with BmDENV-2 suspension. After 7 days of per-oral inoculation, the gut of diseased silkworm was removed, dried at 25°C for 24 hrs and powdered and stored at 5°C. The powder of infected gut was used to prepare the fresh BmDENV-2 inoculum. Three densities (6.0, 0.6 and 0.06%) of BmDENV-2 inoculum were used for the peroral inoculation of the silkworm. 0.3 g powder of BmDENV-2 infected silkworm gut was dissolved in 5.0 ml double distilled autoclaved water and purified following the method of Maeda *et al.* (1977). The original suspension was 6.0%, it was diluted 10 times and 100 times with double distilled autoclaved water to make 0.6 and 0.06% density of the suspension.

### Inoculation of BmDENV-2

One hundred µl of BmDENV-2 suspension was pipetted out on a fresh mulberry leaf cut into a square of 2" side and smeared evenly with a flat tipped glass rod. Every 50 larvae of 1<sup>st</sup> instar were fed with such leaf. After 24 hrs, the larvae were fed with uncontaminated fresh leaf twice a day. These larvae were reared under 28 ± 1°C temperature and 85 ± 5% relative humidity. After 72 hrs of inoculation each larva was examined visually for the symptoms of disease daily for 15 days. The cumulative mortality and the number of healthy larvae were recorded.

### Breeding

Female of Zhenon1 breed was mated with male of SU12. Rearing of subsequent generations was conducted following standard rearing method as advocated by Krishnaswami (1978). The F1 population reared *en masse* was tested for its resistance to BmDENV-2 by inoculating 50 newly hatched larvae sample from 10 families each by BmDENV-2 at a concentration of 0.06%. The cumulative

mortality was recorded up to 15 days post inoculation. The larvae from the batches that showed more than 50% survival (the batches which recorded survival much above the average of the population) were selected to continue the life cycle and F2 population was raised. Again, 50 newly hatched larvae each from 45 families of F2 generation (total 2,250 larvae) were challenged with the same concentration of BmDENV-2. The larvae from the batches which have shown more than 80% survival (much above the average of the population) were selected to continue the life cycle. Single cocoon assessment was done to pick up the individuals with cocoon characters, which fell above the average level. They were back crossed with Zhenon1 males and the third generation population (BF2) was raised which was inoculated with BmDENV-2 at a concentration of 0.6%. The larvae from the batches showed more than 50% survival (much above the average of the population) were selected and reared *en masse*. At 4<sup>th</sup> generation, again 50 larvae from each of the 50 families (2,500 larvae) were challenged with BmDENV-2 (0.6%). Larvae from the batches showed more than 70% survival (much above the average of the population) were selected for the next generation. Single cocoon assessment and selection of above average individuals were resorted. During progeny selection, due attention was given to select the cocoons of uniform shape and size. Only those cocoon which resemble that of the breed Zhenon1 were selected in every generation. Population at 3<sup>rd</sup> generation was inoculated with a larger concentration (6.0%) of BmDENV-2 and the individuals which fell well above the average survival percent of the population were selected. The same process of challenging with BmDENV-2 followed by the selecting high survival batches was continued until 5<sup>th</sup> generation.

To compare the resistance of evolved BmDENV-2 resistant strain and Zhenon1, every batch of 50 larvae from both the breeds was fed with a leaf cut into a square of 4" side, smeared with 200 µl of each of 3 concentration of BmDENV-2 (0.06, 0.6 and 6.0%). After the inoculation, they were reared as described above. Cumulative mortality was recorded from day 4 to day 15 post inoculation. This operation was repeated three times and the average cumulative mortality was subjected for anova test using Completely Randomised Design (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967) to determine the significant difference between the resistance of Zhenon1 and evolved BmDENV-2 resistant strain.

## Results

Characteristics of the breeding resistance materials *i.e.*, Zhenon 1 and SU12 are shown in Table 1. Though the

**Table 1.** Characteristics of breeding resource silkworm varieties Zhenon1 and SU12 (average of 9 generations)

Breed	Egg/laying	Larval duration	Pupation rate (%) based on 3 <sup>rd</sup> moult	Yoeld/10000 larvae after 3 <sup>rd</sup> moult	Single cocoon weight (g)	Single cocoon shell weight (g)	Cocoon shell ratio (%)	Survival % in response to BmDENV-2 (0.06% conc.)
Zhenon 1	592 ± 56.21	22 : 12	90.56 ± 4.18	18.596 ± 1.09	1.923 ± 0.13	0.39 ± 0.03	20.00 ± 1.32	16.55 ± 3.92
SU12	512 ± 49.12	23 : 20	92.38 ± 3.91	15.952 ± 1.02	1.732 ± 0.11	0.33 ± 0.02	20.56 ± 1.29	81.60 ± 9.82

**Table 2.** Mean survival percent of population at succeeding generations and the selected batches on challenge with BmDENV-2

Generation	Concentration of BmDENV-2 (%)	Larval age at inoculation	Survival of population (%)	Survival of selected batches (%)
Zhenon1	0.06	1 <sup>st</sup> instar	16.55 ± 3.27	–
SU12	0.06	1 <sup>st</sup> instar	81.60 ± 8.92	–
F1 (Zhenon1 × SU12)	0.06	1 <sup>st</sup> instar	50.37 ± 6.35	> 60
F2	0.06	1 <sup>st</sup> instar	75.76 ± 12.72	> 80
BF2 (F2 × Zhenon1)	0.6	1 <sup>st</sup> instar	62.26 ± 10.51	> 65
G4	0.6	1 <sup>st</sup> instar	80.38 ± 10.67	> 85
G5	6.0	1 <sup>st</sup> instar	75.28 ± 11.12	> 80
G6	6.0	2 <sup>nd</sup> instar	98.92 ± 10.02	> 95
G7	6.0	2 <sup>nd</sup> instar	97.26 ± 9.93	> 95

cocoon characters of SU12 are comparatively inferior, but it has shown five times higher survival (81.60 ± 9.82%) than the Zhenon1 (16.55 ± 3.92%) when challenged with the same concentration of BmDENV-2 (0.06%). The results of selection of BmDENV-2 resistant strain in succeeding generations are presented in Table 2. The mean survival on challenge with BmDENV-2 (0.06%) at F1 generation was recorded (50.37 ± 6.35%) which is intermediate value of BmDENV-2 resistant breed SU12 (81.60 ± 8.92%) and the BmDENV-2 sensitive breed Zhenon1 (16.55 ± 3.27%).

In F2 generation, the survival percent on challenge with BmDENV-2 (0.06%) was found to vary 65 – 90%, the average being 75.76 ± 12.72%. The batches showed survival ratio of 8 : 10 (80%) or above were selected to back cross with Zhenon1. Average survival percent of BF2 (back crossed progeny) was recorded to be 62.26±10.51% when challenged with BmDENV-2 at 0.6% concentration. The average survival percent in the next generation (G4) was increased to 80.38±10.87% when challenged with the same concentration of BmDENV-2 (0.6%). The concentration of BmDENV-2 was further increased to 6.0% from G5 onwards. The average survival percent on challenge with BmDENV-2 at concentration of 6.0% was decreased to 75.28 ± 11.12% at G5. The average survival percent was further increased to 98.92 ± 10.02 and 97.26 ± 9.93% at G6 and G7, respectively. The survival percent at G6 and G7 was almost constant. After G7 generation, the evolved DENV-2 resistant strain was compared with the control

**Table 3.** Mortality percent in Zhenon1 and evolved BmDENV-2 resistant strain

BmDENV-2 Conc. (%)	Mortality (%)		CD at 5% Between breeds
	Zhenon1	BmDENV-2 resistant strain	
0.06	81.33 (54.746)	1.00 (0.573)	14.99**
0.60	89.00 (63.467)	3.33 (1.910)	14.99**
6.00	98.00 (80.754)	6.67 (3.823)	14.99**

Values in brackets are Aresine transformation.

\*\*Significant at 5% level.

**Table 4.** Performance of BmDENV-2 resistant strain and Zhenon1 (average of 3 generations)

Parameters	BmDENV-2 resistant strain	Zhenon1
Eggs/laying	512 ± 43.26	566 ± 56.23
Larval duration (D : H)	22 : 18 ± 0.14	22 : 12 ± 0.14
Pupation (%) based on 3 <sup>rd</sup> moult	94.36 ± 4.91	94.82 ± 4.35
Single cocoon weight (g)	1.943 ± 0.16	1.962 ± 0.17
Single cocoon shell weight (g)	0.381 ± 0.01	0.394 ± 0.01
Cocoon Shell Ratio (%)	20.06 ± 1.12	19.78 ± 1.17
Filament length (m)	980 ± 42	986 ± 35
Denier	2.31 ± 0.11	2.50 ± 0.12
Reelability (%)	91.0 ± 2.0	92.6 ± 2.6
Neetness (Points)	94.12 ± 3.0	93.31 ± 2.6

breed Zhenon1 for the significant difference in mortality by using anova test and results are presented in Table 3. Significantly low mortality ( $P < 0.05$ ) in evolved BmDENV-2 resistant strain than control (Zhenon1) indicates the high resistance to BmDENV-2 in evolved silkworm strain. Rearing performance of the evolved strain was on par with Zhenon1 in its economic characters (Table 4).

## Discussion

The F1 population was found to have a survival percent ( $50.37 \pm 8.92$ ) which is the average of resistance Zhenon1 ( $16.55 \pm 3.27$ ) and susceptible SU12 ( $81.60 \pm 8.92$ ) parent indicating the involvement of additive gene action. Since F2 generation comprised of a segregating population, a wide range of survival percent (65-85%) was observed. In order to improve the cocoon characters, the selected F2 progeny was back crossed with the susceptible parent Zhenon1 which has better cocoon characters as compared to SU12 (Table 1). Despite the selection of F2 progeny from the batches which had survival ratio of 8 : 10 (80%) or above, the same decreased to 62.26% in BF2 progeny, probably because they were back crossed with susceptible breed Zhenon1 and concentration of BmDENV-2 was increased 10 times (0.06 to 0.6%) in order to enhance the selection pressure.

Further increase in the average survival percent in G4 generation (80.38%) in response with same concentration of BmDENV-2 indicates the positive response of selection rendered in 3<sup>rd</sup> generation. Again increase in concentration of BmDENV-2 (6.0%) has reflected the survival percent and it was slightly decreased at G5 generation ( $75.28 \pm 11.12\%$ ). The repeated progeny selection followed by family rearing challenged by higher concentration of BmDENV-2 and interfamily mating has resulted in increase of average survival percent of the population to above 97% from G6 onwards.

The concentration of BmDENV-2 was increased gradually in succeeding generations in order to exert more selection pressure during breeding so that the population could be made homozygous with more resistant individuals. Watanabe (1967) has emphasized that a selection pressure causing > 60% mortality is effective in inducing and retaining the resistance in the population.

Population mean of the survival percent on challenge with BmDENV-2 was variable in the different generations probably due to heterozygosity of population in earlier generations and change in the concentration in the BmDENV-2 inoculum after every two succeeding generations. The effort was made to select the individuals which were well above the average of the population in every

generation.

G6 onwards the resistance to BmDENV-2 was developed almost 100% as the survival percent was above 97.5% Eguchi *et al.* (1998) has also evolved a silkworm hybrid "Taisei" which is completely resistant to BmDENV-1.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Mr. Suresh Rai, Assistant Director (Statistics), Statistics Section, Central Tasar Research and Training Institute, Ranchi 835303 for statistical analysis, tabulation and interpretation of scientific data. Dr. Lu Xingmeng, Department of Sericulture, College of Animal Science, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 290031, P. R. China for providing facilities to carry out the experiment in their laboratory.

## Reference

- David, W. A. L. and B. O. C. Gardiner (1960) A *Pieris brassicae* (Linnaeus) culture resistant to a granulosis. *J. Insect Pathol.* **2**, 106-144.
- Eguchi, R., Y. Furuta and O. Ninagi (1986) Dominant non susceptibility to densovirus in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. *J. Seric. Sci. Jpn.* **55**, 177-178.
- Eguchi, R., W. Hara, A. Simazaki, K. Hirata, M. Ichiba, O. Ninagi and K. Nagayasu (1998) Breeding of silkworm race "Taisei" non-susceptible to densovirus type -1. *J. Seric. Sci. Jpn.* **67**, 361-366.
- Krishanaswami, S. (1978) New technology of silkworm rearing. Bulletin No. 2, Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Mysore, India.
- Maeda, S., H. Watanabe and M. Matsui (1977) Purification of an Ina-isolate virus of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. *J. Seric. Sci. Jpn.* **46**, 313-317.
- Ratan Sen, M. M. Ahsan and R. K. Datta (1999) Induction of resistance to *Bombyx mori* nuclear polyhedrosis virus, into a susceptible bivoltine silkworm breed. *Indian J. Seric.* **38**, 107-112.
- Samson, M. V., M. Baig, S. D. Sharma, M. Balavenkatasubbiah, T. O. Shasidharan and M. S. Jolly (1990) Survey on the relative incidence of silkworm disease in Karnataka. *Indian J. Seric.* **29**, 248-254.
- Sato, T. (1992) Report on the silkworm pathology, submitted to Central Silk Board, Bangalore, India in connection with the "Bivoltine Sericulture Technology Development Programme."
- Shivaprakasham, N. and R. J. Rabindra (1995) Incidence of grasserie in silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. in selected districts of Tamilnadu. *Indian J. Seric.* **34**, 100-104.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. E. Cochran (1995) Statistical Methods. Oxford IHB Publishing Co., New Delhi.

- Subba Rao, G., A. K. Chandra and J. Bhattacharya (1991) Incidence of crop loss from adopted rearers level in West Bwn-gal due to sulkworm diseases. *Indian J. Seric.* **30**, 167.
- Uzigawa, K. and H. Aruga (1966) On the selection of resistant strains of infectious flecherie virus in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *J. Seric. sci. Jpn.* **35**, 23-26.
- Watanabe, H. (1967) Development of resistance in silkworm, *Bombyx mori* to peroral infection of a cytoplasmic polyhedrosis virus. *J. Invereibr. Pathol.* **9**, 474-479.
- Watanabe, H. and S. Maeda (1978) Genetic resistance to peroral infection with a densonucleosis virusin the silkworm *Bombyx mori*. *J. Seric. Sci. Jpn.* **47**, 209-214.
- Watanabe, H. and S. Maeda (1981) Genetically determined non susceptibility of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* to infection with a densonucleosis virus (densovirus). *J. Invereibr. Pathol.* **38**, 370-373.
- Watanabe, H. (1986) Resistance of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* to viral infection. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **15**, 131-139.
- Watanabe, H. (1991) The host population; in *Epizootiology of insect disease*. Fuxa, J. and Y. Tanada (eds.), pp.71-112, John Wiley and Sons, New York.