East Asian Math J 19 (2003), No 2, pp 305-316

ON TWO-DIMENSIONAL LANDSBERG SPACE OF A CUBIC FINSLER SPACE

IL-YONG LEE AND DONG-GUM JUN

ABSTRACT In the present paper, we are to find the conditions that a cubic Finsler space is a Berwald space and a twodimensional cubic Finsler space is a Landsberg space. It is shown that if a two-dimensional cubic Finsler space is a Landsberg space, then it is a Berwald space.

1. Introduction

In the Cartan connection $C\Gamma$, a Finsler space is called Landsberg space if the covariant derivative $C_{hij|k}$ of the C-torsion tensor $C_{hij} = \dot{\partial}_h \partial_i \partial_j (L^2/4)$ satisfies $C_{hij|k}(x, y)y^k = 0$ A Berwald space is characterized by $C_{hij|k} = 0$. Berwald spaces are specially interesting and important because the connection is linear, and many examples of Berwald spaces have been known But any concrete example of a Landsberg space which is not a Berwald space is not known yet. If a Finsler space is a Landsberg space and satisfies some additional conditions, then it is merely a Berwald space [3] On the other hand, in a two-dimensional case, a general Finsler space is a Landsberg space if and only if its main scalar I(x, y) satisfies $I_{ij}y^i = 0$ [7].

The purpose of the persent paper is devoted to finding a twodimensional Landsberg space with a cubic metric $L^3 = c_1 \alpha^2 \beta + c_2 \beta^3$, where c_1 and c_2 are constants. First we find the condition that a

Received November 6, 2003

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification 53B40

Key words and phrases. Berwald space, Cartan connection, difference vector, Finsler space, Landsberg space, main scalar

I-Y LEE AND D-G JUN

Finsler space with a cubic metric is a Berwald space (Theorem 3.2). Next we determine the difference vector and the main scalar of F^2 with the metric above. Finally we derive the condition that a twodimensional Finsler space F^2 with a cubic metric is a Landsberg space (Theorem 4.1), and we show that if F^2 with the metric above is a Landsberg space, then it is a Berwald space (Theorem 4.2).

2. Preliminaries

Let $F^n = (M^n, L(\alpha, \beta))$ be an *n*-dimensional Finsler space with an (α, β) -metric and $R^n = (M^n, \alpha)$ the associated Riemannian space, where $\alpha^2 = a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j$ and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$. In the following the Riemannian metric α is not supposed to be positive-definite and we shall restrict our discussions to a domain of (x, y), where β does not vanish. The covariant differentiation in the Levi-Civita connection $\gamma_j^i_k(x)$ of R^n is denoted by the semi-colon. Let us list the symbols here for the late use:

$$2r_{ij} = b_{i,j} + b_{j,i}, \quad 2s_{ij} = b_{i,j} - b_{j,i}, \quad r^i{}_j = a^{it}r_{tj},$$

$$s^i{}_j = a^{it}s_{tj}, \quad r_i = b_t r^t{}_i, \quad s_i = b_t s^t{}_i, \quad b^i = a^{it}b_t, \quad b^2 = a^{ts}b_t b_s.$$

$$L_\alpha = \partial L/\partial \alpha, \quad L_\beta = \partial L/\partial \beta, \quad L_{\alpha\alpha} = \partial L_\alpha/\partial \alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad y_k = a_{kt}y^t.$$

The Berwald connection $B\Gamma = (G_j{}^i{}_k, G^i{}_j)$ of F^n plays one of the leading roles in the present paper Denote by $B_j{}^i{}_k$ the difference tensor of $G_j{}^i{}_k$ from $\gamma_j{}^i{}_k$ as follows [8].

(2.1)
$$G_{j'k}(x,y) = \gamma_{j'k}(x) + B_{j'k}(x,y)$$

With the subscript 0, transvection by y^i , we have

(2.2)
$$G^{i}{}_{j} = \gamma_{0}{}^{i}{}_{j} + B^{i}{}_{j}, \quad 2G^{i} = \gamma_{0}{}^{i}{}_{0} + 2B^{i},$$

and then $B^{i}_{j} = \dot{\partial}_{j}B^{i}$, $B_{j}{}^{i}_{k} = \dot{\partial}_{k}B^{i}_{j}$, and $\dot{\partial}_{j} = \partial/\partial y^{j}$. On account of [8], the Berwald connection $B\Gamma$ of a Finsler space with

306

 (α, β) -metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$ is given by (2.1) and (2.2), where $B_{j}{}^{i}{}_{k}$ are the components of a Finsler tensor of (1,2)-type which is determined by

(2.3)
$$L_{\alpha}B_{j}{}^{k}{}_{\imath}y^{\jmath}y_{k} = \alpha L_{\beta}(b_{\jmath,\imath} - B_{j}{}^{k}{}_{\imath}b_{k})y^{\jmath}.$$

According to [8], $B^i(x, y)$ is called the *difference vector*. If $\beta^2 L_{\alpha} + \alpha \gamma^2 L_{\alpha \alpha} \neq 0$, where $\gamma^2 = b^2 \alpha^2 - \beta^2$, then B^i is written as follows:

(2.4)
$$B^{i} = \frac{E}{\alpha}y^{i} + \frac{\alpha L_{\beta}}{L_{\alpha}}s^{i}_{0} - \frac{\alpha L_{\alpha\alpha}}{L_{\alpha}}C^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}y^{i} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}b^{i}\right),$$

where $E = \beta L_{\beta} C^* / L$ and

$$C^* = \{\alpha\beta(r_{00}L_{\alpha} - 2\alpha s_0 L_{\beta})\}/2(\beta^2 L_{\alpha} + \alpha\gamma^2 L_{\alpha\alpha}).$$

Furthermore, by means of [4] we have

(2.5)

$$lpha_{|\imath}=-rac{L_eta}{L_lpha}eta_{|\imath},$$

(2,6)

$$\beta_{|\imath}y^{\imath}=r_{00}-2b_{r}B^{r},$$

 $(2\ 7)$

$$b^2_{|i}y^i = 2(r_0 + s_0),$$

(2.8)

$$\gamma^{2}_{|\imath}y^{\imath} = 2(r_{0} + s_{0})\alpha^{2} - 2\left(\frac{L_{\beta}}{L_{\alpha}}b^{2}\alpha + \beta\right)(r_{00} - 2b_{r}B^{r}).$$

The following Lemmas have been shown as follows.

LEMMA 2 1. ([2]) If $\alpha^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$, that is, $a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j$ contains $b_i(x)y^i$ as a factor, then the dimension n is equal to two and b^2 vanishes. In this case we have $\delta = d_i(x)y^i$ satisfying $\alpha^2 = \beta\delta$ and $d_ib^i = 2$.

LEMMA 2.2. ([4]) We consider the two-dimensional case.

- (1) If $b^2 \neq 0$, then there exist a sign $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and $\delta = d_i(x)y^i$ such that $\alpha^2 = \beta^2/b^2 + \varepsilon \delta^2$ and $d_i b^i = 0$.
- (2) If $b^2 = 0$, then there exists $\delta = d_i(x)y^i$ such that $\alpha^2 = \beta \delta$ and $d_i b^i = 2$.

If there are two functions f(x) and g(x) satisfying $f\alpha^2 + g\beta^2 = 0$, then f = g = 0 is obvious, because $f \neq 0$ implies a contradiction $\alpha^2 = (-g/f)\beta^2$.

We shall state one more remark : Throughout the paper, we shall say "homogeneous polynomial(s) in (y^i) of degree r"as hp(r) for brevity Thus $\gamma_0{}^i{}_0$ are hp(2)

3. Berwald spaces

In the present paper, we treat a condition that a Finsler space with a cubic metric is a Berwlad space (cf.[11]). Then the so-called *cubic metric* on a differentiable manifold with the local coordinates x^i is defined by

(3.1)
$$L(x,y) = (a_{ijk}(x)y^iy^jy^k)^{1/3} \quad (y^i = \dot{x}^i),$$

where $a_{ijk}(x)$ are components of a symmetric tensor of (0,3)-type, depending on the position x alone, and a Finsler space with a cubic metric is called the *cubic Finsler space*. The Finsler metric given by (3.1) was considered by Wegener (1935) [14] and by Kropina [6], and was also studied by M. Matsumoto [9], H. Shimada [13] and S. Numata [9] It is regarded as a direct generalization of Riemannian metric in a sense. We quote from the proposition as follows:

PROPOSITION 3.1. ([9]) Let F^n be a Finsler space with a cubic metric L(x, y).

- (1) In case of n > 2, if L is an (α, β) -metric where α is nondegenerate, then L^3 can be written in the form $L^3 = c_1 \alpha^2 \beta + c_2 \beta^3$ with two constants c_1 and c_2 .
- (2) In case of n = 2, L is always written in a generalized (-1/3)-Kropina type $L = \alpha^{2/3} \beta^{1/3}$, where α may be degenerate.

Now the cubic metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$ of Finsler space F^n is given by

(3.2)
$$L^3(\alpha,\beta) = c_1 \alpha^2 \beta + c_2 \beta^3,$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants. In this case we have

$$3L^{2}L_{\alpha} = 2c_{1}\alpha\beta, \quad 3L^{2}L_{\beta} = c_{1}\alpha^{2} + 3c_{2}\beta^{2}$$

$$9L^{5}L_{\alpha\alpha} = 2c_{1}\beta^{2}(3c_{2}\beta^{2} - c_{1}\alpha^{2}), \quad w = 2c_{1}(3c_{2}\beta^{2} - c_{1}\alpha^{2}),$$

$$C^{*} = \frac{3L^{3}\{c_{1}\beta r_{00} - (c_{1}\alpha^{2} + 3c_{2}\beta^{2})s_{0}\}}{2c_{1}\alpha\beta\{3(c_{2}b^{2} + c_{1})\beta^{2} - c_{1}\gamma^{2}\}}.$$

Substituting (3.3) into (2.3), we obtain

(3.4)
$$2c_1\beta B_{jki}y^jy^k = (c_1\alpha^2 + 3c_2\beta^2)(b_{j,i} - B_{jki}b^k)y^j,$$

where $B_{jki} = a_{kr} B_j^{r}$.

Suppose that the cubic Finsler space F^n is a Berwald space, that is, $B_j{}^i{}_k = B_j{}^i{}_k(x)$ and hence $b_{i;j}$ do not depend on y^i . Thus (3.4) leads to

(3.5)
$$B_{00i} = (c_1 \alpha^2 + 3c_2 \beta^2) p_i,$$

(3.6)
$$(b_{j,i} - B_{jki}b^k)y^j = 2c_1\beta p_i,$$

where we put $p_i = p_i(x)$. Making use of (3.5), we have

(3.7)
$$B_{jki} + B_{kji} = 2p_i(c_1a_{jk} + 3c_2b_jb_k)$$

Since B_{jki} is symmetric in (j, i), (3.7) gives rise to

$$(3.8) \quad B_{jki} = c_1(p_i a_{jk} + p_j a_{ki} - p_k a_{ij}) + 3c_2(p_i b_j b_k + p_j b_k b_i - p_k b_i b_j).$$

Therefore substitution of (3.8) in (3.6) yields

(3.9)
$$b_{j,i} = 3ap_ib_j + (3a - 2c_1)p_jb_i - p_b(c_1a_{ij} + 3c_2b_ib_j),$$

where we put $a = c_2 b^2 + c_1$ and $p_b = p_k b^k$.

If $\alpha^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$, then Lemma 2.1 shows that n = 2, $\alpha^2 = \beta \delta$, $\delta = d_i(x)y^i$, $b^2 = 0$ and $b^i d_i = 2$. Thus (3.4) is of the form

(3.10)
$$2c_1B_{00i} = (c_1\delta + 3c_2\beta)(b_{j,i} - B_{jki}b^k)y^j,$$

which leads to

$$(3.11) B_{00i} = (c_1\delta + 3c_2\beta)u_{1i},$$

$$(3.12) (b_{j,i} - B_{jki}b^k)y^j = 2c_1u_{1i},$$

where $u_{1i} = q_i u_k y^k$ are hp(1) and $q_i = q_i(x)$. Then we have

$$B_{jki} + B_{kji} = q_i(c_1d_j + 3c_2b_j)u_k + q_i(c_1d_k + 3c_2b_k)u_j,$$

which lead to

$$2B_{jki} = c_1 \{ q_i (d_j u_k + d_k u_j) + q_j (d_k u_i + d_i u_k) - q_k (d_i u_j + d_j u_i) \} \\ + 3c_2 \{ q_i (b_j u_k + b_k u_j) + q_j (b_k u_i + b_i u_k) - q_k (b_i u_j + b_j u_i) \}.$$

Since the dimension is equal to two and (b_i, d_i) are independent pairs, we can put $u_i = hb_i + kd_i$ and then $u_ib^i = 2k$. Then we have (3.13) $B + b^k$

$$= c_1 \left[q_i (hb_j + 2kd_j) + q_j (hb_i + 2kd_i) - \frac{1}{2} q_b \{ h(b_i d_j + b_j d_i) + 2kd_i d_j \} \right] + 3c_2 \left[k(q_i b_j + q_j b_i) - \frac{1}{2} q_b \{ 2hb_i b_j + k(b_i d_j + b_j d_i) \} \right],$$

where $q_b = q_k b^k$. Substituting (3.13) into (3.12), we have (3.14)

$$b_{i,j} = c_1 \{q_i(3hb_j + 4kd_j) + q_j(hb_i + 2kd_i)\} + 3c_2k(q_ib_j + q_jb_i) \\ - \frac{1}{2}q_b \{(c_1h + 3c_2k)(b_id_j + b_jd_i) + 2(3c_2hb_ib_j + c_1kd_id_j)\}.$$

In case of $L^3 = \alpha^2 \beta$, substitution of $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 0$ in (3.14) leads to

$$b_{i,j} = h(b_i q_j + 3b_j q_i) + 2k(d_i q_j + 2d_j q_i) - \frac{1}{2}q_b \{h(b_i d_j + b_j d_i) + 2kd_i d_j)\}.$$

Summarizing up all the above, we have the following

310

THEOREM 3.2. A cubic Finsler space with $L = c_1 \alpha^2 \beta + c_2 \beta^3$, where c_1 and c_2 are constants, is a Berwald space if and only if

- (1) $\alpha^2 \neq 0 \pmod{\beta}$: $b_{i,j}$ is of the form (3.9), where $a = c_2 b^2 + c_1$ and $p_b = p_k b^k$.
- (2) $\alpha^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$: $n = 2, b^2 = 0$ and $b_{i,j}$ is of the form (3.14), where $\alpha^2 = \beta \delta, \ \delta = d_i(x)y^i$ and (h, k) are functions of (x^i) .

4. Two-dimensional Landsberg spaces

Now we are to find the necessary and sufficient conditions that a two-dimensional Finsler space with a cubic metric $(3\ 2)$ is a Landsberg space (cf.[10]).

Because the difference vector B^i and the main scalar ϵI^2 play the leading roles, we have to determine the difference vector B^i . The difference vector B^i of the Finsler space has been first given by [12]. Here, by means of (2.4) and reference of (3.3), we have

(4.1)
$$2B^{i} = \frac{2A}{\Omega} \left\{ y^{i} + \frac{(3c_{2}\beta^{2} - c_{1}\alpha^{2})}{2c_{1}\beta} b^{i} \right\} + \frac{(c_{1}\alpha^{2} + 3c_{2}\beta^{2})}{c_{1}\beta} s^{i}_{0},$$

where

$$A = c_1 \beta r_{00} - (c_1 \alpha^2 + 3c_2 \beta^2) s_0,$$

$$\Omega = 3a\beta^2 - c_1 \gamma^2.$$

It follows from $(4\ 1)$ that

(4.2)
$$r_{00} - 2b_r B^r = \frac{2\beta A}{\Omega}$$

Now we deal with the necessary and sufficient conditions that a two-dimensional Finsler space F^2 with a cubic metric (3.2) is a Landsberg space. It is well known that in the two-dimensional case, a general Finsler space is a Landsberg space if and only if its main scalar $I_{|i}y^i = 0$. Owing to [1], [5], the main scalar I of a twodimensional Finsler space F^2 with a cubic metric (3.2) and (3.3) is obtained as follows.

(4.3)
$$\varepsilon I^2 = \frac{c_1 \gamma^2 Z^2}{2\Omega^3},$$

where $Z = 9a\beta^2 + c_1\gamma^2$.

Before discussing our problem, we have to check the assumption $\Omega \neq 0$ ans $c_1 \neq 0$ in the two-dimensional case because Ω appears in the denominators in (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), and c_1 also appears (4.1). Lemma 2.2 shows that $\Omega = 0$ if and only if $c_1 = 0$ and $b^2 = 0$, $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 0$, namely, the space is $L^3 = c_2\beta^3$ and $b^2 = 0$, L = 0. Consequently, $\Omega \neq 0$, $c_1c_2 \neq 0$ and $b^2 \neq 0$ are a proper assumption in the present section.

The covariant differentiation of (4.3) yields

(4.4)
$$\varepsilon I^{2}_{|\imath} = \frac{c_{1}Z}{2\Omega^{4}} (\Omega Z \gamma^{2}_{|\imath} + 2\gamma^{2} \Omega Z_{|\imath} - 3\gamma^{2} Z \Omega_{|\imath}).$$

Transvecting (4.4) by
$$y^{i}$$
, we get
(4.5)
 $\varepsilon I^{2}{}_{|i}y^{i} = \frac{27c_{1}b^{2}\beta(c_{1}\alpha^{2}+c_{2}\beta^{2})Z}{2\Omega^{4}}(a\beta\gamma^{2}{}_{|i}y^{i}-2a\gamma^{2}\beta_{|i}y^{i}-c_{2}\beta\gamma^{2}b^{2}{}_{|i}y^{i}).$

Consequently, the cubic Finsler space is a Landsberg space if and only if

$$27c_1b^2\beta(c_1\alpha^2 + c_2\beta^2)Z(a\beta\gamma_{|i}^2y^i - 2a\gamma^2\beta_{|i}y^i - c_2\beta\gamma^2b_{|i}^2y^i) = 0,$$

which imply

$$(c_1\alpha^2 + c_2\beta^2)Z(a\beta\gamma_{|i}^2y^i - 2a\gamma^2\beta_{|i}y^i - c_2\beta\gamma^2b_{|i}^2y^i) = 0$$

because of $c_1 \neq 0$ and $b^2 \neq 0$. Thus the following three cases should be considered to find the condition:

(1°) $c_1\alpha^2 + c_2\beta^2 = 0$: Lemma 2.2 shows a contradiction immediately, that is, we obtain $c_1 \neq 0$ and $c_2 \neq 0$.

(2°) $Z = 9a\beta^2 + c_1\gamma^2 = 0$: This implies $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 0$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.2, that is, $Z \neq 0$.

(3°) $a\beta\gamma^2_{|i}y^i - 2a\gamma^2\beta_{|i}y^i - c_2\beta\gamma^2b^2_{|i}y^i = 0$: By means of (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) this equation is written as

$$2c_1\beta(r_0+s_0)-3ab^2(r_{00}-2b_rB^r)=0.$$

 $\mathbf{312}$

Substituting (4.2) in the above, we obtain

(4.6)
$$\frac{\beta [c_1(3a+c_1)\beta r_0 + \{3(3a-2c_1)a+c_1^2\}\beta s_0 - 3c_1ab^2r_{00}]}{+c_1b^2\alpha^2 \{(3a-c_1)s_0 - c_1r_0\} = 0.}$$

First, this gives a condition $c_1 b^2 \alpha^2 \{(3a-c_1)s_0-c_1r_0\} = 0 \pmod{\beta}$. Since $b^2 \neq 0$ may be supposed in this case, Lemma 2.1 shows $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$ and so there exists a function g(x) satisfying

$$(4.7) (3a-c_1)s_0-c_1r_0=g\beta.$$

Then $(4\ 6)$ is reduced to

$$[c_1(3a+c_1)r_0 + \{3(3a-2c_1)a+c_1^2\}s_0]\beta + c_1b^2(g\alpha^2 - 3ar_{00}) = 0.$$

This implies that there exists a 1-form $\mu = m_i(x)y^i$ such that

$$(4.8) \qquad \qquad 3ar_{00} = g\alpha^2 - \beta\mu,$$

and the above is reduced to

$$c_1(3a+c_1)r_0 + \{3(3a-2c_1)a+c_1^2\}s_0 + c_1b^2\mu = 0.$$

Thus the above and (47) yield

(4.9)
$$s_0 = \frac{(3a+c_1)g\beta - c_1b^2\mu}{6a(3a-c_1)},$$

(4.10)
$$r_0 = -\frac{\{(3a-c_1)g\beta + c_1b^2\mu\}}{6c_1a}$$

Consequently, (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) are attained from (4.6). Since (4.8) is written in the form

$$6ar_{ij} = 2ga_{ij} - (b_i m_j + b_j m_i),$$

the transvection by $b^i y^j$ yields

(4.11)
$$6ar_0 = 2g\beta - (b^2\mu + m_b\beta),$$

where $m_b = m_k b^k$.

Comparing (4.11) with (4.10), we have

$$c_1 m_b = (3a + c_1)g.$$

Thus we get the condition in the form

(4.8')
$$r_{00} = \frac{c_1 m_b}{3a(3a+c_1)} \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{3a} \beta \mu,$$

(4.9')
$$s_0 = \frac{c_1(m_b\beta - b^2\mu)}{6a(3a - c_1)}.$$

Eliminating μ from (4.8') and (4.9'), we have

(4.12)
$$r_{00} = \frac{c_1 f}{3a(3a+c_1)}\alpha^2 - \frac{f}{3b^2a}\beta^2 + \frac{2(3a-c_1)}{c_1b^2}\beta s_0,$$

where $f(x) = m_b$.

Thus we have the following

THEOREM 4.1. The necessary and sufficient condition for a twodimensional cubic Finsler space with $c_1c_2 \neq 0$ and $b^2 \neq 0$ to be a Landsberg space is that (4.12) is satisfied.

Now we shall prove the reduction theorem:

THEOREM 4.2. Let F^2 be a two-dimensional cubic Finsler space with $c_1c_2 \neq 0$ and $b^2 \neq 0$. If F^2 is a Landsberg space, then F^2 is a Berwald space.

Proof. The condition that (3.9) be a Berwald space may be rewritten in the form

(4.13)
$$(1) \quad r_{ij} = (3a - c_1)(b_i p_j + b_j p_i) - p_b(c_1 a_{ij} + 3c_2 b_i b_j),$$

(2)
$$s_{ij} = c_1(b_i p_j - b_j p_i).$$

Now let F^2 be a Landsberg space, that is, suppose that (4.12) holds. Then the system of linear equations

$$b^1p_1 + b^2p_2 = -rac{f}{3a(3a+c_1)}, \quad -b_2p_1 + b_1p_2 = rac{s_{12}}{c_1}$$

314

for (p_1, p_2) , where f is the one in (4.12), determines unique solution (p_1, p_2) because of $c_1 b^2 \neq 0$. The above are written as

$$f + 3a(3a + c_1)p_b = 0, \quad s_{ij} = c_1(b_i p_j - b_j p_i).$$

The latter is nothing but (2) of (4.13). Then we obtain $s_0 = c_1(b^2\phi - p_b\beta)$, $\phi = p_i(x)y^i$, and (4.12) is now written in the form

$$r_{00}=2(3a-c_1)eta\phi-p_b(c_1lpha^2+3c_2eta^2),$$

which is nothing but (1) of (4.13). Thus the proof is completed. \Box

REFERENCES

- P L Antonelli, R S Ingarden and M Matsumoto, The theory of sprays and Finsler spaces with applications in physics and biology, Kluwer, 1993
- [2] S Bácsó and M Matsumoto, Projective changes between Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric, Tensor, N. S **55** (1994), 252-257
- [3] S Bácsó and M Matsumoto, Reduction theorems of certain Landsberg spaces to Berwald spaces, Publ Math, Debrecen, 48 (1996), 357-366
- [4] M Hashiguchi, S Höjö and M Matsumoto, Landsberg spaces of dimension two with (α, β)-metric, Tensor, N S 57 (1996), 145–153.
- [5] M Kitayama, M Azuma and M Matsumoto, On Finsler spaces with (α, β) metric Regularity, geodesics and main scalars, J Hokkaido Univ. of Education **46** (1995), 1-10
- [6] V K Kropma, Projective two-dimensional Finsler spaces with special metric, (Russian), Trudy Sem Vektor Tenzor Anal 11 (1961), 277-292
- [7] M Matsumoto, Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces, Kaiseisha Press, Saikawa, Ötsu, Japan, 1986
- [8] M. Matsumoto, The Berwald connection of a Finsler space with an (α,β)metric, Tensor, N S 50 (1991), 18-21
- M Matsumoto and S Numata, On Finsler space with a cubic metric, Tensor, N S. 56 (1995), 142-148
- [10] H S Park and I Y Lee, On the Landsberg spaces of dimension two with a special (α, β) -metric, J Korean Math Soc **37** (2000), no 1, 73-84
- [11] H S Park, I Y Lee and C K Park, Finsler space with the general approximate Matsumoto meric, Indian J. pure and appl Math 34 (2003), no. 1, 59-77
- [12] C Shibata, H Shimada, M Azuma and H Yasuda, On Finsler spaces with Randers' mertic, Tensor, N S, 31 (1977), 219-226

- [13] H Shimada, On Finsler spaces with the metric $L^m = a_{i_1i_2 \dots i_m} y^{i_1} y^{i_2} \dots y^{i_m}$, Tensor, N S 33 (1979), 365-372.
- [14] J. M Wegener, Untersuchungen der zwei-und dreidimensional Finslerschen Ra ume mit der Grundform $L = \sqrt[3]{a_{1kl}x^{'1}x^{'k}x^{'l}}$, Koninkl Akad Wetensch, Amsterdem, Proc **38** (1935), 949–955

Department of Mathematics Kyungsung University Busan 608-736, Korea *E-mail*: iylee@star.ks.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics SoonChunHyang University Asan 337-830, Korea *E-mail*: jundk@sch.ac.kr