East Asian Math J 19 (2003), No 2, pp 261-271

# TWO CLASSES OF THE GENERALIZED RANDERS METRIC

EUN-SEO CHOI AND BYUNG-DOO KIM

ABSTRACT We deal with two metrics of Randers type, which are characterized by the solution of certain differential equations respectively Furthermore, we will give the condition for a Finsler space with such a metric to be a locally Minkowski space or a conformally flat space, respectively

# 1. Introduction

On a differentiable manifold M we shall consider a Finsler metric  $L(\alpha, \beta)$  which is a positively homogeneous function of degree one of a Riemannian metric  $\alpha$  and 1-form  $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ . The structure  $(M, L(\alpha, \beta))$  is called a Finsler space with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. Kikuchi [2] has given the condition that a Randers space with  $L = \alpha + \beta$  be a locally Minkowski space Recently a Finsler space with a special  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric of Randers type has been studied by some authors ([5], [6]).

The purpose of the present paper is to consider two special  $(\alpha, \beta)$ metrics which are given by the solution of certain differential equations, and to give the condition that a Finsler space with the metric is a locally Minkowski space. Moreover, in the last section we study the conformally flatness of Finsler space with such a metric.

Received October 20, 2003

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification 53B40

Key words and phrases Berwald connection, locally Minkowski, conformally flat

This work is supported by the Dongil Culture and Scholarship Foundation research grants in 2003

#### 2. Berwald connection and locally Minkowski space

For the Berwald connection  $B\Gamma = (G_{jk}^{i}(x, y), G_{k}^{i}(x, y), 0)$ , the *h*-covariant derivative of a vector  $X^{i}(x, y)$  is given by

$$X^{i}_{\ |j} = \delta_{j}X^{i} + G_{rj}^{\ i}X^{r},$$

where  $\delta_j = \partial_j - G_j^r \dot{\partial}_r$ ,  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x^j$  and  $\dot{\partial}_r = \partial/\partial y^r$ . Moreover the *h*-curvature tensor  $H^2$  of  $B\Gamma$  is given by

(2.1) 
$$H_{h^{\prime}jk} = \mathcal{U}_{(jk)}(\delta_k G_{h^{\prime}j} + G_{h^{\prime}j} G_{r^{\prime}k}^{-4}),$$

where the symbol  $\mathcal{U}_{(jk)}\{\cdots\}$  denotes the interchange of j, k and subtraction.

A Finsler space is called a *Berwald* space, if the connection coefficient  $G_{jk}^{i}$  of  $B\Gamma$  is a function of position  $x^{i}$  alone in any coordinate system. If a Finsler space has a covering of coordinate neighborhoods in which  $g_{ij}$  does not depend on x, then it is called *locally Minkowski* ([1],[2]). It is well known that a Finsler space is a locally Minkowski, if and only if it is a Berwald space and *h*-curvature tensor of  $B\Gamma$  vanishes.

Let  $F^n = (M^n, L)$  be an *n*-dimensional Finsler space with a fundamental metric function  $L(\alpha, \beta)$ . Throughout the paper our discussion is restricted to such a domain of  $M^n$  that the  $\beta$  does not vanish. Now we consider the function  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  of two variables, and denote by the subscripts  $\alpha, \beta$  of F the partial derivatives of F with respect to  $\alpha, \beta$  respectively, that is,

$$F_{lpha}=\partial F/\partial lpha,\;F_{eta}=\partial F/\partial eta,\;F_{lphaeta}=\partial^2 F/\partial lpha\partial eta,\;\cdots$$

If we put  $F = L^2/2$ , then the Cartan tensor  $C_{ijk} = \dot{\partial}_k g_{ij}/2$  is given by

$$(2.2) \quad 2C_{ijk} = (F_{\alpha\beta}/\alpha)(K_{ij}p_k + K_{jk}p_i + K_{ki}p_j) + F_{\beta\beta\beta}p_ip_jp_k,$$

where  $K_{ij} = a_{ij} - y_i y_j / \alpha^2$ ,  $y_i = a_{ij} y^j$  and  $p_i = b_i - (\beta / \alpha^2) y_i$ .

A Finsler space is called C-reducible if the Cartan tensor can be written in the form:

$$C_{ijk} = (h_{ij}C_k + h_{jk}C_i + h_{ki}C_j)/(n+1), \ n \ge 3$$

where  $h_{ij} = g_{ij} - l_i l_j$ ,  $l_i = \dot{\partial}_i L$ . According to [1], a *C*-reducible Finsler space induced to a Randers space and Kropina space.

Let  $\gamma_j{}^i{}_k(x)$  be Christoffel symbols of the Riemannian metric  $\alpha$ and  $G_j{}^i{}_k$  be connection coefficients of  $B\Gamma$  of  $L(\alpha,\beta)$ . To find the Berwald connection  $B\Gamma$ , we put  $2G^i(=G^i{}_0) = \gamma_0{}^i{}_0 + 2B^i$ , where the subscript 0 means a contraction by  $y^i$ . Then we have

$$G^{i}{}_{j} = \gamma_{0}{}^{i}{}_{j} + B^{i}{}_{j},$$
  
$$G^{i}{}_{k} = \gamma_{j}{}^{i}{}_{k} + B^{j}{}_{j}{}^{i}{}_{k},$$

where  $B_{j}^{i} = \dot{\partial}_{j}B^{i}$  and  $B_{j}^{i}{}_{k} = \dot{\partial}_{j}B^{i}{}_{k}$ . Furthermore, the previous paper [4] gives the equation:

(2.3) 
$$L_{\alpha}B_{j}{}^{k}{}_{\imath}y^{\jmath}y_{k} = \alpha L_{\beta}(b_{\jmath,\imath} - B_{j}{}^{k}{}_{\imath}b_{k})y^{\jmath},$$

where (,) denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection  $\gamma_j^{i}{}_{k}(x)$ . It is obvious that a Finsler space with  $L(\alpha,\beta)$  is a Berwald space if and only if  $B_{j}{}^{k}{}_{j}$  given by (2.3) is a function of x alone.

We denote by  $R_{hijk}$  a Riemannian curvature tensor with respect to the  $\gamma_{j}{}^{i}{}_{k}$  Then *h*-curvature tensor  $H^{2}$  of (2.1) is given by [3]

(2.4) 
$$H_{h}{}^{i}{}_{jk} = R_{h}{}^{i}{}_{jk} + \mathcal{U}_{(jk)}(B_{h}{}^{i}{}_{j,k} - B_{0}{}^{r}{}_{k}\partial_{r}B_{h}{}^{i}{}_{j} + B_{h}{}^{r}{}_{j}B_{r}{}^{i}{}_{k}).$$

From (2 4), consequently we have

THEOREM 2.1. ([3]) A  $F^n = (M^n, L(\alpha, \beta))$  is a locally Minkowski if and only if  $B_j{}^k{}_i$  is a function of x alone and  $R_h{}^i{}_{jk}$  of the Riemannian metric  $\alpha$  is written as:

(2.5) 
$$R_{h^{i}jk} = -\mathcal{U}_{(jk)}(B_{h^{i}j,k} + B_{h^{r}j}B_{r^{i}k})$$

If we put  $P_{i00} = B_j{}^k{}_i y^j y_k$  and  $Q_{i0} = (b_{j,i} - B_j{}^k{}_i b_k) y^j$  in (2.3), we have

$$(2.6) L_{\alpha}P_{i00} = \alpha L_{\beta}Q_{i0}.$$

If (2.6) gives  $P_{i00} = Q_{i0} = 0$  necessarily, then from (2.3) we have  $B_j{}^k{}_i = 0$  and  $b_{j,i} = 0$ , and (2.5) shows  $R_h{}^i{}_{jk} = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $\alpha^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$ , that is,  $a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j$  contains  $b_i(x)y^i$  as a factor, then the dimension is equal to two and  $b^2$  vanishes. Hence in this paper, we assume that  $b^2 \neq 0$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

## 3. Two classes of generalized Randers metric

Let the function  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  be a positively homogeneous of degree 2 in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . From the homogeneity of F we obtain

$$(3.1) \ \alpha F_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} + \beta F_{\alpha\alpha\beta} = 0, \ \alpha F_{\alpha\beta\alpha} + \beta F_{\alpha\beta\beta} = 0, \ \alpha F_{\beta\beta\alpha} + \beta F_{\beta\beta\beta} = 0,$$

which are rewritten in the form

$$\alpha F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \alpha} + \beta F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \beta} = 0, \ \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$$

If  $F_{\beta\beta\beta} = 0$ , from (3.1) we have  $F_{\beta\beta\alpha} = F_{\alpha\beta\alpha} = F_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} = 0$ . Thus we can see that  $F_{\beta\beta\beta} = 0$  is equivalent to  $F_{\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3} = 0$ ,  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ 

Let us find the solution of  $F_{\beta\beta\beta} = 0$ . Integrating this equation by  $\beta$  we get  $F = f_1(\alpha)\beta^2 + f_2(\alpha)\beta + f_3(\alpha)$ , where  $f_i(\alpha)$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ is differentiable function. On the other side, paying attention to the homogeneity of F we find  $L^2 = c_1\alpha^2 + 2c_2\alpha\beta + c_3\beta^2$  by virtue of  $F = L^2/2$ . This is the same metric as [5]. If  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 1$ , then  $L = \alpha + \beta$ , that is, L is a Randers metric. From (2.2) we can find a simple form of  $C_{ijk}$ . Therefore we have

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** Let  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  be a positively homogeneous function of degree 2 in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then the followings are equivalent to

each other:

a) 
$$C_{ijk} = K_{ij}B_k + K_{jk}B_i + K_{ki}B_j,$$
  
b)  $F_{\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3} = 0, \ \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \{\alpha, \beta\},$   
c)  $L^2 = c_1\alpha^2 + 2c_2\alpha\beta + c_3\beta^2, \ c_1, c_2, c_3 \neq 0, 1.$ 

It is natural to generalize this result in the following way. First, let us consider a differential equation  $F_{\substack{\beta\beta \ \beta}, \beta} = 0$ , where a function  $F_{\substack{\beta\beta}, \beta} = -$ 

is a positively homogeneous of degree m in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Integrating this equation by  $\beta$  continuously and paying attention to the homogeneity of F, we get

(3.2) 
$$F(\alpha,\beta) = c_0 \alpha^m + c_1 \alpha^{m-1} \beta + \dots + c_m \beta^k = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k \alpha^{m-k} \beta^k,$$

where  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m$  are constants.

By the similar way in (3.1), for  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_m \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$  if we assume that a function F is a positively homogeneous of degree m in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , then a function  $F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} - \sigma_m$  is positively homogeneous of degree 0 in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Thus we have

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \quad \sigma_m \alpha} + \beta F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \quad \sigma_m \beta} = 0, \ \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m \in \{\alpha, \beta\}.$$

Therefore, from (3.3) if  $F_{\underbrace{\beta\beta\ \beta}_{m+1}} = 0$ , then we obtain

(3.4) 
$$F_{\sigma_1\sigma_2 \quad \sigma_{m+1}} = 0, \ \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{m+1} \in \{\alpha, \beta\}.$$

It is noted that the solution of the equation (3.4) is given by (3.2). In a Finsler space, since an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $L(\alpha, \beta)$  is a positively homogeneous of degree 1 in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , it is possible to give an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric by putting  $F = L^m$ . Summarizing up the above, we have THEOREM 3.1. Let  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  be a positively homogeneous function of degree m in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The followings are equivalent to each other:

(3.5)  
a) 
$$F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{m+1}} = 0, \ \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m+1} \in \{\alpha, \beta\},$$
  
b)  $L(\alpha, \beta) = (\sum_{k=0}^m c_k \alpha^{m-k} \beta^k)^{1/m}, \ F = L^m,$ 

where  $c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_m$  are constants.

REMARK. Theorem 3.1 means that a general solution of the differential equation  $F_{\sigma_1\sigma_2 \ \sigma_{m+1}} = 0, \ \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{m+1} \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ , does not depend on the choice of the subscript variables  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Secondly, let us find another class of the generalized Randers metric type. We consider  $F(\alpha, \beta)$ , which is a positively homogeneous function of degree m in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Paying attention to the homogeneity of F, we see that the solution of  $F_{\alpha\beta} = 0$  is  $F = c_1 \alpha^m + c_2 \beta^m$ , where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  are constants. Thus we have

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  be a positively homogeneous function of degree m in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then the followings are equivalent to each other:

(3.6)   

$$a) F_{\alpha\beta} = 0,$$
  
 $b) L(\alpha, \beta) = (c_1 \alpha^m + c_2 \beta^m)^{1/m}, F = L^m,$ 

where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  are constants.

#### 4. Berwald space and locally Minkowski space

We first deal with a Finsler space with the metric (3.5). Let  $F^n = (M^n, L)$  be an *n*-dimensional Finsler space ( $\geq 3$ ) whose metric function is given by (3.5) Then the partial derivatives with respect

to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of a metric (3.5) are given by

$$mL^{m-1}L_{\alpha} = mc_{0}\alpha^{n-1} + (m-1)c_{1}\alpha^{m-2}\beta + \dots + c_{m-1}\alpha^{m-1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (m-k)c_{k}\alpha^{m-1-k}\beta^{k},$$
$$mL^{m-1}L_{\beta} = c_{1}\alpha^{n-1} + 2c_{2}\alpha^{m-2}\beta + \dots + mc_{m}\beta^{m-1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} kc_{k}\alpha^{m-k}\beta^{k-1}.$$

From these equations and (2.6), we obtain

(41) 
$$\alpha(AP_{i00} - BQ_{i0}) + CP_{i00} - DQ_{i0} = 0,$$

where

$$A = \sum_{r=0}^{s} 2rc_{m-2r} \alpha^{2r-2} \beta^{m-2r}, s \le \frac{m}{2}$$
  

$$B = \sum_{r=0}^{s} (m-2r)c_{m-2r} \alpha^{2r} \beta^{m-2r-1}, s = \frac{m-1}{2}$$
  

$$C = \sum_{r=0}^{s} (2r+1)c_{m-2r-1} \alpha^{2r} \beta^{m-2r-1}, s = \frac{m-1}{2}$$
  

$$D = \sum_{r=0}^{s} (m-2r-1)c_{m-2r-1} \alpha^{2(r+1)} \beta^{m-2(r+1)}, s \le \frac{m-1}{2},$$

where s is a positive integer.

Assume that the Finsler space is a Berwald space, that is,  $B_j^{k}$ , is a function of position only. Since  $\alpha$  is irrational in  $y^i$ , from (4.1) we get

(4.2) 
$$\begin{array}{l} A \ P_{t00} - B \ Q_{t0} = 0, \\ C \ P_{t00} - D \ Q_{t0} = 0. \end{array}$$

If we consider a determinant  $\omega$ :

$$\omega = \begin{vmatrix} A & -B \\ C & -D \end{vmatrix},$$

then  $\omega \neq 0$ . Thus, from (4.2) we have  $P_{i00} = 0$  and  $Q_{i0} = 0$ , from which we conclude  $B_j^{\ k}_{\ i} = 0$  and  $b_{j,i} = 0$ .

Conversely if  $b_{j,i} = 0$ , then the space with an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric is a Berwald space. Thus we have

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $F^n$  be an n-dimensional Finsler space  $(n \ge 3)$  with the metric (3.5). It is a Berwald space if and only if  $b_{j,i} = 0$ , and then  $B\Gamma = (\gamma_j^{k}, \gamma_0^{k}, 0)$ .

In the case  $B_{j}{}^{k}{}_{i} = 0$ , from (2.5) we obtain  $R_{h}{}^{i}{}_{jk} = 0$ . Summarizing up the above results and using Theorem 2.1, we have

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $F^n$  be an *n*-dimensional Finsler space  $(n \ge 3)$  with the metric (3.5). It is a locally Minkowski space if and only if  $R_h^{i}{}_{jk} = 0$  and  $b_{j,i} = 0$ .

REMARK. If m = 1 in (3.5), then  $L = c_0 \alpha + c_1 \beta$  (Randers type). In this case, the equation (4.1) yields A = 0,  $B = c_1$ ,  $C = c_0$  and D = 0, which imply  $c_0 P_{i00} - c_1 \alpha Q_{i0} = 0$ . Since  $\alpha$  is irrational in y, we have  $P_{i00} = Q_{i0} = 0$ . It is noted that the space  $(M^n, L = c_0 \alpha + c_1 \beta)$  is locally Minkowski if and only if  $R_{h_{jk}}^i = 0$  and  $b_{j,i} = 0$ . This is the same result as Theorem 2.2 of [2].

Next, we consider the metric (3.6). If m = 2, we get  $L^2 = c_1 \alpha^2 + c_2 \beta^2$ , which means that  $L(\alpha, \beta)$  is a Riemannian metric. Hence we shall treat the non-Riemannian space afterward and assume that  $m \neq 2$ . The partial derivatives with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of a metric (3.6) are given by

(4.3) 
$$L_{\alpha} = (\alpha/L)^{m-1}, \ L_{\beta} = (\beta/L)^{m-1}.$$

Substituting (4.3) into (2.6), we obtain

(4.4) 
$$\alpha^{m-1}P_{i00} = \alpha\beta^{m-1}Q_{i0}.$$

Now we shall divide our consideration in two cases of which m is even or odd.

(I) Case of m = 2h+2, (h is a positive integer) When m = 2h+2, we have from (4.4)

$$(4.5) \qquad \qquad \alpha^{2h} P_{ioo} = \beta^{2h+1} Q_{i0}.$$

Since  $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$ , from (4.5) we have  $B_{j}{}^{i}{}_{k} = 0$  and  $b_{i,j} = 0$ .

(II) Case of m = 2h - 1, (h is a positive integer) From (2.6) and (4.3), we find

(4.6) 
$$\alpha^{2h}P_{i00} = \alpha\psi Q_{i0}, \ \psi = \alpha^2 \beta^{2h-2}.$$

The terms  $\alpha^{2h} P_{i00}$  and  $\psi Q_{i0}$  of (4.6) are rational in  $y^i$ , while  $\alpha$  is irrational in  $y^i$ . Thus we have, from (4.6),  $P_{i00} = Q_{i0} = 0$ , which implies  $B_{j_i}^{k} = 0$  and  $b_{j,i} = 0$ . Summarizing case (I) and case (II), we have

THEOREM 4.3. Let  $F^n$  be an n-dimensional Finsler space  $(n \ge 3)$  with the metric (3.6). It is a locally Minkowski space if and only if  $R_h^{i}{}_{jk} = 0$  and  $b_{j;i} = 0$ 

## 5. Conformal flatness

Let  $F^n = (M^n, L)$  and  $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$  be two Finsler spaces on the same underlying manifold  $M^n$ . If we have a function  $\sigma(x)$  in each coordinate neighborhoods of  $M^n$  such that  $\overline{L}(x, y) = e^{\sigma}L(x, y)$ , then  $F^n$  is called *conformal* to  $\overline{F}^n$  and the change  $L \to \overline{L}$  of metric is called *conformal*. For  $\sigma(x)$ , a conformal change ([1]) of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ metric is expressed as  $(\alpha, \beta) \to (\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta})$ , where  $\overline{\alpha} = e^{\sigma} \alpha$  and  $\overline{\beta} = e^{\sigma} \beta$ . A Finsler space is called *conformally flat*, if it is conformal to a locally Minkowski space. In the previous papers [2], [3] and [5], the authors dealt with conformally flat spaces. For an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, a conformally invariant symmetric linear connection  $M_{\mathcal{J}^{-1}k}$  is defined by [1]

$$M_{j}{}^{i}{}_{k} = \gamma_{j}{}^{i}{}_{k} + \delta^{i}_{j}M_{k} + \delta^{i}_{k}M_{j} - M^{i}a_{jk},$$

where  $M_j = \{b_{j,k}b^k - b^k_{,k}b_j/(n-1)\}/b^2$  and  $M^i = a^{ij}M_j$ . We denote by  $\nabla$  and  $M_h{}^i{}_{jk}$  the covariant differentiation with respect to  $M_j{}^i{}_k$ and the curvature tensor of this connection respectively. A Finsler space with an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric is called flat-parallel, if  $R_h{}^i{}_{jk} = 0$  and  $b_{i,j} = 0$ .

THEOREM 5.1. ([3]) A Finsler space with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric is conformal to a flat-parallel Minkowski space if and only if the condition

(5.1) 
$$M_h{}^i{}_{jk} = 0, \nabla_j M_i = \nabla_i M_j, \nabla_j b_i = -b_i M_j$$

is satisfied.

In an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, a conformal change preserves the type of metric invariant. From Theorem 4.2 (resp. Theorem 4.3), we can see that  $F^n$  with the metric (3.5) (resp. (3.6)) is flat-parallel. Thus these conditions are also applicable to the metric (3.5)(resp. (3.6)). Consequently, from Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.1 we have

THEOREM 5.2. Let  $F^n$  be an n-dimensional Finsler space  $(n \ge 3)$  with the metric (3.5) (resp. (3.6)) It is conformally flat if and only if the condition (5.1) is satisfied.

#### REFERENCES

- P. L. Antonelli, R. Ingarden and M. Matsumoto, The theory of sprays and Finsler spaces with applications in physics and biology, Kluwer Acad publ., Netherlands, 1993.
- [2] S Kikuchi, On the condition that a space with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric be locally Minkowskian, Tensor, N S 33 (1979), 242-246.
- [3] M Matsumoto, A special class of locally Minkowski space with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric and conformally flat Kropina spaces, Tensor, N S 56 (1991), 202-207
- [4] M. Matsumoto, Theory of Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, Rep Math Phys **31** (1992), 43-83
- [5] H. S. Park and E. S. Choi, On a Finsler space with a special  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, Tensor, N.S. 56 (1995), 142-148

#### TWO CLASSES OF THE GENERALIZED RANDERS METRIC 271

[6] H S Park and I Y Lee, On the Landsberg spaces of dimension two with a special  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, J Korean Math Soc **37** (2000), 73-84.

Department of Mathematics Yeungnam University Kyungsan, 712-749, Korea *E-mail*: eschoi@yu.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics Kyungil University Kyungsan, 712–701, Korea *E-mail* bdkim@kiu.ac kr