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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove the existence of solution and unform decay rates of the energy to viscoolastic problems with nonlinear boundary damping term. To obtain the existence of solutions, we use Faedo-Galerkin's approximation, and also to show the unform stabilization we use the perturbed energy method


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the uniform decay of solutions for viscoelastic problems with nonlinear boundary damping of the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K u^{\prime \prime}-\left(1+\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) \Delta u(\tau) d \tau=0 \\
& \quad \text { on } Q=\Omega \times(0, \infty), \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u^{\prime}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) \quad \text { on } \quad x \in \Omega \\
& u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Sigma_{1}=\Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \left(1+\|\nabla u\|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}-\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(\tau) d \tau+g\left(u^{\prime}\right)=f(u) \\
& \quad \text { on } \quad \Sigma_{0}=\Gamma_{0} \times(0, \infty)
\end{align*}
$$
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where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $C^{2}$ boundary $\Gamma:=\partial \Omega$ such that $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{1}, \bar{\Gamma}_{0} \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{1}=\emptyset$ and $\Gamma_{0}, \Gamma_{1}$ have positive measures, and $\nu$ denotes the unit outer normal vector pointing towards $\Gamma$. When $\Gamma_{0}=\emptyset$, problem (1.1) with $h=0$ results from the mathematical description of small amplitude vibrations of an elastic string (see [7]). In fact, a mathematical model for the deflection of an elastic string of length $L>0$ is given by the mixed problem for the nonlinear wave equation

$$
\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=\left\{p_{0}+\frac{E h}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x\right\} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad 0<x<L, \quad t \geq 0
$$

where $u$ is the lateral deflection, $x$ the space coordinate, $t$ the time, $E$ the Young modulus, $\rho$ the mass density, $h$ the cross section area and $p_{0}$ the initial axial tension

There exists many literature about viscoelastic problems with the memory term acting in the domain. Among the numerous works in this direction, we can cite Jiang and Rivera[4]. When $K=I$, Georgiev and Todorova[3] investigated blow-up properties of the solutions of wave equation with nonlinear damping and source term acting in the domain. For the existence results for Kirchhoff type wave equation with $\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{1}$ and $K=I$, see Brito[1], Matsuyama[5], Ikehata[6] and Yamada[9]. When $h=0$ and $K=I$, Bae[8] has studied the uniform decay of solution for the Kirchhoff type wave equations with nonlinear boundary damping $g(t)\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime}$ and boundary source term $\int_{0}^{t} g(t-r)|u(r)|^{\gamma} u(r) d r$.

On the other hand, Cavalcanti et al [2] have studied the global existence and uniform decay of strong solutions of linear wave equation;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1} u^{\prime \prime}+K_{2} u^{\prime}-\Delta u=0 \quad \text { on } Q=\Omega \times(0, \infty) \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u^{\prime}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x)^{*} \text { on } x \in \Omega \\
& u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Sigma_{1}=\Gamma_{1} \times(0, \infty) \\
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+u^{\prime}+\alpha(t)\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} u^{\prime}-|u|^{\gamma} u\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Sigma_{0}=\Gamma_{0} \times(0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper, we will study the existence of solutions of the Kirchoff type viscoelastic problem (1.1) with nonlinear boundary damping, nonlinear boundary source term. Moreover, we will consider the uniform decay of the energy of the problem (1.1).

## 2. Assumptions and main result

Throughout this paper we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega) ; u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{1}\right\}, \quad(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} u(x) v(x) d x, \\
& (u, v)_{\Gamma_{0}}=\int_{\Gamma_{0}} u(x) v(x) d \Gamma, \quad\|u\|_{p, \Gamma_{0}}^{p}=\int_{\Gamma_{0}}|u(x)|^{p} d x \\
& \text { and }\|u\|_{\infty}=\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For simplicity we denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2, \Gamma_{0}}$ by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\Gamma_{0}}$, respectively For our result, we need the following assumptions.
$\left(A_{1}\right)$ Let us consider $u_{0}, u_{1} \in V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$ verifymg the compatibility conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}=\Delta u_{0}=u_{1}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{1}, \\
& \left(1+\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|^{2}\right) \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \nu}+g\left(u_{1}\right)=f\left(u_{0}\right) \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(A_{2}\right)$ Let $K$ be a function in $W^{1, \infty}(0, \infty) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty), K \geq 0$ such that

$$
-K^{\prime}(t) \geq \delta>0, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

$\left(A_{3}\right) f: R \rightarrow R$ is a $C^{1}$ function such that for some positive constant $C_{0}$,

$$
|f(s)| \leq C_{0}|s|^{\gamma+1}, \quad\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right| \leq C_{0}|s|^{\gamma},
$$

where $0<\gamma \leq \frac{1}{n-2}$ if $n \geq 3$ or $\gamma>0$ if $n=1,2$.
$\left(A_{4}\right) g: R \rightarrow R$ is a nondecreasing $C^{1}$-function such that for some positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$,

$$
C_{1}|s|^{\rho+2} \leq g(s) s \leq C_{2}|s|^{\rho+2}, s \in R
$$

where $0<\rho \leq \frac{1}{n-2}$ if $n \geq 3$ or $\rho>0$ if $n=1,2$.
$\left(A_{5}\right)$ Let the function $h: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a nonnegative and bounded $C^{2}$-function such that $l=1-\int_{0}^{\infty} h(r) d r>0$ and for some $\xi_{2}, \imath=1,2,3$,
$-\xi_{1} h(t) \leq h^{\prime}(t) \leq-\xi_{2} h(t) \quad$ and $\quad 0 \leq h^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \xi_{3} h(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0$.
Now we state our main result.
Theorem 2.1. Under assumptions $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{5}\right)$ and $\rho \geq \gamma$, problem (1.1) has a unique strong solution $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u \in$ $L^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V), u^{\prime} \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty ; V), \sqrt{K} u^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), u^{\prime \prime} \in$ $L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Moreover, if $\rho=\gamma$, then there exist positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that

$$
E(t) \leq C_{1} E(0) \exp \left(-C_{2} \epsilon t\right), \quad t \geq 0 .
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section we are going to show the existence of solution for problem (1.1). Now, we represent by $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j \in N}$ a basis in $V \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$ which is orthonormal in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, by $V_{m}$ the finite dimensional subspace of $V$ generated by the first $m$ vectors. Next we define for each $\epsilon>0$, $K_{\epsilon}(t)=K(t)+\epsilon$ and $u_{\epsilon m}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \gamma_{\epsilon j m}(t) w_{3}$, where $u_{\epsilon m}(t)$ is the solution of the following problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(K_{\epsilon}(t) u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t), w\right)+\left(1+\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t), \nabla w\right)+ \\
& \quad\left(g\left(u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right), w\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
& =\left(f\left(u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right), w\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(r), \nabla w\right) d r  \tag{3.1}\\
& u_{\epsilon m}(0)=u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(0)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad w \in V_{m}
\end{align*}
$$

with the initial conditions,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\epsilon m}(0)=u_{0 m}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(u_{0}, w_{j}\right) w_{3} \rightarrow u_{0} \\
\text { strongly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega) \\
u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(0)=u_{1 m}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(u_{1}, w_{j}\right) w_{j} \rightarrow u_{1}  \tag{3.2}\\
\text { strongly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that we can solve the system (3.1)-(3.2) by Picard's iteration method. In fact, the problem (3.1)-(3.2) has a unique solution on some interval $\left[0, T_{m}\right)$. The extension of these solutions to the whole interval $[0, T]$ is a consequence of the estrmates which we are going to prove below.

## A Priori Estimate I.

Multiplying (3.1) by $\gamma_{g}^{\prime}(t)$, summing over $\lambda$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} & {\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\|\sqrt{K_{\epsilon}(t)} u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{4}\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\gamma+2}\left\|u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}\right]+\left(g\left(u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right), u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(K^{\prime}(t), \mid u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t) \|^{2}\right)+\left(f\left(u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right), u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}  \tag{3.3}\\
& +\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(r), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right) d r \\
& -h(0)\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{2}-\int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(t-r)\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(r), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right) d r \\
& +\left(\left|u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right|^{\gamma} u_{\epsilon m}(t), u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the assumption $\left(A_{3}\right)$, Holder's inequality, Young's inequal-
ity and imbedding $L^{\rho+2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\gamma+2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ give us

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f\left(u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right), u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}+\left(\left|u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right|^{\gamma} u_{\epsilon m}(t), u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
& \leq\left(C_{0}+1\right) \int_{\Gamma_{0}}\left|u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right|^{\gamma+1}\left|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right| d \Gamma  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq C_{1}(\eta)\left\|u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}+\eta\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2} \\
& \leq C_{1}(\eta)\left\|u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}+C_{2}(\eta)+\eta\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\rho+2}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering Schwarz's inequality and taking the assumption ( $A_{5}$ ) into account, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(t-r)\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(r), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right) d r \leq \frac{\xi_{1}^{2}}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.5}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\|h\|_{L^{1}(0, \infty)} \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(r)\right\|^{2} d r .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining the above inequalities, and integrating it over ( $0, t$ ), assumptions $\left(A_{2}\right)$ and ( $A_{4}$ ) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\epsilon m}(t)+\frac{1}{\gamma+2}\left\|u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}+\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
&+\int_{0}^{t}\left(C_{1}-\eta\right)\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\rho+2} d s \\
& \leq E_{\epsilon m}(0)+\frac{1}{\gamma+2}\left\|u_{0 \epsilon m}\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}+\int_{0}^{t} C_{2}(\eta) \\
&+C_{1}(\eta)\left\|u_{\epsilon m}(s)\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2} d s \\
&+\left(\frac{\xi_{1}^{2}}{2}-h(0)\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
&+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(r), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right) d r \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\|h\|_{L^{1}(0, \infty)} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} h(s-r)\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(r)\right\|^{2} d r d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq E_{\epsilon m}(0)+\frac{1}{\gamma+2}\left\|u_{0 \epsilon m}\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} C_{2}(\eta)+C_{1}(\eta)\left\|u_{\epsilon m}(s)\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2} d s  \tag{3.6}\\
& +\left(\frac{\xi_{1}^{2}}{2}-h(0)+\frac{1}{2}\|h\|_{L^{1}(0, \infty)}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\|h\|_{L^{1}(0, \infty)}\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, \infty)}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(s)\right\|^{2} d s
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{\epsilon m}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|\sqrt{K_{\epsilon}(t)} u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{4}$.
Employing Gronwall's lemma we obtain the first estimate:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\sqrt{K_{\epsilon}(t)} u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s  \tag{3.7}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\rho+2} \leq L_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{1}>0$ is a positive constant independent of $m$ and $t>0$.
From the assumptions $\left(A_{4}\right)$ on $g$ and (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|g\left(u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} d s \leq L_{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{2}>0$ is a positive constant independent of $m$ and $t>0$.

## A Priori Estimate II.

Now differentiating (3.1), multiplying the result by $\gamma_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and sum-
ming over $\jmath$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left\|\sqrt{K_{\epsilon}(t)} u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left(1+\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t),\left|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +\int_{\Gamma_{0}} g^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\left(u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2} d \Gamma \\
= & \left(f^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right) u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t), u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
& +3\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right)\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}-h(0)\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{39}\\
& +h(0) \frac{d}{d t}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& +\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(t-r)\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(r), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right) d r \\
& -h^{\prime}(0)\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime \prime}(t-r)\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(r), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right) d r
\end{align*}
$$

Making use of the Schwarz inequality, Young Inequality and taking assumption $\left(A_{3}\right)$ into account, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(f^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right) u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t), u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
& \leq C_{0}\left\|u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|_{2(\gamma+1), \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma}\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2(\gamma+1), \Gamma_{0}}\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{\prime}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
& \leq C(\eta) L_{1}^{2 \gamma}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\eta\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|_{\Gamma_{0}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (3.9) over $(0, t)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\epsilon 1 m}(t) & +\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Gamma_{0}}\left(g^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(s)\right)-\eta\right)\left(u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2} d \Gamma d s \\
\leq & E_{\epsilon 1 m}(0)+3 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(s)\right\|\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{3} d s \\
& +h(0)\left[\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|+\left\|\nabla u_{0 m}\right\|\left\|\nabla u_{1 m}\right\|\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\|h\|_{L^{1}(0, \infty)}^{2}+\|h\|_{L^{1}(0, \infty)}\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, \infty)}\right.  \tag{3.11}\\
\quad & \left.+\mid h^{\prime}(0) \|\right] \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(r)\right\|^{2} d r \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{3}^{2}-2 h(0)+\left|h^{\prime}(0)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+C(\eta) L_{1}^{2 \gamma}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{\epsilon 1 m}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|\sqrt{K_{\epsilon}(t)} u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left(1+\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\right.$ $\left.2\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon m}(t), \nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\right]$. Considering the first estimate and employing Gronwall's inequality, for sufficiently small $\eta$,
(3.12) $\left\|\sqrt{K_{\epsilon}(t)} u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\epsilon m}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\|^{2} d s \leq L_{4}$,
where $L_{4}$ is a positive constant independent of $m \in N$ and $t \in[0, T]$.
By the estimates we can extract subsequence ( $u_{\epsilon \mu}$ ) of ( $u_{\epsilon m}$ ) such
that
(3.13)

$$
u_{\epsilon \mu} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon} \quad \text { weak star } \quad L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \quad \text { weak star } \quad L^{\infty}(0, T ; V) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{K_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \sqrt{K_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { weak star } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\epsilon \mu} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon} \quad \text { weak star } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\gamma+2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \quad \text { weak star } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\rho+2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \quad \text { weak } \quad L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { weak } \quad L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence (3.13)-(3.15) and (3.19) are sufficient to pass to the limit in the linear terms of problem (31). Next we are going to consider the nonlinear ones.

Analysis of the nonlinear terms.
Taking (3.7) into account, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{0}}\left|f\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}\right)\right|^{\frac{\gamma+2}{\gamma+1}} d \Gamma d t \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{0}}\left|u_{\epsilon \mu}\right|^{\gamma+2} d \Gamma d t \leq L \\
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{0}}\left|g\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+\frac{1}{2}}} d \Gamma d t \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{0}}\left|u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime}\right|^{\rho+2} d \Gamma d t \leq L
\end{aligned}
$$

so we have $\phi, \xi \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}\right) \rightarrow \phi \quad \text { weakly in } \quad L^{\frac{\gamma+2}{\gamma+1}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times(0, T)\right) \\
& g\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \xi \quad \text { weakly in } \quad L^{\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+1}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times(0, T)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the first and second estimates we deduce

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}\right) \text { is bounded in } & L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right), \\
\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime}\right) \text { is bounded in } & L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{\frac{2}{2}}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) \\
\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { is bounded in } & L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

Taking into consideration that the imbedding $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \leftrightarrow L^{2}(\Gamma)$ is continuous and compact and using Aubin compactness theorem, we can extract a subsequence, still represented by ( $u_{\epsilon \mu}$ ), such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{\epsilon \mu} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon} & \text { strongly in } & L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{3.20}\\
u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon}^{\prime} & \text { strongly in } & L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

which imply that
(3.21) $u_{\epsilon \mu} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon}$ a.e. on $\Sigma_{0}$ and $u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ a.e. on $\Sigma_{0}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}\right) \rightarrow f\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \quad \text { weakly in } \quad L^{\frac{\gamma+2}{\gamma+1}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times(0, T)\right) \\
& g\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow g\left(u_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { weakly in } \quad L^{\frac{\rho+2}{\rho+1}}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times(0, T)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}\right) \rightarrow f\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \quad \text { weakly in } \quad L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right), \\
& g\left(u_{\epsilon \mu}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow g\left(u_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { weakly in } \quad L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using standard arguments, we can show from the above estimates that

$$
\begin{align*}
& K(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\Delta\left[u(t)+\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} u(t)-\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u(r) d r\right]=0  \tag{3.22}\\
& \quad \text { in } \quad L_{l o c}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Making use of the generalized Green's formula we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}\left[\left(1+\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right) u(t)-\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r) u(r) d r\right]+g\left(u^{\prime}\right)=f(u)  \tag{3.23}\\
& \quad \text { in } \quad L_{l o c}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of the existence of solutions of (1.1). The uniqueness is obtained in a usual way, so we omit the proof here.

## 4. Uniform decay

In this section we derive the decay estimates for the energy of (1.1). We define the energy $E(t)$ of the problem (1.1) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|\sqrt{K(t)} u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{4} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the derivative of energy is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(K^{\prime}(t),\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\left(\nabla u(r), \nabla u^{\prime}(t)\right) d r  \tag{4.2}\\
& -\left(g\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right), u^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}+\left(f(u(t)), u^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

Define ( $h \square u$ ) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(h \square u)(t):=\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)\|u(t)-u(r)\|^{2} d r \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next consider the modified energy $e(t)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
e(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|\sqrt{K(t)} u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{4}+\frac{1}{2}(h \square \nabla u)(t) \\
& ++\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}  \tag{4.4}\\
& +\frac{1}{\gamma+2} \alpha(t)\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}+\int_{\Gamma_{0}} \int_{0}^{u} f(\eta) d \eta d \Gamma
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha \in W^{1, \infty}(0, \infty) \cap L^{1}(0, \infty)$ with $-m_{0} \alpha(t) \leq \alpha^{\prime}(t) \leq-m_{1} \alpha(t)$, $\alpha(t) \geq m_{2}$ for all $t \geq 0, m_{\imath} \geq 0, \imath=0,1,2, m_{1}>2(\gamma+2)$ and $\|\alpha\|_{\infty} \leq C_{1}, C_{1}$ is a constant in assumption $\left(A_{4}\right)$. Then (4.2) and (4.4) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(K^{\prime}(t),\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right)-\left(g\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right), u^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} h(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{\prime} \square \nabla u\right)(t)  \tag{4.5}\\
& +\alpha(t)\left(|u(t)|^{\gamma} u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}+\frac{1}{\gamma+2} \alpha^{\prime}(t)\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Considering Young's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha(t)\left(|u(t)|^{\gamma} u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
& \quad \leq \eta \alpha(t)\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}+\eta^{-\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \alpha(t)\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2} . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing $\eta=2^{-(\gamma+1)}$ then $C_{1}-\eta\|\alpha\|_{\infty}>0$. Thus for $\gamma=\rho$ and sufficiently small $\eta>0$, the assumptions ( $A_{2}$ ) and ( $A_{5}$ ) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{\prime}(t) & \leq-\frac{\delta}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}-\beta\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}-\frac{1}{2} h(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{\xi_{2}}{2}(h \square \nabla u)(t)-\beta_{1}\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}, \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta=C_{1}-\eta\|\alpha\|_{\infty}>0$ and $\beta_{1}=m_{2}\left(\frac{m_{1}}{\gamma+2}-\eta^{-\frac{1}{\gamma+1}}\right)>0$. On the other hand we note that from assumption $\left(A_{5}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t) \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 l}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}  \tag{4.8}\\
& +\frac{1}{4}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{4} \leq l^{-1} e(t)
\end{align*}
$$

and therefore it is enough to obtain the desired exponential decay for the modified energy $e(t)$ which will be done below.

For this purpose let $\lambda$ be the positive number such that $\|v\|^{2} \leq$ $\lambda\|\nabla v\|^{2}, \quad \forall v \in V$ and for every $\epsilon>0$ let us define the perturbed modified energy by

$$
e_{\epsilon}(t)=e(t)+\epsilon \psi(t), \quad \text { where } \quad \psi(t)=\left(K(t) u^{\prime}(t), u(t)\right)
$$

Applying Cauchy Schwarz's inequality, we easily obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. We have the inequality for any $\epsilon>0$

$$
\left|e_{\varepsilon}(t)-e(t)\right| \leq \epsilon \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\|K\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} e(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 .
$$

The following proposition is the useful instrument for the energy decay of problem (1.1).

Proposition 4.2. There exist $C>0$ and $\epsilon_{1}$ such that for $\epsilon \in$ $\left(0, \epsilon_{1}\right]$

$$
e_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\epsilon C e(t)
$$

Proof. Using the equation (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi^{\prime}(t)=\left\|\sqrt{K(t)} u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left(K^{\prime}(t) u^{\prime}(t), u(t)\right) \\
& -\left(1+\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\left(g\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right), u(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
& +(f(u(t)), u(t))_{\Gamma_{0}}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)(\nabla u(r), \nabla u(t)) d r \\
& =-e(t)+\frac{3}{2}\left\|\sqrt{K(t)} u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left(K^{\prime}(t) u^{\prime}(t), u(t)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{4}+\frac{1}{2}(h \square \nabla u)(t) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\left(g\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right), u(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}} \\
& +(f(u(t)), u(t))_{\Gamma_{0}}+\int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)(\nabla u(r), \nabla u(t)) d r \\
& +\frac{1}{\gamma+2} \alpha(t)\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now applying Schwarz's inequality and (4.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} h(t-r)(\nabla u(r), \nabla u(t)) d r  \tag{array}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(h \square \nabla u)(t)+\frac{3}{2}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r .
\end{align*}
$$

From Schwarz's inequality and Young's inequality we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(g\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right), u(t)\right)_{\Gamma_{0}}\right| & \leq C_{2}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\rho+1}\|u(t)\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{0}}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \leq C_{4}(\eta)\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\rho+2}+\eta\|u(t)\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\rho+2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(K^{\prime}(t) u^{\prime}(t), u(t)\right) & \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|K^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|\|\nabla u(t)\| \\
& \leq \lambda C_{5}(\eta)\left\|K^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\eta\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{\prime}(t) \leq & -e(t)+\left(\frac{3}{2}\|K\|_{\infty}+\lambda C_{5}(\eta)\left\|K^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{2}-\eta\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\frac{3}{4}\|\nabla u(t)\|^{4} \\
& +(h \square \nabla u)(t)+\int_{0}^{t} h(r) d r\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}  \tag{4.12}\\
& +C_{4}(\eta)\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\rho+2}+\eta\|u(t)\|_{\rho+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\rho+2} \\
& +\left(C_{0}+\frac{1}{\gamma+2}\|\alpha\|_{\infty}\right)\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.7), (4.12) and the assumption $\left(A_{2}\right)$ and considering $\rho=\gamma$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t)= & e^{\prime}(t)+\epsilon \psi^{\prime}(t) \\
\leq & -\epsilon \epsilon(t)-\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\epsilon\left[\frac{3}{2}\|K\|_{\infty}+\lambda C_{5}(\eta)\left\|K^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right]\right)\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} h(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\eta\right) \epsilon\|\nabla u(t)\|^{2} \\
& -\frac{3}{4} \epsilon\|\nabla u(t)\|^{4}-\left(\frac{\xi_{2}}{2}-\epsilon\right)(h \square \nabla u)(t)  \tag{4.13}\\
& -\left(\beta-C_{4}(\eta) \epsilon\right)\left\|u^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{\gamma+2, \mathrm{r}_{0}}^{\gamma+2} \\
& -\left[\beta_{1}-\left(C_{0}+\frac{1}{\gamma+2}\|\alpha\|_{\infty}\right)\right]\|u(t)\|_{\gamma+2, \Gamma_{0}}^{\gamma+2}
\end{align*}
$$

Defining

$$
\epsilon_{1}=\min \left\{\frac{\xi_{2}}{2}, \frac{\delta}{2}\left[\frac{3}{2}\|K\|_{\infty}+\lambda C_{5}(\eta)\left\|K^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right\}^{-1}, \frac{\beta}{C_{4}(\eta)}, \frac{\beta_{1}(\gamma+2)}{C_{0}(\gamma+2)+\|\alpha\|_{\infty}}\right\}
$$

Then for each $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{1}\right.$ ], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\epsilon C e(t) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\|h\|_{L^{1}(0, \infty)}$ is sufficiently small.

## Continuing the proof of Theorem 2.1

Let $\epsilon_{0}=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\|K\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \epsilon_{1}\right\}$ and let us consider $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right]$. As we have $\epsilon<\frac{1}{2 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\|K\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, we conclude from Proposition 4.1

$$
\left(1-\epsilon \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\|K\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) e(t)<e_{\epsilon}(t)<\left(1+\epsilon \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\|K\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) e(t)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} e(t)<e_{\epsilon}(t)<\frac{3}{2} e(t) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
e_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq-\frac{2}{3} C_{1} \epsilon e_{\epsilon}(t)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[e_{\epsilon}(t) \exp \left(\frac{2}{3} C_{1} \epsilon t\right)\right] \leq 0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4.16), inequality (4.15) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(t) \leq 3 e(0) \exp \left(-\frac{2}{3} C_{1} \epsilon t\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (4.9) and (4.17) we get

$$
E(t) \leq l^{-1} e(t) \leq 3 e(0) l^{-1} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{3} C_{1} \epsilon t\right), \quad t \geq 0
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
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