

ON A FRAME IN THE SPACE $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

PHIL UNG CHUNG* AND SONG HO HAN

1. Introduction

Frames were introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer(1952), in the context of non-harmonic Fourier series and they were also reviewed by Young(1980). Here we shall review their definition, given in [1]:

DEFINITION. A family of functions $(\varphi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is called a frame if there exist A and B ($0 < A \leq B < \infty$) so that, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$A\|f\| \leq \sum | \langle f, \varphi_j \rangle |^2 \leq B\|f\|.$$

We call A and B the frame bounds.

In $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, a Weyl-Heisenberg frame(WH-frame), given by

$$\left\{ g_{m,n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(x - nq_0)e^{2\pi im p_0 x} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

and an Affine frame, given by

$$\left\{ h_{m,n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_0^{-\frac{m}{2}} h(a_0^{-m}(x - a_0^m n b_0)) \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

are commonly used as the analysis tools for the signal process in time-frequency domain, where p_0 , q_0 , a_0 and b_0 are the appropriately chosen positive constants, and g, h are the functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. A WH-frame could be used to choose the data for a given signal at equidistant points

Received August 21, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65T99,68M10.

Key words and phrases: Fourier transform, frame.

*This paper was accomplished with Research Fund provided by Kangwon National University, Supprot for 2000 faculty Research Abroad

in the domain, but it doesn't have a high resolution, which means that translation parts nq_0 do not depend on the frequency mp_0 . On the other hand an Affine frame have a high resolution which means that translation parts $a_0^m nb_0$ are reciprocally proportional to the frequency a_0^{-m} , but its intervals for choosing data $a_0^{-m} b_0$ aren't equidistant.

In this paper, we shall construct another new frame which may be used to choose data for a signal at equidistant points of the domain and, at a same time, may have a high resolution.

Throughout the present paper, the symbols \hat{f} , f^\vee , and \bar{f} denote the Fourier transform, the inverse Fourier transform, and complex conjugate of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ respectively.

2. Another new frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

In this section we shall construct another frame which may be used to choose data at equidistant points of the domain and, at the same time, may have a high resolution.

THEOREM 1. *Let $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|g\| \neq 0$ and $\text{supp } \hat{g}(\omega) \subset [a, a + \frac{1}{q_0}]$, $q_0 > 0$. Then, for any positive real constant p_0 so that*

$$H(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{g}(\omega - mp_0)|^2$$

may have a positive lower bound A and an upper bound $B(< \infty)$, the family of functions

$$(2) \quad g_{m,n}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda(m)|}} g\left(x - \frac{nq_0}{\lambda(m)}\right) e^{2\pi i m p_0 x}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

constitutes a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $\lambda(m)$ is an arbitrary real valued function of integers such that $1 \leq |\lambda(m)| < \infty$.

proof. Since $[f(x)e^{2\pi i p x}]^\wedge(\omega) = \hat{f}(\omega - p)$, we have, for any $f \in$

$L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m,n} \left| \langle \hat{f}(\omega), \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda(m)|}} \hat{g}(\omega - mp_0) e^{-2\pi i \frac{nq_0}{\lambda(m)}(\omega - mp_0)} \rangle \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{|\lambda(m)|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\hat{f}}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega) e^{-2\pi i \frac{nq_0}{\lambda(m)}\omega} d\omega \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{|\lambda(m)|} \left| \int_a^{a + \frac{1}{q_0}} \bar{\hat{f}}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega) e^{-2\pi i \frac{nq_0}{\lambda(m)}\omega} d\omega \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_m \frac{1}{|\lambda(m)|} \sum_n \left| \int_a^{a + \frac{|\lambda(m)|}{q_0}} \bar{\hat{f}}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega) e^{-2\pi i \frac{nq_0}{|\lambda(m)|}\omega} d\omega \right|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

while the integral

$$\frac{q_0}{|\lambda(m)|} \int_a^{a + \frac{|\lambda(m)|}{q_0}} \bar{\hat{f}}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega) e^{-2\pi i \frac{nq_0}{|\lambda(m)|}\omega} d\omega$$

is the Fourier coefficient of $\bar{\hat{f}}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega)$. Hence we obtain

(4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m,n} |\langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle|^2 &= \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_m \int_a^{a + \frac{|\lambda(m)|}{q_0}} |\hat{f}(\omega + mp_0) \hat{g}(\omega)|^2 d\omega \\
&= \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_m \int_{a+mp_0}^{a+mp_0 + \frac{|\lambda(m)|}{q_0}} |\hat{f}(\omega) \hat{g}(\omega - mp_0)|^2 d\omega \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega)|^2 H(\omega) d\omega,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion by virtue of our hypothesis. \square

It is noteworthy that the frame constructed in the above theorem may be used to choose data equidistantly and also it has a high resolution in the frequency domain.

With the same notations as in the Theorem 1, we have the following corollaries:

COROLLARY 1. *If $H(\omega) = 1$ and $\|g_{m,n}\| = 1$, then $\{g_{m,n} | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ constitutes an orthonormal frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

proof. Assume $H(\omega) = 1$. Then we have, for any fixed $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{m,n} |\langle g_{k,l}, g_{m,n} \rangle|^2 = |\langle g_{k,l}, g_{k,l} \rangle|^2$$

by virtue of (4). On the other hand we also have

$$\sum_{m,n} |\langle g_{k,l}, g_{m,n} \rangle|^2 = |\langle g_{k,l}, g_{k,l} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{(m,n) \neq (k,l)} |\langle g_{k,l}, g_{m,n} \rangle|^2.$$

Hence we obtain $\langle g_{k,l}, g_{m,n} \rangle = 0$ for $(m, n) \neq (k, l)$. \square

COROLLARY 2. *Let*

$$\hat{\phi}(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\sqrt{q_0} \hat{g}(\omega)}{\{\sum_m |\hat{g}(\omega + mp_0)|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

then the family of functions

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda(m)|}} \phi\left(x - \frac{nq_0}{\lambda(m)}\right) e^{2\pi i m p_0 x} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

constitutes an orthogonal frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

proof. ϕ clearly satisfies the conditions given in Theorem 1, and $\frac{1}{q_0} \sum_m |\hat{\phi}(\omega - mp_0)|^2 = 1$. Hence our assertion follows from Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. \square

COROLLARY 3. *Let $\hat{g}(\omega)$ is continuous and $\text{supp } \hat{g}(\omega) \subset [a, b]$ and let p_0 be any positive constant such that $p_0 < L = b - a$, then $\{g_{m,n} | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ constitutes a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

proof. Since $H(\omega)$ is a periodic function with period p_0 , Let us choose the interval $[a - \frac{p_0}{2}, b + \frac{p_0}{2}]$ so that it may contain at least one period of $\hat{\phi}$. Then $H(\omega)$ also has positive lower bound and upper bound on the chosen interval. Hence $H(\omega)$ has a positive lower bound and upper bound on \mathbb{R} , so that $\{g_{m,n} | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ constitutes a frame in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by virtue of Theorem 1. \square

COROLLARY 4. *If the orthonormal frame $\{g_{m,n}\}$ spans the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then we have, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$(5) \quad f = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle g_{m,n}.$$

proof. By virtue of (4), we have, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sum_{m,n} |\langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle|^2 = \langle f, f \rangle,$$

which implies, by the polarization identity,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{m,n} \langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle \langle g_{m,n}, g \rangle.$$

Hence we proved our assertion. \square

References

1. C. Gasquet and P. Witomski, *Fourier Analysis and Applications*, Springer, 1999.
2. C.K. Chui, *An Introduction to Wavelets*, Academic Press, New York, 1992.
3. Cui Mingen and et al, *Fourier Transform and Wavelet Analysis*, Kyung Moon, 2001.
4. Ingrid Daubeachies, *Ten Lectures On Wavelets*, CBMMS-NSF, 1992.

Phil Ung Chung
 Dept. of Math.
 Kangwon National University
 Chunchon 200-701, KOREA
E-mail: puchung@kangwon.ac.kr

Song Ho Han
 Dept. of Math.
 Kangwon National University
 Chunchon 200-701, KOREA
E-mail: hansong@kangwon.ac.kr