

## CONVERGENCE OF PREFILTER BASE ON THE FUZZY SET

YOUNG-KEY KIM AND HEE-YOUNG BYUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the prefilter base on a fuzzy set and fuzzy net  $\varphi$  on the fuzzy topological space  $(X, \delta)$ . And we show that the prefilter base  $\mathcal{B}(\varphi)$  determines by the fuzzy net  $\varphi$  converge to a fuzzy point  $p$  iff the fuzzy net  $\varphi$  converge to a fuzzy point  $p$ . Also we prove that if the prefilter base  $\mathcal{B}$  converge to a fuzzy point  $p$ , then the  $\mathcal{B}$  has the cluster point  $p$ .

### 1. Introduction

The concept of a fuzzy set, which was introduced in [1], provides a natural framework for generalizing many of the concepts of general topology to what might be called fuzzy topological spaces. Throughout this paper, the symbol  $I$  will denote the unit interval. Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A fuzzy set in  $X$  is a function with domain  $X$  and value in  $I$ , that is, an element of  $I^X$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 1. A fuzzy point  $p$  in  $X$  in a fuzzy set with membership function:

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} t_0, & \text{if } x = x_0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < t_0 < 1$ .  $p$  is said to have support  $x_0$  and value  $t_0$ , and is noted by  $p(x_0, t_0)$  or even  $(x_0, t_0)$ . We denote by  $B_F(X)$  the collection of all fuzzy points in  $X$ .

---

Received September 29, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E72, 54A40.

Key words and phrases: prefilter base, converges, cluster point, maximal prefilter base.

DEFINITION 2. Let  $\{\mu_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  be a fuzzy sets in  $X$ . We define the following fuzzy sets:

- (1)  $\bigwedge\{\mu_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}(x) = \inf\{\mu_i(x) \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  for each  $x \in X$ .
- (2)  $\bigvee\{\mu_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}(x) = \sup\{\mu_i(x) \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  for each  $x \in X$ .
- (3)  $c_t \in I^X$ , by  $c_t(x) = t$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $t \in I$ .

In 1968, C.L.Chang define a fuzzy topology on  $X$  as a subset  $\delta \subset I^X$  such that

- (1)  $c_0, c_1 \in \delta$ .
- (2) If  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \delta$ , then  $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \in \delta$ .
- (3) If  $\{\mu_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\} \subset \delta$ , then  $\bigvee\{\mu_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\} \in \delta$ .

Several articles on the subject all involve this definition. Amongst these the most important ones are [3, 6]. It is concept of fuzzy topology that will be used throughout the sequel. Chang's definition we will refer to as quasi fuzzy topology.

The fuzzy sets in  $\delta$  are called open fuzzy sets. A fuzzy set  $A \in I^X$  is called closed iff  $A^c$  is open .

DEFINITION 3. A prefilter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is a nonempty collection of subsets of  $I^X$  with the properties:

- (1) If  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $F_1 \wedge F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$
- (2) If  $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $F_2 \geq F_1$ , then  $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$
- (3)  $0 \notin \mathcal{F}$

DEFINITION 4. A collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $I^X$  is a prefilter base iff  $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$  and

- (1) If  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  then  $B_3 \leq B_1 \wedge B_2$  for some  $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$ ;
- (2)  $0 \notin \mathcal{B}$

The collection  $\mathcal{F} = \{F \in I^X \mid \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ s.t } F \geq B\}$  is prefilter.  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  and denoted  $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$  .

### 3. Converges and Cluster Point

A directed set  $(D, \prec)$  is a set with partial order  $\prec$  such that for each pair  $a, b$  of elements of  $D$ , there exists an element  $c$  of  $D$  having the property that  $a \prec c$  and  $b \prec c$ .

Let  $(D, \prec)$  be a directed set. The terminal set  $T_a$  determined by an  $a \in D$  is  $\{b \in D \mid a \prec b\}$

Let  $(D, \prec)$  be a directed set. A fuzzy net in  $X$  is a map  $\varphi : D \rightarrow B_F(X)$ .

If  $\varphi(b) = p_b(x_b, t_b)$ , we also denote  $\varphi$  by  $\{\varphi(b) | b \in D\}$  or  $\{p_b | b \in D\}$ . From now on,  $x_b$  and  $t_b$  will be the support and the value of the fuzzy point  $p_b$ . If  $a \in D$ , the fuzzy set  $\varphi(T_a) = \vee\{\varphi(b) \mid a \prec b\}$  is called a F-tail of  $\varphi$ .

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $(X, \delta)$  be an f.t.s. Let  $\varphi$  be fuzzy nets on  $X$  and  $p(x, t) \in B_F(X)$ . We say that ;

- (1)  $\varphi$  converges to  $p$  (written  $\varphi \rightarrow p$ ), if for all  $N \in N_p^\delta \exists a \in D, \forall b \succ a$  s.t  $\varphi(b) \in N$  .
- (2)  $p$  is a cluster point of  $\varphi$  (written  $\varphi \alpha p$ ), if  $\forall N \in N_p^\delta, \forall a \in D, \exists b \succ a$  s.t  $\varphi(b) \in N$ .

Let  $\varphi : D \rightarrow B_F(X)$  be a fuzzy net. Then the family  $\mathcal{B}(\varphi) = \{\varphi(T_a) | a \in D\}$  is a prefilter base in  $X$ . For, given  $\varphi(T_a)$  and  $\varphi(T_b)$ , first find a  $c \in D$  such that  $a \prec c, b \prec c$ , and then observe that  $T_c \leq T_a \wedge T_b$  because  $\prec$  is transitive.  $\mathcal{B}(\varphi)$  is called the prefilter base determined by the fuzzy net  $\varphi$ .

**DEFINITION 3.2.** Let  $(D, \prec)$  be a directed set and  $T_a$  be a terminal set. Then, for a fuzzy net  $\varphi : D \rightarrow B_F(X)$ , we have:

- (1)  $\varphi \rightarrow p$  if  $\forall N \in N_p^\delta, \exists T_a$  s.t  $\varphi(T_a) \leq N$ .
- (2)  $\varphi \alpha p$  if  $\forall N \in N_p^\delta, \forall T_a, \varphi(T_a) \wedge N \neq 0$ .

**DEFINITION 3.3.**

- (1) A prefilter  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to converge to the fuzzy point  $p$  (written  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p$ ) iff  $N_p^\delta \subset \mathcal{F}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{F}$  is finer than the nhoo prefilter at  $p$ .
- (2) We say  $\mathcal{F}$  has  $p$  as a cluster point (written  $\mathcal{F} \alpha p$ ) iff  $\forall N \in N_p^\delta$ , then  $N \wedge F \neq 0, \forall F \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We can express these notions in terms of prefilter base as follows:

- (1) A prefilter base converges to a fuzzy point  $p$  ( $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow p$ ) iff each  $N \in N_p^\delta$  contains some  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- (2) A prefilter base has  $p$  as a cluster point ( $\mathcal{B} \alpha p$ ) iff each  $N \in N_p^\delta$  meets each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

These definitions are still valid if we use nhoo bases at  $p, \mathcal{B}_p^\delta$ , instead of nhoo systems as  $p, N_p^\delta$ . Clearly, if  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \alpha p$ .

LEMMA 3.4. Let  $\mathcal{B}(\varphi)$  be the prefilter base determined by the fuzzy net  $\varphi : D \rightarrow B_F(X)$ : Then

- (1)  $\varphi \rightarrow p$  iff  $\mathcal{B}(\varphi) \rightarrow p$ .
- (2)  $\varphi \propto p$  iff  $\mathcal{B}(\varphi) \propto p$ .

THEOREM 3.5. Let  $(X, \delta)$  be a f.t.s., and  $\mathcal{F}$  a prefilter on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  a prefilter base of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  converges to a fuzzy point  $p$  iff  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to the fuzzy point  $p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  converges to a fuzzy point  $p$ . Since there exists  $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_\alpha \leq N$  for all  $N$  in  $N_p^\delta$ . Then  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $N_p^\delta \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p$ , then  $N_p^\delta \subset \mathcal{F}$  and since  $\mathcal{B}$  is prefilter base  $\mathcal{F}$ . There exists  $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_\alpha \leq N \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $N \in N_p^\delta \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow p$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 3.6. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\beta | \beta \in \Gamma\}$  be two prefilter bases on  $X$ .  $\mathcal{B}$  is subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$ , written  $\mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{U}$ , if there exist  $B_\beta$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_\beta \leq A_\alpha$  for all  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ .

THEOREM 3.7. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\beta | \beta \in \Gamma\}$  be two prefilter bases on  $X$ .

- (1) If  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}$  then  $\mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{U}$ .
- (2) If  $\mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{U}$ , then each member of  $\mathcal{B}$  meets every member of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

*Proof.* (1) is obvious. (2). Assume there exist  $B_\beta, A_\alpha$  such that  $A_\alpha \wedge B_\beta = 0$ ; since  $\mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{U}$ , for this  $A_\alpha$  we can find a  $B_\gamma \leq A_\alpha$ , and then  $B_\gamma \wedge B_\beta = 0$  contradicts that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a prefilter base.  $\square$

THEOREM 3.8. An f.t.s.  $(X, \delta)$  is Hausdorff iff each convergent prefilter base in  $X$  converges to exactly one fuzzy point.

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is fuzzy Hausdorff and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a prefilter base and  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow p$ . For any pair of distinct fuzzy points  $p, q$  in  $X$ . Then there exists fuzzy open set  $\mu, \nu$  in  $I^X$  such that  $p \in \mu$ ,  $q \in \nu$  and  $\mu \wedge \nu = 0$ . Since by hypothesis there is some  $B_1 \leq \mu$  and since any two  $B_1, B_2$  have nonempty intersection, there can be no  $B_2 \leq \nu$ , thus,  $\mathcal{B}$  cannot converge to  $q \neq p$ .

Conversely, assume that  $X$  is not Hausdorff. Then there must exist  $p, q$  such that  $N \wedge M \neq 0$  for all  $N$  in  $N_p^\delta$  and  $M$  in  $N_q^\delta$ .

$\mathcal{B} = N_p^\delta \cap N_q^\delta$  is therefore a prefilter base, and evidently  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow p$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow q$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\beta | \beta \in \Gamma\}$  be two prefilter bases on  $X$ .*

- (1)  $(\mathcal{U} \rightarrow p) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{U} \times p)$  and, in Hausdorff spaces, at no point other than  $p$ .
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{U}$ . Then;
  - (a)  $(\mathcal{U} \rightarrow p) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{B} \rightarrow p)$
  - (b)  $(\mathcal{B} \times p) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{U} \times p)$

*Proof.* (1). Given  $N \in N_p^\delta$ , there is some  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $A_\alpha \leq N$ ; since each  $A_\beta$  must intersect  $A_\alpha$ , it follows that  $A_\beta \wedge N \neq 0$  for all  $A_\beta$ , so  $\mathcal{U} \times p$ .

Now let  $X$  be fuzzy Hausdorff and let  $p \neq q$ ; choosing disjoint fuzzy nbds  $N \in N_p^\delta, M \in N_q^\delta$ , there must be some  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$  contained in  $N$ ; then  $A_\alpha \wedge M = 0$ , and so  $\mathcal{U}$  cannot cluster point at  $q$ .

(2a). There is some  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $A_\alpha \leq N$  for all  $N \in N_p^\delta$ ; since  $\mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{U}$ , there is a  $B_\beta \leq A_\alpha$ , so  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow p$  also.

(2b). Given  $N \in N_p^\delta$  and  $A_\alpha$ , there is some  $B_\beta \leq A_\alpha$ , and since  $B_\beta \wedge N \neq 0$  for all  $B_\beta$ , we can find  $A_\alpha \wedge N \neq 0$  for all  $A_\alpha$ , which proves  $\mathcal{U} \times p$ .  $\square$

As a immediately, we have the follows .

**COROLLARY 3.10.**

- (1)  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow p$  iff  $\forall \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{U}, \exists \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathcal{B}$  s.t  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow p$
- (2)  $\mathcal{U} \times p$  iff  $\exists \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{U}$  s.t  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow p$

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a prefilter base on  $X$ . We say that a subset  $Y$  of  $X$  contains  $\mathcal{B}$  if every member of  $\mathcal{B}$  is an element of fuzzy set with support  $Y$ .

**DEFINITION 3.11.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a prefilter base in  $X$  is called fuzzy maximal if it has no property subordinated prefilter base, that is, if for all  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \vdash \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \vdash \mathcal{U}$ .

**THEOREM 3.12.** *A prefilter base  $\mathcal{M}$  is fuzzy maximal iff for each  $Y \subset X$ , either  $Y$  or  $Y^c$  contains a member of  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_\beta | \beta \in \Gamma\}$  is a fuzzy maximal prefilter base. Let  $Y \subset X$  and  $A$  be a fuzzy set with support  $Y$  and  $B$  is a fuzzy set with support  $Y^c$ . Then we cannot have an  $Y$  contains  $M_\beta$  and  $Y^c$  contains  $M_\gamma$ , since  $M_\beta \wedge M_\gamma = 0$ . Assume now that  $Y$  not contains  $M_\beta$  for all  $M_\beta$ . Then  $A \wedge M_\beta \neq 0$  and also then all  $M_\beta \wedge B \neq 0$ , so  $\mathcal{U} = \{M_\beta \wedge B | M_\beta \in \mathcal{M}\}$  is a prefilter base. Since  $\mathcal{U} \vdash \mathcal{M}$ , also  $\mathcal{M} \vdash \mathcal{U}$ . Hence we have  $M_\gamma \leq M_\beta \wedge B \leq B$ . Therefore  $M_\gamma \leq B$ , that is  $Y^c$  contains  $M_\gamma$ .

Conversely, assume that for each  $Y \subset X$ ,  $Y$  or  $Y^c$  contains a member of  $\mathcal{M}$  and that  $\mathcal{U} \vdash \mathcal{M}$ . Given any  $A \in \mathcal{U}$ , the condition assures that either there is an  $M_\beta \leq A$  or  $M_\beta \leq B$  the latter possibility is excluded, since the assumption  $\mathcal{U} \vdash \mathcal{M}$  implies [Theorem 3.7 (2)] that all  $M_\beta \wedge A \neq 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M} \vdash \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is fuzzy maximal.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a fuzzy maximal prefilter base in  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} \propto p$  iff  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow p$ .*

*Proof.* Only the implication  $(\mathcal{M} \propto p) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{M} \rightarrow p)$  need be proved. Given  $N \in N_p^\delta$ , there is an  $M_\alpha \leq N$  or an  $M_\alpha \leq N^c$ ; since  $\mathcal{M} \propto p$ , so that  $M_\alpha \wedge N \neq 0$  for each  $M_\alpha$ , the latter possibility is excluded, and therefore  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow p$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] L.A.Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform.Control **8** (1965), 338–353.
- [2] M. A. De Prada, *Entornos de puntos fuzzy y continuidad*, Actas VIII Jornadas Luso-Spanholas Matematicas **I** (1981), 357–362.
- [3] C.L.Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J.Math.Anal.Appl **24** (1968), 182–190.
- [4] M.A.De Prada and M.Saralegull, *Una nota sobre convergencia en espacios topologicos fuzzy*, Actas IX Jornadas Matematicas Hispano-Lusas **J II** (1982), 763–766.
- [5] R. Lowen, *Convergence in fuzzy topological spaces*, Gen. Toplogy Appl. **10** (1979), 147–160.
- [6] J.Goguen, *Fuzzy Tychonoff theorem*, J.Math.Anal.Appl. **48** (1973).
- [7] M. A.De Prada and M. Saralegul, *Fuzzy Filters*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **2** (1988), 560–568.

Department of Mathematics  
Myong Ji University  
Korea