

A Sequence of Improvements over the Lindley Type Estimator¹⁾

Hoh Yoo Baek²⁾

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the problem of estimating a p-variate($p \geq 4$) normal mean vector in a decision-theoretic setup is considered. Using a technique of Guo and Pal (1992), a sequence of estimators dominating the Lindley type estimator is derived and each improved estimator is better than the previous one.

Key Words and Phrases : Improved estimator, Lindley type estimator, Normal mean vector.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_p)'$ be a p-variate random vector and $X \sim N_p(\theta, I_p)$, $\theta \in R^p$. For any estimator $\delta(X)$ of θ , the loss in estimating θ by $\delta(X)$ is

$$L(\delta, \theta) = \|\delta - \theta\|^2 = (\delta - \theta)'(\delta - \theta). \quad (1.1)$$

The standard estimator(MLE as well as the best location estimator) of θ is

$$\delta^0 = X \quad (1.2)$$

which is admissible for $p \leq 2$. Stein(1956) and James and Stein(1961) showed that δ^0 is inadmissible for $p \geq 3$ and it is dominated by

1) This work was supported by Wonkwang University Research Fund, 2000.

2) Associate Professor, Division of Mathematics and Informational Statistics, Wonkwang University, Iksan, 570-549, Korea.

E-mail : hybaek@wonkwang.ac.kr

$$\delta^{JS} = \left(1 - \frac{(p-2)}{\|X\|^2}\right)X, \quad p \geq 3, \quad (1.3)$$

which shrinks X toward the origin. Subsequently a number of authors provided classes of Stein-type estimators dominating X (see, for example, Efron and Morris (1976), Ghosh, Hwang, and Tsui(1984) where other references are cited). One common feature of the above classes of estimators dominating X is that they are all spherically symmetric shrinking X toward some particular point, not necessarily the origin.

Guo and Pal(1992) considered a sequence of improved estimators providing successive improvement over δ^{JS} . The Lindley(1962) type estimator is

$$\delta^1 = \bar{X} \mathbf{1} + \left(1 - \frac{p-3}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^2}\right)(X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}), \quad p \geq 4 \quad (1.4)$$

where $\bar{X} = (X_1 + \dots + X_p)/p$ and $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)'$. His estimator possesses better risk properties than the ordinary James-Stein estimator over a large region of the parameter space, suggesting that from a sampling theoretic viewpoint the shrinkage should be taken toward $\bar{X} \mathbf{1}$ as opposed to the origin.

In this paper, a sequence of improved estimators providing successive improvements over δ^1 is constructed. In Section 2, such improved estimators are derived and in Section 3, the above results is generalized when $X \sim N_p(\theta, \sigma^2 I_p)$, where covariance matrix is $\sigma^2 I_p$ for some unknown scalar $\sigma^2 > 0$.

2. IMPROVED ESTIMATORS DOMINATING δ^1

Consider a sequence of estimators of the form

$$\delta^n = \bar{X} \mathbf{1} + K_n(X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

where $K_n = K_n(X)$ is a suitable function of X . We choose $K_1 = \left(1 - \frac{p-3}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^2}\right)$ to make the first element δ^1 of the sequence $\{\delta^n\}$. Our goal is to construct $\delta^n, n \geq 2$, such that for any integer $n \geq 1$ and $p \geq 4$,

$$R(\delta^{n+1}, \theta) \leq R(\delta^n, \theta), \quad \forall \theta \in R^p. \quad (2.2)$$

To dominate the estimator δ^n for any $n \geq 1$, define δ^{n+1} as

$$\delta^{n+1} = \delta^n + r_n^*(X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}), \quad i.e., K_{n+1} = K_n + r_n^*, \quad (2.3)$$

where $r_n^* = r_n^*(X)$ is a suitable real valued function. Let $r_n = r_n^* \cdot (X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1})$. Define the risk difference (RD) between $R(\delta^{n+1}, \theta)$ and $R(\delta^n, \theta)$ as

$$RD(n + 1, n) = R(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - R(\boldsymbol{\delta}^n, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$= E \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p r_{ni}^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^p r_{ni}(\delta_i^n - \theta_i) \right\}, \tag{2.4}$$

where δ_i^n, θ_i , and r_{ni} denote the i^{th} elements of $\boldsymbol{\delta}^n, \boldsymbol{\theta}$, and \mathbf{r}_n , respectively. The second term of (2.4) can be simplified as

$$E \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^p r_{ni}(\delta_i^n - \theta_i) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^p E [r_{ni} \{ \bar{X} + K_n(X_i - \bar{X}) - \theta_i \}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^p E [(K_n - 1) r_{ni}(X_i - \bar{X}) + r_{ni}(X_i - \theta_i)]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^p E [(K_n - 1) r_{ni}(X_i - \bar{X}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} r_{ni}]. \tag{2.5}$$

The expression (2.5) is obtained by using Stein's normal identity assuming that r_{ni} 's ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$) satisfy all the regularity conditions of the identity. Combining (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$RD(n + 1, n) = E \left[\sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ r_{ni}^2 + 2(K_n - 1) r_{ni}(X_i - \bar{X}) + 2\sigma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} r_{ni} \right\} \right]. \tag{2.6}$$

We now look for suitable $\mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{r}_n^* \cdot (\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1})$ such that $RD(n + 1, n) \leq 0, \forall n \geq 1$.

Before we derive the general result, let us look at some special cases.

Special cases

(1) When $n = 1$, i.e., we are trying to dominate $\boldsymbol{\delta}^1$ (Lindley), take $\mathbf{r}_1^* = c_1 \|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{-(2+\alpha_1)}$ where $\alpha_1 > 0$ and c_1 is a suitable constant. Then

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} r_{1i} = \frac{2c_1 \{p - (3 + \alpha_1)\}}{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_1}}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^p r_{1i}^2 = \frac{c_1^2}{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+2\alpha_1}}$$

and $2(K_1 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^p r_{1i}(X_i - \bar{X}) = - \frac{2c_1(p - 3)}{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_1}}$.

Therefore, from (2.6) one can get

$$RD(2, 1) = E \left[\frac{c_1^2}{T^{1+\alpha_1}} - \frac{2c_1\alpha_1}{T^{1+\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}} \right], \tag{2.7}$$

where $T = \|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^2 \sim \text{noncentral } \chi_{p-1}^2(\lambda)$ with $\lambda = \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{1}\|^2$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_p)/p$. It is well known that T can be treated as a mixture of central χ_{p-1+2U}^2 and $U \sim \text{Poisson}(\frac{\lambda}{2})$. Let $\beta_U = U + \frac{p-1}{2}$, then

$$RD(2, 1) = E_U \left[c_1^2 2^{-(1+\alpha_1)} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \alpha_1))}{\Gamma(\beta_U)} - 2c_1\alpha_1 2^{-(1+\frac{\alpha_1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2}))}{\Gamma(\beta_U)} \right]. \quad (2.8)$$

To make $RD(2, 1) \leq 0$, it is sufficient to $\left[c_1^2 2^{-(1+\alpha_1)} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \alpha_1))}{\Gamma(\beta_U)} \leq 2c_1\alpha_1 2^{-(1+\frac{\alpha_1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2}))}{\Gamma(\beta_U)} \right]$ for all U , $U = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Hence, the condition c_1 is

$$0 < c_1 < \alpha_1 2^{(1+\frac{\alpha_1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2}))}{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \alpha_1))} \text{ for } U = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let

$$\varepsilon_1(p, \alpha_1) = \min_U \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2}))}{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \alpha_1))}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then a sufficient condition on c_1 is

$$0 < c_1 < \alpha_1 2^{1+\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} \varepsilon_1(p, \alpha_1), \quad (2.10)$$

provided $p - 1 > 2(1 + \alpha_1)$. In fact, the optimal value of c_1 which minimizes

$$\left[c_1^2 2^{-(1+\alpha_1)} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \alpha_1))}{\Gamma(\beta_U)} - 2c_1\alpha_1 2^{-(1+\frac{\alpha_1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2}))}{\Gamma(\beta_U)} \right] \text{ for all } U$$

is

$$c_1^0 = \alpha_1 2^{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} \varepsilon_1(p, \alpha_1). \quad (2.11)$$

It can be proved as a part of a more general result that the minimum in (2.9) is attained at $U = 0$, *i.e.*, $\beta_U = \frac{(p-1)}{2}$ (see Guo and Pal (1992)). The condition that $p - 1 > 2(1 + \alpha_1)$ is necessary to ensure that all the expectation exist. The following result is immediate from the above derivation.

Proposition 2.1. The estimator $\delta^2 = \delta^1 + \left(\frac{c_1^0}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_1}} \right) (X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1})$

with $\alpha_1 > 0$ dominates δ^1 (Lindley) uniformly under the quadratic loss (1.1) provided $p - 1 > 2(1 + \alpha_1)$.

Remark 2.1. It is interesting to look at various choice of $\alpha_1 > 0$ in the above proposition.

(a) If $0 < \alpha_1 < 0.5$, then δ^2 dominates δ^1 for $p \geq 4$.

(b) If $\alpha_1 = 1$, then $\varepsilon_1(p, \alpha_1) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-4}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-5}{2}\right)}$. Hence, $\delta^2 = \delta^1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^3}$

$\varepsilon_1(p, \alpha_1) (X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1})$ dominates δ^1 whenever $p > 5$.

(c) If $\alpha_1 = 2$ then $\varepsilon_1(p, \alpha_1) = \frac{p-7}{2}$. In this case, δ^1 is uniformly dominated by $\delta^2 = \bar{X} \mathbf{1} + \left(1 - \frac{p-3}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^2} + \frac{2(p-7)}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^4}\right) (X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1})$ for $p > 7$.

(2) When $n = 2$, and we want to dominate $\delta^2 = \bar{X} \mathbf{1} + K_2 (X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1})$, where $K_2 = \left(1 - \frac{(p-3)}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^2} + \frac{c_1}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_1}}\right)$

choose $r_2^* = \frac{c_2}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_2}}$, where $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1 > 0$ and c_2 is suitable constant.

Similar to the case $n = 1$, $RD(3,2)$ can be derived from (2.6) as

$$RD(3,2) = E \left[\frac{c_2^2}{T^{1+\alpha_2}} + \frac{2c_1c_2}{T^{1+(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}} - \frac{2c\alpha_2}{T^{1+\frac{\alpha_2}{2}}} \right].$$

Following the earlier approach, a sufficient condition for $RD(3,2) \leq 0$ is

$$0 < c_2 < \alpha_2 2^{(1+\frac{\alpha_2}{2})} \varepsilon_2(p, \alpha_1, \alpha_2), \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_2(p, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \min_u \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_2}{2}))}{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \alpha_2))} \times \left[1 - c_1 \alpha_2^{-1} 2^{-\frac{\alpha_1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}))}{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2}))} \right] \right\}$$

provided that $p - 1 > 2(1 + \alpha_2)$. Again, the optimal value of c_2 is $c_2^0 = \alpha_2 2^{\frac{\alpha_2}{2}} \varepsilon_2(p, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

In general, consider the estimator δ^n (in (2.1)) with

$$K_n = 1 - \frac{p-3}{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{c_j}{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_j}}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\alpha_{n-1} > \alpha_{n-2} > \dots > \alpha_1 > 0$ and $0 < c_j < \alpha_j 2^{1+\frac{\alpha_j}{2}} \varepsilon_j(p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Take $r_n^* = \frac{c_n}{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_n}}$, where $\alpha_n > \alpha_{n-1}$ and c_n is a suitable constant. Similar to the special cases $n = 1, 2$, one can get

$$\begin{aligned} RD(n+1, n) = E_U \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta_U)} \left[c_n^2 2^{-(1+\alpha)} \Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \alpha_n)) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 2c_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j 2^{-(1+\frac{\alpha_j+\alpha_n}{2})} \Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_j + \alpha_n}{2})) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - 2c_n \alpha_n 2^{-(1+\frac{\alpha_n}{2})} \Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_n}{2})) \right] \right\} \quad (2.14) \end{aligned}$$

All the expectations in (2.14) exist provided $p - 1 > 2(1 + \alpha_n)$. Define $\varepsilon_n(p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n(p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \min_U \left[\frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - 1 + \frac{\alpha_n}{2})}{\Gamma(\beta_U - 1 + \alpha_n)} \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \alpha_n^{-1} 2^{-\frac{\alpha_j}{2}} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \frac{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_j + \alpha_n}{2}))}{\Gamma(\beta_U - (1 + \frac{\alpha_n}{2}))} \right) \right]. \quad (2.15) \end{aligned}$$

Then a sufficient condition for δ^{n+1} dominating over δ^n is

$$0 < c_n < \alpha_n 2^{1+\frac{\alpha_n}{2}} \varepsilon_n(p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \quad (2.16)$$

and the optimal value of c_n is $c_n^0 = \alpha_n 2^{\frac{\alpha_n}{2}} \varepsilon_n(p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. The minimum in (2.15) is attained $U = 0$ (see Guo and Pal(1992)). We now state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.1. An estimator δ^n with K_n given by (2.13) is uniformly dominated by

$$\delta^{n+1} = \delta^n + \frac{c_n}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_n}} (X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1})$$

provided $p - 1 > 2(1 + \alpha_n)$ and c_n satisfies the condition (2.16).

Remark 2.2. Note that the functions r_{ni} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ satisfy the regularity condition of Stein's normal identity which enables us to derive (2.14).

If we choose $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n < \alpha_{n+1} < \dots < 0.5$, then we get $\{\delta^n\}$, a sequence of improved estimators giving successive improvements for $p \geq 4$ (since, $2(1 + \alpha_n) < 3 \forall n \geq 1$).

Remark 2.3. The limiting value of $\{\delta^n\}$ is hard to find analytically due to the complicated structure of c_n^0 (see (2.15)). Hence, the problem of finding the close form of the limiting estimator of the sequence $\{\delta^n\}$ still remains open.

3. THE CASE OF COVARIANCE MATRIX $\sigma^2 I_p$ ($\sigma^2 > 0$ unknown)

In this section, we extend the results derived in section 2 to the case where covariance matrix is $\sigma^2 I_p$, $\sigma^2 > 0$ unknown. Let X and S be independent observations with $X \sim N_p(\theta, \sigma^2 I_p)$ and $S \sim \sigma^2 \chi_k^2$. Here we want to estimate θ under the loss function

$$L(\delta, \theta) = \frac{\|\delta - \theta\|^2}{\sigma^2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Again the usual estimator is $\delta^0 = X$ and the Lindley type estimator dominating δ^0 is

$$\delta^1 = \bar{X} \mathbf{1} + \left(1 - \frac{(p-3)S}{(k+2)\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^2}\right) (X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}), \quad p \geq 4. \tag{3.2}$$

We construct the sequence $\{\delta^n\}$ of improved estimators as follows. Let $\delta^n = \bar{X} \mathbf{1} + K_n (X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1})$, where

$$K_n = 1 - \frac{(p-3)S}{(k+2)\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{c_j S^{1+\frac{\alpha_j}{2}}}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_j}}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\alpha_{n-1} > \alpha_{n-2} > \dots > \alpha_1 > 0$$

and

$$\delta^{n+1} = \delta^n + r_n = \delta^n + r_n^* (X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
RD(n+1, n) &= R(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - R(\boldsymbol{\delta}^n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n r_{ni}^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ni}(\delta_i^n - \theta_i) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n r_{ni}^2 + 2(K_n - 1) r_{ni}(X_i - \bar{X}) + 2\sigma^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} r_{ni} \right] \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

The last expression follows from Stein's normal identity assuming that all the expectations exist. By taking $r_n^* = \frac{c_n S^{1+\frac{\alpha_n}{2}}}{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_n}}$, where c_n is a suitable constant and $\alpha_{n-1} > \alpha_{n-2} > \dots > \alpha_1 > 0$, one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
RD(n+1, n) &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E \left[c_n^2 \frac{S^{2+\alpha_n}}{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+2\alpha_n}} + 2\sigma^2 c_n (p - (3 + \alpha_n)) \right. \\
&\quad \times \frac{S^{1+\frac{\alpha_n}{2}}}{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_n}} - 2c_n \frac{(p-3)S^{2+\frac{\alpha_n}{2}}}{(k+2)\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_n}} \\
&\quad \left. + 2c_n S^{1+\frac{\alpha_n}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \frac{S^{1+\frac{\alpha_j}{2}}}{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_j+\alpha_n}} \right] \\
&= E_{T,S} \left[c_n^2 \frac{1}{T^{1+\alpha_n}} \left(\frac{S}{\sigma^2} \right)^{2+\alpha_n} \right. \\
&\quad + 2c_n (p - (3 + \alpha_n)) \frac{1}{T^{1+\frac{\alpha_n}{2}}} \left(\frac{S}{\sigma^2} \right)^{1+\frac{\alpha_n}{2}} \\
&\quad - 2c_n \frac{p-3}{(k+2)T^{1+\frac{\alpha_n}{2}}} \left(\frac{S}{\sigma^2} \right)^{2+\frac{\alpha_n}{2}} \\
&\quad \left. + 2c_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \frac{1}{T^{1+\frac{(\alpha_j+\alpha_n)}{2}}} \left(\frac{S}{\sigma^2} \right)^{2+\frac{(\alpha_j+\alpha_n)}{2}} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $T = \frac{\|\mathbf{X} - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^2}{\sigma^2}$, $(\frac{S}{\sigma^2}) \chi_k^2(\lambda)$ with $\lambda = \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{1}\|^2}{\sigma^2}$, $(\frac{S}{\sigma^2}) \chi_k^2$, and they are independent. Similar to the previous section a sufficient condition for $RD(n+1, n) \leq 0$ is

$$0 < c_n < \alpha_n \frac{k+p-1}{k+2} \varepsilon_n(p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_n(p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p-3-\alpha_n}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 1 + \frac{\alpha_n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{p-3}{2} - \alpha_n) \Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 1 + \alpha_n)} \left\{ \frac{2(k+1)}{\alpha_n(k+p-1)} \right. \\ \left. \times \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 2 + \frac{(\alpha_j + \alpha_n)}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{p-3}{2} - \frac{(\alpha_j + \alpha_n)}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 1 + \frac{\alpha_n}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{p-3-\alpha_n}{2})} \right\} \quad (3.6)$$

The optimal value c_n is $c_n^0 = \alpha_n \frac{k+p-1}{2(k+2)} \varepsilon_n(p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$.

Theorem 3.1. An estimator δ^n of the form (3.3) is uniformly dominated by

$$\delta^{n+1} = \delta^n + \left(\frac{c_n S^{1+\frac{\alpha_n}{2}}}{\|X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1}\|^{2+\alpha_n}} \right) (X - \bar{X} \mathbf{1})$$

under the loss (3.1) provided $p-1 > 2(1+\alpha_n)$ and c_n satisfies the condition (3.5).

Remark 3.1. Note that the function $r_{ni}, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, satisfies the regularity condition of Stein's normal identity which enables us to derive (3.4). Here also the question of convergence of $\{\delta^n\}$ remains open.

References

1. Efron, B. and Morris, C. (1976). Stein's estimation rule and its competitors-an empirical Bayes approach, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 68, 117-130.
2. Ghosh, M., Hwang, J. T., and Tsui, K. W. (1984). Construction of improved estimators in multiparameter estimation for continuous exponential families, *Journal of the Multivariate Analysis*, 14. 212-220.
3. Guo, Y. and Pal, N. (1992). A sequence of Improvements over the James-Stein Estimator, *Journal of the Multivariate Analysis*, 42 302-317.
4. James, W. and Stein, C. (1961). Estimation with quadratic loss, In *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematics, Statistics and Probability*, 1, 361-380, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley.
5. Lindley, D. V. (1962). Discussion of paper by C. Stein, *Journal of Royal Statistical Society, B*, 24, 256-296.
6. Stein, C. (1956). Inadmissibility of the usual estimator for the mean of a multivariate normal distribution, In *Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium on Mathematics, Statistics and Probability*, 1, 197-206, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley.