

## VARIATION OF ORBIT-COINCIDENCE SETS

ANJALI SRIVASTAVA

**ABSTRACT.** David Gauld [3] proved that in many familiar cases the upper semi-finite topology on the set of closed subsets of a space is the largest topology making the coincidence function continuous, when the collection of functions is given the graph topology. Considering  $G$ -spaces and taking the coincidence set to consist of points where orbits coincide, we obtain  $G$ -version of many of his results.

### 1. Introduction

An *action* of a topological group  $G$  on a topological space  $Y$  is a continuous map  $\theta$  from  $G \times Y$  to  $Y$  satisfying  $\theta(e, y) = y$  and  $\theta(g_1, \theta(g_2, y)) = \theta(g_1 g_2, y)$ , where  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ : a topological space together with a given action is called a  $G$ -space. Denote  $\theta(g, y)$  by  $g \cdot y$ . For an element  $y$  of a  $G$ -space  $Y$ , the set  $\{g \cdot y \mid g \in G\}$  denoted by  $G(y)$  is called the *orbit* of  $y$ . The collection  $Y/G$  of orbits together with the topology coinduced by the map  $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y/G$  taking  $y$  to  $G(y)$  is called the *orbit space* of  $Y$ . The map  $\pi$  is called the *orbit map*. It is an open map and becomes a closed map as well when  $G$  is compact. If  $Y$  is Hausdorff and  $G$  is compact, then  $Y/G$  is Hausdorff. Each  $G \in G$  determines a homeomorphism  $Tg : Y \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $Tg(y) = g \cdot y, y \in Y$ . The action  $\theta$  of  $G$  on  $Y$  is called *proper* if the map from  $G \times Y$  to  $Y \times Y$  defined by sending  $(g, y)$  to  $(Tg(y), y)$ ,  $g \in G, y \in Y$  is proper i.e., closed with compact fibres. If  $G$  acts on  $Y$  properly, then also  $G/Y$  is Hausdorff [See 5]. If

---

Received by the editors on April 26, 2002 .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: Primary 37Cxx..

Key words and phrases: Coincidence set, Graph topology, Upper semi-finite topology,  $G$ -space..

$X$  and  $Y$  are  $G$ -spaces, then the action on the product space  $X \times Y$  is taken to be the diagonal action.

The collection  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  of continuous maps from a topological space  $X$  to a topological space  $Y$  is equipped with the *graph topology* : the family  $\{\langle W \rangle \mid W \text{ is an open set of } X \times Y\}$ , where  $\langle W \rangle = \{f \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \mid \text{graph } \Gamma(f) \text{ of } f \text{ is contained in } W\}$  forms a basis for this topology [See 2]. The collection of closed sets of  $X$  is denoted by  $\zeta X$  and is given the *upper semi-finite topology* : the family  $\{[V] \mid V \text{ is an open set of } X\}$ , where  $[V] = \{F \in \zeta X \mid F \subset V\}$  forms a basis for this topology [See 4].

Let  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map from a topological  $X$  to a Hausdorff space  $Y$ . Then for a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , the *coincidence set*  $\Psi_h(f)$  consists of those points of  $X$  at which  $f$  and  $h$  agree. Because  $\Psi_h(f) \in \zeta X$  we can define a map  $\Psi_h : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$ . In [3], Gauld studied the variation of  $\Psi_h(f)$  with  $f$ . Also, the continuity of the coincidence function  $\Psi : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$  sending  $(f, h)$  to their coincidence set, is considered. For  $G$ -spaces  $X, Y$  with  $Y$  Hausdorff, we let the orbit coincidence set  $K_{f,h}$  be the set of points of  $X$  where the orbits of  $f(x)$  and  $h(x)$  coincide, the orbit coincidence function  $K$  is defined in a similar way. In Section 2 of this paper, taking  $G$  to be compact Hausdorff we prove the continuity of  $K_h$ , the restriction of  $K$  to  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y) \times \{h\}$  identified with  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ . It is also noted that if the action of  $G$  on  $Y$  is proper, then the continuity of  $K_h$  continues to be true for any group  $G$ . Also the continuity of the orbit-coincidence function  $K$  is considered. Finally, we find  $G$ -version of some results of Gauld [3] in Section 3.

For terms and definitions not explained here, we refer to [1,3,5].

Unless stated otherwise,  $X$  and  $Y$  will denote  $G$ -spaces with  $Y$  Hausdorff and  $G$  will be a compact Hausdorff group.

## 2. Variation of orbit-coincidence sets

2.1 DEFINITION. For  $f, h \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , the set  $K_{f,h}$  consisting of

points of  $X$  where  $\pi \circ f$  and  $\pi \circ h$  agree is called the *orbit-coincidence set* of  $f$  and  $h$ .

Note that  $K_{f,h} = \{x \in X \mid G(f(x)) = G(h(x))\}$  is a closed set of  $X$ .

The coincidence set and the orbit coincidence set of two maps may differ : consider the action by the usual addition of the discrete group of integers  $Z$  on the real line  $R$  (hereafter termed as a  $Z$ -space  $R$ ) and the maps  $f_n : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $f_n(x) = nx$  where  $n = 1, 2$ . Then  $\Psi(f_1, f_2) = \{0\}$  and  $K(f_1, f_2) = Z$ .

**2.2 DEFINITION.** The map  $K : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$  defined by  $K(f, h) = K_{f,h}$  is called the *orbit-coincidence function*.

If  $h$  is fixed, then the restriction of the map  $K$  to  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y) \times \{h\} \equiv \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  is denoted by  $K_h$ . For the trivial group  $G$ ,  $K$  and  $K_h$  are easily seen to be the maps  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi_h$  respectively as described in [3].

We state the following lemma without proof.

**2.3 LEMMA.** Let  $h \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  and  $Z$  be a topological space. Then the map  $\hat{h} : \mathcal{F}(Z, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Z, Y)$  defined by  $\hat{h}(f) = h \circ f$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}(Z, X)$  is continuous.

**2.4 REMARK.** Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y/G$  be the orbit map. Then  $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X, Y/G)$  is a continuous map.

**2.5 PROPOSITION.** Let  $h \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ . Then  $K_h : \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \zeta X$  is continuous.

*Proof.* The proof follows by noting that  $K_h = \Psi_{\pi \circ h} \circ \hat{\pi}$ . □

**2.6 REMARK.** Since the compactness of  $G$  is required for the orbit space  $Y/G$  to be Hausdorff, Proposition 2.5 remains true for any  $G$  on  $Y$  is proper.

**2.7 PROPOSITION.** *If  $X \times Y$  is normal, then the orbit-coincidence function  $K$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Choose a basic open set  $[V]$  of  $\zeta X$  containing  $K(f, h)$ . Denote by  $i$ , the inclusion of  $X - V$  into  $X$  and by  $I_X$  the identity map on  $X$ . Since  $I_X \times \pi$  is a perfect map,  $X \times Y/G$  is normal and hence disjoint closed sets  $\Gamma(\pi \circ f \circ i)$  and  $\Gamma(\pi \circ h \circ i)$  can be separated by open sets  $U'_1$  and  $U'_2$ . Setting  $U_i = U'_i \cup V \times Y/G$  and  $V_i = (I_X \times \pi)^{-1}(U_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we show that  $(f, h) \in \langle V_1 \rangle \times \langle V_2 \rangle \subset K^{-1}[V]$ . That  $(f, h) \in \langle V_1 \rangle \times \langle V_2 \rangle$  is simple. Let  $(k_1, k_2) \in \langle V_1 \rangle \times \langle V_2 \rangle$ . If  $x \in X - V$ , then  $(x, \pi \circ k_i(x)) \in U'_i$ . Since  $U'_1 \cap U'_2 = \emptyset$ , we have  $\pi \circ k_1(x) \neq \pi \circ k_2(x)$ . Thus  $x \notin K(k_1, k_2)$ . It follows that  $K(k_1, k_2) \subset U$ . Therefore  $(k_1, k_2) \in K^{-1}[V]$ .  $\square$

**2.8 PROPOSITION.** *If  $Y$  is separable metric, then  $K$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be an open set of  $X$  and  $(f, h) \in K^{-1}[V]$ . Note that  $Y/G$  is a metric space. Define  $p : X \times Y/G \rightarrow R$  by  $d(x, G(y)) = d(\pi \circ f(x), G(y)) - d(\pi \circ h(x), G(y))$ , where  $d$  is the metric on  $Y/G$  induced by that of  $Y$ . Then  $p$  is continuous. Let  $U_1 = p^{-1}((-\infty, 0)) \cup V \times Y/G$ ,  $U_2 = p^{-1}((0, \infty)) \cup V \times Y/G$  and let  $V_i = (I_X \times \pi)^{-1}(U_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . We show that  $(f, h) \in \langle V_1 \rangle \times \langle V_2 \rangle \subset K^{-1}[V]$ . Let  $x \in X - V$ . Since  $x \notin K(f, h)$ , we have  $\pi \circ f(x) \neq \pi \circ h(x)$ . Thus  $p(x, \pi \circ f(x)) = d(\pi \circ f(x), \pi \circ h(x)) - d(\pi \circ h(x), \pi \circ f(x)) = -d(\pi \circ h(x), \pi \circ f(x)) < 0$  and  $p(x, \pi \circ h(x)) = d(\pi \circ f(x), \pi \circ h(x)) - d(\pi \circ h(x), \pi \circ h(x)) = d(\pi \circ f(x), \pi \circ h(x)) > 0$ . If  $x \in V$ , then we have  $(x, \pi \circ f(x)), (x, \pi \circ h(x)) \in V \times Y/G$ . It follows that  $(I_X \times \pi)(\Gamma(f)) \subset U_1$  and  $(I_X \times \pi)(\Gamma(h)) \subset U_2$ . Thus we have  $(f, h) \in \langle V_1 \rangle \times \langle V_2 \rangle$ . By similar way of proof of Proposition 2.7, we can show that  $\langle V_1 \rangle \times \langle V_2 \rangle \subset K^{-1}[V]$ . Thus  $K^{-1}[V]$  is open. Therefore  $K$  is continuous.  $\square$

### 3. Upper semi-finite topology and continuity of $K(K_h)$

**3.1 DEFINITION.** Let  $I$  be the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$  of the real line  $R$  with the trivial action of  $G$ . Then a homotopy  $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$

is called  $G$ -active if  $H(x, t) \notin G(H(x, 0))$ , for any  $t \in (0, 1]$ .

### 3.2 EXAMPLES.

(a) Let  $f$  and  $h$  be constant maps from  $R$  to  $R$  defined by  $f(t) = 1$  and  $h(t) = 2, t \in R$  and let  $R$  be acted upon by the discrete group of integers with the usual addition. Then the straight line homotopy between  $f$  and  $h$  is active but not  $G$ -active.

(b) Let  $G$  be the subgroup  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in R, a > 0 \right\}$  of  $Gl(2, R)$  acting on  $R$  by  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot x = ax + b, x \in R$ . Then the straight line homotopy between the identity map on  $R$  and any nonzero translation of  $R$  is an example of an active deformation which is not  $G$ -active.

Let  $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  be a  $G$ -active homotopy. We call the map  $\tau_H : \mathcal{F}(X, I) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  defined by  $\tau_H(\alpha)(x) = H(x, \alpha(x))$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(X, I)$  and  $x \in X$  citeSee 3. Denote by  $H_0$ , the restriction of  $H$  to the base  $X \times \{0\}$ . Identify  $X \times \{0\}$  with  $X$  and note that  $K_{H_0} \circ \tau_H = \sigma$ , where  $\sigma : \mathcal{F}(X, I) \rightarrow \zeta X$  maps  $\alpha$  to its zero-set. Since for a perfectly normal space  $X$  the upper semi-finite topology is the largest topology on  $\zeta X$  making  $\sigma$  continuous [See 3; Proposition [1.4]], we conclude the following:

*Let  $X$  be perfectly normal and  $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  be a  $G$ -active homotopy. Then the upper semi-finite topology is the largest topology on  $\zeta X$  making  $K_{H_0}$  continuous.*

Call a path  $f$  in  $X$  orbit non-overlapping if  $f(t) \notin G(f(0))$ , for any  $t \in (0, 1]$ , and say that  $X$  has the property  $W$  ( $G$ -strong) if there exists a  $G$ -active homotopy  $H : X \times I \rightarrow X$  such that  $H_0 = I_X$ .

The  $Z$ -space  $R$  is  $W$  ( $Z$ -strong). Also the Euclidean space  $R^2$  with the action  $\theta$  of  $R$  defined by  $\theta(x, (y, z)), x, y, z \in R$  is  $W$  ( $R$ -strong): the straight line homotopy between the identity map on  $R^2$  and a translation of  $R^2$  by  $(a, b), b \neq 0$  is an  $R$ -active deformation of  $R^2$

We easily obtain  $G$ -versions of Corollaries 2.6 and 2.7 of [3] as follows:

*Let  $X$  be perfectly normal. Then the upper semi-finite topology on  $\zeta X$  is the largest topology making  $K_h$  continuous provided either of the following holds:*

- (a)  $Y$  has the property  $W$  ( $G$ -strong).
- (b)  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  is a constant map and  $Y$  has an orbit non-overlapping path beginning from the image point of  $h$ .

*Also, if  $X$  is perfectly normal and  $Y$  contains an orbit non-overlapping path, then the upper semi-finite topology on  $\zeta X$  is the largest topology making  $K$  continuous.*

### Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Prof. K.K. Azad, for suggesting the problem.

### REFERENCES

1. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
2. D.B. Gauld, *The graph topology for function spaces*, Indian J. Math. **18** (1976), 125-132.
3. ———, *Variation of fixed point and coincidence sets*, J. Austr. Math. Soc. (Series A) **44** (1988), 214-224.
4. E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1951), 152-182.
5. T. Tom Dieck, *Transformation Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1987.

SCHOOL OF STUDIES IN MATHEMATICS  
VIKRAM UNIVERSITY  
UJJAIN - 456 010 (M.P.), INDIA