# ON A CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR A STATIONARY MULTIVARIATE LINEAR PROCESS GENERATED BY LINEARLY POSITIVE QUADRANT DEPENDENT RANDOM VECTORS

### TAE-SUNG KIM

ABSTRACT. For a stationary multivariate linear process of the form  $\mathbb{X}_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \mathbb{Z}_{t-j}$ , where  $\{\mathbb{Z}_t : t=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\cdots\}$  is a sequence of stationary linearly positive quadrant dependent m-dimensional random vectors with  $E(\mathbb{Z}_t) = \mathbb{O}$  and  $E\|\mathbb{Z}_t\|^2 < \infty$ , we prove a central limit theorem.

#### 1. Introduction

Lehmman [8] introduced a simple and natural definition of positive dependence: A sequence  $\{Y_t: t=0,1,2,\cdots\}$  of random variables is said to be pairwise positive quadrant dependent (pairwise PQD) if for any real  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j$  and  $i \neq j$   $P\{Y_i > \alpha_i, Y_j > \alpha_j\} \geq P\{Y_i > \alpha_i\}P\{Y_j > \alpha_j\}$ . A concept stronger than PQD was introduced by Newman [10]: A sequence  $\{Y_t\}$  of random variables is said to be linearly positive quadrant dependent (LPQD) if for any disjoint A, B and positive  $r'_j s$ ,  $\sum_{i \in A} r_i Y_i$  and

$$\sum_{j \in B} r_j Y_j \text{ are PQD.}$$

Two *m*-variate random vectors  $\mathbb{Z}_1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  are said to be positive quadrant dependent (PQD) if  $Z_{1i}$ ,  $Z_{2j}$  are PQD for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $Z_{1i}$ ,  $Z_{2j}$  are components of  $\mathbb{Z}_1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , respectively.

Received August 28, 2000. Revised January 17, 2001.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 60F05, 60G10.

Key words and phrases: multivariate linear process, linearly positive quadrant dependent random vectors, central limit theorem.

This work was supported by grant No. 2000-2-10400-001-3 from the Basic Research Program of the Korea Science & Engineering Foundation.

Let  $(\mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_2, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_t)$  be *m*-variate random vectors. We say that  $(\mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_2, \dots, \mathbb{Z}_t)$  is linearly positive quadrant dependent if for any disjoint  $A, B \subset \{1, \dots, t\}$  and for any real vectors  $a_r$  with nonnegative components,

(1) 
$$\sum_{s \in A} a_s \mathbb{Z}_s \text{ and } \sum_{r \in B} a_r \mathbb{Z}_r \text{ are PQD.}$$

Let  $\{X_t, t = 0, \pm 1, \cdots\}$  be an m-variate linear process of the form

(2) 
$$\mathbb{X}_t = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} A_u \mathbb{Z}_{t-u}$$

defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , where  $\{\mathbb{Z}_t\}$  is a sequence of stationary m-variate LPQD random vectors with  $E\mathbb{Z}_t = \mathbb{O}$ ,  $E\|\mathbb{Z}_t\|^2 < \infty$  and positive definite covariance matrix  $\Gamma_{m \times m}$ . Throughout this paper we shall assume that

(3) 
$$\sum_{u=0}^{\infty} ||A_u|| < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} A_u \neq \mathbb{O}_{m \times m},$$

where for any  $m \times m$ ,  $m \ge 1$ , matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $||A|| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_{ij}|$  and

 $\mathbb{O}_{m\times m}$  denotes the  $m\times m$  zero matrix. Further, let

$$T = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j\right) \Gamma \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j\right)',$$

where the prime denotes transpose, and the matrix  $\Gamma = [\sigma_{kj}]$  with

(4) 
$$\sigma_{kj} = E(Z_{1k}Z_{1j}) + \sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \left( E(Z_{1k}Z_{tj}) + E(Z_{1j}Z_{tk}) \right).$$

Further, let 
$$\mathbb{S}_n = \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{X}_t, (n \geq 0; \mathbb{S}_0 = \mathbb{O}).$$

Fakhre-Zakeri and Lee [4] proved a central limit theorem for multivariate linear processes generated by independent multivariate random vectors and Fakhre-Zakeri and Lee [5] also derived a functional central limit theorem for multivariate linear processes generated by multivariate random vectors with martingale difference sequence.

In this note we prove a central limit theorem for an m-variate linear process generated by m-variate LPQD random vectors.

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $\{\mathbb{Z}_t, t=0,\pm 1,\cdots\}$  be a strictly stationary LPQD sequence of m-dimensional random vectors with  $E(\mathbb{Z}_t)=\mathbb{O}$ ,  $E\|\mathbb{Z}_t\|^2<\infty$  and positive definite covariance matrix  $\Gamma$  as in (4). Let  $\{\mathbb{X}_t\}$  be an m-variate linear process defined as in (2). Assume that

(5) 
$$E\|\mathbb{Z}_1\|^2 + 2\sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^m E(Z_{1i}Z_{ti}) = \sigma^2 < \infty,$$

(6) 
$$\sum_{t=n+1}^{\infty} E \|Z_{1i} Z_{ti}\| = O(n^{-\rho}) \text{ for some } \rho > 0,$$

and

(7) 
$$E\|\mathbb{Z}_t\|^s < \infty \quad \text{for some} \quad s > 2.$$

Then, the multivariate linear process  $\{X_t\}$  fulfills the central limit theorem, that is,  $n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{S}_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(\mathbb{O}, T)$ .

REMARK. For m = 1, Kim and Back [7] showed that the central limit theorem holds for the linear processes generated by an LPQD process.

### 2. Proofs

Note that Newman [10] has proved the central limit theorem for LPQD random variables (see Theorem 12 of [10]). Thus by means of the simple device due to Cramer Wold the following result holds.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $\{\mathbb{Z}_t\}$  be a sequence of stationary LPQD m-variate random vectors with  $E(Z_t) = \mathbb{O}$  and  $E\|\mathbb{Z}_t\|^2 < \infty$ . If (5) holds then

$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(\mathbb{O}, \Gamma),$$

where  $\Gamma = [\sigma_{kj}]$  is defined as in (4); that is,  $\{\mathbb{Z}_t\}$  satisfies the central limit theorem.

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $\{\mathbb{Z}_t\}$  be a sequence of stationary LPQD random vectors with  $E(Z_t) = \mathbb{O}$ ,  $E\|\mathbb{Z}_t\|^2 < \infty$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_t = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j)\mathbb{Z}_t$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j)\mathbb{Z}_t$ 

 $\sum_{t=1}^{k} \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{k}$ . Assume that (5), (6) and (7) hold. Then

(8) 
$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{1 \le k \le n} \|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k - \mathbb{S}_k\| = o_p(1).$$

*Proof.* See Appendix.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As in Lemma 2.2, set  $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_t = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j) \mathbb{Z}_t$  and

$$\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_n = \sum_{t=1}^n \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_t$$
. First note that

$$E\|\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_1\|^2 + 2\sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} E(\tilde{X}_{1i}\tilde{X}_{ti})$$

(9) 
$$= (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_j)^2 (E \|\mathbb{Z}_1\|^2 + 2\sum_{t=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^m E(Z_{1i}Z_{ti})).$$

Since  $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_t$  is LPQD, by Lemma 2.1  $\{\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_t\}$  satisfies the central limit theorem, that is,

(10) 
$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(\mathbb{O}, T).$$

According to Lemma 2.2 we also have

(11) 
$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}}|\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_n - \mathbb{S}_n| = \circ_p(1).$$

Hence from (10) and (11) the desired conclusion follows by Theorem 4.1 of [1].  $\Box$ 

# Appendix

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* We prove Lemma 2.2 using the ideas in the proof of Lemma 3 of [5] and Lemma 2 of [7]. First observe that

(A.1) 
$$\sum_{t=n+1}^{\infty} E(\tilde{X}_{1i}\tilde{X}_{ti}) = (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i)^2 \sum_{t=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} E(Z_{1i}Z_{ti}) = O(n^{-\rho})$$

and that

$$(A.2) E\|\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_t\|^s = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j)^s E\|\mathbb{Z}_t\|^s < \infty \quad \text{for some} \quad s > 2.$$

By Lemma 3 of [7], it follows from (A.1) and (A.2) that

$$(A.3) E(\max_{1 \ge k < n} \|\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_k\|^r) \ge Bn^{\frac{r}{2}}$$

for some r > 2 and a constant B.

Next, we observe that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{k} = \sum_{t=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-t} A_{j} \right) \mathbb{Z}_{t} + \sum_{t=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{j=k-t+1}^{\infty} A_{j} \right) \mathbb{Z}_{t}$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} A_{j} \mathbb{Z}_{t-j} \right) + \sum_{t=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{j=k-t+1}^{\infty} A_{j} \right) \mathbb{Z}_{t}$$

and thus

$$\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k - \mathbb{S}_k = -\sum_{t=1}^k \sum_{j=t}^\infty A_j \mathbb{Z}_{t-j} + \sum_{t=1}^k \left( \sum_{j=k-t+1}^\infty A_j \right) \mathbb{Z}_t$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 \ (say).$$

To prove

$$(A.4) n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{1 \le k \le n} ||I_1|| \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

we observe that for r > 2

$$n^{-\frac{r}{2}} E \max_{1 \le k \le n} \left\| \sum_{t=1}^{k} \sum_{j=t}^{\infty} A_{j} \mathbb{Z}_{t-j} \right\|^{r}$$

$$= n^{-\frac{r}{2}} E \max_{1 \le k \le n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=1}^{j \wedge k} A_{j} \mathbb{Z}_{t-j} \right\|^{r}$$

$$\leq n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|A_{j}\| \left\{ E \max_{1 \le k \le n} \left\| \sum_{t=1}^{j \wedge k} \mathbb{Z}_{t-j} \right\|^{r} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \right)^{r}$$

$$\leq K \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|A_{j}\| \left( \frac{j \wedge k}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{r}$$

for some positive constant K, where we have used Lemma 2 in [7] for LPQD random variables. By the dominated convergence theorem the last term above tends to zero as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$  from which (A4) follows.

Next, we show that

$$(A.5) n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{1 \le k \le n} ||I_2|| = \circ_p(1).$$

Write

$$I_2 = I_{21} + I_{22}$$
, where  $I_{21} = A_1 \mathbb{Z}_k + A_2 (\mathbb{Z}_k + \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}) + \dots + A_k (\mathbb{Z}_k + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_1)$ 

and

$$I_{22} = (A_{k+1} + A_{k+2} + \cdots) (\mathbb{Z}_k + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}_1).$$

Let  $p_n$  be a sequence of positive integers such that

$$(A.6) p_n \longrightarrow \infty \text{ and } p_n/n \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Note that

$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{1 \le k \le n} \|I_{22}\| \le \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|A_i\| \right) n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{1 \le k \le p_n} \|\mathbb{Z}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_k\|$$

$$+ \left( \sum_{i > p_n} \|A_i\| \right) n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{1 \le k \le n} \|\mathbb{Z}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_k\|$$

$$= III + IV \text{ (say)}.$$

It follows from (3) and (A.6) that for some r > 2

$$III \le \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|A_i\|\right)^r B_1(p_n/n)^{\frac{r}{2}} \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

and

$$IV \le \left(\sum_{i > p_n} \|A_i\|\right)^r B_2 \xrightarrow{P} 0,$$

by Lemma 2 of [7]. It remains to prove that

$$Y_n := n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{1 \le k \le n} \|I_{21}\| = \circ_p(1).$$

To this end, define for each  $l \geq 1$ 

$$I_{21,l} = B_1 \mathbb{Z}_k + B_2 (\mathbb{Z}_k + \mathbb{Z}_{k+1}) + \dots + B_k (\mathbb{Z}_k + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_1),$$

where

$$B_k = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A_k, & k \le l \\ \mathbb{O}_{m \times m}, & k > l. \end{array} \right.$$

Let 
$$Y_{n,l} = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{1 \le k \le n} ||I_{21,l}||$$
. Clearly, for each  $l \ge 1$ , (A.7)  $Y_{n,l} = \circ_p(1)$ .

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} &(Y_{n,l} - Y_n) \leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k (A_i - B_i) \left( \mathbb{Z}_k + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_{k-i+1} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max_{l < k \leq n} \left( \sum_{i=l+1}^k \|A_i\| \max_{l < i \leq n} \|\mathbb{Z}_k + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_{k-i+1}\| \right) \\ &\leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i > l} \|A_i\| \max_{l < k \leq n} \max_{l < i \leq k} (\|\mathbb{Z}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_k\| + \|\mathbb{Z}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_{k-i}\|) \\ &\leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i > l} \|A_i\| \left( \max_{l < k \leq n} \|\mathbb{Z}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_k\| + \max_{l < k \leq n} \max_{l < i \leq n} \|\mathbb{Z}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_{k-i}\| \right) \\ &\leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i > l} \|A_i\| \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|\mathbb{Z}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_j\| + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|\mathbb{Z}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_j\| \right) \\ &= 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i > l} \|A_i\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|\mathbb{Z}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_j\|. \end{split}$$

From this result and Lemma 2 of [7], for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup P(|Y_{n,l} - Y_n|^2 > \delta)$$

$$(A.8) \leq \lim_{l \to \infty} 2^r \delta^{-r} \left( \sum_{i > l} ||A_i|| \right)^r \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \max_{1 \le j \le n} ||\mathbb{Z}_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_j||^r$$

$$\leq B \lim_{l \to \infty} \delta^{-r} 2^r \left( \sum_{i > l} ||A_i|| \right)^r = 0.$$

In view of (A.7) and (A.8), it follows from Theorem 4.2 of [1, p.25] that  $Y_n = \circ_p(1)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

## References

- [1] P. Billingsley, Convergence of Probability Measures, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [2] P. Birkel, A functional central limit theorem for positively dependent random variables, J. Multi. Anal. 44 (1993), 314-320.

- [3] J. Esary, F. Proschan, and D. Walkup, Association of random variables with applications, Ann. Math. Statist. 38 (1967), 1466–1474.
- [4] I. Fakhre-Zakeri and S. Lee, Sequential estimation of the mean vector of a multivariate linear process, J. Multi. Anal. 47 (1993), 196-209.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_\_, On functional central limit theorems for multivariate linear process with applications to sequential estimation, J. Stat. Planning and Inference 83 (2000), 11-23.
- [6] A. Gut, Stopped Random Walks, Limit Theorems and Applications, Springer, New York, 1988.
- [7] T. S. Kim and J. I. Baek, A central limit theorem for the stationary linear processes generated by linearly positive quadrant dependent processes, Stat. and Probab. Letts. 51 (2001), 299–305.
- [8] E. L. Lehmann, Some concepts of dependence, Ann. Math. Statist. 37 (1966), 1137–1153.
- [9] C. M. Newman, Normal fluctuations and the FKG inequalities, Comm. Math. Phys. 91 (1980), 75–80.
- [10] \_\_\_\_\_, Asymptotic independence and limit theorems for positively and negatively dependent random variables, Inequalities in Statistics and Probab. IMS Lecture Notes Monograph Series 5 (1984), 127–140.

Division of Mathematical Science Wonkwang University Ik-San, Chonbuk 570-749, Korea *E-mail*: starkim@wonkwang.ac.kr