

# Pairwise semicontinuous mappings in smooth bitopological spaces

Eun Pyo Lee

Department of Mathematics, Seonam University

## Abstract

We introduce  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosures and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiinteriors. Using the notions, we investigate some of characteristic properties of fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semicontinuous, fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiopen and fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed mappings.

**Key words** : smooth bitopological spaces, semiclosures, semiinteriors, pairwise semicontinuous mappings

## 1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [12] in his classical paper. Using the concept of fuzzy sets, Chang [2] introduced fuzzy topological spaces and several other authors continued the investigation of such spaces. Chattopadhyay et al. [4] and Ramadan [9] introduced new definition of smooth topological spaces as a generalization of fuzzy topological spaces. Kandil [6] introduced and studied the notion of fuzzy bitopological spaces as a natural generalization of fuzzy topological spaces. Lee et al. [7] introduced and studied the concept of smooth bitopological spaces as a generalization of smooth topological spaces and Kandil's fuzzy bitopological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosures and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiinteriors. Using the notions, we investigate some of characteristic properties of fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semicontinuous, fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiopen and fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed mappings.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper,  $I$  denotes the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  of the real line and  $I_0 = (0, 1]$ . For a set  $X$ ,  $I^X$  denotes the collection of all mappings from  $X$  to  $I$ . A member  $\mu$  of  $I^X$  is called a fuzzy set of  $X$ . By  $\check{0}$  and  $\check{1}$  we denote constant mappings on  $X$  with value 0 and 1, respectively. For any  $\mu \in I^X$ ,  $\mu^c$  denotes the complement  $\check{1} - \mu$ . All other notations are standard notations of fuzzy set theory.

A Chang's fuzzy topology on  $X$  [2] is a family  $T$

of fuzzy sets in  $X$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\check{0}, \check{1} \in T$ .
- (2) If  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in T$  then  $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \in T$ .
- (3) If  $\mu_k \in T$  for each  $k$ , then  $\bigvee \mu_k \in T$ .

The pair  $(X, T)$  is called a Chang's fuzzy topological space. Members of  $T$  are called  $T$ -fuzzy open sets of  $X$  and their complements  $T$ -fuzzy closed sets of  $X$ .

A smooth topology on  $X$  [4,9] is a mapping  $\tau : I^X \rightarrow I$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\tau(\check{0}) = \tau(\check{1}) = 1$ .
- (2)  $\tau(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) \geq \tau(\mu_1) \wedge \tau(\mu_2)$ .
- (3)  $\tau(\bigvee \mu_k) \geq \bigwedge \tau(\mu_k)$ .

The pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called a smooth topological space. For  $r \in I_0$ , we call  $\mu$  a  $\tau$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set of  $X$  if  $\tau(\mu) \geq r$  and  $\mu$  a  $\tau$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed set of  $X$  if  $\tau(\mu^c) \geq r$ .

A system  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  consisting of a set  $X$  with two smooth topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  on  $X$  is called a smooth bitopological space. Throughout this paper the indices  $i, j$  take values in  $\{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a smooth topological space. For each  $r \in I_0$ , an  $r$ -cut

$$\tau_r = \{\mu \in I^X \mid \tau(\mu) \geq r\}$$

is a Chang's fuzzy topology on  $X$ .

Let  $(X, T)$  be a Chang's fuzzy topological space and  $r \in I_0$ . Then a smooth topology  $T^r : I^X \rightarrow I$  is defined by

$$T^r(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \check{0}, \check{1}, \\ r & \text{if } \mu \in T - \{\check{0}, \check{1}\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

접수일자 : 2001년 12월 17일  
완료일자 : 2002년 5월 2일

**Definition 2.1** [8] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a smooth topological space. For  $r \in I_0$  and for each  $\mu \in I^X$ , the *fuzzy  $r$ -closure* is defined by

$$\tau\text{-Cl}(\mu, r) = \bigwedge \{ \rho \mid \mu \leq \rho, \tau(\rho^c) \geq r \}$$

and the *fuzzy  $r$ -interior* is defined by

$$\tau\text{-Int}(\mu, r) = \bigvee \{ \rho \mid \mu \geq \rho, \tau(\rho) \geq r \}.$$

**Theorem 2.2** [8] For a fuzzy set  $\mu$  of a smooth topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and  $r \in I_0$ , we have:

- (1)  $\tau\text{-Int}(\mu, r)^c = \tau\text{-Cl}(\mu^c, r)$ .
- (2)  $\tau\text{-Cl}(\mu, r)^c = \tau\text{-Int}(\mu^c, r)$ .

**Definition 2.3** [7] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of a smooth bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then  $\mu$  is said to be

- (1) a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set if there is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\rho \leq \mu \leq \tau_j\text{-Cl}(\rho, s)$ ,
- (2) a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed set if there is a  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(\rho, s) \leq \mu \leq \rho$ .

**Theorem 2.4** [7] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of a smooth bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mu$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set.
- (2)  $\mu^c$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed set.
- (3)  $\tau_j\text{-Cl}(\tau_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r), s) \geq \mu$ .
- (4)  $\tau_j\text{-Int}(\tau_i\text{-Cl}(\mu^c, r), s) \leq \mu^c$ .

### 3. $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy $(r, s)$ -semiclosures and $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy $(r, s)$ -semiinteriors

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a smooth bitopological space and  $r, s \in I_0$ . For each  $\mu \in I^X$ , the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosure is defined by

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu, r, s) = \bigwedge \{ \rho \in I^X \mid \mu \leq \rho, \rho \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-fuzzy } (r, s)\text{-semiclosed} \}$$

$\rho$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed }

and the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiinterior is defined by

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s) = \bigvee \{ \rho \in I^X \mid \mu \geq \rho, \rho \text{ is } (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-fuzzy } (r, s)\text{-semiopen} \}.$$

$\rho$  is  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen }.

Obviously,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu, r, s)$  is the smallest  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed set which contains  $\mu$  and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu, r, s) = \mu$  for any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy

$(r, s)$ -semiclosed set  $\mu$ . Also,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s)$  is the greatest  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set which is contained  $\mu$  and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s) = \mu$  for any  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set  $\mu$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r) &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s) \\ &\leq \mu \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu, r, s) \\ &\leq \tau_i\text{-Cl}(\mu, r). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have the following results:

- (1)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\tilde{0}, r, s) = \tilde{0}$ ,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\tilde{1}, r, s) = \tilde{1}$ .
- (2)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu, r, s) \geq \mu$ .
- (3)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu \vee \rho, r, s) \geq (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu, r, s) \vee (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\rho, r, s)$ .
- (4)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu, r, s), r, s) = (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu, r, s)$ .
- (5)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\tilde{0}, r, s) = \tilde{0}$ ,  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\tilde{1}, r, s) = \tilde{1}$ .
- (6)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s) \leq \mu$ .
- (7)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu \wedge \rho, r, s) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s) \wedge (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\rho, r, s)$ .
- (8)  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s), r, s) = (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s)$ .

**Theorem 3.2** For a fuzzy set  $\mu$  of a smooth bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ , we have:

- (1)  $((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s))^c = (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu^c, r, s)$ .
- (2)  $((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu, r, s))^c = (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu^c, r, s)$ .

**Proof.** (1) Since  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s) \leq \mu$  and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set,  $\mu^c \leq ((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s))^c$  and  $((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s))^c$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed set of  $X$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu^c, r, s) \\ &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s))^c, r, s) \\ &= ((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s))^c. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, since  $\mu^c \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu^c, r, s)$  and  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu^c, r, s)$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed set, we have

$$((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu^c, r, s))^c \leq \mu$$

and  $((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu^c, r, s))^c$  is a  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set of  $X$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu^c, r, s))^c \\ &= (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(((\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sCl}(\mu^c, r, s))^c, r, s) \\ &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j)\text{-sInt}(\mu, r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{sInt}(\mu, r, s))^c \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{sCl}(\mu^c, r, s).$$

(2) Similar to (1).

#### 4. Fuzzy pairwise $(r, s)$ -semicontinuous mappings

**Definition 4.1** [7] Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  be a mapping from a smooth bitopological spaces  $X$  to another smooth bitopological space  $Y$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then  $f$  is called a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -continuous  $((r, s)$ -open and  $(r, s)$ -closed, respectively) mapping if the induced mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \omega_1)$  is a fuzzy  $r$ -continuous ( $r$ -open and  $r$ -closed, respectively) mapping and the induced mapping  $f: (X, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \omega_2)$  is a fuzzy  $s$ -continuous ( $s$ -open and  $s$ -closed, respectively) mapping.

**Definition 4.2** [7] Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  be a mapping from a smooth bitopological spaces  $X$  to another smooth bitopological space  $Y$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then  $f$  is called

- (1) a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semicontinuous mapping if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set of  $X$  for each  $\omega_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\mu$  of  $Y$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -semiopen set of  $X$  for each  $\omega_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -open set  $\nu$  of  $Y$ ,
- (2) a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiopen mapping if  $f(\rho)$  is a  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set of  $Y$  for each  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\rho$  of  $X$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is a  $(\omega_2, \omega_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -semiopen set of  $Y$  for each  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -open set  $\lambda$  of  $X$ ,
- (3) a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed mapping if  $f(\rho)$  is a  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed set of  $Y$  for each  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed set  $\rho$  of  $X$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is a  $(\omega_2, \omega_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -semiclosed set of  $Y$  for each  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -closed set  $\lambda$  of  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  be a mapping and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semicontinuous mapping.
- (2) For each fuzzy set  $\rho$  of  $X$ ,

$$f((\tau_1, \tau_2) - \text{sCl}(\rho, r, s)) \leq \omega_1 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), r)$$

and

$$f((\tau_2, \tau_1) - \text{sCl}(\rho, s, r)) \leq \omega_2 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), s).$$

- (3) For each fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $Y$ ,

$$(\tau_1, \tau_2) - \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s) \leq f^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Cl}(\mu, r))$$

and

$$(\tau_2, \tau_1) - \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\mu), s, r) \leq f^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Cl}(\mu, s)).$$

- (4) For each fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $Y$ ,

$$f^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Int}(\mu, r)) \leq (\tau_1, \tau_2) - \text{sInt}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s)$$

and

$$f^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Int}(\mu, s)) \leq (\tau_2, \tau_1) - \text{sInt}(f^{-1}(\mu), s, r).$$

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $\rho$  be any fuzzy set of  $X$ . Then  $\omega_1 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), r)$  is  $\omega_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed and  $\omega_2 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), s)$  is  $\omega_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -closed in  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semicontinuous mapping, we have  $f^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), r))$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed set and  $f^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), s))$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -semiclosed set of  $X$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau_1, \tau_2) - \text{sCl}(\rho, r, s) \\ & \leq (\tau_1, \tau_2) - \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), r)), r, s) \\ & = f^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), r)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau_2, \tau_1) - \text{sCl}(\rho, s, r) \\ & \leq (\tau_2, \tau_1) - \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), s)), s, r) \\ & = f^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), s)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_1, \tau_2) - \text{sCl}(\rho, r, s)) & \leq ff^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), r)) \\ & \leq \omega_1 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_2, \tau_1) - \text{sCl}(\rho, s, r)) & \leq ff^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), s)) \\ & \leq \omega_2 - \text{Cl}(f(\rho), s). \end{aligned}$$

- (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy set of  $Y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_1, \tau_2) - \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s)) & \leq \omega_1 - \text{Cl}(ff^{-1}(\mu), r) \\ & \leq \omega_1 - \text{Cl}(\mu, r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_2, \tau_1) - \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\mu), s, r)) & \leq \omega_2 - \text{Cl}(ff^{-1}(\mu), s) \\ & \leq \omega_2 - \text{Cl}(\mu, s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau_1, \tau_2) - \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s) \\ & \leq f^{-1}f((\tau_1, \tau_2) - \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s)) \\ & \leq f^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Cl}(\mu, r)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau_2, \tau_1) - sCI(f^{-1}(\mu), s, r) \\ & \leq f^{-1}f((\tau_2, \tau_1) - sCI(f^{-1}(\mu), s, r)) \\ & \leq f^{-1}(\omega_2 - CI(\mu, s)). \end{aligned}$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy set of  $Y$ . Then

$$(\tau_1, \tau_2) - sCI(f^{-1}(\mu)^c, r, s) \leq f^{-1}(\omega_1 - CI(\mu^c, r))$$

and

$$(\tau_2, \tau_1) - sCI(f^{-1}(\mu)^c, s, r) \leq f^{-1}(\omega_2 - CI(\mu^c, s)).$$

By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Int}(\mu, r)) &= (f^{-1}(\omega_1 - CI(\mu^c, r)))^c \\ &\leq ((\tau_1, \tau_2) - sCI(f^{-1}(\mu)^c, r, s))^c \\ &= (\tau_1, \tau_2) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Int}(\mu, s)) &= (f^{-1}(\omega_2 - CI(\mu^c, s)))^c \\ &\leq ((\tau_2, \tau_1) - sCI(f^{-1}(\mu)^c, s, r))^c \\ &= (\tau_2, \tau_1) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), s, r). \end{aligned}$$

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $\mu$  be any  $\omega_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set and  $\nu$  any  $\omega_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -open set of  $Y$ . Then  $\omega_1 - \text{Int}(\mu, r) = \mu$  and  $\omega_2 - \text{Int}(\nu, s) = \nu$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mu) &= f^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Int}(\mu, r)) \\ &\leq (\tau_1, \tau_2) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s) \leq f^{-1}(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\nu) &= f^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Int}(\nu, s)) \\ &\leq (\tau_2, \tau_1) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\nu), s, r) \leq f^{-1}(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

So  $f^{-1}(\mu) = (\tau_1, \tau_2) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s)$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu) = (\tau_2, \tau_1) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\nu), s, r)$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\tau_2, \tau_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -semiopen set of  $X$ . Therefore  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semicontinuous mapping.

**Theorem 4.4** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  be a bijection and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semicontinuous mapping if and only if

$$\omega_1 - \text{Int}(f(\rho), r) \leq f((\tau_1, \tau_2) - s\text{Int}(\rho, r, s))$$

and

$$\omega_2 - \text{Int}(f(\rho), s) \leq f((\tau_2, \tau_1) - s\text{Int}(\rho, s, r))$$

for each fuzzy set  $\rho$  of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\rho$  be any fuzzy set of  $X$ . Since  $f$  is one-to-one,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Int}(f(\rho), r)) &\leq (\tau_1, \tau_2) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}f(\rho), r, s) \\ &= (\tau_1, \tau_2) - s\text{Int}(\rho, r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Int}(f(\rho), s)) &\leq (\tau_2, \tau_1) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}f(\rho), s, r) \\ &= (\tau_2, \tau_1) - s\text{Int}(\rho, s, r). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is onto,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 - \text{Int}(f(\rho), r) &= ff^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Int}(f(\rho), r)) \\ &\leq f((\tau_1, \tau_2) - s\text{Int}(\rho, r, s)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2 - \text{Int}(f(\rho), s) &= ff^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Int}(f(\rho), s)) \\ &\leq f((\tau_2, \tau_1) - s\text{Int}(\rho, s, r)). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy set of  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is onto,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 - \text{Int}(\mu, r) &= \omega_1 - \text{Int}(ff^{-1}(\mu), r) \\ &\leq f((\tau_1, \tau_2) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2 - \text{Int}(\mu, s) &= \omega_2 - \text{Int}(ff^{-1}(\mu), s) \\ &\leq f((\tau_2, \tau_1) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), s, r)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is one-to-one,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\omega_1 - \text{Int}(\mu, r)) &\leq f^{-1}f((\tau_1, \tau_2) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s)) \\ &= (\tau_1, \tau_2) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\omega_2 - \text{Int}(\mu, s)) &\leq f^{-1}f((\tau_2, \tau_1) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), s, r)) \\ &= (\tau_2, \tau_1) - s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), s, r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem follows.

**Theorem 4.5** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  be a mapping and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiopen mapping.
- (2) For each fuzzy set  $\rho$  of  $X$ ,

$$f(\tau_1 - \text{Int}(\rho, r)) \leq (\omega_1, \omega_2) - s\text{Int}(f(\rho), r, s)$$

and

$$f(\tau_2 - \text{Int}(\rho, s)) \leq (\omega_2, \omega_1) - s\text{Int}(f(\rho), s, r).$$

- (3) For each fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $Y$ ,

$$\tau_1 - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r) \leq f^{-1}((\omega_1, \omega_2) - s\text{Int}(\mu, r, s))$$

and

$$\tau_2 - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), s) \leq f^{-1}((\omega_2, \omega_1) - s\text{Int}(\mu, s, r)).$$

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $\rho$  be any fuzzy set of  $X$ . Clearly,  $\tau_1 - \text{Int}(\rho, r)$  is  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open and  $\tau_2 - \text{Int}(\rho, s)$  is  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -open in  $X$ . Since  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiopen mapping, we have  $f(\tau_1 - \text{Int}(\rho, r))$  is a  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set and  $f(\tau_2 - \text{Int}(\rho, s))$  is a  $(\omega_2, \omega_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -semiopen set of  $Y$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau_1 - \text{Int}(\rho, r)) &= (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sInt}(f(\tau_1 - \text{Int}(\rho, r)), r, s) \\ &\leq (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sInt}(f(\rho), r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau_2 - \text{Int}(\rho, s)) &= (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sInt}(f(\tau_2 - \text{Int}(\rho, s)), s, r) \\ &\leq (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sInt}(f(\rho), s, r). \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy set of  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a fuzzy set of  $X$ . By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau_1 - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)) &\leq (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sInt}(ff^{-1}(\mu), r, s) \\ &\leq (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sInt}(\mu, r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tau_2 - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), s)) &\leq (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sInt}(ff^{-1}(\mu), s, r) \\ &\leq (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sInt}(\mu, s, r). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r) &\leq f^{-1}f(\tau_1 - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)) \\ &\leq f^{-1}((\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sInt}(\mu, r, s)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2 - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), s) &\leq f^{-1}f(\tau_2 - \text{Int}(f^{-1}(\mu), s)) \\ &\leq f^{-1}((\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sInt}(\mu, s, r)). \end{aligned}$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $\rho$  be any  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set and  $\lambda$  any  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -open set of  $X$ . Then  $\tau_1 - \text{Int}(\rho, r) = \rho$  and  $\tau_2 - \text{Int}(\lambda, s) = \lambda$ . By (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = \tau_1 - \text{Int}(\rho, r) &\leq \tau_1 - \text{Int}(f^{-1}f(\rho), r) \\ &\leq f^{-1}((\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sInt}(f(\rho), r, s)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = \tau_2 - \text{Int}(\lambda, s) &\leq \tau_2 - \text{Int}(f^{-1}f(\lambda), s) \\ &\leq f^{-1}((\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sInt}(f(\lambda), s, r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\rho) &\leq ff^{-1}((\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sInt}(f(\rho), r, s)) \\ &\leq (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sInt}(f(\rho), r, s) \leq f(\rho) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda) &\leq ff^{-1}((\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sInt}(f(\lambda), s, r)) \\ &\leq (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sInt}(f(\lambda), s, r) \leq f(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f(\rho) = (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sInt}(f(\rho), r, s)$  and  $f(\lambda) = (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sInt}(f(\lambda), s, r)$ . Hence  $f(\rho)$  is  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen and  $f(\lambda)$  is  $(\omega_2, \omega_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -semiopen in  $Y$ . Therefore  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiopen mapping.

**Theorem 4.6** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  be a mapping and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed mapping.
- (2) For each fuzzy set  $\rho$  of  $X$ ,

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), r, s) \leq f(\tau_1 - \text{Cl}(\rho, r))$$

and

$$(\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), s, r) \leq f(\tau_2 - \text{Cl}(\rho, s)).$$

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $\rho$  be any fuzzy set of  $X$ . Clearly,  $\tau_1 - \text{Cl}(\rho, r)$  is  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed and  $\tau_2 - \text{Cl}(\rho, s)$  is  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -closed in  $X$ . Since  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed mapping, we have  $f(\tau_1 - \text{Cl}(\rho, r))$  is a  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed set and  $f(\tau_2 - \text{Cl}(\rho, s))$  is a  $(\omega_2, \omega_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -semiopen set of  $Y$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), r, s) &\leq (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(f(\tau_1 - \text{Cl}(\rho, r)), r, s) \\ &= f(\tau_1 - \text{Cl}(\rho, r)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), s, r) &\leq (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(f(\tau_2 - \text{Cl}(\rho, s)), s, r) \\ &= f(\tau_2 - \text{Cl}(\rho, s)). \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $\rho$  be any  $\tau_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed set and  $\lambda$  any  $\tau_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -closed set of  $X$ . Then  $\tau_1 - \text{Cl}(\rho, r) = \rho$  and  $\tau_2 - \text{Cl}(\lambda, s) = \lambda$ . By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), r, s) &\leq f(\tau_1 - \text{Cl}(\rho, r)) \\ &= f(\rho) \\ &\leq (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), r, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(f(\lambda), s, r) &\leq f(\tau_2 - \text{Cl}(\lambda, s)) \\ &= f(\lambda) \\ &\leq (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(f(\lambda), s, r). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f(\rho) = (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), r, s)$  and  $f(\lambda) = (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(f(\lambda), s, r)$ . Hence  $f(\rho)$  is  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed and  $f(\lambda)$  is  $(\omega_2, \omega_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -semiclosed in  $Y$ . Therefore  $f$  is fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed.

**Theorem 4.7** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \omega_1, \omega_2)$  be a bijection and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed mapping if and only if

$$f^{-1}((\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(\mu, r, s)) \leq \tau_1 - \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)$$

and

$$f^{-1}((\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(\mu, s, r)) \leq \tau_2 - \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), s)$$

for each fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $Y$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy set of  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is onto,  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(\mu, r, s) = (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(ff^{-1}(\mu), r, s)$

$$\leq f(\tau_1 - \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(\mu, s, r) &= (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(ff^{-1}(\mu), s, r) \\ &\leq f(\tau_2 - \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), s)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is one-to-one,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}((\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(\mu, r, s)) &\leq f^{-1}f(\tau_1 - \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)) \\ &= \tau_1 - \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}((\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(\mu, s, r)) &\leq f^{-1}f(\tau_2 - \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), s)) \\ &= \tau_2 - \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), s). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let  $\rho$  be any fuzzy set of  $X$ . Since  $f$  is one-to-one,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}((\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), r, s)) &\leq \tau_1 - \text{Cl}(f^{-1}f(\rho), r) \\ &= \tau_1 - \text{Cl}(\rho, r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}((\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), s, r)) &\leq \tau_2 - \text{Cl}(f^{-1}f(\rho), s) \\ &= \tau_2 - \text{Cl}(\rho, s). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is onto,

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), r, s) &= ff^{-1}((\omega_1, \omega_2) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), r, s)) \\ &\leq f(\tau_1 - \text{Cl}(\rho, r)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), s, r) &= ff^{-1}((\omega_2, \omega_1) - \text{sCl}(f(\rho), s, r)) \\ &\leq f(\tau_2 - \text{Cl}(\rho, s)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem follows.

## References

- [1] K. K. Azad, *On fuzzy semicontinuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 82 (1981), 14-32.
- [2] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [3] K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra and S. K. Samanta, *Gradation of openness : fuzzy topology, Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 49 (1992), 237-242.
- [4] K. C. Chattopadhyay and S. K. Samanta, *Fuzzy topology : Fuzzy closure operator, fuzzy compactness and fuzzy connectedness*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 54 (1993), 207-212.
- [5] R. N. Hazra, S. K. Samanta and K. C. Chattopadhyay, *Fuzzy topology redefined*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 45 (1992), 79-82.
- [6] A. Kandil, *Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Simon Stevin 63 (1989), 45-66.
- [7] S. J. Lee and E. P. Lee, *Fuzzy  $r$ -semiopen sets and fuzzy  $r$ -semicontinuous maps*, Proc. of KFIS 7 (1997), 29-32.
- [8] E. P. Lee, Y. B. Im and H. Han, *Semiopen sets on smooth bitopological spaces*, Far East J. Math. Sci. 3 (2001), 493-511.
- [9] A. A. Ramadan, *Smooth topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 48 (1992), 371-375.
- [10] S. Sampath Kumar, *Semi-open sets, semi-continuity and semi-open mappings in fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994), 421-426.
- [11] T. H. Yalvac, *Semi-interior and semi-closure of fuzzy set*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 132 (1988), 356-364.
- [12] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control 8 (1965), 338-353.

## 저 자 소 개



**이은표(Eun Pyo Lee)**

한국퍼지 및 지능시스템학회 논문지 제 11권 제2호 참조  
현재 : 서남대학교 수학과