

**$q$ -IDEALLY DIFFERENTIAL AND  $i$ -IDEALLY  
DIFFERENTIAL COMMUTATIVE ARTINIAN RINGS**

O. D. ARTEMOVYCH

ABSTRACT. We characterize the commutative Artinian rings  $R$  every proper quotient ring (respectively every proper ideal) in which is invariant with respect to all derivations.

**0.** A mapping  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is called a derivation of an associative ring  $R$  if

$$d(a + b) = d(a) + d(b) \text{ and } d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b)$$

for all elements  $a, b$  of  $R$ . If every (left) ideal of  $R$  is invariant with respect to all derivations of  $R$ , then  $R$  is called (left) ideally differential. The ideally differential rings were studied in [1] and [9]. The class of ideally differential rings contains the class of differentially trivial rings, i.e., rings having no nontrivial derivations. The differentially trivial rings  $R$  with the additive group  $R^+$  of finite (Prüfer) rank were characterized in [2]. An associative ring  $R$  in which all proper quotient rings (respectively all proper (left) ideals) are (left) ideally differential is said to be a (left)  $q$ -ideally differential (respectively a (left)  $i$ -ideally differential) ring.

In this paper we characterize the  $q$ -ideally differential (and respectively the  $i$ -ideally differential) commutative Artinian rings.

Throughout the paper  $p$  is a prime,  $\mathcal{J}(R)$  will always denote the Jacobson radical of a ring  $R$ ,  $\text{char}(R)$  the characteristic of  $R$  and  $C[x]$  the commutative ring of polynomials in  $x$  over a field  $C$ .

We will also use some other terminology from [3] and [6].

**1.** First we characterize the  $q$ -ideally differential commutative Artinian rings.

---

Received September 7, 1999.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A72, 13A12.

Key words and phrases: ideally differential ring, Artinian ring, differentially trivial ring, local ring,  $v$ -ring.

As defined in I. S. Cohen [5], a  $v$ -ring  $V$  is a commutative unramified complete regular local rank one domain of characteristic zero with a residue field of characteristic  $p$ .

EXAMPLE 1.1. If  $R \cong C[x]/(x)^p$ , where  $C$  is a field (or a  $v$ -ring) of characteristic  $m > 0$ .

If  $m = 2^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) and  $p = 2$ , then  $R$  is not differentially trivial. Put  $s = 2^{n-1}$ . It is clear that there is nontrivial element  $z$  such that  $z^2 = 0$  and  $R = C + Cz$  is a group direct sum. Thus for every element  $r \in R$  there are unique elements  $a, b \in C$  such that  $r = a + bz$ . Let  $e$  be the identity element of  $C$ . Then a map  $d : R \rightarrow R$  given by the rule

$$d(r) = d(a + bz) = b(z + se), \quad r \in R$$

determines a nontrivial derivation of  $R$ . Since  $d(z) \notin zR$ ,  $R$  is not an ideally differential ring and, furthermore,  $R$  is  $i$ -ideally differential.

If  $m = p$  is a prime, then  $R$  also is not differentially trivial. In fact,  $R = C + jC + \dots + j^{p-1}C$  for some element  $j$  of the nilpotency index  $p$  and a map  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  given by the rule  $\delta(c_0 + jc_1 + \dots + j^{p-1}c_{p-1}) = c_1 + 2jc_2 + \dots + (p-1)j^{p-2}c_{p-1}$ , where  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{p-1} \in C$ , determines a nontrivial derivation  $\delta$  of  $R$  such that  $\delta(j) \notin jR$ .

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $R$  be a commutative local Artinian ring such that  $\text{char}(R) = \text{char}(R/\mathcal{J}(R))$ . If  $R$  is a  $q$ -ideally differential ring, then one of the following statements is satisfied:*

- (i)  $R$  is a field;
- (ii)  $R \cong C[x]/(x)^m$ , where  $C$  is a field of a prime characteristic  $p$ ,  $2 \leq m \leq p$ ;
- (iii)  $R \cong C[x]/(x)^m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ), where  $C$  is a field of characteristic zero.

*Proof.* By Theorem 9 of [5] (see also [10, Chapter VIII, Theorem 27])  $R$  contains a subfield  $C$  such that  $R = \mathcal{J}(R) + C$  is a group direct sum. If  $R$  is a differentially trivial ring, then by Lemma 2.5 of [2]  $R$  is a field. Therefore we assume that  $R$  is not a field and so it has a nontrivial derivation (see Lemma 2.2 of [2]).

Suppose that  $\mathcal{J}(R)$  is not a minimal ideal of  $R$ . By  $B$  we denote  $R/\mathcal{J}(R)^2$  and by  $D$  the quotient ring  $(C + \mathcal{J}(R)^2)/\mathcal{J}(R)^2$ . Then  $B = \mathcal{J}(B) + D$  and

$$\mathcal{J}(B) = b_1D + \dots + b_nD$$

for some nontrivial elements  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Thus for every element  $b$  of  $B$  there are unique elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n, u \in D$  such that

$$(1) \quad b = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i + u.$$

Then a map  $\delta : B \rightarrow B$  given by the rule  $\delta(b) = b_1 x_1$ ,  $b \in B$ , with  $b_1$  and  $x_1$  as in (1), determines a nontrivial derivation of  $B$ .

Assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $\delta(b_1 + b_2) = b_1$ , we conclude that  $b_1 \in (b_1 + b_2)B$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $n = 1$ . By Theorem 1 of [8], the Jacobson radical  $\mathcal{J}(R)$  is a principal ideal and consequently  $\mathcal{J}(R) = zR$  for some its nontrivial element  $z$ . Hence

$$R = z^{m-1}C + \dots + zC + C,$$

where  $m$  is the nilpotency index of  $\mathcal{J}(R)$ . This gives that

$$R \cong C[x]/(x)^m \quad (m \geq 2).$$

If  $\text{char}(C)$  is a prime  $p$ , then, in view of Example 1.1, this means that  $2 \leq m \leq p$ . Therefore we assume that  $\text{char}(C)=0$ . Let  $d$  be a nontrivial derivation of  $R$ . Then

$$d(z) = a_0 z^l + \dots + a_{l-1} z + a_l$$

for some elements  $a_0, \dots, a_l \in C$  and some integer  $l \leq m - 1$ , and

$$0 = d(z^m) = m z^{m-1} d(z) = m a_l z^{m-1}$$

yields that  $a_l = 0$ . Hence  $d(z) \in zR$ . Let  $I$  be any proper nontrivial ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I = f(z)R$ , where

$$f(z) = b_0 z^k + \dots + b_{k-i} z^i$$

with  $b_0 \neq 0$ ,  $b_{k-i} \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k \leq m - 1$ . Since it is easy to see that  $z^i \in I$ , we have  $I = z^i R$ , and therefore  $R$  is an ideally differential ring. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

LEMMA 1.3. *Let  $R$  be a commutative local Artinian ring of prime power characteristic  $p^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). If  $R$  is a  $q$ -ideally differential ring, then  $R \cong C$  or  $R \cong C[x]/(x)^m$ , where  $C \cong V/p^n V$ ,  $V$  is a  $v$ -ring and  $2 \leq m \leq p$ .*

*Proof.* As a consequence of Theorem 11 from [5, p. 79],  $R$  contains a subring  $C$  such that  $R = C + \mathcal{J}(R)$  and  $C \cong V/p^n V$  for some  $v$ -ring  $V$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}(C) = pC$ , in view of Proposition 9.2 of [3] it is easy to see that  $C$  is an ideally differential ring. By our hypothesis the quotient ring  $R/pR$  is a  $q$ -ideally differential ring of characteristic  $p$  and thus, by Lemma 1.2,  $R/pR$  is a field or  $R/pR = D + D\alpha + \dots + D\alpha^{m-1}$  for some its element  $\alpha$  of the nilpotency index  $m$  and some subfield  $D$  of characteristic  $p$ , where  $2 \leq m < p$ . This gives that  $\mathcal{J}(R) = pR$  or  $\mathcal{J}(R) = pR + aC + \dots + a^{m-1}C$ , where  $a$  is an inverse image of  $\alpha$ . Hence  $R = C + \mathcal{J}(R) = C + pC + p^2R = \dots = C + pC + p^2C + \dots + p^{n-1}C = C$  or  $R = C + \mathcal{J}(R) = C[a] \cong C[x]/(x)^m$  with  $2 \leq m \leq p$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

THEOREM 1.4. *Let  $R$  be a commutative Artinian ring. Then  $R$  is a  $q$ -ideally differential ring if and only if it is of one of the following types:*

- (i)  $R$  is a field;
- (ii)  $R \cong V/p^n V$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), where  $V$  is a  $v$ -ring;
- (iii)  $R \cong C[x]/(x)^m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ), where  $C$  is a field of characteristic zero;
- (iv)  $R \cong C[x]/(x)^m$ , where  $2 \leq m \leq p$ ,  $C$  is a field of a prime characteristic  $p$  or  $C \cong V/p^n V$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), where  $V$  is a  $v$ -ring;
- (v)  $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_s$  ( $s \geq 2$ ) is a ring direct product of  $R_1, \dots, R_s$ , and each  $R_i$  is either a ring of type (i), or (ii), or (iii), or (iv) with  $2 \leq m < p$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ). It is clear that the rings  $R$  of types (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) are  $q$ -ideally differential. The results of [7] and [4] yield that every quotient ring of  $R$  of type (v) is distributive and consequently  $R$  is a  $q$ -ideally differential ring.

( $\Rightarrow$ ). By Theorem 8.7 of [6] it follows that

$$R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_s \quad (s \geq 1)$$

is a ring direct product of  $q$ -ideally differential local Artinian rings  $R_1, \dots, R_s$ . If  $s \geq 2$ , then Example 1.1, Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 yield that each  $R_i$  is either of type (i), or (ii), or (iii). If  $s = 1$ , then by using Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 we complete the proof.  $\square$

**2.** In this part we obtain the characterization of  $i$ -ideally differential commutative Artinian rings.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $R$  be a commutative local Artinian ring such that  $\text{char}(R) = \text{char}(R/\mathcal{J}(R))$ . If  $R$  is an  $i$ -ideally differential ring, then one of the following statements is satisfied:*

- (i)  $R$  is a field;
- (ii)  $R \cong C[x]/(x)^n$ , where  $C$  is a field and  $n = 2$  or  $3$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 1.2,  $R = \mathcal{J}(R) + C$  for some subfield  $C$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{J}(R)$  is nontrivial. Let  $n$  be the nilpotency index of  $\mathcal{J}(R)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{J}(R)^{n-1} = j_1C + \cdots + j_mC$$

for some nontrivial elements  $j_1, \dots, j_m \in \mathcal{J}(R)$ . If  $m \geq 2$ , then an identical argument to that in the proof of Lemma 1.2 gives a contradiction. Hence  $m = 1$ .

Let  $A$  be any ideal of  $R$  such that  $A^2 = \{0\}$ . If  $i$  is any nontrivial element of  $A$ , then  $iC$  is an ideal of  $A$ . Since  $A$  is ideally differential,  $A = iC$ . It is clear that  $A = \mathcal{J}(R)^{n-1}$ . Let  $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$ , where  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  is the maximal integer not exceeding  $\frac{n}{2}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{J}(R)^k)^2 = \{0\}$  and so  $\mathcal{J}(R)^k \leq \mathcal{J}(R)^{n-1}$ . This yields that  $\mathcal{J}(R)^{k+1} = \{0\}$ . Therefore  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2 \geq n$  and  $n = 2$  or  $3$ . Hence  $R \cong C[x]/(x)^n$ , where  $n = 2$  or  $3$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $R$  be a commutative local Artinian ring such that  $\text{char}(R) \neq \text{char}(R/\mathcal{J}(R))$ . If  $R$  is an  $i$ -ideally differential ring, then  $R \cong C/p^mC$  ( $m \geq 2$ ), where  $C$  is a  $v$ -ring.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 11 of [5, p.79], there is a subring  $V$  such that  $R = V + \mathcal{J}(R)$ , where  $V \cong C/p^mC$  for some integer  $m \geq 2$  and  $C$  is a  $v$ -ring. Then  $m$  is the nilpotency index of  $\mathcal{J}(R)$ .

1) Suppose that  $V \neq R$ . Since the annihilator  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))$  of  $\mathcal{J}(R)$  in  $R$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $R$ , we obtain that  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))$  is a finite-dimensional  $K$ -algebra, where  $K \cong R/\mathcal{J}(R)$ . Therefore there exists a  $K$ -basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$  of  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))$ . If  $s \geq 2$ , then a map  $\delta : \text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R)) \rightarrow \text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))$  given by the rule

$$\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^s u_i x_i\right) = u_2 x_1 + u_1 x_2$$

determines a nontrivial derivation of  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))$ . If  $Kx_1 \cap Kx_2$  contains a nontrivial element  $w$ , then  $w = c_1x_1 = c_2x_2$  for some  $c_1, c_2 \in K$ , and we obtain a contradiction with minimality of the system  $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ . Hence  $Kx_1 \cap Kx_2 = \{0\}$  and so  $\delta(x_1R) \not\subseteq x_1R$ , a contradiction. This yields  $s = 1$  and thus  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R)) = Kx$  for some its nontrivial element  $x$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{J}(R)^{m-1}$  and  $p^{m-1}\mathcal{J}(R)$  are the  $K$ -subalgebras of  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))$  and consequently

$$(2) \quad p^{m-1}\mathcal{J}(R) = \text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R)) = \mathcal{J}(R)^{m-1}.$$

Moreover,  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))$  is a minimal ideal of  $R$ .

2) Let  $\bar{R} = R/\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))$ . Then  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(\bar{R}))$  is a finite-dimensional  $K$ -algebra and so there exists a  $K$ -basis  $\{\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_l\}$  of  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(\bar{R}))$ . Let  $y_j$  be an inverse image of  $\bar{y}_j$  in  $R$  ( $j = 1, \dots, l$ ).

$a_2$ ) If  $pR \leq y_jR$  for all  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq l$ ), then, in view of condition

$$y_jR \cdot \mathcal{J}(R) \leq \text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R)),$$

we obtain that  $pR \cdot \mathcal{J}(R) \leq \text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))$  and consequently  $p^2\mathcal{J}(R) = \{0\}$ . Now, (2) yields that  $m = 2$ . Hence  $\mathcal{J}(R) = \text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))$  is a principal ideal, a contradiction.

$b_2$ ) Suppose, for example, that  $pR \not\subseteq y_1R$  and without loss of generality  $py_2 \notin y_1R$ . A map  $D : \bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R}$  given by the rule

$$D\left(\sum_{k=1}^l u_k \bar{y}_k\right) = u_2 \bar{y}_1 + u_1 \bar{y}_2$$

determines a nontrivial derivation  $D$  of  $\bar{R}$ . Then a map  $d : R \rightarrow R$  such that

$$d(r) = pD(r + \text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(R))), \quad r \in R$$

is a derivation of  $R$  with  $d(y_1) \notin y_1R$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(\bar{R}))$  is a principal ideal. The same argument, as in the line 1), shows that

$$p^{m-2}\mathcal{J}(\bar{R}) = \text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(\bar{R})) = \mathcal{J}(\bar{R})^{m-2}.$$

3) Let  $\bar{\bar{R}} = \bar{R}/\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(\bar{R}))$ . As above, there exists a  $K$ -basis  $\{\bar{\bar{z}}_1, \dots, \bar{\bar{z}}_n\}$  of  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(\bar{\bar{R}}))$ . Let  $\bar{z}_g$  be an inverse image of  $\bar{\bar{z}}_g$  in  $\bar{R}$  ( $g = 1, \dots, n$ ).

$a_3$ ) If  $p\bar{R} \leq \bar{z}_g\bar{R}$  for all  $g$  ( $1 \leq g \leq n$ ), then, as above, we can prove that  $p^2\mathcal{J}(\bar{\bar{R}}) = \{0\}$  and so  $\mathcal{J}(R)^3 = \{0\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}(R/\mathcal{J}(R)^2)$  is a

principal ideal, we obtain that  $\mathcal{J}(R)$  is also principal by Theorem 1 of [8], a contradiction.

$b_3$ ) Now, assume, for example, that  $p\bar{R} \not\subseteq \bar{z}_1\bar{R}$  and without restricting of generality  $p\bar{z}_2 \notin \bar{z}_1\bar{R}$ . As in  $b_2$ ), we can prove that  $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(\bar{R}))$  is a principal ideal and

$$p^{m-3}\mathcal{J}(\bar{R}) = \text{Ann}(\mathcal{J}(\bar{R})) = \mathcal{J}(\bar{R})^{m-3}.$$

Continuing this argument after finitely many steps we obtain that  $\mathcal{J}(R)/\mathcal{J}(R)^2$  is a principal ideal, a final contradiction. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative Artinian ring. Then  $R$  is an  $i$ -ideally differential ring if and only if it is of one of the following types:*

- (i)  $R$  is a field;
- (ii)  $R \cong V/p^n V$  ( $m \geq 2$ ), where  $V$  is a  $v$ -ring;
- (iii)  $R \cong C[x]/(x)^n$ , where  $C$  is a field and  $n = 2$  or  $3$ ;
- (iv)  $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is a ring direct product of  $R_1, \dots, R_n$ , and each  $R_i$  is a ring of type (i), or (ii), or (iii), where  $n \neq \text{char}(C)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) it follows from Theorem 8.7 of [6], Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and Example 1.1.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) is obvious. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Open question.** Characterize the left Artinian rings in which every proper quotient ring (respectively every proper ideal) is left ideally differential.

## References

- [1] O. D. Artemovych, *Ideally differential and perfect rigid rings*, DAN UkrSSR **4** (1985), 3–5 (in Ukrainian).
- [2] ———, *Differentially trivial and rigid rings of finite rank*, Periodica Math. Hungar. **36** (1998), 1–16.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison Wesley Publ. Co., Reading, 1969.
- [4] V. P. Camillo, *A note on hereditary rings*, Pacif. J. Math. **45** (1973), 35–41.
- [5] I. S. Cohen, *On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1946), 54–106.
- [6] C. Faith, *Algebra II. Ring theory*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1973.
- [7] Ch. U. Jensen, *Arithmetical rings*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **17** (1966), 115–123.

- [8] K. R. McLean, *Local rings with bounded ideals*, J. Algebra **74** (1982), 328–332.
- [9] A. Nowicki, *Differential rings in which any ideal is differential*, Acta Universitatis Carolinae **26** (1985), 43–49.
- [10] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra* Vol. II, D. Van Nostrand C., 1960.

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND TOPOLOGY, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 1 UNIVERSITY STREET, 79602 LVIV, UKRAINE  
*E-mail*: topos@prima.franko.lviv.ua