

## A STUDY ON CARLESON MEASURES WITH RESPECT TO GENERAL APPROACH REGIONS

CHOON-SERK SUH

ABSTRACT. In this paper we first introduce a space of homogeneous type  $X$ , and we consider a kind of generalized upper half-space  $X \times (0, \infty)$ . We are mainly concerned with some inequalities in terms of Carleson measures or in terms of certain maximal operators with respect to general approach regions in  $X \times (0, \infty)$ . The main tool of the proof is the Whitney decomposition.

### 1. Introduction

Recently enormous progress in harmonic analysis has been made. This paper will announce some problems related to harmonic analysis.

In this paper we first introduce a space of homogeneous type  $X$ , which is a more general setting than  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and we also consider a kind of generalized upper half-space  $X \times (0, \infty)$ . Suppose that for each  $x \in X$  we are given a set  $\Omega_x \subset X \times (0, \infty)$ . Let  $\Omega$  denote the family  $\{\Omega_x\}_{x \in X}$ . Then we define a maximal function  $A_\Omega^\infty(f)$ , with respect to  $\Omega$ , acting on a function  $f$  on  $X \times (0, \infty)$ , and an  $(\Omega, \beta)$ -Carleson measure  $\nu$  of order  $\beta$ , with respect to  $\Omega$ , on  $X \times [0, \infty)$ .

The purpose of this paper is to study some inequalities in terms of an  $(\Omega, \beta)$ -Carleson measure  $\nu$ , or in terms of a maximal function  $A_\Omega^\infty(f)$  in the context of the space of homogeneous type  $X$ .

Throughout this paper we shall use the letter  $C$  to denote a constant which need not be the same at each occurrence.

---

Received March 14, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B25.

Key words and phrases: space of homogeneous type, tent space,  $(\Omega, \beta)$ -Carleson measure, Whitney decomposition.

This work was supported by the research fund of Dongyang University, 2001.

## 2. Some preliminaries

We begin by introducing the notion of a space of homogeneous type [2]: Let  $X$  be a topological space endowed with Borel measure  $\mu$ . Assume that  $d$  is a pseudo-metric on  $X$ , that is, a nonnegative function on  $X \times X$  with the properties:

- (i)  $d(x, x) = 0$ ;  $d(x, y) > 0$  if  $x \neq y$ ,
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ , and
- (iii)  $d(x, z) \leq K(d(x, y) + d(y, z))$ , where  $K$  is some fixed constant.

Assume further that

- (a) the balls  $B(x, \rho) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \rho\}$ ,  $\rho > 0$ , form a basis of open neighborhoods at  $x \in X$

and that  $\mu$  satisfies the doubling property:

- (b)  $0 < \mu(B(x, 2\rho)) \leq A\mu(B(x, \rho)) < \infty$ , where  $A$  is some fixed constant.

Then we call  $(X, d, \mu)$  a *space of homogeneous type*.

Property (iii) will be referred to as the “triangle inequality.”

Now consider the space  $X \times (0, \infty)$ , which is a kind of generalized upper half-space over  $X$ . Suppose that there is a given set  $\Omega_x \subset X \times (0, \infty)$  for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $\Omega$  denote the family  $\{\Omega_x\}_{x \in X}$ . Thus at each  $x \in X$ ,  $\Omega$  determines a collection of balls, namely,  $\{B(y, t) : (y, t) \in \Omega_x\}$ .

For a measurable function  $f$  on  $X \times (0, \infty)$  and  $x \in X$ , we define a maximal function of  $f$ , with respect to  $\Omega$  as

$$A_\Omega^\infty(f)(x) = \sup_{(y, t) \in \Omega_x} |f(y, t)|.$$

Throughout this paper we will always assume that  $\Omega$  is chosen so that  $A_\Omega^\infty(f)$  is a measurable function on  $X$ , and that  $\Omega = \{\Omega_x\}_{x \in X}$  is a symmetric family, i.e., if  $x \in \Omega_y(t)$ , then  $y \in \Omega_x(t)$ , where  $\Omega_x(t) = \{y \in X : (y, t) \in \Omega_x\}$ .

For any set  $E \subset X$ , the *tent* over  $E$ , with respect to  $\Omega$ , is the set

$$T(E_\Omega) = (X \times (0, \infty)) \setminus \bigcup_{x \notin E} \Omega_x.$$

It is then very easy to check that

$$T(E_\Omega) = \{(y, t) \in X \times (0, \infty) : \Omega_y(t) \subset E\}.$$

The *tent space*  $T_{\infty, \Omega}^p$  is defined as the space of functions  $f$  on  $X \times (0, \infty)$ , so that  $A_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f) \in L^p(d\mu)$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ , and set

$$\|f\|_{T_{\infty, \Omega}^p} = \|A_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)}.$$

For a measure  $\nu$  on  $X \times [0, \infty)$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ , we say that  $\nu$  is an  $(\Omega, \beta)$ -*Carleson measure* of order  $\beta$ , with respect to  $\Omega$ , and write  $\nu \in V_{\Omega}^{\beta}$  if

$$(1) \quad \sup_B \frac{|\nu|(T(B_{\Omega}))}{[\mu(B)]^{\beta}} \leq C < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls  $B$  in  $X$ . Note that we can make the space of  $(\Omega, \beta)$ -Carleson measures into a Banach space with norm equal to the left side of (1).

### 3. Main results

We begin with a lemma which is of the type due to Whitney in [3].

LEMMA 1. *Let  $O$  be an open subset of  $X$ . Then there are positive constants  $M, h_1 > 1, h_2 > 1$  and  $h_3 < 1$  which depend only on the space  $X$ , and a sequence  $\{B(x_i, \rho_i)\}$  of balls such that*

- (i)  $\cup_i B(x_i, \rho_i) = O$ ,
- (ii)  $B(x_i, h_2 \rho_i) \subset O$  and  $B(x_i, h_1 \rho_i) \cap (X \setminus O) \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (iii) the balls  $B(x_i, h_3 \rho_i)$  are pairwise disjoint, and
- (iv) no point in  $O$  lies in more than  $M$  of the balls  $B(x_i, h_2 \rho_i)$ .

LEMMA 2. *Suppose  $\Omega = \{\Omega_x\}_{x \in X}$  is a symmetric family of sets such that  $\Omega_x(t)$  is open for all  $(x, t) \in X \times (0, \infty)$ . Let  $f$  be a measurable function on  $X \times (0, \infty)$ . Then  $A_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)$  is lower semicontinuous, that is, for all  $\lambda > 0$ , the set  $\{x \in X : A_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)(x) > \lambda\}$  is open.*

PROOF. If  $A_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)(x) > \lambda$ , then there is a point  $(z, t) \in \Omega_x$  so that  $|f(z, t)| > \lambda$ . By hypothesis, we have  $x \in \Omega_z(t)$  and there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$  then  $y \in \Omega_z(t)$ . Again, by symmetry,  $(z, t) \in \Omega_y$  and so  $A_{\Omega}^{\infty}(f)(y) > \lambda$  if  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ . Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $\Omega = \{\Omega_x\}_{x \in X}$  is as the hypothesis of Lemma 2. Let  $f$  be a measurable function on  $X \times (0, \infty)$  and  $\nu \in V_\Omega^\beta, \beta \geq 1$ . Then there is a constant  $C$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nu|(\{(x, t) \in X \times (0, \infty) : |f(x, t)| > \lambda\}) \\ & \leq C[\mu(\{x \in X : A_\Omega^\infty(f)(x) > \lambda\})]^\beta \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\lambda > 0$ .

**PROOF.** For each  $\lambda > 0$ , we define the set  $O^\lambda$  by

$$O^\lambda = \{x \in X : A_\Omega^\infty(f)(x) > \lambda\}.$$

Since  $A_\Omega^\infty(f)$  is lower semicontinuous by Lemma 2,  $O^\lambda$  is an open set. Let

$$O^\lambda = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B(x_j^\lambda, \rho_j^\lambda) \equiv \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j^\lambda$$

be a Whitney decomposition of the open set  $O^\lambda$ . Let

$$\tilde{B}_j^\lambda = B(x_j^\lambda, Ch_1\rho_j^\lambda),$$

where  $h_1$  is given in (ii) of Lemma 1, and  $C$  will be chosen sufficiently large in a moment. If  $(x, t) \in T(O_\Omega^\lambda)$ , then  $B(x, t) \subset O^\lambda$ , and  $x \in B_j^\lambda$  for some  $j$ . Let  $y \in B(x_j^\lambda, h_1\rho_j^\lambda) \cap (X \setminus O^\lambda)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & t \leq d(x, y) \\ & \leq K(d(x, x_j^\lambda) + d(x_j^\lambda, y)) \\ & \leq K(1 + h_1)\rho_j^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if  $z \in B(x, t)$ , then it follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_j^\lambda, z) & \leq K(d(x_j^\lambda, x) + d(x, z)) \\ & < K(\rho_j^\lambda + t) \\ & < K(\rho_j^\lambda + K(1 + h_1)\rho_j^\lambda) \\ & = K(1 + K(1 + h_1))\rho_j^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if we choose  $C$  so that  $K(1 + K(1 + h_1)) < Ch_1$ , then it follows that

$$B(x, t) \subset B(x_j^\lambda, Ch_1\rho_j^\lambda) \equiv \tilde{B}_j^\lambda,$$

and hence  $(x, t) \in T(\tilde{B}_j^\lambda)$ . Then we have

$$\{(x, t) \in X \times (0, \infty) : |f(x, t)| > \lambda\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} T(\tilde{B}_j^\lambda).$$

Since  $\nu$  is an  $(\Omega, \beta)$ -Carleson measure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nu|(\{(x, t) \in X \times (0, \infty) : |f(x, t)| > \lambda\}) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\nu|(T(\tilde{B}_j^\lambda)) \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\mu(\tilde{B}_j^\lambda)]^\beta \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\mu(B_j^\lambda)]^\beta \quad (\text{by the doubling property}) \\ & = C[\mu(\{x \in X : A_\Omega^\infty(f)(x) > \lambda\})]^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nu|(\{(x, t) \in X \times (0, \infty) : |f(x, t)| > \lambda\}) \\ & \leq C[\mu(\{x \in X : A_\Omega^\infty(f)(x) > \lambda\})]^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is therefore complete.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose  $\Omega = \{\Omega_x\}_{x \in X}$  is as the hypothesis of Lemma 2. Let  $f \in T_{\infty, \Omega}^p$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ , and  $\nu \in V_\Omega^1$ . Then there is a constant  $C$  such that*

$$\int_{X \times (0, \infty)} |f(x, t)|^p |d\nu(x, t)| \leq C \|A_\Omega^\infty(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)}^p.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $f \in T_{\infty, \Omega}^p$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ , and  $\nu \in V_\Omega^1$ . Then it follows from

Theorem 3 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \int_{X \times (0, \infty)} |f(x, t)|^p |d\nu(x, t)| \right)^{1/p} \\
&= \left( p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} |\nu(\{(x, t) \in X \times (0, \infty) : |f(x, t)| > \lambda\})| d\lambda \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C \left( p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} \mu(\{x \in X : A_\Omega^\infty(f)(x) > \lambda\}) d\lambda \right)^{1/p} \\
&= C \left( \int_X [A_\Omega^\infty(f)(x)]^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \\
&= C \|A_\Omega^\infty(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{X \times (0, \infty)} |f(x, t)|^p |d\nu(x, t)| \leq C \|A_\Omega^\infty(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)}^p.$$

The proof is therefore complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] María J. Carro and Javier Soria, *Tent spaces over general approach regions and pointwise estimates*, Pacific J. Math. **163** (1994), 217–235.
- [2] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [3] ———, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 569–645.
- [4] A. Korányi and S. Vági, *Singular integrals on homogeneous spaces and some problems of classical analysis*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **25** (1971), 575–648.
- [5] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.

Department of Mathematics  
Dongyang University  
Youngju 750-711, Korea  
*E-mail*: cssuh@phenix.dyu.ac.kr