COMMON FIXED POINT, MULTIMAPS IN FUZZY METRIC SPACE

I. KUBIACZYK AND SUSHIL SHARMA

ABSTRACT The purpose of this paper is to obtain some common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in fuzzy metric space. Of course this is a new result on this line

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1965, the concept of fuzzy sets was introduced initally by Zadeh [10]. Since then many authors have expansively developed the theory of fuzzy sets and applications Especially, Deng [24], Erceg [12], Kaleva and Seikkala [15], Kramosıl and Michalek [5] have introduced the concept of fuzzy metric space in different ways.

Recently, many authors have also studied the fixed point theory in these fuzzy metric spaces are Badard [16], Chang, Cho, Lee, Jung, and Kang [18], Fang [7], Grabiec [11], Hadzic [13], [14], Jung, Cho and Kim [8], Jung, Cho, Chang, and Kang [9], Sharma [22], [23], Mishra, Sharma, and Singh [21] and for fuzzy mappings are Bose and Sahani [2], Butnariu [4], Chang [17], Chang, Cho, Lee and Lee [19], Heilpern [20]. In this note we extend result of Grabiec [11] and others for multivalued mappings introduced by Kubiaczyk and Sharma [6].

Now we begin with some definitions:

Received March 11, 2002 Revised November 10, 2002.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Key words and phrases fuzzy metric space, sequence, common fixed point.

DEFINITION 1 [3]. A binary operation $*:[0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is called a continuous t-norm if ([0,1],*) is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that $a*b \le c*d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$ for all $a,b,c,d \in [0,1]$.

Examples of t-norm are a * b = ab and $a * b = min\{a, b\}$.

DEFINITION 2 [5]. The 3-tuple (X, M, *) is called a fuzzy metric space (shortly, FM-space) if X is an arbitrary set, * is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set in $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions: for all $x, y, z \in X$ and s, t > 0,

(FM-1)
$$M(x, y, 0) = 0$$
,

(FM-2) M(x, y, t) = 1, for all t > 0 if and only if x = y,

(FM-3)
$$M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$$
,

(FM-4)
$$M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t + s)$$
,

(FM-5)
$$M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$$
 is left continuous.

In what follows, (X, M, *) will denote a fuzzy metric space. Note that M(x, y, t) can be thought of as the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t. We identify x = y with M(x, y, t) = 1 for all t > 0 and M(x, y, t) = 0 with ∞ , and we can find some topological properties and examples of fuzzy metric spaces in paper of George and Veeramani [1].

In the following example, we know that every metric induces a fuzzy metric.

EXAMPLE 1 [1]. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define a * b = ab (or $a * b = min\{a, b\}$) and for all $x, y \in X$ and t > 0,

$$M(x,y,t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x,y)}. (1.a)$$

Then (X, M, *) is a fuzzy metric space. We call this fuzzy metric M induced by the metric d the standard fuzzy metric. On the other hand, note that there exists no metric on X satisfying (1.a).

LEMMA 1 [11]. For all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing.

DEFINITION 3 [11]. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space:

(1) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$, (denoted by $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$), if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$$

for all t > 0.

(2) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$$

for all t > 0 and p > 0.

(3) A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

REMARK 1. Since * is continuous, it follows from (FM-4) that the limit of the sequence in FM-space is uniquely determined.

Let (X, M, *) is a fuzzy metric space with the following condition:

(FM-6)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} M(x,y,t) = 1$$
 for all $x,y\in X$.

LEMMA 2 [21]. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) with $t * t \ge t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and the condition (FM-6). If there exists a number $q \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, qt) \ge M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)$$

for all t > 0 and n = 1, 2, ... then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

LEMMA 3 [21] If, for all $x, y \in X$, t > 0 and for a number $q \in (0,1)$,

$$M(x, y, qt) \ge M(x, y, t)$$

then x = y.

Kubiaczyk and Sharma [6] introduced the following concept of multivalued mappings in the sense of Kramosil and Michalek [5].

We denote by CB(X) the set of all non-empty, bounded and closed subsets of X. We have

$$M^{\nabla}(B,y,t) = max\{M(b,y,t): b \in B\}$$

 $M_{\nabla}(A, B, t) = min\{min_{a \in A}\{M^{\nabla}(a, B, t)\}, min_{b \in B}\{M^{\nabla}(A, b, t)\}\}$ for all A, B in X and t > 0.

2. Main Results

THEOREM 1. Let (X, M, *) be a complete fuzzy metric space with $t * t \ge t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and the condition (FM-6). Let $F_1, F_2 : X \to CB(X)$ satisfying:

(1.1) there exists a number $q \in (0,1)$ such that

$$M_{\nabla}(F_1x, F_2y, qt) \ge min\{M(x, y, t), M^{\nabla}(x, F_1x, t), M^{\nabla}(y, F_2y, t), M^{\nabla}(x, F_2y, (2-\alpha)t), M^{\nabla}(y, F_1x, t)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, t > 0. Then F_1 and F_2 have a common fixed point.

PROOF. Let x_0 is an arbitrary point in X and $x_1 \in X$ is such that $x_1 \in F_1x_0$ and

$$M(x_0, x_1, qt) \geq M^{\nabla}(x_0, F_1x_0, qt) - \varepsilon$$

 $x_2 \in X$ is such that $x_2 \in F_2x_1$ and

$$M(x_1,x_2,qt) \geq M^{\nabla}(x_1,F_2x_1,qt) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Inductively $x_{2n+1} \in X$ is such that $x_{2n+1} \in F_1 x_{2n}$ and

$$M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, qt) \ge M^{\nabla}(x_{2n}, F_1x_{2n}, qt) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n}}.$$

 $x_{2n+2} \in X$ is such that $x_{2n+2} \in F_2 x_{2n+1}$ and

$$M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, qt) \ge M^{\nabla}(x_{2n+1}, F_2x_{2n+1}, qt) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n+1}}.$$

Now we show that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

By (1.1) for all t > 0 and $\alpha = 1 - k$ with $k \in (0, 1)$, we write

$$M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, qt) \ge M^{\nabla}(x_{2n+1}, F_2 x_{2n+1}, qt) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n+1}}$$
$$\ge M_{\nabla}(F_1 x_{2n}, F_2 x_{2n+1}, qt) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n+1}}$$

$$\geq \min\{M(x_{2n},x_{2n+1},t),M^{\nabla}(x_{2n},F_{1}x_{2n},t),M^{\nabla}(x_{2n+1},F_{2}x_{2n+1},t),\\M^{\nabla}(x_{2n},F_{2}x_{2n+1},(2-\alpha)t),M^{\nabla}(x_{2n+1},F_{1}x_{2n},t)\} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n+1}}$$

$$\geq \min\{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t), M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, (1+k)t), M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, t)\} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n+1}}$$

Now using (FM-4), we write

$$\geq \min\{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t),$$

$$(1.2) \qquad M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) * M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, kt), 1\} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n+1}}.$$

Since t-norm * is continuous and $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is left continuous, letting $k \to 1$ in (1.2), we have

$$(1.3) M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, qt) \ge \min\{M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t), M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t)\} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n+1}}.$$

Similarly we have also

(1.4)

$$M(x_{2n+2},x_{2n+3},qt) \ge min\{M(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2},t),M(x_{2n+2},x_{2n+3},t)\} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n+2}}$$

Thus from (1.3) and (1.4), it follows that

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, qt) \ge min\{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t), M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)\} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}$$

for n = 1, 2, ... and so, for positive integers n, p,

$$M(x_{n+1},x_{n+2},qt) \ge min\{M(x_n,x_{n+1},t),M(x_{n+1},x_{n+2},\frac{t}{q^P})\} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}.$$

Thus since $M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \frac{t}{q^p}) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$M(x_{n+1},x_{n+2},qt) \ge M(x_n,x_{n+1},t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}.$$

 ε is arbitrary making $\underline{\varepsilon} \to 0$, we obtain

$$M(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, qt) \ge M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t).$$

Therefore by Lemma 2, $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point $z \in X$. Now by (1.1) with $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$M^{\nabla}(x_{2n+2}, F_1 z, qt) \ge M_{\nabla}(F_1 z, F_2 x_{2n+1}, qt)$$

$$\geq \min\{M(z, x_{2n+1}, t), M^{\nabla}(z, F_1 z, t), M^{\nabla}(x_{2n+1}, F_2 x_{2n+1}, t), M^{\nabla}(z, F_2 x_{2n+1}, t), M^{\nabla}(x_{2n+1}, F_1 z, t)\}$$

$$\geq \min\{M(z, x_{2n+1}, t), M^{\nabla}(z, F_1 z, t), M(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t), M(z, x_{2n+2}, t), M^{\nabla}(x_{2n+1}, F_1 z, t)\}.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$M^{\nabla}(z, F_1 z, qt) \ge min\{1, M^{\nabla}(z, F_1 z, t), 1, 1, M^{\nabla}(z, F_1 z, t)\}.$$

This gives

$$M^{\nabla}(z, F_1 z, qt) \geq M^{\nabla}(z, F_1 z, t).$$

Therefore by Lemma 3, $z \in F_1 z$. Similarly we can prove that $z \in F_2 z$.

COMMON FIXED POINT, MULTIMAPS IN FUZZY METRIC SPACE 181

REFERENCES

- A. George and P Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994), 395-399
- [2] B.K. Bose and D. Sahani, Fuzzy Mappings and fixed point theorems, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 21 (1987), 53-58.
- [3] B Schweizer and A Sklar, Statistical metric space, Pacific Journal Math. 10 (1960), 313-334
- [4] D. Butnariu, Fixed point for fuzzy mappings, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 7 (1982), 191-207
- [5] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetica 11 (1975), 326-334
- [6] I Kubiaczyk and Sushil Sharma, Common coincidence point in fuzzy metric space, J Fuzzy Maths. (accepted)
- [7] J X Fang, On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 46 (1992), 107-113
- [8] J.S Jung, Y J Cho and J K Kim, Minimization theorems for fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces and applications, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 61 (1994), 199-207
- [9] J.S. Jung, Y.J. Cho, S.S. Chang and S.M. Kang, Concidence theorems for set valued mappings and Ekland's variational principal in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 79 (1996), 239-250
- [10] L A Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inform. Control 8 (1965), 338-353.
- [11] M. Grabiec, Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27 (1988), 385-389.
- [12] M.A Erceg, Metric spaces in fuzzy set theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 69 (1979), 205-230
- [13] O Hadzic, Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in some classes of fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 29 (1989), 115-125
- [14] O Hadzic, Fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces, Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad, Yugoslavia (1995)
- [15] O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala, On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 12 (1984), 215-229
- [16] R. Badard, Fixed point theorems for fuzzy number, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 13 (1984), 291-302
- [17] S.S. Chang, Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 17 (1985), 181-187
- [18] S.S. Chang, Y.J. Cho, B.S. Lee, J.S. Jung and S.M. Kang, Coincidence point and minimization theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 88(1) (1997), 119-128
- [19] S.S. Chang, Y.J. Cho, B.S. Lee and G.M. Lee, Fixed degree and fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 87(3) (1997), 325-334

- [20] S. Heilpern, Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 83 (1981), 566-569.
- [21] S.N. Mishra, N. Sharma and S.L. Singh, Common fixed points of maps on fuzzy metric spaces, Internet J. Math. and Math. Sci. 17 (1994), 253-258.
- [22] Sushil Sharma, On fuzzy metric space, Southeast Asian Builetin Maths., Springer-verlag Vol 6, No.1 (2002), 145-157
- [23] Sushil Sharma, Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 127 (2002), 345-352
- [24] Z.K. Deng, Fuzzy pseudo-metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 86 (1982), 74-95.

I. Kubiaczyk

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science Adam Mickiewicz University Matejki 48/49 60-769 Poznan, Poland E-mail: kuba@math.amu.edu.pl

and

Sushil Sharma
Department of Mathematics
Madhav Science College, (Vikram University)
Ujjain, India
E-mail: sksharma2005@yahoo.com