East Asian Math. J 18(2002), No 1, pp 147-154

COINCIDENCE POINTS IN T_1 TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

ZEQING LIU, SHIN MIN KANG AND YONG SOO KIM

ABSTRACT In this paper, we prove a few coincidence point theorems for two pairs of mappings in T_1 topological spaces. Our results extend, improve and unify the corresponding results in [1]-[3]

1. Introduction

Machuca [3] established a coincidence point theorem involving a pair of mappings in T_1 topological spaces. Khan [1] and Liu [2] extended Machuca's result to three mappings. The aim of this paper is to establish some coincidence point theorems for two pairs of mappings in T_1 topological spaces. Our results are the extension of the results due to Khan [1], Liu [2] and Machuca [3].

Let X and Y be topological spaces. A mapping $f: X \to Y$ is said to be *proper* if $f^{-1}(A)$ is compact for each compact subset A of Y with $A \subseteq f(X)$. For any subset $A \subseteq Y$, \overline{A} , denotes the closure of A. Let $R^+ = [0, \infty)$ and

 $\Phi = \{\phi : \phi : (R^+)^5 \to R^+ \text{ is upper semicontinuous and nondecreasing in each coordinate variable and satisfies (1.1)}, where$

$$(1.1) \qquad \phi(t) = \max\{\phi(t, t, t, at, bt) : a + b = 2, a, b, \in \{0, 1, 2\}\} < t$$

for all t > 0.

Received April 1, 2002.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification 54H25.

Key words and phrases T_1 topological space, complete metric space, coincidence point, proper mapping

LEMMA 1.1. [4] Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be nondecreasing and upper semicontinuous. Then for each t > 0, $\psi(t) < t$ if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi^n(t) = 0$, where ψ^n denotes the composition of ψ with itself n-times.

2. Main Results

THEOREM 2.1. Let X be a T_1 topological space satisfying the first axiom of countability, (Y, d) be a complete metric space and $A, B, S, T : X \to Y$ satisfy

(i) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$,

and one of the following conditions:

(ii) A and S are continuous, A is proper with A(X) closed;

(iii) A and S are continuous, S is proper with S(X) closed;

- (iv) B and T are continuous, B is proper with B(X) closed;
- (v) B and T are continuous, T is proper with T(X) closed.

If there exists some $\phi \in \Phi$ such that

(2.1)
$$\begin{aligned} d(Ax, By) &\leq \phi(d(Sx, Ty), d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty), \\ d(Ax, Ty), d(By, Sx)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then there exist $u, v \in X$ such that Au = Su = Bv = Tv.

PROOF. Given $x_0 \in X$. From (i) we can easily choose sequences $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset X$ and $\{y_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset Y$ such that

(2.2)
$$\begin{cases} y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n}, & n \ge 0, \\ y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}, & n \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Put $d_n = d(y_n, y_{n+1})$ for all $n \ge 1$. By virtue of (2.1) and (2.2), we infer that for any $n \ge 1$,

$$(2.3) \begin{aligned} a_{2n+1} &= d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \phi(d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \\ d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(Bx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})) \\ &= \phi(d_{2n}, d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}, 0, d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n})) \\ &\leq \phi(d_{2n}, d_{2n}, d_{2n+1}, 0, d_{2n} + d_{2n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

148

149

Suppose that $d_{2n+1} > d_{2n}$. Then (2.3) implies that

$$d_{2n+1} \leq \phi(d_{2n+1}, d_{2n+1}, d_{2n+1}, 0, 2d_{2n+1}) \leq \bar{\phi}(d_{2n+1}) < d_{2n+1},$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$. It follows from (2.3) that

 $d_{2n+1} \leq \phi(d_{2n}, d_{2n}, d_{2n}, 0, 2d_{2n}) \leq \bar{\phi}(d_{2n}).$

Similarly, we can also deduce that $d_{2n} \leq \overline{\phi}(d_{2n-1})$. Consequently, we have

$$d_n \leq ar{\phi}(d_{n-1}) \leq ar{\phi}^2(d_{n-2}) \leq \cdots \leq ar{\phi}^{n-1}(d_1) \quad ext{for all } n \geq 1,$$

which and Lemma 1.1 mean that

(2.4)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d_n = 0.$$

In order to show that $\{y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence, it is sufficient to show that $\{y_{2n}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $\{y_{2n}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each even integer 2k, there exists even integers 2m(k) and 2n(k) with

(2.5)
$$d(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)}) > \epsilon, \quad 2m(k) > 2n(k) > 2k.$$

For each even integer 2k, let 2m(k) be the least even integer exceeding 2n(k) satisfying (2.5), that is,

(2.6)
$$d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) \le \epsilon$$
 and $d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) > \epsilon$.

Note that

$$(2.7) \ \epsilon < d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) \le d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) + d_{2m(k)-2} + d_{2m(k)-1}.$$

Using (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7), we conclude that

(2.8)
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) = \epsilon.$$

It is easy to verify that

(2.9)
$$\frac{|d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})| \le d_{2m(k)-1},}{|d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1})| \le d_{2n(k)}. }$$

According to (2.4), (2.8) and (2.9), we know that

(2.10)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}) = \epsilon.$$

In view of (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}) \\ &\leq d_{2n(k)} + d(Ax_{2n(k)}, Bx_{2m(k)-1}) \\ &\leq d_{2n(k)} + \phi(d(Sx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)-1}), d(Ax_{2n(k)}, Sx_{2n(k)}), \\ &\quad d(Bx_{2m(k)-1}, Tx_{2m(k)-1}), d(Ax_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)-1}), \\ &\quad d(Bx_{2m(k)-1}, Sx_{2n(k)})) \\ &= d_{2n(k)} + \phi(d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}), d_{2n(k)}, d_{2m(k)-1}, \\ &\quad d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}), d(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)})). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ in the above inequalities, by (2.4), (2.8) and (2.10) we obtain that

$$\epsilon \leq \phi(\epsilon, 0, 0, \epsilon, \epsilon) \leq \overline{\phi}(\epsilon) < \epsilon,$$

which is impossible. Hence $\{y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (Y, d) is complete, there exists some $z \in Y$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = z$.

Assume that (ii) holds. Set $C = \{Ax_{2n} : n \ge 1\} \cup \{z\}$. Then $C = \overline{C} \subseteq \overline{A(X)} = A(X) \subseteq Y$ and C is compact. It follows that $A^{-1}(C)$ also compact because A is proper. Consequently, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{2n(k)}\}_{k\ge 1}$ of $\{x_{2n}\}_{n\ge 1}$ such that it converges to some point $u \in X$. The continuity of A and S ensures that

(2.11)
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} Ax_{2n(k)} = Au = z = \lim_{k\to\infty} Sx_{2n(k)} = Su.$$

Since $Au \in A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, there exists some $v \in X$ such that Au = Tv. Now we claim that Au = Bv. Otherwise $Au \neq Bv$. From (2.1) and (2.11) we get that

which is a contradiction. Hence Au = Bv. Thus, Au = Su = Bv = Tv.

Assume that (iii) holds. Set $C = \{Sx_{2n} : n \ge 1\} \cup \{z\}$. It is easy to see that $S^{-1}(C)$ also compact because C is compact and S is proper. Consequently, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{2n(k)}\}_{k\ge 1}$ of $\{x_{2n}\}_{n\ge 1}$ such that it converges to some point $u \in X$. The continuity of A and S ensures that (2.11) holds. Similarly, we can prove that there exists some $v \in X$ with Au = Su = Bv = Tv

Assume that (iv) holds. Put $C = \{Bx_{2n-1} : n \ge 1\} \cup \{z\}$. Then $B^{-1}(C)$ is compact since C is compact and B is proper. Clearly, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{2n(k)-1}\}_{k\ge 1}$ of $\{x_{2n-1}\}_{n\ge 1}$ such that it converges to some point $v \in X$. It follows from the continuity of B and T that

(2.12)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} Bx_{2n(k)-1} = Bv = z = \lim_{k \to \infty} Tx_{2n(k)-1} = Tv.$$

Notice that $Bv \in B(X) \subseteq S(X)$. Of course, there exists some $u \in X$ such that Bv = Su. Suppose that $Au \neq Bv$. According to (2.1) and (2.12), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} d(Au, Bv) &\leq \phi(d(Su, Tv), d(Au, Su), d(Bv, Tv), \\ d(Au, Tv), d(Bv, Su)) \\ &= \phi(0, d(Au, Bv), 0, d(Au, Bv), 0) \\ &\leq \bar{\phi}(d(Au, Bv)) \\ &< d(Au, Bv), \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Therefore Au = Bv. Thus, Au = Su = Bv = Tv.

Assume that (v) holds. Put $C = \{Tx_{2n-1} : n \ge 1\} \cup \{z\}$. Then $T^{-1}(C)$ is compact since C is compact and T is proper. Clearly, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{2n(k)-1}\}_{k\ge 1}$ of $\{x_{2n-1}\}_{n\ge 1}$ such that it converges to some point $v \in X$. It follows from the continuity of B and T that (2.12) holds. Similarly, we have Au = Su = Bv = Tv for some $u \in X$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.2. Let X be a T_1 topological space satisfying the first axiom of countability, (Y,d) be a complete metric space and $A, B, S : X \to Y$ satisfy

(i)
$$A(X) \cup B(X) \subseteq S(X)$$
,

and one of the following conditions:

- (ii) A and S are continuous, A is proper with A(X) closed;
- (iii) A and S are continuous, S is proper with S(X) closed;
- (iv) B and S are continuous, B is proper with B(X) closed;
- (v) B and S are continuous, S is proper with S(X) closed.

If there exists some $\phi \in \Phi$ such that

(2.13)
$$d(Ax, By) \leq \phi(d(Sx, Sy), d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Sy), d(Ax, Sy), d(By, Sy), d(Ax, Sy), d(By, Sx))$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then there exist $u \in X$ such that Au = Bu = Su.

PROOF. Let x_0 be an arbitrary element in X. Then (i) ensures that there exist sequences $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, $\{y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ in X such that

$$\begin{cases} y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n}, & n \ge 0, \\ y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}, & n \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we conclude that $\{y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ converges to some $z \in Y$.

Assume that (ii) holds. Set $C = \{Ax_{2n} : n \ge 1\} \cup \{z\}$. Then $C = \overline{C} \subseteq \overline{A(X)} = A(X) \subseteq Y$ and C is compact. It follows that $A^{-1}(C)$ also compact because A is proper. Consequently, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{2n(k)}\}_{k\ge 1}$ of $\{x_{2n}\}_{n>1}$ such that it converges to some

point $u \in X$. The continuity of A and S ensures that (2.11) holds. Now we claim that Au = Bu. Otherwise $Au \neq Bu$. From (2.11), (2.13) we get that

$$egin{aligned} d(Au,Bu) &\leq \phi(d(Su,Su),d(Au,Su),d(Bu,Su),\ d(Au,Su),d(Bu,Su)) \ &= \phi(0,0,d(Au,Bu),0,d(Au,Bu)) \ &\leq ar{\phi}(d(Au,Bu)) \ &< d(Au,Bu), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence Au = Bu. Thus, Au = Bu = Su. Similarly, we can complete the proof if one of (iii)-(v) holds.

REMARK 2.1. Theorem 2.2 extends Theorems 1 and 2 in [2] and the results in [1] and [3].

In case $\phi(x, y, z, u, v) = r \max\{x, y, z, \frac{1}{2}(u+v)\}$ for all $(x, y, z, u, v) \in (R^+)^5$, where r is a constant in (0, 1), then Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 yield the following:

COROLLARY 2.1. Let X be a T_1 topological space satisfying the first axiom of countability, (Y, d) be a complete metric space and $A, B, S, T : X \to Y$ satisfy (i) and one of (ii)-(v) in Theorem 2.1. Suppose that

(2.14)
$$d(Ax, By) \le r \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Sx))\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where r is a constant in (0, 1). Then there exist $u, v \in X$ such that Au = Su = Bv = Tv.

COROLLARY 2.2. Let X be a T_1 topological space satisfying the first axiom of countability, (Y,d) be a complete metric space and A, B, S: $X \rightarrow Y$ satisfy (i) and one of (ii)-(v) in Theorem 2.2. Suppose that

(2.15)
$$d(Ax, By) \leq r \max\{d(Sx, Sy), d(Ax, Sx), d(By, Sy), \frac{1}{2}(d(Ax, Sy) + d(By, Sx))\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where r is a constant in (0, 1). Then there exists $u \in X$ such that Au = Bu = Su.

REMARK 2.2. The results in [1] and [3] are special cases of Corollary 2.1.

References

- M.S. Khan, A note on a nonlinear functional equation, Rend Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari 49 (1979), 87-89.
- [2] Z. Liu, On coincidence point theorems in topocogical spaces, Bull Cal. Math. Soc. 85 (1993), 531-534
- [3] R Machuca, A coincidence theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967), 569.
- [4] J Matkowski, Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1977), 344-348.

Zeqing Liu Department of Mathematics Liaoning Normal University P. O. Box 200, Dalian Liaoning 116029, People's Republic of China *E-mail*: zeqingliu@sina.com.cn

Shin Min Kang and Yong Soo Kim Department of Mathematics Gyeongsang National University Chinju 660-701, Korea *E-mail*: smkang@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr

154