

MULTIPLICATIVE HYPER IS-ALGEBRAS

WON KYUN JEONG

1. Introduction

The notion of BCK-algebras was proposed by Y. Imai and K. Iséki in 1966. In the same year, K. Iséki [I] introduced the notion of a BCI-algebra which is a generalization of a BCK-algebra. In 1993, Y. B. Jun et al. [JHR] introduced a new class of algebras related to BCI-algebras and semigroups, called a BCI-semigroup. In 1998, Y. B. Jun, X. L. Lin and E. H. Roh [JXR] renamed the BCI-semigroup as the IS-algebra. They found the necessary and sufficient condition that an IS-algebra X is an IG-algebra. Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and X. L. Lin [JRX] characterized the \mathcal{I} -ideals in an IS-algebra and gave a description of the element of the left (resp. right) \mathcal{I} -ideal generated by the union of left (resp. right) \mathcal{I} -ideals A and B in an IS-algebra, which is a generalization of [AK, Theorem 2.5]. They also proved that if an IS-algebra is finite, then every \mathcal{I} -ideal is closed.

In this paper, we define multiplicative hyper IS-algebras. A necessary and sufficient condition for a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra to be an IS-algebra is stated. We also show that every unitary strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra is an IS-algebra.

DEFINITION 1.1. A *BCI-algebra* is an algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ is satisfying the following axioms for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (a) $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$
- (b) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$

Received March 23, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 06F35, 03G25.

Key words and phrases: multiplicative hyper IS-algebra, strongly distributive.

- (c) $x * x = 0$
 (d) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$.

For any BCI-algebra X , the relation \leq defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$ is a partial order on X .

A BCI-algebra X has the following properties for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (1) $x * 0 = x$
- (2) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$
- (3) $x \leq y$ implies $x * z \leq y * z$ and $z * y \leq z * x$.

DEFINITION 1.2. A non-empty subset I of a BCI-algebra X is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies

- (1) $0 \in I$,
- (2) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$

It is well known that an ideal I of a BCI-algebra X need not be a subalgebra.

DEFINITION 1.3. ([JXR]) An *IS-algebra* is a non-empty set X with two binary operations “ $*$ ” and “ \cdot ” and constant 0 satisfying the axioms :

- (1) $I(X) := (X, *, 0)$ is a BCI-algebra.
- (2) $S(X) := (X, \cdot)$ is a semigroup.
- (3) $x \cdot (y * z) = (x \cdot y) * (x \cdot z)$ and $(x * y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) * (y \cdot z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

LEMMA 1.4. ([JHR]). *Let X be an IS-algebra. Then we have*

- (1) $0 \cdot x = x \cdot 0 = 0$,
- (2) $x \leq y$ implies that $x \cdot z \leq y \cdot z$ and $z \cdot x \leq z \cdot y$,

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

DEFINITION 1.5. ([AK]) A non-empty subset A of a semigroup $S(X) := (X, \cdot)$ is said to be *left* (resp. *right*) *stable* if $x \cdot a \in A$ (resp. $a \cdot x \in A$) whenever $x \in S(X)$ and $a \in A$. Both left and right stable is *two-sided stable* or simply *stable*.

DEFINITION 1.6. ([JXR]) A non-empty subset A of an IS-algebra X is called a *left* (resp. *right*) \mathcal{I} -ideal of X if

- (1) A is a left (resp. right) stable subset of $S(X)$,
- (2) for any $x, y \in I(X)$, $x * y \in A$ and $y \in A$ imply that $x \in A$.

Both a left and right \mathcal{I} -ideal is called a *two-sided* \mathcal{I} -ideal or simply an \mathcal{I} -ideal. It is clear that if A is a left (resp. right) \mathcal{I} -ideal of an IS-algebra X , then $0 \in A$. Thus A is an ideal of $I(X) := (X, *, 0)$.

2. Multiplicative hyper IS-algebras

DEFINITION 2.1. A *multiplicative hyper IS-algebra* is a non-empty set X with a binary operation “ $*$ ” and a hyperoperation “ \cdot ” and constant 0 satisfying the following axioms :

- (i) $I(X) := (X, *, 0)$ is a BCI-algebra,
- (ii) multiplication is a hyperoperation $\cdot : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X)$, where $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ is the power set of X with the empty set removed, such that \cdot is associative,
- (iii) for all $x, y, z \in X$, $x \cdot (y * z) \subseteq (x \cdot y) * (x \cdot z)$ and $(x * y) \cdot z \subseteq (x \cdot z) * (y \cdot z)$.

If in (iii) both containments are equality, we say that the multiplicative hyper IS-algebra is *strongly distributive*. All hyper IS-algebras in this paper will be multiplicative hyper IS-algebras and will be strongly distributive only if explicitly stated.

First, we prove the following.

THEOREM 2.2 *In a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra X , we have $0 \in x \cdot 0$ and $0 \in 0 \cdot x$ for every $x \in X$.*

PROOF. We obtain that $x \cdot 0 = x \cdot (x * x) = x \cdot x * x \cdot x$, for every $x \in X$. Thus $0 \in x \cdot 0$, for every $x \in X$. Similarly, we have $0 \in 0 \cdot x$, for each $x \in X$

The above theorem is not true if the hyper IS-algebra is not strongly distributive, as is shown by the following example.

Example 2.3. Let $(X, *, \cdot, 0)$ be an IS-algebra such that $|X| > 1$. Define hypermultiplication as $x \bullet y = X - \{0\}$, for every $x, y \in X$. Then,

by routine calculations, we can check that $(X, *, \bullet, 0)$ is a multiplicative hyper IS-algebra but not strongly distributive. For every $x \in X$, we have $0 \notin x \bullet 0 = 0 \bullet x$.

In the following theorem, we find the necessary and sufficient conditions for the hyper IS-algebra to be an IS-algebra.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let X be a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra. Then the following are equivalent :*

- (1) X is an IS-algebra,
- (2) $|x \cdot y| = 1$ for every $x, y \in X$,
- (3) $|x \cdot 0| = 1$ for every $x \in X$,
- (4) $|0 \cdot x| = 1$ for every $x \in X$,
- (5) $|0 \cdot 0| = 1$.

PROOF. We only prove that (3) \Rightarrow (2), (5) \Rightarrow (4) and (5) \Rightarrow (3). Other cases are straightforward.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) : Let $x, y \in X$. Then $x \cdot 0 = x(y * y) = (x \cdot y) * (x \cdot y)$. Assume that $a, b \in x \cdot y$. Then $a * b, b * a \in x \cdot 0$. Since $x \cdot 0 = \{0\}$, we have $a * b = 0$ and $b * a = 0$. Hence, $a = b$. Thus, $x \cdot y$ is a singleton set, i.e., $|x \cdot y| = 1$.

(5) \Rightarrow (4) : Assume that $|0 \cdot 0| = 1$. If $x \in X$, then $0 \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot (x * x) = (0 \cdot x) * (0 \cdot x)$. Let us suppose that $a, b \in 0 \cdot x$. Then $a * b, b * a \in 0 \cdot 0$. It follows from $0 \cdot 0 = \{0\}$ that $a * b = 0$ and $b * a = 0$. Thus, $a = b$. So, we have $|0 \cdot x| = 1$.

(5) \Rightarrow (3) : Similarly, if $|0 \cdot 0| = 1$, then $|x \cdot 0| = 1$, for each $x \in X$.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let X be a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra. Then it is an IS-algebra if and only if there exists an element x in X such that $|x \cdot 0| = 1$.*

PROOF. Necessity is obvious. Conversely, assume that $|x \cdot 0| = 1$ for some $x \in X$. If $x = 0$, then we are done by Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $x \neq 0$. Then $0 \cdot 0 = (x * x) \cdot 0 = (x \cdot 0) * (x \cdot 0)$. But $x \cdot 0 = \{0\}$ by Theorem 2.2. Hence $(x \cdot 0) * (x \cdot 0)$ contains only $0 * 0 = 0$. Thus $|0 \cdot 0| = 1$ and in view of the equivalence in Theorem 2.4, X is an IS-algebra. This completes the proof.

Clearly the proof from Theorem 2.5 is valid if we replace $|x \cdot 0| = 1$ with $|0 \cdot x| = 1$. To show that the above Theorem is not valid in general if X is not strongly distributive, we give the following example.

Example 2.6. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$. Define $*$ -operation and multiplication “ \cdot ” by the following tables

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

\cdot	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	b	c
b	0	a	b	c
c	0	0	0	0

Then we can easily check that X is an IS-algebra ([JXR]). Define hypermultiplication as

$$x \bullet y = \{x \cdot y, (x * (0 * x)) \cdot y, (x * (0 * (x * (0 * x)))) \cdot y, \dots\}.$$

Hence, we have the following \bullet -table :

\bullet	0	a	b	c
0	{0}	{0}	{0}	{0}
a	{0}	{0, a }	{0, b }	{0, c }
b	{0}	{0, a }	{0, b }	{0, c }
c	{0}	{0}	{0}	{0}

Then, by routine calculations, we can show that $(X, *, \bullet, 0)$ is a multiplicative hyper IS-algebra that is not strongly distributive. And the algebra $(X, *, \bullet, 0)$ is not an IS-algebra, since $a \bullet (b * c) = \{0, a\}$ and $(a \bullet b) * (a \bullet c) = \{0, a, b, c\}$. However, for every $x \in X$,

$$x \bullet 0 = \{x \cdot 0, (x * (0 * x)) \cdot 0, \dots\} = \{0\} = 0 \bullet x.$$

THEOREM 2.7. *Let X be a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra. Then it is an IS-algebra if and only if there exist elements x and y in X such that $|x \cdot y| = 1$.*

PROOF. Necessity is obvious. Conversely, suppose that there exist elements x and y in X such that $|x \cdot y| = 1$. Then $x \cdot 0 = x \cdot (y * y) = (x \cdot y) * (x \cdot y) = \{0\}$. By Theorem 2.5, X is an IS-algebra. This completes the proof.

DEFINITION 2.8. A hyper IS-algebra X is said to be *unitary* if it contains an element v in X such that $x \cdot v = v \cdot x = \{x\}$ for all $x \in X$.

Example 2.9. In the Example 2.6, the hyper IS-algebra X is then a unitary multiplicative hyper IS-algebra.

From Theorem 2.7, we have the following result.

THEOREM 2.10. *Every unitary strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra X is an IS-algebra.*

THEOREM 2.11. *Let X be a hyper IS-algebra. If there exist elements x and y in X such that $|x \cdot y| = 1$, then $0 \cdot 0 = \{0\}$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $|x \cdot y| = 1$ for some $x, y \in X$ and consider $x \cdot 0$. Then $x \cdot 0 = x \cdot (y * y) \subseteq (x \cdot y) * (x \cdot y) = \{0\}$. But then $0 \cdot 0 = (x * x) \cdot 0 \subseteq (x \cdot 0) * (x \cdot 0)$. Since $x \cdot 0$ is a singleton set, we have $0 \cdot 0 = \{0\}$, completing the proof.

COROLLARY 2.12. *In any unitary hyper IS-algebra X , we have $0 \cdot 0 = \{0\}$.*

3. Hyper \mathcal{I} -ideals

Let I be an ideal of a BCI-algebra $(X, *, 0)$. For any x, y in X , we define $x \sim y$ by $x * y \in I$ and $y * x \in I$. Then \sim is a congruence relation on X . Let C_x denote the equivalence class containing x . Let $X/I := \{C_x | x \in X\}$ and define that $C_x * C_y = C_{x*y}$. Since \sim is a congruence relation on X , the operation “ $*$ ” is well-defined. Moreover, if $(X, *, 0)$ is a BCI-algebra and if I is an ideal of X , then $(X/I, *, C_0)$ is also a BCI-algebra, which is called *the quotient algebra via I* , and $C_0 = I$ [MX].

Let I be an \mathcal{I} -ideal of an IS-algebra X . Since I is an ideal of the BCI-algebra X , $(X/I, *, C_0)$ is a BCI-algebra. Define $C_x \cdot C_y = C_{x \cdot y}$ on X/I . Then the operation “ \cdot ” is well-defined. It is known that the operation “ \cdot ” is distributive on both sides over the operation “ $*$ ”.

Thus we have the following Theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. ([AK2]). *Let $(X, *, \cdot, 0)$ be an IS-algebra and let I be an \mathcal{I} -ideal of X . Then $(X/I, *, \cdot, C_0)$ is also an IS-algebra, which is called the quotient algebra via I , and $C_0 = I$.*

DEFINITION 3.2. A non-empty subset A of a multiplicative hyper IS-algebra X is called a *left (resp. right) hyper \mathcal{I} -ideal of X* if

(HI1) A is an ideal of $I(X)$,

(HI2) if $x \in X$ and $a \in A$, then $xa \subseteq A$ (resp. $ax \subseteq A$).

Both a left and a right hyper \mathcal{I} -ideal is called a *two-sided hyper \mathcal{I} -ideal* or simply *hyper \mathcal{I} -ideal*.

Let I be a hyper \mathcal{I} -ideal of a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra X . Then $(X/I, *, C_0)$ is a BCI-algebra as above. Define $C_x \cdot C_y = C_{x \cdot y} = \{C_a \mid a \in x \cdot y\}$ on X/I . Then the binary operation “ \cdot ” is well-defined. Indeed, if $C_x = C_y$ and $C_s = C_t$, then $x * y, y * x \in I$ and $s * t, t * s \in I$. Since I is a hyper \mathcal{I} -ideal of a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra X , $y(t * s) = yt * ys \subseteq I$ for every $y \in X$, and $(y * x)s = ys * xs \subseteq I$ for every $s \in X$. To show that $yt * xs \subseteq I$, let $a \in yt$, $b \in xs$ and take any $p \in ys$. Then $(a * b) * (p * b) \leq a * p \in yt * ys \subseteq I$ and hence $(a * b) * (p * b) \in I$. Since $p * b \in ys * xs \subseteq I$ and I is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of $I(X)$, we have $a * b \in I$. Thus $yt * xs \subseteq I$. Similarly, we also have $xs * yt \subseteq I$. It follows that $C_{x \cdot s} = C_{y \cdot t}$. Thus $C_x \cdot C_s = C_y \cdot C_t$. This shows that the operation “ \cdot ” is well-defined. Moreover, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let X be a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra and let I be a hyper \mathcal{I} -ideal. Then $(X/I, *, \cdot, C_0)$ is a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra, which is called the quotient hyper IS-algebra via I , and $C_0 = I$.*

PROOF. First, we can show that the operation “ \cdot ” is associative, i.e., $(C_x \cdot C_y) \cdot C_z = C_x \cdot (C_y \cdot C_z)$, for any $x, y, z \in X$.

Next, we prove that “ \cdot ” is distributive on both sides over the operation “ $*$ ”. For any $C_x, C_y, C_z \in X$, let $C_a \in (C_x * C_y) \cdot C_z = C_{x*y} \cdot C_z$. Then $a \in (x * y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) * (y \cdot z)$. Hence there exist $p \in x \cdot z$ and $q \in y \cdot z$ such that $a = p * q$. Since $C_p \in \{C_s \mid s \in x \cdot z\} = C_{x \cdot z} = C_x \cdot C_z$ and $C_q \in \{C_t \mid t \in y \cdot z\} = C_{y \cdot z} = C_y \cdot C_z$, $C_a = C_{p*q} = C_p * C_q \in C_x \cdot C_z * C_y \cdot C_z$. Thus $C_a \in C_x \cdot C_z * C_y \cdot C_z$. This proves that $(C_x * C_y) \cdot C_z \subseteq C_x \cdot C_z * C_y \cdot C_z$.

To show that the reverse inclusion, let $C_a * C_b \in C_x \cdot C_z * C_y \cdot C_z$. Then $C_a \in C_x \cdot C_z$ and $C_b \in C_y \cdot C_z$. Hence $a \in x \cdot z$ and $b \in y \cdot z$. Since $a * b \in (x \cdot z) * (y \cdot z) = (x * y) \cdot z$, $C_a * C_b = C_{a*b} \in C_{(x*y) \cdot z} = C_{x*y} \cdot C_z = (C_x * C_y) \cdot C_z$. Thus $(C_x * C_y) \cdot C_z = C_x \cdot C_z * C_y \cdot C_z$. By a similar method, we obtain $C_x \cdot (C_y * C_z) = C_x \cdot C_y * C_x \cdot C_z$. Thus X/I is a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra, completing the proof.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let I be a hyper \mathcal{I} -ideal of a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra X . Then for any element $C_a \in X/I$, we have $|C_a \cdot C_0| = 1$.*

PROOF. Let C_a be an element of X/I . Then $C_a \cdot C_0 = C_{a \cdot 0} = \{C_x \mid x \in a \cdot 0\}$. Since I is a hyper \mathcal{I} -ideal of X and $0 \in X$, we have $a \cdot 0 \subseteq I$. Hence $C_a \cdot C_0$ contains just the zero element of X/I . This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $(X, *, \cdot, 0)$ be a strongly distributive hyper IS-algebra and let I be a hyper \mathcal{I} -ideal of X . Then the quotient hyper IS-algebra X/I is actually an IS-algebra.*

PROOF. From Theorem 3.3, we know that $(X/I, *, \cdot, C_0)$ is strongly distributive. In view of Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 2.4, X/I is an IS-algebra.

REFERENCES

- [AK] S S Ahn and H.S Kim, *A note on \mathcal{I} -ideals in BCI-semigroups*, Comm. Korean Math Soc **11** (1996), 895–902.
- [AK2] S S Ahn and H.S Kim, *On isomorphisms theorems in BCI-semigroups*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 1–9.
- [I] K Iséki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proc Japan Acad **42** (1966), 26–29.

- [JHR] Y.B. Jun, S.M. Hong and E.H. Roh, *BCI-semigroups*, Honam Math. J. **15** (1993), 59-64
- [JRX] Y.B. Jun, E.H. Roh and X.L. Xin, *I-ideals generated by a set in IS-algebras*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **35** (1998), 615-624.
- [JXR] Y.B. Jun, X.L. Xin and E.H. Roh, *A class of algebras related to BCI-algebras and semigroups*, Soochow J. Math. **24** (1998), 309-321.
- [MX] C.Z. Mu and W.H. Xiong, *On ideals in BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), 497-501.

Department of Mathematics
College of Natural Science
Kyungpook National University
Daegu 702-701, Korea