

SOME RESULTS ON A NONUNIQUE FIXED POINT

JINBIAO HAO AND SUK-JIN LEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain some nonunique fixed point theorems of single valued and multivalued maps in metric and generalized metric spaces, one of which generalized the corresponding results of [5] and [6].

1. Introduction

In [6], Pachpatte obtained some results on a nonunique fixed point complete metric spaces and introduced an inequality as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{[d(Tx, Ty)]^2, d(x, y)d(Tx, Ty), [d(y, Ty)]^2\} \\ & \quad - \min\{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx)\} \\ & \leq r \cdot d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty) \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

for any x, y in X , where r is in $(0, 1)$.

In [5], Liu generalized the above result for single valued maps and introduced the following;

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{[d(Tx, Ty)]^2, d(x, y)d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y)d(y, Ty), \\ & d(x, Tx)d(Tx, Ty), [d(y, Ty)]^2\} \\ & \quad - \min\{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx)\} \\ & \leq r \cdot \max\{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx)\} \end{aligned}$$

Received December 2, 2001

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10

Key words and phrases: nonunique fixed point, T -orbitally continuous, T -orbitally complete, orbitally upper-semicontinuous.

for any x, y in X , where r is in $(0, 1)$.

In the present paper, we obtain some results which generalize Theorem 1 of [6] and Theorem 1 of [5]. Furthermore, we give an example to show that our result indeed generalizes Theorem 1 of [6]. By the way, we show the example in [2] is false.

2. On a nonunique fixed point for single valued maps

Let (X, d) be a metric space and T a self map of X . T is called an orbitally continuous if $\lim_n T^n x = u$ implies that $\lim_n TT^n x = Tu$ for each x in X . A metric space X is T -orbitally complete if every Cauchy sequence of the form $\{T^{n_i} x\}_{i \geq 1}$ converges in X for x in X . Throughout this paper \mathbb{R}^+ denotes the set of nonnegative real numbers.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a T -orbitally complete metric space and T an orbitally continuous self map of X . If T satisfies the following condition*

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{[d(Tx, Ty)]^2, d(x, y)d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y)d(y, Ty), \\ & d(x, Tx)d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty), d(y, Ty)d(Tx, Ty), [d(y, Ty)]^2\} \\ & - \min\{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx)\} \\ \leq & r \cdot \max\{d(x, y)d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y)d(y, Ty), d(x, Tx)d(Tx, Ty), \\ & d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx), d(y, Tx)d(Tx, Ty), d(y, Tx)d(y, Ty)\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for any x, y in X , where r is in $(0, 1)$.

Then T has a fixed point and for each x in X the sequence $\{T^n x\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

PROOF. Let x be in X . We define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for $n \geq 0$, where $x_0 = x$. If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some $n \geq 0$, then the assertion follows immediately. Therefore we assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$. Put $d_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for $n \geq 0$. By (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{(d_{n+1})^2, d_n d_{n+1}, d_n d_{n+1}, d_n d_{n+1}, d_n d_{n+1}, (d_{n+1})^2, (d_{n+1})^2\} \\ & - \min\{d_n d_{n+1}, d(x_n, x_{n+2})d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\} \\ \leq & r \cdot \max\{d_n d_{n+1}, d_n d_{n+1}, d_n d_{n+1}, d_n d_{n+1}, d(x_n, x_{n+2})d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ & d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})d_{n+1}, d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})d_{n+1}\} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(d_{n+1})^2 = \min\{(d_{n+1})^2, d_n d_{n+1}\} \leq r \cdot d_n d_{n+1}$$

which implies that $d_{n+1} \leq r \cdot d_n$. It is easy to see that $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is orbitally complete, there exists some u in X such that $u = \lim_n T^n x$. By the T -orbitally continuity of T , $Tu = \lim_n TT^n x = u$. This completes the proof.

REMARK 2.1. Theorem 2.1 extend Theorem 1 of [6] and Theorem 1 of [5]. The following example shows that Theorem 2.1 is a proper generalization of Theorem 1 of [6].

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all x, y in X , $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ and $d(0, 1) = 1$, $d(0, 2) = 2.5$, $d(0, 3) = 1$, $d(0, 4) = 1$, $d(1, 2) = 1.5$, $d(1, 3) = 2$, $d(1, 4) = 1$, $d(2, 3) = 2$, $d(2, 4) = 1.5$, $d(3, 4) = 1$. Obviously, (X, d) is a complete metric space. Now let $T : X \rightarrow X$, $T0 = 1$, $T1 = 0$, $T2 = 3$, $T3 = 2$, $T4 = 4$. It is easy to verify that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied for $r = 0.3$. But Theorem 1 of [6] is not applicable, because T doesn't satisfy (1.1) for $x = 0$, $y = 1$ and all r in $(0, 1)$.

REMARK 2.2. In 1990, Ćirić [2] gave an example to show that the corresponding results of Dhage [3], Mishra [4] and Pathak [7] are false. Unfortunately the example is false. In fact, through strictly examining the proofs of Dhage, Pathak and Mishra's results we assert that the result of [3], [4] and [7] are true.

Mishra [4], Dhage [3], Pathak [7] assume that T satisfies respectively the following conditions (A), (B) and (C).

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(Tx, T^2x), d(y, T^2x)\} \\ & - \min\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx), d(x, T^2x), d(Ty, T^2x)\} \leq q \cdot d(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (A)$$

for all x, y in X , where $0 \leq q < 1$;

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} + a \cdot \min\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} \\ & \leq q \cdot d(x, y) + p \cdot d(x, Tx) \end{aligned} \quad (B)$$

for all x, y in X , where $0 < p + q < 1$, a is a real number;

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{d(Tx, Ty), d(y, Ty)\} + a \cdot \min\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} \\ & \leq q \cdot d(x, y) + p \cdot d(x, Tx) + r \cdot d(x, Ty) \end{aligned} \quad (C)$$

for all x, y in X , where a, p, q and r are numbers such that $0 \leq r < 1$, $0 < p + q + 2r < 1$.

The example of Ciric [2] is as follows:

Let $M = \{0, 1, 3\}$ with the usual metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. Define the mapping T by $T0 = 1, T1 = 3, T3 = 0$. Ciric [2] claimed that T satisfies each of conditions (A), (B) and (C). We find that T doesn't satisfy any one of (A), (B) and (C), because if T satisfies (A), taking $x = 0, y = 1$, we have from (A)

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{d(1, 3), d(0, 1), d(1, 3), d(1, 3), d(1, 3)\} \\ & \quad - \min\{d(0, 3), d(1, 1), d(0, 3), d(3, 3)\} \\ & \leq q \cdot d(0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $1 \leq q$. This contradicts the condition $0 \leq q < 1$; if T satisfies (B), similarly we have $1 \leq q + p < 1$, which is a contradiction, too; if T satisfies (C), we have $2 \leq p + q + 3r$. Since $0 < p + q + 2r < 1$, it follows that $2 \leq p + q + 3r < r + 1 < 2$, which is impossible.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a T -orbitally complete metric space and T an orbitally continuous self map of X . If T satisfies the following condition*

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1[d(Tx, Ty)]^2 + a_2d(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) + a_3d(x, y)d(y, Ty) \\ & \quad + a_4d(x, Tx)d(Tx, Ty) + a_5d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty) + a_6d(y, Ty)d(Tx, Ty) \\ & \quad + a_7[d(y, Ty)]^2 - \min\{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx)\} \\ & \leq r \cdot \max\{d(x, y)d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y)d(y, Ty), d(x, Tx)d(Tx, Ty) \\ & \quad , d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx), d(y, Tx)d(Tx, Ty), d(y, Tx)d(y, Ty) \} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for all x, y in X , where $\sum_{i=1}^7 a_i < 1$ and a_i is in R^+ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$.

Then T has a fixed point and the sequence $\{T^n x\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges to a fixed point of T for x in X .

PROOF. Note that (2.2) implies (2.1). Theorem 2.2 follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.

3. On a nonunique fixed point for multivalued maps

We recall that (X, d) is a generalized metric space if X is a set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfies all the properties of being a metric for X besides that d may have "infinite values". An orbit of F at the point x in X is a sequence $\{x_n : x_n \in Fx_{n-1}\}$, where $x_0 = x$. A multivalued map F on X is orbitally upper-semicontinuous if $x_n \rightarrow u \in X$ implies $u \in Fu$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is an orbit of F at each x in X . A space X is F -orbitally complete if every orbit of F at all x in X which is a Cauchy sequence, converges in X . Let A and B be nonempty subsets of X . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} D(A, B) &= \inf\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\} \\ CL(X) &= \{A : A \subset X, A \text{ is closed}\} \\ N(\varepsilon, A) &= \{x \in X : d(x, a) < \varepsilon \text{ for some } a \in A\}, \varepsilon > 0 \\ H(A, B) &= \begin{cases} \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : A \subseteq N(\varepsilon, B) \text{ and } B \subseteq N(\varepsilon, A)\}, \\ \quad \text{if the infimum exists.} \\ \infty, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Ciric [1] introduced the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\{H(Fx, Fy), D(x, Fx), D(y, Fy)\} \\ &- \min\{D(x, Fy), D(y, Fx)\} \leq q \cdot d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all x, y in M and some $q < 1$. Motivated by it, we obtain the following results.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a generalized metric space and $F : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ an orbitally upper-semicontinuous. If X is F -orbitally*

complete and F satisfies the following condition

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min\{[H(Fx, Fy)]^2, d(x, y)H(Fx, Fy), d(x, y)D(y, Fy), \\
& \quad D(x, Fx)H(Fx, Fy), D(x, Fx)D(y, Fy), D(y, Fy)H(Fx, Fy), \\
& \quad [D(y, Fy)]^2\} \\
& - \min\{D(x, Fx)D(y, Fy), D(x, Fy)D(y, Fx)\} \\
\leq & r \cdot \max\{d(x, y)d(Fx, Fy), d(x, y)D(y, Fy), D(x, Fx)D(Fx, Fy), \\
& \quad D(x, Fx)D(y, Fy), D(x, Fy)D(y, Fx), D(y, Fx)H(Fx, Fy), \\
& \quad D(y, Fx)D(y, Fy)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all x, y in X , where r is in $(0, 1)$.

Then F has a fixed point.

PROOF. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a real number less than $1/2$. We define a single valued map $T : X \rightarrow X$ by letting $Tx = y \in Fx$ that satisfies

$$d(x, y) \leq r^{-\alpha} \cdot D(x, Fx). \tag{3.2}$$

Set $d_n = d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$, $D_n = D(x_n, Fx_n)$ and $H_n = H(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_n)$ for $n \geq 0$. Now let's consider the following orbit of F at x in $X : x_0 = x$, $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 0$. We may assume that $x_{n-1} \neq x_n$ for any $n \geq 0$, otherwise the result is obtained at once. It follows from $x_n \in Fx_{n-1}$ that $D_n \leq H_n$, $D(x_n, Fx_{n-1}) = 0$ and $D_{n-1} \leq d_n$. By (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min\{H_n^2, d_n H_n, d_n D_n, D_{n-1} H_n, D_{n-1} D_n, D_n H_n, D_n^2\} \\
& - \min\{D_{n-1} D_n, D(x_{n-1}, Fx_n), D(x_n, Fx_{n-1})\} \\
\leq & r \cdot \max\{d_n D(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_n), d_n D_n, D_{n-1} D(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_n), D_{n-1} D_n, \\
& \quad D(x_{n-1}, Fx_n)D(x_n, Fx_{n-1})H_n, D(x_n, Fx_{n-1})D_n\}
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\min\{D_n^2, D_{n-1} D_n\} & = \min\{d_n^2, d_n D_n, D_{n-1} D_n\} \\
& \leq r \cdot \max\{d_n D_n, D_{n-1} D_n\} = r \cdot d_n D_n
\end{aligned}$$

and by (3.2)

$$\min\{r^{-2a} \cdot D_n^2, r^{-2a} \cdot D_{n-1}D_n\} \leq r^{-2a} \cdot d_n D_n \leq r^{-2a} \cdot d_n d_{n+1}.$$

On using (3.2)

$$\min\{d_{n+1}^2, d_n d_{n+1}\} \leq r^{1-2a} \cdot d_n d_{n+1}.$$

Note that $0 < r^{1-2a} < 1$. If $d_n < d_{n+1}$, then

$$d_n d_{n+1} = \min\{d_{n+1}^2, d_n d_{n+1}\} \leq r^{1-2a} \cdot d_n d_{n+1} < d_n d_{n+1}$$

a contradiction. Therefore $d_{n+1} < d_n$ and

$$d_{n+1}^2 = \min\{d_{n+1}^2, d_n d_{n+1}\} \leq r^{1-2a} \cdot d_n^2$$

i.e., $d_{n+1} \leq b \cdot d_n$, where $b = r^{\frac{1}{2}-a}$. This implies $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is F -orbitally complete, there exists some point u in X such that $\lim_n x_n = u$. Thus the orbitally upper-semicontinuity of F implies $u \in Fu$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a generalized metric space and $F : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ an orbitally upper-semicontinuous. If X is F -orbitally complete and F satisfies the following condition*

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1[H(Fx, Fy)]^2 + a_2d(x, y)H(Fx, Fy) + a_3d(x, y)D(y, Fy) \\ & + a_4D(x, Fx)H(Fx, Fy) + a_5D(x, Fx)D(y, Fy) \\ & + a_6D(y, Fy)H(Fx, Fy) + a_7[D(y, Fy)]^2 \\ & - \min\{D(x, Fx)D(y, Fy), D(x, Fy)D(y, Fx)\} \\ & \leq r \cdot \max\{d(x, y)D(Fx, Fy), d(x, y)D(y, Fy), \\ & \quad D(x, Fx)D(y, Fy), D(x, Fy)D(y, Fx), D(y, Fx)H(Fx, Fy), \\ & \quad D(x, Fx)D(Fx, Fy), D(y, Fx)D(y, Fy)\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all x, y in X , where $\sum_{i=1}^7 a_i < 1$ and a_i is in R^+ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$.
Then F has a fixed point.

PROOF. Since (3.3) implies (3.1), Theorem 3.2 follows immediately from Theorem 3.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.B. Ćirić, *On some maps with a nonunique fixed point*, Publ. Inst. Math. **17** (1974), 52–58
- [2] L.B. Ćirić, *Remarks on some theorems of Mishra, Dhage and Pathak*, Pure Appl. Math. Sci. **32** (1990), 27–29.
- [3] B.C. Dhage, *Some results for the maps with a nonunique fixed point*, Indian J Pure Appl Math. **16** (1985), 245–246
- [4] S.N. Mishra, *On fixed points of orbitally continuous maps*, Nanta Math. **12** (1979), 83–90
- [5] Z. Liu, *Some results on a nonunique fixed point*, J. Liaoning Normal Univ **9** (1986), 12–15.
- [6] B.G. Pachpatte, *On Ćirić type maps with a nonunique fixed point*, Indian J. Pure Appl Math. **10** (1979), 1039–1043.
- [7] H.K. Pathak, *On some nonunique fixed point theorems for the maps of Dhage type*, Pure Appl Math. Sci. **27** (1988), 41–47

Jinbiao Hao
Department of Mathematics
Liaoning Normal University
Dalian, Liaoning, 116029
P. R. China

Suk-Jin Lee
Department of Mathematics
Kyungsung University
Pusan 608-736, Korea
E-mail: sjlee@star.ks.ac.kr