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Seven-membered heterocyeles with two heteroatoms in a 1,4-relationship are known to possess many biological activities. Particularly, aryl-annelated $|1,4|$ diazepine and $\mid 1,4$ |oxazepine are crucial moicties in many psychoactive pharmaceuticals. ${ }^{1.2}$ 6-Benzylidene-oxazepane-5,7-dione is known as a valuable chiral intermediate. ${ }^{3}$ Arylmethylene benzodiazepinones have been used for the synthesis of pesticidal pyrazolobenzodiazepines and thiazinobenzodiazepines. ${ }^{+}$Besides of these papers, numerous reports have been reported regarding the synthesis or biological activity of benzodiazepines' or dibenzodiazepines. ${ }^{2}$ Recently, Reiser et al. have reported combinatorial liquid-phase synthesis of |1,4|oxazepin-7-ones via the Baylis-F Iillman reaction. ${ }^{5}$

In these respects, we intended to prepare some 3 -(aryl-methylene)-1,5-benzodiazepin-2-one derivatives from the Baylis-l lillman acetates. The reaction of the Baylis-Itillman acetate $\mathbf{1 a}$ and 1,2 -phenylenediamine (2) in acetonitrile in the presence of potassium carbonate gave the allylic substitution product $3 a^{6}$ (Scheme 1). The $E$ and $Z$-form of 3 a could be separated easily. I leating of pure 3 a- $E$ in acetic acid afforded a mixture of $4 a^{6}$ and $5 a^{6}$ (Scheme 2). The yield of desired 3-(benzylidene)-1,5-benzodiazepin-2-one (4a) was moderate ( $36 \%$ ). Instead, the benzimidazole-substituted compound $5 a$ was isolated in $34 \%$ yield.

To improve the yield of the desired benzodiazepine
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derivative 4a. we examined other carboxylic acid solvent such as propionic acid. Formic acid and trilluoroacetic acid as shown in Table I. However, we could not improve the yield of $\mathbf{4 a}$. In all cases, except for formic acid, differently substituted benzimidazole-substituted derivatives, $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{b}$ and 5e, were isolated in variable yields. It is interesting to note that the use of formic acid gave neither the corresponding benzodiazepine nor benzimidazole derivatives. Instead, di-

Table 1. Synthesis of 3-benzylidene-1.5-benzodiazepin-2-one derivalives

fomml derivative 6 was fonmed in good yield. In fonmic acid N-fonmylation proceeded easily at the two nitrogen atoms, thus preventing the next cyclization toward benzodiazepine or benzimidazole.

The reaction of acetic acid and $Z$-form of 3 a gave the benzimidazole derivative 5 d as the sole product $(66 \%$. entry 5). We could not isolate the corresponding berzodiazepine compound +b at all. We could not explain the reason at this stage. The reaction of $\mathbf{3 b}-E$ in acetic acid or in propionic acid gave the similar results (entries 6 and 7 ).
mproved synthesis of benzodiazepine derivative ta was finally carried out by using l.3-dicyclohexy lcarbodimide (DCC) method for the amide bond fommation. Hydrolysis of 3a- $-E$ with sodium hydroxide gave the corresponding acid derivative in $97 \%$ yield. Fomation of the amide bond by using DCC in THF (rt, 3h) afforded ta in $83 \%$ yield (Scheme 3).
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