Key concept : Hospital infection control, Contamination of saline used in surgical procedures

The Contamination Levels and Exchange of Saline Used in Surgical Procedures^{*}

Hae S. Yoon** · Hae H. Song***

INTRODUCTION

The number hospitalized patients of has increased 10-20% Korea each year in since 1989. and 46%-69% of all hospitalized patients have inpatient (Annual surgery Report of Catholic Medical 1993). Center Patients undergoing surgery are exposed to the risk of nosocomial infections, especially surgical site infections. Surgical site infection rates were reported to be 3.1% to 11.6% (Choi et al., 1998; Horan et al., 1993; Jeong et al., 1996; Park & Kim, 1995; Rostein et al., 1992). These infections result in significant patient morbidity and prolonged hospitalization with concomitant additional costs and psychological trauma (Shulkin et al., 1993). Most postoperative wound infections occur result as а of contamination of the surgical wound, which originates from the bacteria that enter into the operative tissue during The causative surgery.

pathogens are derived of microorganisms shed by patients, the operating room environments and 1994; & operating teams (Eickhoff, Pittet 1994; Wilev & Ha'Eri, 1979). The Ducel, postoperative wound infections can also break due inadequate aseptic surgical out to techniques and procedures involving the surgical instruments and materials, patients, operating and possibly the the room personnel air in (Schwan, operating room Bengtsson, Hambraeus & Raurell, 1977; Shaw & Douglas, 1973).

potential Whether airborne microbes are а source of operative wound infection has been the subject of debate since 1950. Some studies have suggested that airborne bacteria have played an important role in surgical site infections (Lidwell, 1981; Lidwell & Phil, 1986; Lidwell 1987), while other studies have et al., suggested little effect (Avliffe, 1991; Whyte, Hambraeus, Laurell, & Hoborn, 1991). Several studies concluded that the contamination of

^{*} The first author's research was supported by a grant from Korea Research Foundation made in the program of 1997.

^{**} Department of Nursing, College of Gachon Gil

^{***} Department of Biostatistics, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea

saline used in operation was the very cause of postoperative wound infection; postoperative eve infection occurred when unsterilized saline was used in eve operation (Avliffe et al., 1965: Avliffe et al., 1966), and wound infection following breast plastic surgery was associated with the use of saline contaminated by Serratia marcescens surgical wound infection (Pegues et al., 1991).

In Korea saline is usually prepared in a tray of 500–1000 mL, with other surgical materials and instruments at the start of a surgery and is used to the end without being exchanged. Saline put in a bowl or a tray can be used in many ways, such as to soften the surgical suture, to irrigate an antiseptic solution of suture materials, to prevent suture materials and gauze from drying, and to clean the blood and fluid surgical tissue from instruments. Thus, saline is contaminated as the surgery proceeds use of contaminated and the saline in an will inevitably contaminate operation an operative site. Possible sources of contamination of saline are operative tissue and organs. surgical instruments and materials. operating room air, and the hands of the operating room personnel. Authors consider that one critical factor related to surgical wound infection is the possible use of contaminated saline in surgical procedures. There are many studies on air contamination in operating rooms, but there are studies on saline which few is easily contaminated during operation.

This study was undertaken to estimate the contamination levels of saline used in surgical procedures, identify risk to а factor for contamination of saline used to operation and to examine the contamination levels at different operative stages SO that an appropriate timing and method of saline exchange could he suggested. The specific objective of this study is to compare the contamination levels in saline

exposed to the air and saline used in operation, to compare the contamination levels at different stages, to compare the contamination operative levels in saline samples from different types of operations and to examine contamination levels in saline changed right after excision of the organ.

LITERATURE REVIEW

been manv There has debates since 1950 whether an airborne microbe is an important route of transmission that contaminates the operative wound. Some studies suggested that airborne bacteria had an important role to surgical site infections (Lidwell, 1981; Lidwell & Phil, 1986; Lidwell et al., 1987), but other studies suggested little effect (Avliffe, 1991; Whyte, Hambraeus, Laurell, & Hoborn, 1991). Most postoperative wound infections occur as a result of contamination of the surgical wound, which originates from the bacteria that enter into the operative tissue during surgerv. The causative pathogens are derived of microorganisms shed bv patients. the operating room operating environments and teams (Eickhoff, 1994; Pittet & Ducel, 1994; Wiley & Ha'Eri. 1979). The postoperative wound infections can also break out due to inadequate aseptic surgical techniques and procedures involving the surgical instruments and materials used, patients, operating room personnel and possibly the air in the operating room (Schwan. Hambraeus & Raurell, 1977; Bengtsson, Shaw & Douglas, 1973).

A significant effect of airborne contamination on surgical wound infection has been mentioned substantial number of studies (Burke, in а Lidwell, 1981; Lidwell 1986; 1963; & Phil, Lidwell al., 1987), while et many other researchers have expressed skepticism about such a source of surgical wound infection in the operating room(Avliffe, 1991; Eftekhar. 1973). Especially Burke(1963) identified the same room Staphylococci from operating air and operative tissue among 68% of surgical patients. quoted by Eickoff(1994) concluded that Kundsin airborne spread in the operating room accounted 20% for to 24% of all postoperative wound infections.

On the other, there have been a few reports on the differential role of airborne contamination according to the type of surgical procedures (1977)Schwan and colleagues and McQuarrie coworkers(1990) found and that airborne bacteria had little effect on clean contaminated or dirty operations. On the other hand, many researchers have expressed a skeptical view on contamination causing airborne surgical wound infection. Eftekhar(1973) quoted Charnley's comments that despite of air cleanliness being achieved 25 times better by an unconventional isolation type of enclosure the infection rate was by 50%. Ayliffe(1991) found that reduced only number of although the airborne bacteria decreased 50% after installation of ventilation system, postoperative wound infection rate increased from 8.8% to 12.6%. Though airborne bacteria in the operating room decreased by 13 times. wound contamination was reduced only by 50% (Whyte et al., 1992). After an extensive review. Eickhoff(1994) concluded that airborne transmission accounted for only 10% of all nosocomial infection.

There are studies that differentiate the role of contamination in the airborne development of surgical wound infection depending on the types operations. Airborne bacteria had little effect of on clean contaminated or dirty operation (Schwan et al., 1977; McQuarrie et al., 1990). If there was no infection due to bile or skin airborne pathogens, contamination would be important in bringing about surgical wound infection(Whyte et al., 1992).

Several studies concluded that the of saline used in operation contamination was the very cause of postoperative wound infection; postoperative eve infection occurred when unsterilized saline was used in eve operation (Ayliffe et al., 1965; Ayliffe et al., 1966), and wound infection following breast plastic surgery associated with was the 1150 of saline contaminated bv Serratia marcescens(Pegues et al., 1991). Richter, Lang, Zur & Nissenkorn (1991)reported that wound infection was found (23.5%)of 81 in 19 out patients when the infected, but patients urine was only in 6 (8.7%) 69patients when out of the patients urine was sterile. It was also reported that Klebsiella Enterobacter, and Pseudomonas species isolated from saline used in operation were the pathogens in surgical wound infections & Aujard, 2000). These (Raymond results suggest that contamination of saline used in operation could lead to surgical wound infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design

The contamination level of saline was defined as the number of bacterial colonies isolated from a saline sample of 50 ml. A saline sample of 50 ml was passed through a membrane filter, which was then put on a rabbit's blood agar of 15 ml in a petri dish and cultured at a 37 °C in an incubator for 24-48 hours. The diameter of cocci necessary to cause hospital infection is 0.2(mwith a major and minor diameter of 0.5 (m and 0.5-1(m respectively. So a membrane filter with a diameter 47 mm and a pore size of 0.2(m was used to filter microorganisms. Viable microorganisms retained on the filter membrane were identified with cultured and gram cocci identification card and Vitek system.

Sampling of saline at three different operative

stages was undertaken. The first sampling was taken just before the incision of the skin and the second sampling was undertaken when the specimen was completely excised. The third sampling occurred when the skin was sutured In case of culture failure, two samples of 50mL were collected at each stage.

After the packages of operative drapes and instruments were placed on an instrument table, two trays(width, 25cm; depth, 30cm; height. 5cm) were placed on an instrument table, and tray was filled with saline of 1000 mL. each trav of saline was used in the operation One and the other was exposed to air alone. Saline samples from the first tray were called saline used in operation and those from the second trav were called saline exposed to air

For each operation one of two procedures was randomly chosen, either saline was used in the without exchanged operation being throughout the operation or saline was exchanged when the was completely excised. For specimen saline exchanged, the tray was emptied and filled with fresh saline of 1000 mL, but the trav itself was not exchanged. Thus, the contamination levels saline at the third operative of stage were possibly affected under the saline exchange condition by contamination of the trav.

Subjects

This study included - 37 surgical procedures performed by a single surgeon at a 1500-bed hospital between October, 1997 and April, 1998. 22 cases of cholecystectomy, 10 cases of mastectomy and 5 cases gastrectomy were observed determine and minimize to any variation in operative techniques and asepsis procedures that might affect contamination levels of saline. An operative theatre in which saline samples were collected had the air shower system at the entrance, but no laminar air flow system in the operating room. Statistical methods

The contamination levels of saline exposed in the air, saline used in operations and exchanged saline analyzed using were а nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test and the contamination levels from different types of surgical procedures compared using a nonparametric Kruskalwere Wallis test and t-test. The contamination levels at the three operative stages were compared with a repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). Mean values were mean colonv counts per saline sample of 50 mL. All p values were two-sided.

RESULTS

- 1. The contamination levels of saline exposed to the air and saline used in surgery The contamination levels of saline exposed to the air and saline used in surgery are shown in Table 1. The mean colony counts per saline sample of 50 mL was 4.7 for saline exposed to air, but the mean colony counts of saline used in surgery. 21.2. was significantly larger(p = 0.005).
- 2. The contamination levels of saline sampled at different stages of operation

The contamination levels of saline sampled at different stages of operation are shown in Table 2. The contamination levels of the saline the air increased signifiexposed to cantly as the proceeded(p=0.001), surgery but did not reach a level considered to be clinically important statistical and the significance is due to small sampling а variation in the colony counts data. For the saline used in surgery, however, the mean contamination levels of the sample rose dramatically across three stages(p=0.041). At the last operative stage, skin suture, the

Saline	No. of		2		
Same	samples	Mean	Median	SD	– p*
Exposed to Air	96	4.7	3.5	5.3	10.005
Used in Operation	57	21.2	7.0	53.3	0.001

<Table 1> Comparison of the contamination levels in saline exposed to air and saline used in operation

* Wilcoxon rank sum test

SD is standard deviation.

<Table 2> Comparison of the contamination levels in saline sampled at different stages of operation

C I'	Stages of	No. of	Colonies / 50 ml			
Saline	operation		Mean	Median	SD	– p*
Exposed to Air	Pre-skin Incision	32	2.0	1.5	1.9	
	Post-Specimen Excision	32	4.9	4.0	4.1	0.001
	Skin Suture	32	7.3	5.0	7.1	
Used in Operation	Pre-skin Incision	19	1.6	1.0	1.5	
	Post-Specimen Excision	19	15.9	8.0	25.9	0.041
	Skin Suture	19	45.9	18.0	84.1	

* Repeated-measures ANOVA

SD is standard deviation.

<Table 3> Comparison of the contamination levels in saline sampled from different types of operations

Saline	Types of operation	No. of	Colonies / 50 ml			
Sanne		samples	Mean	Median	SD	– p*
Exposed to Air	Cholecystectomy	54	4.0	3.5	4.8	
	Mastectomy	30	4.8	4.0	3.6	
	Gastrectomy	12	7.6	3.0	9.2	0.212
Used in Operation	Cholecystectomy	66	17.2	3.5	47.4	
	Mastectomy	27	9.2	8.5	8.6	
	Gastrectomy	15	40.5	6.5	70.6	0.409

* Kruskal-Wallis test

SD is standard deviation.

<Table 4> Comparison of the contamination levels in saline sampled from different types of operations

Types of	Saline	No. of	Colonies / 50 ml			
operation		samples	Mean	SD	t	- p*
Cholecystectomy	Exposed to Air	54	4.0	3.5	2.25	0.028
	Used in Operation	66	17.2	47.4		
Mastectomy	Exposed to Air	27	4.8	4.0	2.56	0.014
	Used in Operation	30	9.2	8.5		
Gastrectomy	Exposed to Air	12	7.6	9.2	1.61	0.135
	Used in Operation	15	40.5	70.3		

* t-test

SD is standard deviation ..

Stages of	No. of				
Operation	samples	Mean	Median	SD	- p*
Pre-skin Incision	17	1.7	1.0	2.4	
Post-Specimen Excision	17	22.6	6.5	40.2	
Skin Suture	17	22.0	4.0	48.2	0.074

<Table 5> Summary of the contamination levels when saline was changed right after the excision of the organ

* Repeated-measures ANOVA

SD is standard deviation.

large variation of the colony counts are particularly striking for the samples of saline used in surgery, which implies that the contamination levels varied widely. The large sampling variation thus resulted in а statistical non-significance as shown in Table 3 and 5, even though the contamination levels of the saline used in surgerv were observed to rise greatly at the later stage.

3. The contamination levels of saline samples from different types of surgery

The contamination levels of saline samples collected from different types of surgery are Table shown in 3 and Table 4 The contamination levels of saline samples air demonstrated exposed to no gross indication of differences under different types of surgery(p=0.212), but there was a clear difference for those of saline used in surgery but did not reach statistical significance due to а large sampling variation(p=0.409). Mastectomy had the lowest mean colony counts, cholecystectomy had the second highest and gastrectomy had the highest mean colony counts.

The mean colony counts of saline used in cholecystectomy(p=0.028)and mastectomy(p =0.014) were significantly larger than the mean colony counts of saline exposed to the air(Table 4). But there was no difference for those of saline used in gastrectomy(p= 0.135).

4. The effect of exchanged saline on contamination levels The mean colony counts of saline used in the operations without exchange at skin suture was 45.9 and at post - specimen excision was 15.9(Table 2). In Table 5 the effect of exchanged saline on contamination levels are shown. Interestingly the mean contamination level at skin suture stage did not drop to the lowest level of pre-skin incision stage and the contamination levels at three different stages of the operations showed a marginal significant difference(p=0.074). The most frequently isolated microorganisms from saline exposed to air were coagulase negative Staphylococcus(74.5%) and Micrococcus(13.6%). while the most frequently isolated microorganisms from saline used in operation were coagulase negative Staphylococcus (72.5%),Enterococcus(9.5%) and Enterobacter species (4.6%).Sampling demonstrated that saline used in operation contained Enterococcus (9.5%), Enterobacter species(4.6%), E. col I (2.8%),species(1.2%),Klebsiella Alcaligenes species(0.9%)and Pasteurella multocida (0.8%), but the saline exposed to air did not contain them.

DISCUSSION

relative contribution of airborne bacteria The in operating rooms among many other infection to postoperative wound infection remains sources effect to be controversial. А significant of airborne contamination on surgical wound infection was mentioned in a substantial number of studies. Environmental contamination of surgical equipment through contaminated saline been reported as the major source has of operative wound infection. Our findings revealed that the contamination levels of the saline used surgery differed depending on the types of in surgery, but contamination levels of the saline exposed to the air didn't differ depending on the types of surgery But the contamination levels of saline used in surgery were significantly higher than those of saline only exposed to air, and the colony counts of saline that used in increased abruptly surgeries across the three sampling, whereas stages of those of saline exposed to air gradually increased across stages of surgery. One explanation of these findings is there are sources of saline contamination that other than airborne contaminants, notably tissues and organs, from the patient's skin and the hands of the surgical team.

Furthermore, the contamination levels of saline used in surgeries were accelerated as the operation was prolonged, but it was not likewise for those of saline only exposed to the air. These results of saline only exposed to the air are in accordance with those of Ferraz et als (1992);thev demonstrated that the duration of surgery was not significant in clean operations during which surgical wounds had few chances to be contaminated by any other sources except the operating room air. From our findings we conclude that the airborne bacteria have mav relatively insignificant effect on the contamination of saline, yet the mere demonstration of the contamination level of saline only exposed to air does not fully establish insignificant the transmission to surgical infection. airborne The contamination level of saline used in surgeries was higher for gastrectomy, the contaminated operation, than for mastectomy, the clean operation in our study, which indicates that not only the operating room air but also the infected organs contaminated saline used in surgeries.

Our results in regard to saline contamination levels associated with mastectomy and gastrectomy accord with those of Horan et al(1997) al(1993) and Garcia et who reported that the postoperative infection rate of gastrectomy and cholecystectomy were higher respectively herniorrhaphy and mastectomy than (Beck-Sague, Chong, Roy, Anderson & Jarvis, 1992). On the other hand, for a clean operation of mastectomy the colony counts of the saline used in surgery were 9.2 colonies, but those of saline exposed to the air were 4.8 colonies the in our study. This could explain that there are other sources than the operating room air which contaminate the saline used in surgery, and notably patient's skin and surgical team's hands possible sources of contamination. The are counts of saline used in colony surgery had variations than much larger that of saline exposed to the air in our study and this is in with the findings that bacterial accordance counts ranged from 0 to 2,000 in saline used for rinsing surgical instruments(Wise, Sweenly, Haupt & Waddell, 1959).

Our findings revealed that the P. multocida (0.8%)were isolated microorganisms from saline exposed to air whereas saline exposed to air did not contain them. P. multocida is part of the normal flora of many animals, but P. multocida patients with found in human underlying is pulmonary disease and acute epiglottitis (Weber, Wolfson. Swartz & Hopper, 1984; MavoSmith, Wodzinski & Schffman. 1986). Hirsch. The study by Weber et al(1984) and MayoSmith et al(1986) thus led 115 to the following Р. interpretation that multocida found in our saline sample used in the surgical procedures was transmitted by air. Lee al(1994) et reported earlier that the most common microorganisms in the bile and in the blood of patients with biliary tract infection were E. coli,

Klebsiella species, Enterobacter species and enterococcus. which authors isolated from saline used in operation. All things considered, we conclude that the contaminants of saline used in surgical procedures were the operative tissue rather than the air in operating room.

Among the many necessary procedures for surgerv is the necessity of ensuring the adequate quality of the air in the operating room and the quality of saline used in surgery. Saline used in surgery can be contaminated because of poor aseptic techniques and through a failure to exchange saline with fresh units at appropriate phases of surgery. It is very difficult block the transmission route of airborne to contamination, but it is relatively easy to block transmission through the saline contamination. our study saline used in In surgery was discarded after excision of specimen and a 1000 ml of clean saline was poured into the trav that was in place at the start of the operation. Even this method of saline exchange, however, with colony counts at the post-specimen excision the stage were not as low as to the level at pre-skin incision stage. This implies that the and/or manner of exchange might have time been ineffective for reducing the contamination level. We suggest as for a better exchange plan that saline and its trav are altogether exchanged after anastomosis of contaminated specimen.

At the time of preparing saline at Pre-Skin Incision stage, the colony counts of saline were 1.6 colonies. But at the time of Post Specimen Excision and Skin Suture, the colony counts of saline were 15.9 and 45.9 colonies, respectively. This indicates that saline begins to be contaminated after a bottle of sterile saline is poured into a sterile tray. The contamination level of saline used in operation becomes higher as the operation proceeds, and the speed of the contamination level is much accelerated for the

prolonged operation.

Possible contaminant sources of saline used in operation could be operating room air, operative tissue of patient. surgical instruments and materials that are easily contaminated the bv operative procedures. and the hands of а surgical team In conclusion, а relatively important risk factor for contamination of saline used to operation is not the airborne bacteria of operating room but the operative tissues and the contamination level of saline used in operation becomes higher as the operation proceeds. Therefore, we recommend exchange of saline and its container and also exchange of gloves before the specimen is excised for a dirty or prolonged operation.

Accordingly, we suggest that saline and its be replaced after the anastomosis of tray contaminated specimen and as often as possible thereafter because the rate of the saline contamination accelerates for operations extending over a prolonged period. Yet, а mere demonstration of contamination levels of saline in our study does not fully establish significant transmission of saline contamination to surgical wound infection, and further studies into this possible means of transmission are recommended.

REFERENCES

- Annual Report of Catholic Medical Center, 1990–1992. The Catholic University of Korea 1993.
- Ayliffe, G. A. J., Lowbury, E. F. L., Hamilton, M., Asheshow, E. J. G., Small, J. A., & Т. (1965).Parker. M. Hospital infection with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in neurosurgery. The Lancet, 2, 365-368.
- Ayliffe, G. A. J. (1991). Role of the environment

 of the operation suite in surgical wound

 infection.
 Reviews of Infectious Disease.

 13(suppl), S800–S804.

- Ayliffe, G. A. T., Barry, D. R, Lowbury, E. F. L., Roper-Hall, M.J., & Waker, W. M. (1966). Postoperative infection with <u>Pseudomonas a</u>eruginosa in an eye hospital. The Lancet. 1, 1113–1117.
- Beck-Sague, C. M., Chong, W. H., Roy, C., R., & Jarvis, W. Anderson, R. (1992).Outbreak of surgical wound infections associated with total hip arthroplasty. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 13, 527-534.
- Burke, J. F. (1963). Identification of the sources of Staphylococci contaminating the <u>surgical wound</u> during operation. Annals of Surgery, 158, 898–904.
- Choi, Y. H., Park, E. S., Chang, K. H., Yeom, J. S., Song, Y. G., Chang, B. C., Kang, M. S., Cho, B. K., & Kim, J. M. (1998). Surgical site infection rates according to patients <u>risk index after cardiovascular</u> <u>surgery. Korean</u> Journal of Nosocomial Infection Control, 3, 11–22.
- Eftekhar, N. S. (1973). The surgeon and clean <u>air in the</u> operating room. Clinical Orthopaedics, 96, 188–194.
- Eickhoff, T. C. (1994). Airborne nosocomial <u>infection: a contemporary perspective.</u> <u>Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology.</u> 15, 663–672.
- Ferraz., E. M., Bacelar, T. S., Andrade Aguiar, J. L., Ferraz, A. A. B., Pagnossin, G., Batista, J. E. M. (1992) Wound infection rates in clean surgery: <u>a potentially</u> <u>misleading risk classification</u>. Infect Control Hospital Epidemiology, 13, 457–462.
- Garcia, M. L., Caballero, J. C., & Vegas, A. A. (1997). Risk factors for surgical-wound infection in general surgery : a prospective <u>study. Infection</u> Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 18, 310–315.
- Horan, T. C., Culver, D. H., Gaynes, R. P., Jarvis, W. R., Edward, J. R., & Reid, C. R.

(1993). Nosocomial Infections in surgical patients in the United States, January <u>1986–June 1992.</u> Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 14, 73– 80.

- Jeong, J. S., Ryu, S. J., Kim, Y. S., Ryu, J.S., Lee, S.H., & Pai, C. H. (1996). Sensitivity of laboratory-based surveillance for detecting <u>nosocomial infections. Korean</u> Journal of Nosocomial Infection Control, 1, 27–38.
- Lee, C. W., Lee, G. C., Kim, Y. S., Lee, S. G., Song, J. H., Kim, M. H., & Min, Y. I. (1994). Clinical Characteristics and antimicrobial susceptibility of biliary bacteremia. Korean Journal of Infectious Disease, 26, 81–85.
- Lidwell, O. M. (1981). Airborne bacteria and surgical infection. The American Journal of Medicine, 70, 693–697.
- Lidwell, O. M., & Phil, D. (1986). Clean air at <u>operation and subsequent sepsis in the joint.</u> <u>Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research,</u> 211, 91–102.
- Lidwell, O. M., Elson, R. A., Lowbury, E. J. L., Whyte, W., Blowers, R., Stanley, S. J., & Lowe, D. (1987). Ultraclean air and antibiotics for prevention of postoperative infection. Acta Orthop. Scand. 58, 4–13.
- MayoSmith, M. F., Hirsch, P. J., Wodzinski, S. F., & Schffman, F. J. (1986). Acute epiglottitis in adults. An eight year experience in the State of Rhode Island. New English Journal Medicine, 314, of 1133-1139.
- McQuarrie, D. G., Glover, J. L., & Olson, <u>M.M.</u> (1990). Laminar airflow systems. AORN Journal., 51, 1035–1047.
- Park, E. S., & Kim, J. M. (1995). Surveillance of surgical wound infections among patients from the department the general surgery. Korean Journal of Infectious Disease, 27, 37–43.
- Pegues, D. A., Shireley, L. A., Riddle, C. F.,

Anderson, R. L., Vess, R.W., Hill, B. C., & Jarvis, W. R. (1991). Serratia marcescens surgical wound <u>infection following breast</u> reconstruction. The American Journal of Medicine, 91(suppl 3B), 1732S–1738S.

- Pittet, D., & Ducel, G. (1994). Infectious risk factors related to operating rooms. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 15, 456– 462.
- Raymond, J., & Aujard, Y. (2000). Nosocomial infections in pediatric patients: a <u>European,</u> <u>multicenter prospective study</u>. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 21, 260– 263.
- Richter, S., Lang, R., Zur, F., & Nissenkorn, I. (1991). Infected urine as a risk factor for postprostatectomy wound infection. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 12, 147– 149.
- Rostein, C., Ferguson, R., Cummings, M., Piedmente, M. R., Lucey, J., & Banish, A. (1992). Determinants of clean surgical wound infections <u>for breast precedures at an</u> <u>oncology center</u>. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 13, 207–214.
- Schwan, A., Bengtsson, S., Hambraeus, A .,& Laurell, G. (1977). Airborne contamination and postoperative infection after total hip <u>replacement</u>. Acta Orthopaedics of Scandinavia, 48, 86–94.
- Shaw, D., & Douglas, D. (1973). Is airborne infection in operating-theatres an important <u>cause of wound infection</u> in general surgery? The Lancet January, 6, 17–19.
- Shulkin, D. J., Kinosian, B., Glick, H., Puschett, C. G., Daly, J. & Eisenberg, J.M. (1993). The economic impact of infections, Archieves of Surgery, 128, 449–452.
- Weber, D. G., Wolfson, J. S., Swartz, M. N., & Hooper, D. C. (1984). Pasteurella multocida infections . Report<u>of 34 cases and review of</u> the literature. Medicine (Baltimore), 63,

133-154.

- Whyte, W., Hambraeus, A., Laurell, G., & Hoborn, J. (1991). The relative importance of routes and sources of wound contamination <u>during general surgery. I.</u> Non-airborne. Journal of Hospital Infection, 18, 93–107.
- Whyte, W., Hambraeus, A., Laurell, G., Hoborn, J. (1992). The relative importance of routes and sources of wound contamination during general surgery II Airborne. Journal of Hospital Infection, 22, 41–54.
- Wiley, A. M., & <u>Ha' Eri, G. B. (1979). Routes</u> of infection. Clinical Orthopaedics, 139, 150–155.
- Wise, R. I., Sweenly, F. J., Haupt, G. J., & A. (1959). The environment Waddell, M. distribution of Staphylococcus aureus in an operating suite. Annals of Surgery, 149, 30-42.

- 국문 초록 -

주요개념 : 병원감염관리, 수술에 사용하는 생리식염수 의 오염

수술에 사용하는 생리식염수의 오염수준 및 교환방법*

윤 혜 상** · 송 혜 향***

본 연구는 수술에 사용하는 생리식염수가 수술의 종류 와 수술진행단계에 따른 오염수준을 파악하여 생리식염 수의 적절한 교환시점과 교환방법을 제시하기 위하여 시 도되었다. 1500 병상 규모의 대학병원에서 1명의 일반 외과 의사가 집도한 37건의 수술을 대상으로 하였다.

37개의 수술 각각에서 피부 절개전, 장기절제 후, 그 리고 피부 봉합시의 3 시점에서 수술에 사용된 생리식염 수와 공기에 노출시킨 생리식염수에서 각각 50 mL의 생리식염수를 채취하여 얻은 균주의 수를 비교하였다.

^{* 1997}년 학술진흥재단 연구조성비 지원에 의해 이루어진 연구임 ** 가천길대학 간호과

^{***} 가톨릭대학교 의과대학 통계학교실

공기에 노출시킨 생리식염수에 비해 수술에 사용된 생리 식염수에서 균주가 보다 많이 검출된 것으로 나타났다. 특히 수술의 종류에 관계없이 수술 마지막 단계 즉 피부 봉합 단계에서 수술에 사용된 생리식염수의 오염수준이 급격히 증가한 반면 공기에 노출시킨 생리식염수의 오염 수준 변화는 미미했다. 수술에 사용한 생리식염수에서는 Enterococcus(9.5%), Enterobacter species(4.6%),E. col i(2.8%), Alcaligenes species(1.2%), Klebsiella species(0.9%) and Pasteurella multocida(0.8%) 등의 균주가 검출되었으나 공기에 노출시킨 생리식염수 에서는 이러한 균종이 검출되지 않았다.

수술실의 공기가 수술에 사용하는 생리식염수의 오염 요인으로 작용하기보다는 수술조직이 생리식염수의 오염 요인으로 작용하는 것으로 사료된다. 특히 수술에 사용 하는 생리식염수의 오염가능성을 최소화시키기 위해 수 술소요 시간이 길어지거나 또는 오염 수술의 경우 절제 부위가 봉합된 후에 수술에 사용하는 생리식염수, 생리 식염수를 담는 용기 및 봉합에 이용되는 봉합감자 등을 새로이 준비하여 피부 봉합에 이용해야 할 것으로 사료 된다.