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#### Abstract

Multiplicity of nonlinear second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations will be discussed


## 1. Introduction

Let $R$ be the set of all real numbers. By $C^{k}[0,2 \pi]$ we denote the Banach space of $2 \pi$-periodic continuous functions $x:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow R$ whose derivatives up to order $k$ are continuous. The norm is given by

$$
\|x\|_{C^{k}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|x^{(i)}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

where $\|y\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in[0,2 \pi]}|y(t)|$, the norm in $C^{0}[0,2 \pi]$.
For multiplicity results of periodic solutions of Lienard equations, we may see in Hirano and kim[2], and Kim[3]. In this note, we will study the multiple existence of solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+h\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+g(t, x(t))=e(t), \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)-x(2 \pi)=x^{\prime}(0)-x^{\prime}(2 \pi)=0, \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h:[0,2 \pi] \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is continuous and satisfies Nagumo-type condition, and $g:[0,2 \pi] \times R \rightarrow R$ and $e:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow R$ are continuous functions.

The proof of our result is based on upper-lower solution method and coincidence degree theory.
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Assume

$$
h(t, x, 0)=0
$$

for every $(t, x) \in[0,2 \pi] \times R$ and that there exists some $T>0$ such that

$$
g(t, x+T)=g(t, x)
$$

for every $(t, x) \in[0,2 \pi] \times R$.
We will say that $h$ in problem (E)(B) satisfies Nagumo-type condition on $[r, s]$ if there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for each $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and each possible solution of

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\lambda h\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+\lambda g(t, x(t))=\lambda e(t) \\
x(0)-x(2 \pi)=x^{\prime}(0)-x^{\prime}(2 \pi)=0 \tag{B}
\end{gather*}
$$

satisfying $r \leq x(t) \leq s, t \in[0,2 \pi]$, we have

$$
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<C .
$$

Examples of admissible $h$ are the following ones:

1) $h$ depends only on $x^{\prime}($ see $[4])$;
2) $|h(t, x, y)| \leq \gamma(|y|)$ for $(t, x, y) \in[0,2 \pi] \times[r, s] \times R$ where $\gamma$ is positive, continuous and such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{s d s}{\gamma(s)}=+\infty
$$

(see [1]).
Our result contains more general result than that of [6]. Now we have the following

## Main Result

THEOREM. Assume, besides the above conditions on $h$ and $g$ there exists there exists real numbers $r_{1}, r_{2}, s_{1}, s_{2}$ with $r_{1}<s_{2}<r_{2}<s_{1}$ and $0<s_{1}-r_{1}<T$ such that

$$
g\left(s_{1}\right) \leq g\left(s_{2}\right), \quad g\left(r_{2}\right) \leq g\left(r_{1}\right)
$$

and $h$ satisfies Nagumo type condition on $\left[s_{1}-T, s\right]$. Then $(E)(B)$ has at least one solution if, for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(t, s_{1}\right) \leq e(t) \leq g\left(t, r_{1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(E)(B)$ has at least two solutions not differing by a multiple of $T$ if, for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(t, s_{1}\right)<g\left(t, s_{2}\right) \leq e(t) \leq g\left(t, r_{2}\right)<g\left(t, r_{1}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(E)(B)$ has at least four solutions not differing by a multiple of $T$ if strict inequalities holds in $\left(I_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose ( $I_{1}$ ). Then, for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
e(t)-g\left(t, r_{1}+k T\right)-h\left(t, r_{1}+k T, 0\right)=e(t)-g\left(t, r_{1}\right) \leq 0 \\
e(t)-g\left(t, s_{1}+j T\right)-h(t, s+j T, 0)=e(t)-g\left(t, s_{1}\right) \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

with strict inequalities if they hold in $\left(I_{1}\right)$. Hence, by Mawhin's classical results(see [1]), there exists, by taking $k=j=0$, at least one solution $x_{1}(t)$ of (E)(B) such that $r_{1} \leq x(t) \leq s_{1}$.

Now, suppose the strict inequalities holds; i.e., for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(t, s_{1}\right)<e(t)<g\left(t, r_{1}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
L: D(L) \subseteq C^{1}[0,2 \pi] & \longrightarrow C^{0}[0,2 \pi], \\
x & \longmapsto x^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D(L)=C^{2}[0,2 \pi]$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
N: C^{1}[0,2 \pi] & \longrightarrow C^{0}[0,2 \pi] . \\
x & \longmapsto x^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and $N$ is $L$-completely continuous.
Let

$$
\Omega_{k, j}=\left\{x \in C^{1}[0,2 \pi] \mid r_{1}+k T<x(t)<s_{1}+j T \text { for } t \in[0,2 \pi] \text { and }\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<C\right\} .
$$

Then the boundary value problem ( $E^{\prime}$ )(B) becomes

$$
L x-\lambda N x=0, \quad \lambda \in[0,1]
$$

and when the strict inequalities hold in $\left(I_{1}\right)$, the following coincidence degree exist and have the corresponding values, where $d_{B}$ denotes the Brouwer degree, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{0,0}\right)=d_{B}\left(\Gamma,\left(r_{1}, s_{1}\right), 0\right)=+1, \\
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,-1}\right)=d_{B}\left(\Gamma,\left(r_{1}-T, s_{1}-T\right), 0\right)=+1,
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,0}\right)=d_{B}\left(\Gamma,\left(r_{1}-T, s_{1}\right), 0\right)=+1
$$

where $(\Gamma u)(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}[e(t)-g(t, u(t))] d t$. But

$$
\Omega_{0,0} \cap \Omega_{-1,-1}=\emptyset
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{0,0} \subseteq \Omega_{-1,0}, \quad \Omega_{-1,-1} \subseteq \Omega_{-1,0}
$$

So that the excision property of degree implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
1=D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,0}\right)= & D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,-1}, 0\right) \\
& +D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{0,0}, 0\right) \\
& +D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,0} \backslash\left(\bar{\Omega}_{-1,-1} \cup \bar{\Omega}_{0,0}\right)\right) \\
= & 2+D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,0} \backslash\left(\bar{\Omega}_{-1,-1} \cup \bar{\Omega}_{0,0}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,0} \backslash\left(\bar{\Omega}_{-1,-1} \cup \bar{\Omega}_{0,0}\right)\right)=-1
$$

Hence, there exists a solution $x_{2}$ such that, for all $t \in[0,2 \pi], r_{1}-T<x_{2}(t)<$ $s_{1}, x_{2}(\tau)>s_{1}-T$ for some $\tau \in[0,2 \pi]$ and $x_{2}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)<r_{1}$ for some $\tau^{\prime} \in[0,2 \pi]$.

Consequently, this solution cannot differ from the one in $\Omega_{0,0}$ by a multiple of $T$. Hence $(\mathrm{E})(\mathrm{B})$ has at least two solutions not differing by a multiple of $T$ if $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ holds.

Now, suppose $\left(I_{2}\right)$. Then, for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e(t)-g\left(t, s_{1}\right)-h\left(t, s_{1}, 0\right)=e(t)-g\left(t, s_{1}\right)>0 \\
& e(t)-g\left(t, r_{2}\right)-h\left(t, r_{2}, 0\right)=e(t)-g\left(t, r_{2}\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, there exists at least one solution $x_{1}(t)$ of $(\mathrm{E})(\mathrm{B})$ such that $r_{2} \leq x_{1}(t) \leq s_{1}$ for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$. Again, for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e(t)-g\left(t, s_{2}\right)-h\left(t, s_{2}, 0\right)=e(t)-g\left(t, s_{2}\right) \geq 0 \\
& e(t)-g\left(t, r_{1}\right)-h\left(t, r_{1}, 0\right)=e(t)-g\left(t, r_{1}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, there exists at least one solution $x_{2}(t)$ of $(\mathrm{E})(\mathrm{B})$ such that $r_{1} \leq x_{2}(t) \leq s_{2}$ for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$. Since $r_{1}<s_{2}<r_{2}<s_{1}$, two solutions are different and moreover two solutions can not differ from by a multiple of $T$ because $0<s_{1}-r_{1}<T$. Since $g\left(t, s_{1}\right)<e(t)<g\left(t, r_{1}\right)$, as we did by the coincidence degree, we have a solution $x_{3}$ such that, for all $t \in[0,2 \pi], r_{1}-T<x_{3}(t)<s_{1}, x_{3}(\tau)>s_{1}-T$ for some $\tau \in[0,2 \pi]$ and hence $x_{3}(\tau)>s_{2}-T$, and $x_{3}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)<r_{1}$ for some $\tau^{\prime} \in[0,2 \pi]$ and hence $x_{3}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)<r_{2}$. Therefore the third solution can not differ from $x_{1}, x_{2}$ in $\Omega_{0,0}$ by a multiple of $T$.

Consequently, there exist at least three solutions of (E)(B) not differing by a multiple of $T$.

Now, suppose the strict inequalities hold;i.e., for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(t, s_{1}\right)<g\left(t, s_{2}\right)<e(t)<g\left(t, r_{2}\right)<g\left(t, r_{1}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
e(t)-g\left(t, s_{i}+k T\right)-h\left(t, s_{i}+k T, 0\right)=e(t)-g\left(t, s_{i}\right)>0, \\
e(t)-g\left(t, r_{i}+j T\right)-h\left(t, r_{i}+j T, 0\right)=e(t)-g\left(t, r_{i}\right)<0, \quad i=1,2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then clearly (E)(B) has three solutions $x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)$ and $x_{3}(t)$ such that $r_{1} \leq x_{1}(t) \leq$ $s_{2}, s_{2} \leq x_{2}(t) \leq r_{2}$ and $r_{2} \leq x_{3}(t) \leq s_{1}$, for all $t \in[0,2 \pi]$, and they are distinct and each of them are not differing by a multiple of $T$. For our fourth solution. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{k, J}^{<i, j>} & =\left\{x \in C^{1}[0,2 \pi] \mid r_{i}+k T<x(t)<s_{j}+j T, t \in[0,2 \pi],\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<C\right\}, \\
\Omega_{k, J}^{[i, j]} & =\left\{x \in C^{1}[0,2 \pi] \mid s_{i}+k T<x(t)<r_{j}+j T, t \in[0,2 \pi],\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<C\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

( $k \leq 1$ ), where $C$ is constant given by Nagumo condition. But $\Omega_{1}=\Omega_{-1,-1}^{<1,2>}, \Omega_{2}=$ $\Omega_{-1,-1}^{[2,2]}, \Omega_{3}=\Omega_{-1,-1}^{<2,1>}, \Omega_{4}=\Omega_{0,0}^{<1,2>}, \Omega_{5}=\Omega_{0,0}^{[2,2]}, \Omega_{6}={ }_{0,0}^{<2,1>}$ are mutually disjoint subset of $\Omega_{-1,0}^{<1,1>}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,0}^{<1,1>}\right)=d_{B}\left(\Gamma,\left(r_{1}-T, s_{1}\right), 0\right)=+1, \\
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{1}\right)=d_{B}\left(\Gamma,\left(r_{1}-T, s_{2}-T\right), 0\right)=+1, \\
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{2}\right)=d_{B}\left(\Gamma,\left(s_{2}-T, r_{2}-T\right), 0\right)=-1, \\
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{3}\right)=d_{B}\left(\Gamma,\left(r_{2}-T, s_{1}-T\right), 0\right)=+1, \\
\quad D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{4}\right)=d_{B}\left(\Gamma,\left(r_{1}, s_{2}\right), 0\right)=+1, \\
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{5}\right)=d_{B}\left(\Gamma,\left(s_{2}, r_{2}\right), 0\right)=-1, \\
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{6}\right)=d_{B}\left(\Gamma,\left(r_{2}, s_{1}\right), 0\right)=+1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, by the excision property of degree,

$$
1=D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,0}^{<1,1>}\right)=2+D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,0}^{<1,1>} \backslash \cup_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \bar{\Omega}_{i}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
D_{L}\left(L-N, \Omega_{-1,0}^{<1,1>} \backslash \cup_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \bar{\Omega}_{i}\right)=-1 .
$$

Consequently, (E)(B) has a solution $x_{4}$ in $\Omega_{-1,0}^{<1,1>} \backslash \cup_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \bar{\Omega}_{i} ;$ i.e., a solution such that $r_{1}-T<x(t)<s_{1}$ for all $t \in[0,2 \pi], x_{4}\left(\tau_{1}\right)>s_{2}-T, x_{4}\left(\tau_{2}\right)<s_{2}-T, x_{4}\left(\tau_{3}\right)>$ $r_{2}-T, x_{4}\left(\tau_{4}\right)<r_{2}-T, x_{4}\left(\tau_{5}\right)>s_{1}-T, x_{4}\left(\tau_{6}\right)<r_{1}, x_{4}\left(\tau_{7}\right)>s_{2}, x_{4}\left(\tau_{8}\right)<s_{2}, x_{4}\left(\tau_{9}\right)<$ $r_{2}, x_{4}\left(\tau_{10}\right)>r_{2}$ for some $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \cdots, \tau_{10} \in[0,2 \pi]$. Thus this solution $x_{4}$ can not differ from $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ by a multiple of $T$.

EXAMPLE. Suppose $h$ is a function satisfying the assumption above and Nagumo condition on $\left[r_{1}-2 \pi, 2 \pi-r_{1}\right]$ where $r_{1}$ is the point at which $a \sin x+b \sin 2 x$ has its maximum value. Let $r_{2} \in[0,2 \pi]$ be a point at which $a \sin x+b \sin 2 x$ has it lelative maximum such that $g\left(r_{2}\right)<g\left(r_{1}\right)$. Then the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+h\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+[a \sin x+b \sin 2 x]=e(t) \\
x(0)-x(2 \pi)=x^{\prime}(0)-x^{\prime}(2 \pi)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

has at least one solution if $\|e\|_{\infty} \leq a \sin r_{1}+b \sin 2 r_{1}$, at least two solutions not differing by a multiple of $2 \pi$ if $\|e\|_{\infty}<a \sin r_{1}+b \sin 2 r_{1}$, at least three solutions not differing by a multiple of $2 \pi$ if $\|e\|_{\infty} \leq a \sin r_{2}+b \sin 2 r_{2}$ and at least four solutions not differing by a multiple of $2 \pi$ if $\|e\|_{\infty}<a \sin r_{2}+b \sin 2 r_{2}$.
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