

## MININJECTIVE RINGS AND QUASI FROBENIUS RINGS

KANG JOO MIN

ABSTRACT. A ring  $R$  is called right mininjective if every isomorphism between simple right ideals is given by left multiplication by an element of  $R$ . In this paper we consider that the necessary and sufficient condition for that Trivial extension of  $R$  by  $V$ , i.e.  $T(R, V)$  is mininjective. We also study the split null extension  $R$  and  $S$  by  $V$ .

A ring  $R$  is called quasi Frobenius if it is left and right artinian and left and right selfinjective ; equivalently if  $R$  has the ACC on right and left annihilators and is right or left selfinjective. The class of quasi Frobenius rings is one of the most interesting classes of non-semisimple rings and it has been intensively investigated by many authors. Throughout this paper all rings have unity and all modules are unitary. The right and left annihilators of a subset  $X$  of a ring  $R$  are denoted  $r(X)$  and  $l(X)$  respectively. We write  $J = J(R)$  for the Jacobson radical of  $R$  and also  $P = P(R)$  for the prime radical of  $R$ . If  $R$  is a ring, a right module  $M_R$  is called mininjective if for each simple right ideal  $K$  of  $R$ , every  $R$ -morphism  $\gamma : K \rightarrow M$  extends to  $R$  ; equivalently if  $\gamma = m \cdot$  is left multiplication by some element  $m$  of  $M$ . Mininjective left modules are defined similarly. Clearly every injective module is mininjective.

These rings were first introduced by Harada[2] who studied the artinian case in [2] and [3]. We begin with several characterizations.

---

Received by the editors on November 27, 2000.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: 16D90, 16P60.

Key words and phrases: mininjective, trivial extension.

PROPOSITION 1. *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring  $R$  :*

- (1)  $R$  is right mininjective.
- (2) If  $kR$  is simple,  $k \in R$ , then  $lr(k) = Rk$ .
- (3) If  $kR$  is simple and  $r(k) \subseteq r(a)$ ,  $k, a \in R$ , then  $Ra \subseteq Rk$ .
- (4) If  $kR$  is simple and  $\gamma : kR \rightarrow R$  is  $R$ -linear, then  $\gamma(k) \in Rk$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Given (1), let  $0 \neq a \in lr(k)$ .  $kr = 0$  implies  $r \in r(k)$  and  $ar = 0$ .  $\gamma : kR \rightarrow R$  given by  $\gamma(kr) = ar$  is a well defined homomorphism. Since  $R$  is right mininjective,  $\gamma(k) = c \cdot k = a$ . This implies  $a \in Rk$ . Clearly  $Rk \subseteq lr(k)$ . Thus  $lr(k) = Rk$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) If  $r(k) \subseteq r(a)$ ,  $k, a \in R$ , then  $lr(k) \supseteq lr(a)$ . This  $Rk \supseteq lr(a) \supseteq Ra$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) If  $kR$  is simple and  $\gamma : kR \rightarrow R$  is  $R$ -linear. Let  $\gamma(k) = a$ . Then  $kt = 0$  implies  $at = 0$ .  $r(k) \subseteq r(a) \Rightarrow Ra \subseteq Rk$ . Thus  $a \in Rk$  and  $\gamma(k) \in Rk$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $\gamma(k) \in Rk$  where  $kR$  is simple. Let  $\gamma(k) = ck$ . If  $\varphi : kR \rightarrow R$  is a homomorphism, define  $\bar{\varphi} : R \rightarrow R$  by  $\bar{\varphi}(k) = \varphi(k) = ck$ .  $\bar{\varphi}(r) = cr$  and  $\bar{\varphi}$  extends  $\varphi$ .  $\square$

A ring is called right principally injective if each  $R$ -homomorphism  $aR \rightarrow R$ ,  $a \in R$ , extends to  $R_R \rightarrow R_R$ . Clearly, every such ring (and hence every right selfinjective ring) is right mininjective.

If all minimal right ideals of a ring  $R$  are summands (for example, if  $R$  has zero right socle), then  $R$  is right mininjective.

REMARK 1. *Every polynomial ring  $R[x]$  is mininjective because both socles are zero.*

Indeed if  $K = kR[x]$  is simple where  $\deg(k) = n$ , then  $K = Kx^{n+1}$ . So  $k \in kR[x]x^{n+1}$  and  $\deg(k) \geq n + 1$ , a contradiction.

REMARK 2. *The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers is a commutative, noetherian, mininjective ring that is not artinian nor principally injective.*

*Indeed  $\text{soc}\mathbb{Z} = 0$ .*

The right and the left socles of  $R$  are denoted  $S_r = \text{Soc}(R_R)$  and  $S_l = \text{Soc}({}_R R)$  respectively.

REMARK 3. *If  $S_r$  is simple as a left ideal, then  $R$  is right mininjective. In fact, if  $kR$  is simple and  $r(k) \subseteq r(a)$ ,  $k, a \in R, a \neq 0$ , then  $r(k) = r(a)$  because  $r(k)$  is maximal, so  $Ra$  is simple too. Hence  $Ra = S_r = Rk$  by hypothesis, and apply Proposition 1.*

REMARK 4. *A commutative local ring  $R$  is mininjective if and only if  $\text{Soc}(R)$  is simple or zero.*

*For if  $\text{Soc}(R) \neq 0$ , let  $K$  and  $M$  be simple ideals. Then  $K \cong M$  because  $R$  is local, so  $M = cK$  for  $c \in R$  because  $R$  is mininjective. Hence  $M = K$  and  $\text{Soc}(R)$  is simple. The converse is by Remark 3.*

Hence a commutative artinian ring is quasi Frobenius if and only if it is mininjective.

REMARK 5. *A direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$  of rings  $R_i$  right mininjective if and only if  $R_i$  is right mininjective for each  $i \in I$ .*

REMARK 6. *Rutter[6] has an example of a two-sided artinian, right principally injective ring which is not left mininjective.*

REMARK 7. *Camillo[1] has an example of a commutative, local, semiprimary ring with  $J^3 = 0$  which is not artinian.*

The next result is half of the proof that mininjectivity is a Morita invariant.

PROPOSITION 2. *If  $R$  is right mininjective, so is  $eRe$  for all  $e^2 = e \in R$  satisfying  $ReR = R$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $S = eRe$  and let  $r_S(k) \subseteq r_S(a)$  where  $k, a \in S$  and  $kS$  is a simple right ideal of  $S$ . We claim first that  $kR$  is simple in  $R$ . For if  $kr \neq 0, r \in R$ , then  $krReR \neq 0$ . So there is  $b \in R$  such that  $0 \neq krbe = ke(ere) \in kS$ . Hence  $k = krbeS \subseteq krR$  whence  $kR$  is simple. Thus it suffices to show that  $r_R(k) \subseteq r_R(a)$  (Then  $a \in Rk$  by proposition 1, so  $a = ea \in Sk$ .) So let  $kr = 0, r \in R$ , and write  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e b_i$ , where  $a_i, b_i \in R$ . Then  $k(era_i e) = kra_i e = 0$  for each  $i$ . So  $a(ra_i e) = 0$  by hypothesis. Hence  $ar = \sum_{i=1}^n ara_i e b_i = 0$  as required.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3. *Call a simple right ideal  $K$  of  $R$  “nice” if maps  $K \rightarrow R_R$  can be extended to  $R \rightarrow R$  if  $dK \neq 0$  is “nice”,  $d \in R$ , then  $K$  is “nice”.*

*Proof.* The map  $\sigma(x) = dx$  defines an isomorphism,  $\sigma : K \rightarrow dK$ . Given  $\gamma : K \rightarrow R_R$ , we have  $\gamma \circ \sigma^{-1} : dK \rightarrow R$  so  $\gamma \circ \sigma^{-1} = c \cdot, c \in R$  by hypothesis, and it follows that  $\gamma = cd \cdot$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4. *A ring  $R$  is right mininjective if and only if  $M_n(R)$  is right mininjective for all (some)  $n \geq 1$  where  $M_n(R)$  is the ring of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* If  $S = M_n(R)$  is right mininjective, so is  $R \cong e_{11} S e_{11}$  by hypothesis 1.2, because  $S e_{11} S = S$  (here  $e_{ij}$  denotes the matrix unit). Conversely if  $R$  is right mininjective, let  $\bar{k}S$  be a simple right ideal of  $S$ . If row  $i$  of  $\bar{k}$  is nonzero, then  $e_{1i} \bar{k} \neq 0$ , so by proposition 3, we may assume that  $\bar{k} \in e_{11} S$ . Again, if column  $j$  of  $\bar{k}$  is nonzero, then  $\bar{k} e_{j1} \neq 0$  so  $\bar{k} S = \bar{k} e_{j1} S$ . Thus we may assume that  $\bar{k} \in e_{11} S e_{11}$ ,

so write  $\bar{k} = \begin{bmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is block form,  $k \in R$ . The  $kR$  is simple so  $Rk = lr(k)$  by Proposition 1. But then

$$r_S(\bar{k}) = \begin{bmatrix} r(k) & r(k) & \cdots & r(k) \\ k & k & \cdots & k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k & k & \cdots & k \end{bmatrix}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} lr_S(\bar{k}) &= \begin{bmatrix} lr(k) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ lr(k) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ lr(k) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Rk & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ Rk & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Rk & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= S\bar{k} \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

While principal injectivity is not a Morita invariant, combining Proposition 1 and 4 gives.

**THEOREM 5.** *Right mininjectivity is a Morita invariant.*

Trivial extensions are defined as follows. Given a ring  $R$  and a bi-module  ${}_R V_R$ , the trivial extension of  $R$  by  $V$  is the ring  $S = T(R, V) = R \oplus V$  with the usual addition and multiplication

$$(r + v)(r' + v') = rr' + (rv' + vr') .$$

This is isomorphic to the ring of all matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix}$  where  $r \in R$  and  $v \in V$  and the usual matrix operations are used.

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $S = T(R, V)$ . Then the followings hold :*

- (1) *Every prime ideal  $P$  of  $S$  has the form  $P_1 \oplus V$ , where  $P_1$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ .*

- (2) Every primitive ideal  $P$  of  $S$  has the form  $P_1 \oplus V$ , where  $P_1$  is a primitive ideal of  $R$ .
- (3) Every maximal ideal  $M$  of  $S$  has the form  $M_1 \oplus V$ , where  $M_1$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.*  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v' \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , so  $V^2 = 0$ .  $S/V \cong R$ .

From these (1), (2) and (3) follow.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 7.** *Let  $S = T(R, V)$ . Then the followings hold :*

- (1) *The prime radical of  $S = P(R) \oplus V$ .*
- (2) *The Jacobson radical of  $S = J(R) \oplus V$ .*
- (3) *Every Brown McCary radical of  $S = B(R) \oplus V$ .*

**PROPOSITION 8.** *If  $S = T(R, V)$ , then*

$$\text{Soc}(S_S) = [\text{Soc}(R_R) \cap l_R(V)] \oplus \text{Soc}(V_R).$$

*Proof.* For convenience, write  $K = \text{Soc}(R_R) \cap l_R(V)$ . If  $xS$  is simple,  $x = r_0 + v_0$ , then  $xV = xSV = 0$  since  $V \subseteq J(S)$ . Hence  $r_0 \in l_R(V)$  and so  $xS = xR$ . It follows that  $r_0R$  and  $v_0R$  are simple, so  $\text{Soc}(S_S) \subseteq K \oplus \text{Soc}(V_R)$ .

Conversely, if  $v_0R$  is simple, then  $v_0R = v_0S$  is a simple right ideal of  $S$ , so  $v_0 \in \text{Soc}(S_S)$ . To show that  $K \subseteq \text{Soc}(S_S)$ , it suffices to show that  $kS$  is simple for each  $k \in K$  such that  $kR$  is simple ( $K$  is  $R$ -semi-simple). If  $0 \neq ks \in kS$ , write  $x = r_0 + v_0$ . Since  $kV = 0$ , we have  $0 \neq kx = kr_0$ , so  $kr_0R = kR$ . Thus  $k = kr_0r_1 = krr_1 \in kxS$  as required.  $\square$

**THEOREM 9.**  $S = T(R, V)$  where  $R$  is a ring and  $V$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that  $S_r \subset V$  and  $l_R(V) = 0$ .

- (1)  $S$  is right mininjective if and only if  $R$  is right mininjective.
- (2)  $S$  is right artinian if and only if  $R$  is right artinian.

*Proof.* (1) If  $S$  is right mininjective and  $\gamma : K \rightarrow R_R$  is  $R$ -linear, where  $K$  is a simple right ideal of  $R$ , then  $0 + K$  is a simple right ideal of  $S$  and we define  $\bar{\gamma} : 0 + K \rightarrow S$  by  $\bar{\gamma}(0 + k) = 0 + \gamma(k)$ .  $\bar{\gamma}((0 + k)(r + v)) = \bar{\gamma}(0 + kr) = 0 + \gamma(k)r = (0 + \gamma(k))(r + v)$ . This is  $S$ -linear, so  $\bar{\gamma}(c + v) \cdot$  by hypothesis. It follows that  $\gamma = c \cdot$ , proving that  $R$  is right mininjective.

Conversely, if  $R$  is right mininjective, let  $\gamma : T \rightarrow S$  be  $S$ -linear, where  $T$  is a simple right ideal of  $S$ .  $T$  and  $\gamma(T)$  are contained in  $Soc(S_S) = 0 + Soc(R_R)$  by Proposition 7. Define  $\gamma_0 : vR \rightarrow R$  by  $\gamma_0(x) = y$  where  $\gamma(0 + x) = 0 + y$ . Then  $\gamma_0$  is  $R$ -linear, so  $\gamma_0 = c_0$  by hypothesis, where  $\gamma = (c_0 + 0) \cdot$ .

(2) If  $S$  is right artinian, the same is true of  $R \cong S/(0 + V)$ . Conversely, if  $R_R$  is artinian, then  $S_R$  is artinian because  $S_R \cong R \oplus V$  as  $R$ -modules. But right ideals of  $S$  are right  $R$ -modules, and it follows that  $S_S$  is artinian.  $\square$

**THEOREM 10.** Let  $S = T(R, V)$ , where  $R$  is a ring and  $V$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that  $S_l = S_r \subset V \subset R$ . Then  $l_R(V) = 0$  and  $r_R(V) = 0$ .

$S$  is quasi Frobenius if and only if  $R$  is quasi Frobenius.

*Proof.* [4] shows that a ring is quasi-Frobenius if and only if it is right artinian and right and left mininjective. By this and Theorem 8, the statement follows.  $\square$

If  $R$  and  $S$  are rings and  ${}_R V_S$  is a bimodule, the split null extensions

of  $R$  and  $S$  by  $V$  is the ring  $U = \begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$  of all “matrices”  $\begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$  using matrix operations.

PROPOSITION 11. *Assume that  $U = \begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$  is right mininjective. Then*

- (1)  $S$  is right mininjective.
- (2) If  $\gamma : K \rightarrow V_S$  where  $K \subseteq V_S$  and  $K$  is a simple  $S$ -submodule of  $S$ , then  $\gamma = c \cdot$ , where  $c \in R$ .

*Proof.* (1) If  $\gamma : K \rightarrow S$ , where  $K$  is a simple right ideal of  $S$ , then  $\bar{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$  is a simple right ideal of  $V$ .  $\bar{\gamma} : \bar{T} \rightarrow V$  is  $S$ -linear if we define

$$\bar{\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma(t) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \cdot$$

Hence  $\bar{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \cdot$ , whence  $\gamma = s \cdot$ .

(2) If  $\gamma : K \rightarrow U_S$  where  $K$  is a simple submodule of  $U_S$ . Then  $\bar{\gamma} : \bar{K} \rightarrow U$  is  $V$ -linear if we define

$$\bar{\gamma} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \cdot$$

Hence  $\bar{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} c & v \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot$ , whence  $\gamma = c \cdot$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Camillo, *Commutative rings whose principal ideals are annihilators*, Portugal. Math **46** (1989), 33-37.
- [2] M. Harada, *Self mini-injective rings*, Osaka J. Math **19** (1982), 203-215.
- [3] ———, *A characteriation of QF-algebras*, Osaka J. Math **20** (1983), 1-4.
- [4] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Youif, *Mininjective rings*, J. algebra **187** (1997).
- [5] ———, *On perfect simple injective rings*, Proc. A.M.S **125** (1997), 979-985.



- [6] E. A. Rutter, *Rings with the principal extension property*, Comm. algebra **3** (1975), 203-212.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
TAEJON 305-764, KOREA