## *I***-RINGS AND TRIANGULAR MATRIX RINGS**

Kang-Joo Min

ABSTRACT. All rings are assumed to be associative but do not necessarily have an identity. In this paper, we carry out a study of ring theoretic properties of formal triangular matrix rings. Some results are obtained on these rings concerning properties such as being  $I_0$ ring, I-ring, exchange ring.

Throughout this paper all rings are assumed to be associative but do not necessarily have an identity. When a ring has an identity, modules are assumed to be unital. The Jacobson radical of a ring Rwill be denoted by J(R).

Let R and S be rings and  $_{R}V_{S}$  a left R right S bimodule. The formal triangular matrix ring  $U = \begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$  has as its elements formal matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$  where  $r \in R, s \in S$  and  $v \in V$  with addition defined coordinatewise and multiplication given by  $\begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r' & v' \\ 0 & s' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} rr' & rv' + vs' \\ 0 & ss' \end{bmatrix}$ .

LEMMA 1. [4] If R is a ring, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) Every left ideal  $L \not\subseteq J(R)$  contains a nonzero idempotent.
- (2) Every right ideal  $L \nsubseteq J(R)$  contains a nonzero idempotent.
- (3) If  $a \notin J(R)$ , then xax = x for some  $x \neq 0$ .

Received by the editors on Dec 3, 2001.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classifications: Primary 16D25.

Key words and phrases: exchange ring, I-ring, Jacobian radical.

KANG-JOO MIN

Proof. Given (1), let  $a \notin J(R)$ . Let L be the left ideal of R generated by a. Every element of L has the form ra + na where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \in R$ . Let  $e \in L$  be a nonzero idempotent in L. Hence e = ra for some  $r \in R$ . (3) follows with x = rar. Conversely let  $L \nsubseteq J(R)$  be a left ideal. There exists  $a \in L$  and  $a \notin J(R)$ . By (3), xax = x for some  $x \neq 0$ .  $xaxa = xa \in L$  is a nonzero idempotent. The proof that (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) is analogous.

DEFINITION 2. [4] A ring R is called an  $I_0 - ring$  if it satisfies the conditions of lemma 1. An  $I_0$ -ring in which idempotents can be lifted modulo J(R) is called an I-ring.

The class of *I*-rings is quite large. It obviously contains all division rings, and, more generally, contains all local rings, where in this paper, a ring R will be called local if it has an identity and R/J(R) is a division ring.

PROPOSITION 3. [4] Let R be a ring in which idempotents can be lifted modulo J(R). Then R is an I-ring if and only if R/J(R) is an I-ring.

Let R be a ring and I be a right ideal in R. I is modular if there exists  $e \in R$  such that

$$r - er \in I$$
 for all  $r \in R$  [3].

It is well known that J(R) is the intersection of all modular maximal right ideals of R.

PROPOSITION 4. The set of modular maximal right ideals of U is given by  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} I & _{R}V_{S} \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix} \mid$  either I = R and K is a modular maximal

 $\mathbf{20}$ 

right ideal of S or I is a modular maximal right ideal of R and K = S

Proof. Let  $\begin{bmatrix} I & _RV_S \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$  be a modular maximal right ideal of U. Clearly I is a modular right ideal of R and K is a modular right ideal of S. If  $K \neq S$ , then choosing a modular maximal right ideal of K' of S with  $K \subseteq K'$ , we see that  $\begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & K' \end{bmatrix}$  is a modular maximal right ideal of K' of S with  $K \subseteq K'$ , we see that  $\begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & K' \end{bmatrix}$  is a modular maximal right ideal of U and  $\begin{bmatrix} I & _RV_S \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & K' \end{bmatrix}$ . The maximality of  $\begin{bmatrix} I & _RV_S \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$  yields I = R and K = K'. If, on the other hand, K = S, then  $\begin{bmatrix} I & V \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$  is a modular maximal right ideal of V. Hence I is a modular maximal right ideal of R. Thus any modular maximal right ideal of U has to be either  $\begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$  with K a modular maximal right ideal of R. Conversely, right ideals of the above form are clearly modular maximal right ideals of U. □

COROLLARY 5. 
$$J(U) = \begin{bmatrix} J(R) & V \\ 0 & J(S) \end{bmatrix}$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 6.

- (1)  $\begin{bmatrix} r & v \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} + J(U) \longrightarrow (r+J(R), s+J(S))$  is a ring isomorphism of U/J(U) with  $R/J(R) \times S/J(S)$ .
- (2) Idempotents mod J(U) can be lifted in U if and only if idempotents mod J(R) can be lifted in R and idempotents mod J(S) can be lifted in S.

THEOREM 7.  $U = \begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$  is an  $I_0$ -ring if and only if R and S are  $I_0$ -rings.

Proof. Assume that U is an  $I_0$ -ring. Let I be a right ideal of R not contained in J(R).  $\bar{I} = \begin{bmatrix} I & IV \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is a right ideal of U with  $\bar{I} \nsubseteq J(U)$ . Hence there exists an element  $\begin{bmatrix} e & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \bar{I}$  with  $\begin{bmatrix} e & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $= \begin{bmatrix} e & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} e & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\begin{bmatrix} e & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^2 & ev \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Hence  $e^2 = e$  and ev = v. We see that either  $e \neq$  or  $v \neq 0$ . If e = 0, then ev = v = 0. We conclude that  $e \neq 0$ . Thus  $e \in I$  and  $e^2 = e$ in R. Thus I contains a nonzero idempotent. Let K be a right ideal of S such that  $K \nsubseteq J(S)$ .  $\bar{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$  is a right ideal of V and  $\bar{K} \nsubseteq J(U)$ . There exists an element  $0 \neq f \in K$  with

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix}, \quad f = f^2$$

K contains a nonzero idempotent. Therefore R and S are  $I_0$ -rings.

Conversely, assume that R and S are  $I_0$ -rings. Let  $\overline{I}$  be a right ideal of U with  $\overline{I} \nsubseteq J(U)$ . Then there exists an element  $\begin{bmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \in \overline{I}$  with  $\begin{bmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \notin J(U)$ . This means  $a \notin J(R)$  or  $b \notin J(S)$ .

To show that  $\overline{I}$  contains a nonzero idempotent, it suffices to show that  $\begin{bmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} U = \begin{bmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} aR & aV + vS \\ 0 & bS \end{bmatrix}$  contains a nonzero idempotent.

If  $b \notin J(S)$ , then xbx = x for some  $x \neq 0$  by Lemma 1.  $(bx)^2 = bx \in bS$ . Let bx = f.  $f \in bS$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix}$  is a nonzero idempotent in uU where  $u = \begin{bmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}$ .

Suppose  $a \notin J(R)$ . Again by Lemma 1, there exists a nonzero idempotent  $e \in R$  of the form e = ax for some  $x \in R$ .  $xax = x \neq 0$  implies  $(ax)^2 = e^2 = e$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} e & ev \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax & axv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & xv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in uU$$
  
and 
$$\begin{bmatrix} ax & axv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ax & axv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax & axv \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This shows that V is an  $I_0$ -ring.

COROLLARY 7.  $U = \begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$  is an *I*-ring if and only if *R* and *S* are *I*-rings.

*Proof.*  $J(U) = \begin{bmatrix} J(R) & V \\ 0 & J(S) \end{bmatrix}$  by corollary 5. By corollary 6, idempotent mod J(U) can be lifted in U if and only if idempotent mod J(R) can be lifted in R and idempotent mod J(S) can be lifted in S.

By theorem 7, U is an  $I_0$ -ring if and only if R and S are  $I_0$ -rings.

An associative unital ring R is said to be an exchange ring if  $R_R$  has the exahange property introduced by Crawlery and Jó nsson[2][5].

If R is a ring without identity, we denote R' the unitalization of R; that is,  $R' = R \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  with addition and multiplication defined by (x,n)+(y,m) = (x+y,n+m), and (x,n)(y,m) = (xy+ny+mx,nm) for all  $x, y \in R$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

LEMMA 8. [1] Let R a ring without unity and let T be a unital ring containing R as a (two-sided) ideal. Then the following conditions are equivalent for an element  $x \in R$ ;

(1) There exists  $e^2 = e \in R$  with  $e - x \in T(x - x^2)$ .

 $\Box$ 

(2) There exists  $e^2 = e \in Rx$  with  $c \in T$  such that  $(1-e)-c(1-x) \in J(R)$ .

(3) There exists  $e^2 = e \in Rx$  such that T = Re + T(1 - x).

(4) There exists  $e^2 = e \in Rx$  such that  $1 - e \in T(1 - x)$ .

(5) There exists  $r, s \in R$  and  $e^2 = e \in R$  such that e = rx = s + x - sx.

Let I be a ring without unity and let R be a unital ring containing I as an ideal. Let  $M_S$  be a module over a unital ring S such that there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : \operatorname{End}(M_S) \to R$ . Let  $X = M \oplus Y = N_1 \oplus N_2$  (\*) be two decomposition of a right S-module X. Denote by  $\pi$  the idempotent in  $\operatorname{End}(X)$  with image M and kernel Y, and identify  $\operatorname{End}(M)$  with  $\pi \operatorname{End}(X)\pi$ . We say that (\*) is I-admissible if  $\pi\tau_2\pi \in \varphi^{-1}(I)$  where  $\tau_i \in \operatorname{End}(X)$  is the projection onto  $N_i$  determined by the decomposition  $X = N_1 \oplus N_2$ .

THEOREM 9. [1] Let (I, R) and  $\phi : End(M_S) \to R$  be as above. Then the following conditions are equivalent :

(a) For all  $x \in I$ , there exist  $e = e^2 \in I$  and  $r, s \in I$  such that e = rx = x + s - sx.

(b) For all *I*-admissible decompositions  $X = M \oplus Y = N_1 \oplus N_2$ , there exist  $N'_i \subseteq N_i$  such that  $X = M \oplus N'_1 \oplus N'_2$ .

(c) For all  $x \in I$ , there exist  $e = e^2 \in I$  and  $r, s \in I$  such that e = xr = s + x - xs.

DEFINITION 10. A ring without unity I is called an exchange ring if it satisfies the equivalent conditions in Theorem 9.

Note that I being an exchange ring is a symmetric condition which does not depend on the particular unital ring where I is embedded as an ideal. EXAMPLE. [1] (1) If I is an ideal of a unital exchange ring, then I is an exchange ring. To see this, take an element  $x \in I$ . There exist  $r, s \in R$  such that e = xr and 1 - e = (1 - x)(1 - s). Clearly  $e \in I$  and therefore  $s = e - x + xs \in I$ . Consequently we can write e = x(re) = x + s - xs, with  $re, s \in I$  and condition (c) of Theorem 9 is satisfied.

(2) The radical rings [3] are exactly the exchange rings without nonzero idempotents.

(3) A ring I is said to be  $\pi$ -regular if for all  $x \in I$ , there exist a positive integer n and  $y \in I$  such that  $x^n = x^n y x^n$ . All the (non-unital)  $\pi$ -regular rings are exchange rings.

THEOREM 11. [1] Let I be an ideal of a (possibly nonunital) ring L. Then L is an exchange ring if and only if I and L/I are exchange rings and idempotents can be lifted modulo I.

THEOREM 12.  $U = \begin{bmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$  is an exchange ring if and only if R and S are exchange rings.

*Proof.* Assume that R and S are exchange rings.

$$J(U) = \begin{bmatrix} J(R) & V \\ 0 & J(S) \end{bmatrix}$$

is a radical ring. By example (2), J(U) is an exchange ring.

 $V/J(V) = \begin{bmatrix} R/J(R) & 0\\ 0 & S/J(S) \end{bmatrix}$  is an exchange ring.

Conversely assume that U is an exchange ring. U/J(U) is an exchange ring and  $U/J(U) \cong R/J(R) \times S/J(S)$ . Therefore R/J(R) and S/J(S) are exchange rings. By Theorem 11 and example (2), R and S are exchange rings.

## KANG-JOO MIN

## References

- [1] Pere Ara, Extensions of exchange rings, J. of algebra 197 (1997), 409-423.
- [2] P. Crawlery and B. Jónsson, Refinements for infinite direct decompositions of algebraic systems, Pacific J. math 14 (1964), 797-855.
- [3] N. Jacobson, Structure of rings, vol. 5, Amer. Math. Soc., providence, RI, 1964.
- [4] W. K. Nicholson, *I-rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 207 (1975), 371-373.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, lifting idempotents and exchange rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 229 (1977), 269-278.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY TAEJON 305-764, KOREA

E-mail: kjmin@math.cnu.ac.kr