

Downtime Optimization for Fishing Vessel Equipment Using Delay Time Analysis

Young Sub Kwon

*Department of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering
Chosun University, Kwangju 501-759, Korea*

Gi Mun Jung *

*BK21 Education Center for Transports in Systems
Chosun University, Kwangju 501-759, Korea*

Anand Pillay

*School of Engineering
Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, Byrom Street, L3 3AF, UK*

Jin Wang

*School of Engineering
Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, Byrom Street, L3 3AF, UK*

Abstract. Delay time analysis is a pragmatic mathematical concept readily embraced by engineers which has been developed as a means to model maintenance decision problem. This paper considers an inspection period using delay time analysis for fishing vessel equipment. We assume that delay time has a Weibull distribution. In this paper, we determine the optimal inspection period which minimize the expected downtime per unit time. Explicit solutions for the optimal inspection are presented for illustrative purposes.

Key Words : *delay time, downtime, optimal maintenance policy, Weibull distribution.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The preventive maintenance(PM) is maintenance activities performed before equipment failure. PM activity involves the repair, replacement and maintenance of equipment in order to avoid unexpected failure during use. The optimal PM can reduce production downtime, or cost of failure, has been recognised by industry. The term "optimum" means "minimizing the expected cost rate per unit time or the expected down time per unit time".

* E-mail address : jgm@mail.chosun.ac.kr

There are many situations where the availability of the equipment is more important than the cost of maintenance. Indeed, the consequences of the downtime of equipment may exceed any measurable cost. In such cases, it is more appropriate to minimize the downtime per unit time than to minimize the expected cost rate per unit time. This paper considers the optimal inspection period which minimizes the expected downtime per unit time.

Delay time analysis is a pragmatic mathematical concept readily embraced by engineers which has been developed as a means to model maintenance decision problems. A number of maintenance policies using the delay time analysis have been proposed in the literature. These policies are typically to determine the optimum interval to minimize the average cost or expected downtime. Christer et al(1998) describe a subjective data based case study carried out at a company manufacturing copper products. On the basis of the data analysis and delay time modelling, improved PM policies and procedures were proposed to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of PM. Christer(1999) reviews the cumulative knowledge and experience of delay time modelling. Leung and Kit-leung(1996) investigate the possibility of improving the effectiveness of the maintenance policy for the gearboxes.

Operating and failure data that has been gathered from a fishing vessel is used to apply the delay time analysis. However, the fishing industry seems to lack reliable statistical data and sensor based monitoring of equipment is almost non-existent. Hence, the modelling of PM for fishing vessel equipment is a very difficult task.

In this paper, we consider an inspection period using delay time analysis for fishing vessel equipment. If inspection period T is small, the downtime per unit time would be large because the system would frequently be unavailable due to inspection, and if T is large, the downtime per unit time would be that under a breakdown maintenance policy.

This paper considers the situation where each inspection is a perfect and delay time distribution is Weibull. The expression to compute the expected downtime per unit time is derived. We also obtain the optimal inspection period T^* which minimizes the expected downtime per unit time. Section 2 describes the assumptions and concept of delay time model. In Section 3, we present the expressions for the expected downtime per unit time using delay time analysis. Section 4 presents the solutions for the optimal inspection period for fishing vessel equipment when the delay time follows a Weibull distribution.

2. DELAY TIME MAINTENANCE MODEL

2.1 Assumptions

1. An inspection takes place every T time units and requires d time units.
2. Inspections are perfect in that any defect present will be identified.
3. Defects identified will be repaired within the inspection period.
4. Defects arise at constant rate λ per unit time.
5. The probability density function for delay time of faults $f(h)$ is independent

of the initial point u .

6. Failures are repaired immediately with downtime d_b .

2.2 Delay Time Concept

The interaction between maintenance concept and equipment performance may be captured using the delay time concept. Let the system be maintained on a breakdown basis. The time history of failure events is a random series of points.

Before a component breaks down, there will be telltale signs of reduced performance or abnormalities. The time between the first identification of abnormalities (initial point) and actual failure time (failure point) will vary depending on the deterioration rate of the component. This time period is called the delay time of the defect.




Figure 1. The delay time of a defect

Figure 1 shows the delay time of a defect. Had an inspection taken place at point (A), one defect could have been identified and the seven failures reduced to 6. Likewise, had inspection taken place at point (B) and (A), 4 defects could have been identified and the failures reduced to 3. Figure 1 demonstrated that provided it is possible to model the way defects arise, that is the rate of arrival of defects $\lambda(u)$, and their associated delay time h , then the delay time concept can capture the relationship between inspection frequency and the number of system failure.

3. EXPECTED DOWNTIME PER UNIT TIME

This section determines expected downtime per unit time to be incurred while operating an inspection policy of period T . To derive the expected downtime per unit time, we first consider the probability $b(T)$ that a fault arising causes a breakdown given inspection period T .




Figure 2. Breakdown and inspection repair

Following the argument of Christer and Waller(1984), we suppose that a fault arising within the period $(0, T)$ has a delay time in the interval $(h, h + \Delta h)$, the probability of this event being $f(h)\Delta h$. This fault will be repaired as a breakdown repair if the fault arises in the period $(0, T - h)$; otherwise as an inspection repair as shown Figure 2.

Assuming that the process of faults arising follows a homogeneous Poisson process, that is, uniformly distributed, the probability of a fault arising before $(T - h)$, given that a fault will arise, is equal to $(T - h)/T$. It has been calculated that a fault is repaired as a breakdown and has delay time in $(h, h + \Delta h)$ is given by

$$(T - h) \times f(h) \times \Delta h/T$$

Summing up all possible values of h , we can obtain the probability of a fault arising as a breakdown $b(T)$ as follows.

$$b(T) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (T - h)f(h)dh \quad (3.1)$$

The expected downtime per unit time to be incurred while operating an inspection policy of period T is obtained by

$$D(T) = \frac{\text{Total expected downtime}}{\text{Expected cycle length}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} D(T) &= \frac{d + \lambda T b(T) d_b}{T + d} \\ &= \frac{d + \lambda d_b \int_0^T (T - h)f(h)dh}{T + d}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where d , d_b and λ are the downtime owing to an inspection, average downtime for breakdown repair and arrival rate of defects per unit time, respectively. If the delay time distribution has a Weibull distribution with the scale parameter, α , and shape parameter, β , then the expected downtime is obtained by

$$D(T) = \frac{d + \lambda d_b \int_0^T (T - h)\alpha\beta^\alpha h^{\alpha-1} e^{-(h\beta)^\alpha} dh}{T + d}. \quad (3.3)$$

The optimal inspection period T^* is the corresponding value of T such that expected downtime $D(T)$ is minimized.

4. OPTIMAL INSPECTION PERIOD FOR FISHING VESSEL EQUIPMENT

The application of the delay time concept is demonstrated for a hydraulic winch operating system on a fishing vessel. The following information was gathered for this particular system, which included a combination of logged records and reports complemented by expert judgement.

- o Inspection down time (d)=0.01041days
- o Downtime for breakdown repair (d_b)=4.5days
- o Total operating hours of winch(for 25 voyages)=56days
- o Arrival rate of defects (λ)=0.535days

Delay time distribution can be estimated using subjective or objective methods. Several models have been developed for these two approaches. These models generally require a large amount of data complemented with survey questionnaires which reflect the operations of the analysed system over a considerable period of time. However, these requirements are difficult to fulfill when considering operating systems on board fishing vessels. Since Weibull distribution is one of the most commonly used failure time distribution in reliability studies, we assume that the delay time has a Weibull distribution, i.e., $f(h) = \alpha\beta^\alpha h^{\alpha-1} e^{-(h\beta)^\alpha}$ for all $h \geq 0$, where $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ are the scale and shape parameters, respectively. Leung and Kit-leung(1996) assume that the delay time has a Weibull distribution and the scale and shape parameters estimate using delay-time data.

We determine the optimal inspection period for the hydraulic winch operating system and compare its corresponding value of $D(T^*)$ for various choice α and d . By varying the values of α , we investigate the effect of the delay time distribution on the optimal inspection period and the expected downtime per unit time. As the value of α increases, the expected delay time becomes longer and thus it is expected that the optimal inspection period increases and the expected downtime decreases.




Figure 3. Optimal inspection period based on minimum $D(T)$

By using the equation (3.3) and above data, the expected downtime per unit

time is given by

$$D(T) = \frac{0.01041 + 0.535T \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (T-h) \alpha \beta^\alpha h^{\alpha-1} e^{-(h\beta)^\alpha} dh \right) 4.5}{T + 0.01041}$$

From the graph in Figure 3, the optimal inspection period, T^* , is determined to be 0.3788days when $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1$. This inspection frequency will cause a minimum down time of 0.1828days.

Table 1 lists the values of T^* and its resulting expected downtime per unit time $D(T^*)$ for various choice of α when $\beta = 1$. The optimal period T^* is determined so that the expected downtime per unit time is minimized for the given values of α and β . Table 1 shows that as the value of α increases, the values of T^* increase, which is anticipated. On the contrary, the values of $D(T^*)$ decrease.

Table 1. Optimal inspection period T^* and its expected downtime per unit time $D(T^*)$ with $\beta = 1$.

α	T^*	$D(T^*)$
0.5	0.0414	0.443004
1.0	0.0859	0.197729
1.5	0.1341	0.115254
2.0	0.1828	0.078998
2.5	0.2295	0.059873
3.0	0.2732	0.048459
3.5	0.3133	0.041028
4.0	0.3502	0.035871
4.5	0.3840	0.032115
5.0	0.4148	0.029273

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper was supported by research funds from Chosun University, 2000.

REFERENCES

- Christer A. H. (1999), Developments in Delay Time Analysis for Modelling Plant Maintenance. *Journal of Operational Research Society*, **50**, 1120-1137.
- Christer A. H. & Walker W. M. (1984), Delay-Time Models of Industrial Inspection Maintenance Problems. *Journal of Operational Research Society*, **35**, 401-406.

Christer A. H., Wang W., Sharp J. & Baker R., (1998), A Case of Modelling Preventive Maintenance of a Production Plant Using Subject Data. *Journal of Operational Research Society*, **49**, 210-219.

Leung F. & Kit-leung M., (1996), Using Delay-Time Analysis to Study the Maintenance Problem of Gearboxes. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, **16**, 98-105.