

## A NEW UPPER BOUND FOR SINGLE ERROR-CORRECTING CODES

JUN KYO KIM

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to give an upper bound for  $A[n, 4]$ , the maximum number of codewords in a binary code of word length  $n$  with minimum distance 4 between codewords. We have improved upper bound for  $A[12k + 11, 4]$ . In this correspondence we prove  $A[23, 4] \leq 173716$ .

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we present an upper bound for  $A[n, 4]$ , the maximum number of codewords in a binary code of length  $n$  with minimum distance 4 between codewords. An  $[n, d]$  code is a code of length  $n$  in which any two words have distance at least  $d$ . An  $[n, d, w]$  code is an  $[n, d]$  code in which all words have weight  $w$ . An  $[n, d]$  code for which the maximum is archived is called optimal. The maximum number of codewords of an  $[n, d]$  code is denoted by  $A[n, d]$ . This function  $A[n, d]$  and  $A[n, d, w]$ , the number of codewords in an optimal  $[n, d, w]$  code, has been studied by many authors. Earlier bounds on  $A[n, d]$  were given in [7, 11, 2, 1] (also [5, Chapter 9]). Whereas they used the linear programming approach to get upper bound for  $A[n, d]$ , in a recent paper of [8] they have got improved general upper bound for  $A[6k+5, 4]$  by combinatorial methods. We obtain the improved upper bound for  $A[12k + 11, 4]$ . In the present paper we give upper bounds for  $A[n, 4]$  :

$$(1) \quad A[n, 4] \leq \frac{2^{n-1}A[n, 4, 3]}{\binom{n}{3} - 4A[n, 4, 4] + nA[n, 4, 3]}.$$

---

Received May 9, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 94B65, 05B40.

Key words and phrases: bounds on codes, packing.

The author wishes to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation made in the program year of (1999).

In this correspondence we prove  $A[23, 4] \leq 173716$ . For convenience we define some notations and conventions used in this paper. The *weight distribution* of a code is the sequence  $(W_i)_{i=0}^n$  where  $W_i$  equals the number of codewords of weight  $i$ . The *distance distribution* of  $C$  is the sequence  $(A_i)_{i=0}^n$  where  $A_i$  equals the average number of code words at distance  $i$  from a fixed codeword, i.e.,

$$A_i = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{x \in C} |\{y \mid y \in C \text{ and } d(x, y) = i\}|.$$

All codes are binary codes of length  $n$  with minimum distance 4. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $C \subset \mathbb{F}_{\text{even}}^n$  be a code with  $A[n, 4]$  codewords where  $\mathbb{F}_{\text{even}}^n = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n \mid d(0, x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$ . We first introduce some set.

$$\begin{aligned} B_r(x) &= \{y \in \{0, 1\}^n \mid d(x, y) \leq r\}; \\ X &= \mathbb{F}_{\text{odd}}^n - \bigcup_{g \in C} B_1(g); \\ S &= \{(x, g) \mid x \in X, g \in C \text{ and } d(x, g) = 3\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{F}_{\text{odd}}^n = \{0, 1\}^n - \mathbb{F}_{\text{even}}^n$ .  $B_r(x)$  is called the *sphere with radius  $r$  and center  $x$* . For  $x \in X$  and  $g \in C$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} C_x &= \{(x, g) \mid (x, g) \in S\}; \\ X_g &= \{(x, g) \mid (x, g) \in S\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2) \quad S = \bigcup_{x \in X} C_x = \bigcup_{g \in C} X_g.$$

## 2. Upper bounds for $A[n, 4]$

Without loss of generality it can be assumed that in an optimal binary code with even minimum distance, only words of even weight occur. The first two theorems are well-known.

**THEOREM 1** (Trivial values). *Let  $d, w, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $w \leq n$ . Then*

- a)  $A[n, d] = A[n + 1, d + 1]$  if  $d$  is odd,
- b)  $A[n, d, w] = \lfloor n/w \rfloor$  if  $d = 2w$ ,
- c)  $A[n, d, w] = 1$  if  $2w < d$ ,
- d)  $A[n, 2, w] = \binom{n}{w}$ ,
- e)  $A[n, d, w] = A[n, d - 1, w]$  if  $d$  is even.

THEOREM 2 (Johnson [7, p. 98]).

$$(3) \quad A[n, d, w] \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{w} A[n-1, d, w-1] \right\rfloor, \quad (n \geq w \geq 1),$$

$$(4) \quad A[n, d, w] \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n-w} A[n-1, d, w] \right\rfloor, \quad (n > w \geq 0).$$

The *first Johnson bound*  $J_1(n, d, w)$  is defined to be the smallest upper bound on  $A[n, d, w]$  that is obtained by repeatedly applying (3) and (4) until Theorem 1 can be used. For example

$$J_1[n, 4, 3] = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \not\equiv 5 \pmod{6} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly

$$A[n, d, w] \leq J_1[n, d, w].$$

THEOREM 3 (Kirkman [9], Schönheim [10]; see also [6, p. 237]).

$$A[n, 4, 3] = J_1[n, 4, 3].$$

THEOREM 4 (Brouwer [4]).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } & A[n, 4, 4] \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} A[n-1, 4, 3] \right\rfloor \quad \text{if } n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \\ \text{b) } & A[n, 4, 4] = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} A[n-1, 4, 3] \right\rfloor \quad \text{if } n \not\equiv 5 \pmod{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $g, g' \in C$ . Then

$$d(x + g, x + g') = d(g, g') \geq 4.$$

Hence  $x + C$  is also code with minimum distance 4. We note that the unions in (2) are actually disjoint unions. Hence each  $\{C_x\}$  or  $\{X_g\}$  in (2) forms a partition of  $S$  ([8]). The next two lemmas lead us directly into the main theorem.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then*

$$(5) \quad |S| \leq (2^{n-1} - nA[n, 4])A[n, 4, 3].$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ . From the definition of  $A[n, 4, 3]$  and Theorem 1 e), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |C_x| &= |\{g \in C \mid d(x, g) = 3\}| = |\{g \in C \mid d(0, g+x) = 3\}| \\ &= |\{g \in x + C \mid d(0, g) = 3\}| \leq A[n, 4, 3]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|X| = (2^{n-1} - nA[n, 4])$  and  $|S| = \sum_{x \in X} |C_x|$ , we have inequality (5).  $\square$

LEMMA 2. Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$(6) \quad |S| = A[n, 4] \left( \binom{n}{3} - 4 \cdot A_4 \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $g \in C$ . From the definition of  $A_4$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |X_g| &= |\{x \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid d(x, g) = 3\}| \\ &\quad - |\{x \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid d(x, g) = 3 \text{ and } d(x, C) = 1\}| \\ &= \binom{n}{3} - 4 \cdot |\{g' \in C \mid d(g, g') = 4\}| \\ &= \binom{n}{3} - 4A_4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$|S| = \sum_{g \in C} |X_g| = \binom{n}{3} |C| - 4|C|A_4.$$

Which is the claimed result.  $\square$

Comparison of (5) and (6) leads to

THEOREM 5 (Main theorem).

$$A[n, 4] \leq \frac{2^{n-1} A[n, 4, 3]}{\binom{n}{3} - 4A_4 + nA[n, 4, 3]}.$$

In [3], it is shown that for  $n > 1$

$$A(n, 3) \leq \begin{cases} 2^n/(n+1) & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ 2^n/(n+2) & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ 2^n/(n+3) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2^n/(n+4) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

In paper [8], Kim and Hahn show

$$A(n, 3) \leq \begin{cases} 2^n/(n+2) & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{6} \\ 2^n/(n+2+2/n) & \text{if } n \equiv 4 \pmod{6} \\ 2^n/n+1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6} \\ 2^n/n+1+8/(n-1) & \text{if } n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5 is sharper than the above results for the case  $n \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$

It is known that  $A[23, 4] \leq 173784$  (Best [1]; see also [5, Chapter 9]). Theorem 3, Theorem 4, and the previous theorem yields

**THEOREM 6.**

$$A[23, 4] \leq 173716.$$

### References

- [1] M. R. Best, *Binary Codes with a Minimum Distance of Four*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **IT-26** (1980), 738–742.
- [2] M. R. Best, A. E. Brouwer, F. J. MacWilliams, A. M. Odlyzko, and N. J. A. Sloane, *Bounds for Binary Codes of Length Less Than 25*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **IT-24** (1978), 81–93.
- [3] M. R. Best and A. E. Brouwer, *The Triply Shortened Binary Hamming Code is Optimal*, Discrete Math. **17** (1977), 235–245.
- [4] A. E. Brower, *Optimal Packings of  $K_4$ 's into a  $K_n$* , J. Comb. Theory **5** (1979), 278–297.
- [5] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [6] M. Hall, Jr, *Combinatorial Theory*, Blaisdell: Watham, MA, 1967.
- [7] S. M. Johnson, *On Upper Bounds for Unrestricted Binary Error-correcting Codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **IT-17** (1971), 203–207.
- [8] J. K. Kim and S. G. Hahn *A New Upper Bound for Binary Codes with Minimum Distance Four*, Discrete Math. **187** (1998), 291–295.
- [9] T. P. Kirkman, *On a Problem in Combinations*, Cambridge and Dublin Math. J. **2** (1847), 191–204.
- [10] J. Schönheim, *On Maximal System of  $K$ -tuples*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar **1** (1966), 363–368.
- [11] N. J. A. Sloane, *A Survey of Constructive Coding Theory and a Table of Binary Codes of Highest Known Rate*, Discrete Math. **3** (1972), 265–294.
- [12] V. D. Tonchev, *Combinatorial, Configurations, Designs, Codes, Graphs*, Longman, Harlow: New York, 1988.

FACULTY OF LIBERAL ARTS, MIRYANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 1025-1, NAEI-DONG,  
MIRYANG-SI, GYEONGSANGNAM-DO 627-702, KOREA  
E-mail: junkyo@arang.miryang.ac.kr