

## THE NIELSEN ROOT NUMBER FOR THE COMPLEMENT

KI-YEOL YANG

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this paper is to introduce the Nielsen root number for the complement  $N(f; X - A, c)$  which shares such properties with the Nielsen root number  $N(f; c)$  as lower bound and homotopy invariance.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In Nielsen fixed point theory, the relative Nielsen number  $N(f; X, A)$  is introduced in Schirmer [5], which is the better, and ideally sharp, lower bound for the minimum number of fixed points in the relative homotopy class of  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (X, A)$ .

Zhao [7] considered the minimum number  $MF[f; X - A]$  of fixed points on the complement  $X - A$  and defined the Nielsen number on the complementary space,  $N(f; X - A)$  which is a lower bound for  $MF[f; X - A]$ , and has the same basic properties as  $N(f; X, A)$ .

The Nielsen root number  $N(f; c)$  of a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  at a point  $c \in Y$  is a homotopy invariant lower bound for the number of roots at  $c$ , that is, for the cardinality of  $f^{-1}(c)$ . Similarly, Yang [6] defined the relative root Nielsen number  $N(f; X, A, c)$  which gives us a better lower bound for the minimum number  $MR[f; X, A, c]$  of roots in the homotopy class of the map  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ , that is  $N(f; X, A, c) \geq N(f; c)$ .

It is the purpose of this paper, to determine the minimal number  $MR[f; X - A, c]$  of roots on the complement  $X - A$ . The Nielsen root number on the complementary space,  $N(f; X - A, c)$  is defined, which is a lower bound for  $MR[f; X - A, c]$ , and has the basic properties. The method used here follows that of Zhao [7].

---

Received by the editors March 27, 2001, and in revised form April 19, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55M20.

*Key words and phrases.* weakly common root class, Nielsen root number for the complement.

## 2. WEAKLY COMMON ROOT CLASSES

Let  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be a map of pairs of compact polyhedra with  $X, Y$  connected. We denote the set of roots of  $f$  at  $c \in B$  by

$$\Gamma(f, c) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = c\}.$$

We shall write  $\bar{f} : A \rightarrow B$  be a restriction of  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  to  $A$  and  $\Gamma(\bar{f}, c) = \Gamma(f, c) \cap A$  if  $c \in B$ .

Throughout this paper  $c$  will be a point of  $B \subset Y$ . For this map  $f$ , let  $\hat{A} = \cup_{k=1}^n A_k$  be the disjoint union of all components of  $A$  such that for each  $k$ ,  $A_k$  is mapped by  $f$  into some component  $B_c$  (containing  $c$ ) of  $B$ .

Then we shall write  $f_k : A_k \rightarrow B_c$  for the restriction of  $f$  to  $A_k$ . And we have a morphism of maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_k & \xrightarrow{f_k} & B_c \\ i_k \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

where  $i_k, j$  are inclusions. The spaces  $X, Y$  are connected to have universal covering spaces. The universal covering will be denoted by  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X, q : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  and  $p_k : \tilde{A}_k \rightarrow A_k, q_c : \tilde{B}_c \rightarrow B_c, k = 1, \dots, n$ . And  $\gamma$  denotes a covering translation.

For each  $k$ , we pick a lifting  $(\tilde{i}_k, \tilde{j})$  of  $(i_k, j)$  such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{A}_k & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_k} & \tilde{X} & & \tilde{B}_c & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} & \tilde{Y} \\ p_k \downarrow & & \downarrow p & \text{and} & q_c \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ A_k & \xrightarrow{i_k} & X & & B_c & \xrightarrow{j} & Y \end{array}$$

commute.

This  $\tilde{i}_k(\tilde{j})$  determines a correspondence  $i_{k, \text{lift}}(\tilde{j}_{\text{lift}})$  from liftings of  $f_k$  to liftings of  $f$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Given  $\tilde{f}_k$  of  $f_k$  and  $\tilde{i}_k$  of  $i_k$  and  $\tilde{j}$  of  $j$  there exist a unique lifting  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{A}_k & \xrightarrow{\gamma_k} & \tilde{A}_k & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_k} & \tilde{B}_c \\ \tilde{i}_k \downarrow & & \tilde{i}_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{j} \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y} \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* Pick a point  $\tilde{x}_0 \in \tilde{A}_k$  and let  $\tilde{c} = \tilde{f}_k(\tilde{x}_0) \in \tilde{B}_c$ . Then there exists a unique lifting  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  such that  $\tilde{j}(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{i}_k(\tilde{x}_0))$ .  $\tilde{j} \circ \tilde{f}_k$  and  $\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{i}_k$  are liftings of the same map  $j \circ f_k = f \circ i_k : A_k \rightarrow Y$  and they agree at  $\tilde{x}_0$ .

By the unique lifting property of covering spaces, we have

$$\tilde{j} \circ \tilde{f}_k = \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{i}_k. \quad \square$$

**Definition 2.2.** Two liftings  $\tilde{f}_1$  and  $\tilde{f}_2$  of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  are said to be *conjugate* if there exists a covering transformation  $\gamma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  such that  $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2 \circ \gamma$ . Lifting classes are the equivalence classes by conjugacy.

**Lemma 2.3.**

- (a)  $\Gamma(f; c) = \cup_{\tilde{f}} p\Gamma(\tilde{f}; \tilde{c})$ .
- (b)  $p\Gamma(\tilde{f}_1; \tilde{c}) = p\Gamma(\tilde{f}_2; \tilde{c})$  if  $\tilde{f}_1 \sim \tilde{f}_2$  (i. e.,  $[\tilde{f}_1] = [\tilde{f}_2]$ ).
- (c)  $p\Gamma(\tilde{f}_1; \tilde{c}) \cap p\Gamma(\tilde{f}_2; \tilde{c}) = \emptyset$  if  $[\tilde{f}_1] \neq [\tilde{f}_2]$ .

*Proof.*

- (a) If  $x_0 \in \Gamma(f; c)$ , pick  $\tilde{x}_0 \in p^{-1}(x_0)$ . Then there exists  $\tilde{f}$  such that  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{c}$ . Hence  $x_0 \in p\Gamma(\tilde{f}; \tilde{c})$ .
- (b) Let  $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2 \circ \gamma$ . If  $\tilde{x} \in \Gamma(\tilde{f}_1; \tilde{c})$ , then  $\tilde{f}_1(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{f}_2 \circ \gamma(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{c}$ , so that  $\gamma(\tilde{x}) \in \Gamma(\tilde{f}_2; \tilde{c})$ . Thus  $\gamma\Gamma(\tilde{f}_1; \tilde{c}) = \Gamma(\tilde{f}_2; \tilde{c})$ . Hence  $p\Gamma(\tilde{f}_1; \tilde{c}) = p\Gamma(\tilde{f}_2; \tilde{c})$ .
- (c) If  $x \in p\Gamma(\tilde{f}_1; \tilde{c}) \cap p\Gamma(\tilde{f}_2; \tilde{c})$ , then there are  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \in p^{-1}(x)$  such that  $\tilde{f}_1(\tilde{x}_1) = \tilde{c}$  and  $\tilde{f}_2(\tilde{x}_2) = \tilde{c}$ . Suppose  $\tilde{x}_1 = \gamma\tilde{x}_2$ . Then  $\tilde{f}_1(\gamma\tilde{x}_2) = \tilde{f}_2(\tilde{x}_2) (= \tilde{c})$ . Thus

$$\tilde{f}_1\gamma = \tilde{f}_2, \quad \text{i. e., } [\tilde{f}_1] = [\tilde{f}_2]. \quad \square$$

The subset  $p\Gamma(\tilde{f}; \tilde{c})$  of  $\Gamma(f; c)$  is called the root class of  $f$  determined by the lifting class  $[\tilde{f}]$ . Define  $\tilde{i}_{k, \text{lift}}(\tilde{f}_k) = \tilde{f}$  if  $\tilde{j} \circ \tilde{f}_k = \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{i}_k$ . And  $\tilde{i}_{k, \text{lift}}$  induce a correspondence from lifting classes of  $f_k$  to lifting classes of  $f$ , which is independence of the choice of lifting  $\tilde{i}_k$  of  $i_k$  and is determined by  $i_k$  itself. It is denoted

$$i_k^* : C(f_k) \rightarrow C(f)$$

where  $C(f)$  is the lifting class of  $f$ .

Recall that a root class is always labelled by a lifting class, and we have

**Proposition 2.4.** *Every root class of  $f_k : A_k \rightarrow B_c$  belongs to unique root class of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . When  $p_k\Gamma(\tilde{f}_k; c)$  is non-empty,  $p_k\Gamma(\tilde{f}_k; c)$  belongs to  $p\Gamma(\tilde{f}; c)$  if and only if  $i_k^*[\tilde{f}_k] = [\tilde{f}]$ .*

Let  $H : f_0 \simeq f_1 : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be a relative homotopy between  $f_0$  and  $f_1$ ,  $x_i \in \Gamma(f_i; c)$  and  $R_i$  a root class in  $\Gamma'(f_i; c)$  containing  $x_i$ . If there exists in  $X$  a path  $\alpha$  from  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  such that

$$[\Delta(H, \alpha)] = [c],$$

then  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are said to be in correspondence under  $H$  and denoted by  $x_0 H x_1$ , where  $\Delta(H, \alpha)$  is a diagonal path defined by  $\Delta(H, \alpha)(t) = H(\alpha(t), t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . This relation  $x_0 H x_1$  induces a correspondence from  $R_0$  to  $R_1$  under  $H$ , which is denoted by  $R_0 H R_1$ .

Let  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be a mapping under  $H : f \simeq H(\cdot, 1) : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  a relative homotopy. Let the root class in  $\Gamma'(f; c)$  be denoted by  $R$ . If  $R \in \Gamma'(f; c)$  corresponds to a root class  $\in \Gamma'(H(\cdot, 1); c)$  under any such  $H$ , then  $R$  is called an *essential root class*.

As Zhao [7] has defined, we have a similar definition in the case of roots.

**Definition 2.5.** A root class  $p\Gamma(\bar{f}; c)$  of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *weakly common root class* of  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$  if it contains a root class of  $f_k : A_k \rightarrow B_c$  for some  $k$ . It is an *essential weakly common root class* of  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$  if it is an essential root class of  $f$  as well as a weakly common root class of  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$ . We write  $E(f, \bar{f}; c)$  for the number of essential weakly common root classes of  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** A root  $x_0$  of  $f$  belongs to a weakly common root class of  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$  (at  $c$ ) if and only if there is a path  $\alpha$  from  $x_0$  to  $A$  such that  $c \simeq f \circ \alpha : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow Y, c, B$ .

*Proof.* “ $\Rightarrow$ ” Let  $x_0$  belong to a weakly common root class  $p\Gamma(\bar{f}; c)$  of  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$  at  $c \in B_c$ . Suppose  $\tilde{x}_0 \in p^{-1}(x_0)$  and  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{c}$ . By assumption, there is a lifting  $\tilde{f}_k$  of  $f_k : A_k \rightarrow B_c$  so that  $(\tilde{i}_k)_{\text{lift}}(\tilde{f}_k) = \tilde{f}$ . Pick a point  $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{i}_k(\tilde{A}_k)$ , then  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{a}), \tilde{c} \in \tilde{j}(\tilde{B}_c)$ . Take a path  $\tilde{\alpha}$  in  $\tilde{X}$  from  $\tilde{x}_0$  to  $\tilde{a}$ . Since  $\tilde{Y}$  is 1-connected, there is a homotopy of the form

$$\tilde{c} \simeq \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{\alpha} : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow \tilde{Y}, \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}_0), \tilde{j}(\tilde{B}_c).$$

Projecting down to  $Y$ , we have

$$c \simeq f \circ \alpha : I, 0, 1 \rightarrow Y, c, B$$

where  $\alpha = q \circ \tilde{\alpha}$ .

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” Suppose  $x_0 \in p\Gamma(\bar{f}; c)$ ,  $\tilde{x}_0 \in p^{-1}(x_0)$  and  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{c}$ . Lift a path  $\alpha$  from  $\tilde{x}_0$  to get a path  $\tilde{\alpha}$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . Let  $a = \alpha(1) \in A_k$ ,  $b = f_k(a) \in B_c$ , and pick  $\tilde{a} \in (p_k)^{-1}(a), \tilde{b} \in$

$(q_c)^{-1}(b)$ , then there are liftings  $\tilde{i}_k, \tilde{j}$  (resp.) of  $i_k, j$  (resp.) such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{A}_k, \tilde{a}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}_k} & (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\alpha}(1)) & & (\tilde{B}_c, \tilde{b}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} & (\tilde{Y}, \widetilde{f \circ \alpha}(1)) \\ p_k \downarrow & & p \downarrow & , & q_c \downarrow & & \downarrow q & \text{(resp.)} \\ (A_k, a) & \xrightarrow{i_k} & (X, a) & & (B_c, b) & \xrightarrow{j} & (Y, b) \end{array}$$

commute (see Jiang [2, p. 42, Proposition 1.2 (i)]). Let  $H : I \times I \rightarrow Y$  be the homotopy from  $f \circ \alpha$  to  $c$ , i.e.,  $H(t, 0) = f \circ \alpha, H(t, 1) = c$ . Then  $\widetilde{f \circ \alpha}$  determines a lifting  $\tilde{H} : I \times I \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  of  $H$ . Denote  $\beta$  the path  $\{H(1, s)\}_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$  in  $B_c$ . Lift the path  $\beta : I \rightarrow B_c$  from  $\tilde{b}$  to get a path  $\tilde{\beta}$  in  $\tilde{B}_c$ , then  $\tilde{j} \circ \tilde{\beta} : I \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is a lifting from  $\widetilde{f \circ \alpha}(1)$  in  $\tilde{Y}$  of the path  $j \circ \beta$ .

By the unique lifting property of covering spaces, we have  $\tilde{H}(1, s) = \tilde{j} \circ \tilde{\beta}(s)$ . Then  $\tilde{j} \circ \tilde{\beta}(0) = \tilde{H}(1, 0) = \widetilde{f \circ \alpha}(1)$  and  $\tilde{j} \circ \tilde{\beta}(1) = \tilde{H}(1, 1) = \tilde{c}$ , there exists a unique lifting  $\tilde{f}_k$  of  $f_k : A_k \rightarrow B_c$  such that  $\tilde{f}_k(\tilde{a}) = \tilde{\beta}(0) = \tilde{b}$ . Thus  $\tilde{j} \circ \tilde{f}_k(\tilde{a}) = \widetilde{f \circ i_k}(\tilde{a})$ . By the unique lifting property of covering spaces, we have  $\tilde{j} \circ \tilde{f}_k = \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{i}_k$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{i}_k)_{\text{lift}}(\tilde{f}_k)$ . This implies  $[\tilde{f}] = i_k^*[\tilde{f}_k]$ , i.e.,  $p\Gamma(\tilde{f}; c)$  is a weakly common root class of  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *A root class of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  containing a root on  $A$  is a weakly common root class of  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$ .*

In Yang [6], the number  $N(f, \tilde{f}; c)$  of essential common root classes of  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$  is introduced, and we have

**Proposition 2.8.**  $N(f, \tilde{f}; c) \leq E(f; \tilde{f}, c) \leq N(f; c)$ .

*Proof.* By the Corollary 2.7 and Yang [6, Definition 2.1], we know that a common root class is always a weakly common root class. This implies the left inequality. The right one is obvious.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9** (Homotopy Invariance). *If two maps  $f_0 \simeq f_1 : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  are homotopic, then  $E(f_0, \tilde{f}_0; c) = E(f_1, \tilde{f}_1; c)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(h_t, \tilde{h}_t) : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be a (relative) homotopy between  $f_0$  and  $f_1$ . There exist a bijection  $\{h_t\} : \Gamma'(f_0) \rightarrow \Gamma'(f_1)$ . It suffices to show  $\{h_t\}$  sends weakly common root classes to weakly common root classes. Let  $p\Gamma(\tilde{f}_0; c)$  be a weakly common root class of  $f_0$  and  $\tilde{f}_0$ , then there exist a component  $A_k$  of  $\hat{A}$  and a lifting class  $[\tilde{f}_{0k}]$  of  $f_{0k} : A_k \rightarrow B_c$  such that  $i_k^*[\tilde{f}_{0k}] = [\tilde{f}_0]$ .

Let  $\{h_{k,t}\}$ , which is the restriction of  $h_t$  to  $A_k$ , send  $[\widetilde{f}_{0k}]$  to  $[\widetilde{f}_{1k}]$ , then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\widetilde{f}_{0k}] & \xrightarrow{\{h_{k,t}\}} & [\widetilde{f}_{1k}] \\ i_k^* \downarrow & & \downarrow i_k^* \\ [\widetilde{f}_0] & \xrightarrow{\{h_t\}} & [\widetilde{f}_1] \end{array}$$

Thus,  $\{h_t\}$  send  $[\widetilde{f}_0]$  to  $[\widetilde{f}_1] = i_k^*[\widetilde{f}_{1k}]$ , we get the conclusion.  $\square$

In general,  $E(f, \bar{f}; c)$  is different from  $N(f, \bar{f}; c)$ . A simple example is the identity map  $f : (S^1, J) \rightarrow (S^1, J)$  of the pair of unit circle and its subset  $J = e^{i\theta} (-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2})$ . Let  $c = (1, 0) \in J$ , it is easy to see that  $N(f; c) = 1, N(\bar{f}; c) = 0$ . And by definition  $N(f, \bar{f}; c) = 0$ . But  $E(f, \bar{f}; c) = 1$ .

**Definition 2.10.** The number of essential root classes of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  which are not weakly common root classes is called the Nielsen root number of  $f$  on the complementary space  $X - A$ , denoted  $N(f; X - A, c)$ .

By definition  $N(f; X - A, c)$  is a non-negative integer, and

$$N(f; X - A, c) + E(f; \bar{f}, c) = N(f; c).$$

And we also have the basic properties of  $N(f; X - A, c)$ .

**Theorem 2.11** (Lower Bound). *Any map  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  has at least  $N(f; X - A, c)$  roots on  $X - A$ . Thus  $N(f; X - A, c) \leq MR[f; X - A, c]$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that each essential root class contains at least one root. By Corollary 2.7, we shall get the conclusion.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12** (Homotopy Invariance). *Let  $(X, A), (Y, B)$  be pairs of compact polyhedra with  $X, Y$  connected. If the maps  $f_0, f_1 : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  are homotopic, then*

$$N(f_0; X - A, c) = N(f_1; X - A, c).$$

*Proof.* It is known (cf. Kiang [3, p. 129, Theorem 4.14]) that  $N(f_0; c) = N(f_1; c)$ , and  $E(f_0, \bar{f}_0; c) = E(f_1, \bar{f}_1; c)$  from Theorem 2.9.

By definition of  $N(f; X - A, c)$ , we have the conclusion.  $\square$

3. COMPUTATION OF  $N(f; X - A, c)$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be a map of pairs of compact polyhedra. If there is a component  $A_k$  of  $\widehat{A}$  such that  $j_\pi : \pi_1(B_c) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  is onto, then  $N(f; X - A, c) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Jiang [2, p. 46, Theorem 1.14 (i)],  $j_\pi$  is surjective. Then every root class of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a weakly common root class of  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$ .  $\square$

Pick a base point  $a_k \in A_k$  for each  $A_k \subset \widehat{A}$  such that  $f(a_k) = c, x_0 \in X$  and  $c \in B_c \subset Y$ . Recall that points of universal covering spaces  $\tilde{A}_k$  and  $\tilde{X}$  of  $A_k$  and  $X$  are respectively in one-to-one correspondence with the path classes in  $A_k$  and  $X$  starting from  $a_k$  to  $x_0$ . Under this identification, let  $\tilde{a}_k \in p_k^{-1}(a_k), \tilde{x}_0 \in p^{-1}(x_0), \tilde{b} \in q_c^{-1}(c)$  and  $\tilde{y}_0 \in q^{-1}(c)$  be the constant paths. Then there are unique liftings  $\tilde{f}_k$  of  $f_k$  and  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  such that  $\tilde{f}_k(\tilde{a}_k) = \tilde{b}$  and  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{y}_0$ .

By Brown [1, Lemma 1.13],  $\tilde{f}_{k,\pi} = f_{k,\pi}$  and  $\tilde{f}_\pi = f_\pi$ . Throughout this section, the liftings  $\tilde{f}_k$  of  $f_k$  and  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  are chosen as references.

**Lemma 3.2.** *There exist one-to-one correspondence*

$$\phi_k : C(f_k) \rightarrow \pi_1'(B_c, c)$$

$$\phi : C(f) \rightarrow \pi_1'(Y, c)$$

defined by

$$\phi_k[\alpha_k \circ \tilde{f}_k] = [\alpha_k]$$

$$\phi[\alpha \circ \tilde{f}] \rightarrow [\alpha]$$

where  $\alpha_k \in \pi_1(B_c, c), \alpha \in \pi_1(Y, c), C(f)$  is the set of all lifting classes of  $f$  and  $\pi_1'(Y, c)$  is the coset of  $\text{Im} f_\pi$ .

If  $\alpha_k$  is coset in  $\pi_1(B_c, c)$ , then  $j_\pi(\alpha_k)$  is coset in  $\pi_1(Y, c)$ . Then the homomorphism  $j_\pi : \pi_1(B_c, c) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, c)$  induces a transformation  $\nu : \pi_1'(B_c, c) \rightarrow \pi_1'(Y, c)$  and  $\nu$  is independent of the choice of the  $\alpha_k$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be a map of pairs of compact polyhedra. A root class of  $f$  is a weakly common root class of  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$  if and only if it corresponds to an element in the image of  $\nu$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to check that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(f_k) & \xrightarrow{i_k^*} & C(f) \\ \phi_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ \pi_1'(B_c, c) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \pi_1'(Y, c) \end{array}$$

commutes. Let  $[\alpha_k \circ \tilde{f}_k] \in C(f_k)$ , then  $\phi \circ i_k^*[\alpha_k \circ \tilde{f}_k] = \phi[\alpha \circ \tilde{f}] = [\alpha]$  and  $\nu \circ \phi_k[\alpha_k \circ \tilde{f}_k] = \nu[\alpha_k] = [\alpha]$ .  $\square$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_1(B_c, c) & \xrightarrow{\theta_c} & H_1(B_c) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & \text{coker}(-f_{k,*} : H_1(A) \rightarrow H_1(B)) \\ \downarrow j_\pi & & \downarrow j_* & & \downarrow j_* \\ \pi_1(Y, c) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & H_1(Y) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{coker}(-f_* : H_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(Y)) \end{array}$$

where  $\theta_c, \theta$  are abelianization and  $\eta_A, \eta$  are the natural projections.

By some modification of Lee [4, Lemma 3.4], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *The compositions  $\eta_A \circ \theta_c$  and  $\eta \circ \theta$  induce correspondences*

$$\tau_k : \pi_1'(B_c, c) \rightarrow \text{coker}(-f_{k,*})$$

$$\tau : \pi_1'(Y, c) \rightarrow \text{coker}(-f_*)$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1'(B_c, c) & \xrightarrow{\tau_k} & \text{coker}(-f_{k,*}) \\ \nu \downarrow & & \downarrow j_* \\ \pi_1'(Y, c) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \text{coker}(-f_*) \end{array}$$

commutes.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be as in Theorem 3.3. Suppose  $Y$  and  $B_c$  are Jiang spaces. Then if  $N(f; X, c) \neq 0$ , then*

$$N(f; X - A, c) = \#\{\text{coker}(-f_*)\} - \#\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^n j_* \text{coker}(-f_{k,*})\right\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\pi_1(B_c, c)$  and  $\pi_1(Y, c)$  are abelian groups, the correspondence  $\tau$  is bijective. Apply Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 to get the conclusion.  $\square$



## REFERENCES

1. R. F. Brown: *The Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem*. Scott, Foresman and Co., Glenview, IL, 1971. MR 44#1203
2. B. J. Jiang: *Lectures on Nielsen Fixed Point Theory*. Contemp. Math. 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1983. MR 84f:55002
3. T. H. Kiang: *The Theory of Fixed Point Classes*. Translated from the second Chinese edition. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg; Science Press, Beijing, 1989. MR 90h:55002
4. Seoung Ho Lee: A relative Nielsen coincidence number for the complement, I. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **33** (1996), no. 4, 709–716. MR 98a:55003
5. H. Schirmer: A relative Nielsen number. *Pacific J. Math.* **122** (1986), 459–473. MR 87e:55002
6. Ki-Yeol Yang: A relative root Nielsen number. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **11** (1996), no. 1, 245–252. MR 97i:55004
7. X. Z. Zhao: A relative Nielsen number for the complement. In: Bo Ju Jiang (Ed.), *Topological Fixed Point Theory and Applications* (pp. 189–199). Proceedings of the conference held in Tianjin, April, 5–8, 1988. Lecture Notes in Math., 1411. Springer, Berlin, 1989. MR 97k:55007

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SUNCHON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SUNCHEON, JEONNAM 540-742, KOREA

*E-mail address:* gyyang@sunchon.ac.kr