

# Fuzzy Strongly $r$ -Semineighborhoods

Seok Jong Lee\*, Seung On Lee\*, and Ju Hui Park\*\*

\*Department of Mathematics, Chungbuk National University,  
Cheongju 361-763, Korea

\*\*Department of Mathematics, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea

## Abstract

In this thesis, we introduce and investigate the notions of a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood and a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood in fuzzy topological spaces which are generalizations of a fuzzy strongly semineighborhood and a fuzzy strongly quasi-semineighborhood, respectively.

**Key Words** : fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood, fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

E. P. Lee and S. J. Lee[5] introduced the concepts of fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen sets and fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semicontinuous maps, which generalized the concepts of fuzzy strongly semiopen sets and fuzzy strongly semicontinuous maps of Shi-Zhong Bai[9].

In this thesis, we introduce and investigate the notions of a fuzzy strongly

$r$ -semineighborhood and a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood in fuzzy topological spaces which are generalizations of a fuzzy strongly semineighborhood and a fuzzy strongly quasi-semineighborhood, respectively.

We will denote the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  of the real line by  $I$  and  $I_0 = (0, 1]$ . A member  $\mu$  of  $I^X$  is called a fuzzy set in  $X$ . For any  $\mu \in I^X$ ,  $\mu^c$  denotes the complement  $1 - \mu$ . By  $\tilde{0}$  and  $\tilde{1}$  we denote constant maps on  $X$  with value 0 and 1, respectively. All other notations are standard notations of fuzzy set theory.

A Chang's fuzzy topology on  $X$  is a family  $T$  of fuzzy sets in  $X$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\tilde{0}, \tilde{1} \in T$ .
- (2) If  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in T$  then  $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \in T$ .
- (3) If  $\mu_i \in T$  for each  $i$ , then  $\bigvee \mu_i \in T$ .

The pair  $(X, T)$  is called a Chang's fuzzy topological space.

A fuzzy topology on  $X$  is a map  $T: I^X \rightarrow I$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $T(\tilde{0}) = T(\tilde{1}) = 1$ .

$$(2) T(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) \geq T(\mu_1) \wedge T(\mu_2).$$

$$(3) T(\bigvee \mu_i) \geq \bigwedge T(\mu_i).$$

The pair  $(X, T)$  is called a fuzzy topological space.

**Definition 1.1.** ([6]) Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then  $\mu$  is called

- (1) a fuzzy  $r$ -open set in  $X$  if  $T(\mu) \geq r$ ,
- (2) a fuzzy  $r$ -closed set in  $X$  if  $T(\mu^c) \geq r$ .

**Definition 1.2.** ([3,6]) Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy topological space. For each  $r \in I_0$  and for each  $\mu \in I^X$ , the fuzzy  $r$ -closure is defined by

$$\text{cl}(\mu, r) = \bigwedge \{ \rho \in I^X : \mu \leq \rho, T(\rho^c) \geq r \},$$

and the fuzzy  $r$ -interior is defined by

$$\text{int}(\mu, r) = \bigvee \{ \rho \in I^X : \mu \geq \rho, T(\rho) \geq r \}.$$

**Definition 1.3.** ([6,7]) Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then  $\mu$  is said to be

- (1) fuzzy  $r$ -semiopen if there is a fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\rho \leq \mu \leq \text{cl}(\rho, r)$ ,
- (2) fuzzy  $r$ -semiclosed if there is a fuzzy  $r$ -closed set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\text{int}(\rho, r) \leq \mu \leq \rho$ ,
- (3) fuzzy  $r$ -preopen if  $\mu \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mu, r), r)$ ,
- (4) fuzzy  $r$ -preclosed if  $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mu, r), r) \leq \mu$ .

**Definition 1.4.** ([5]) Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then  $\mu$  is said to be

- (1) fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen if there is a fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\rho \leq \mu \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho, r), r)$ ,
- (2) fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiclosed if there is a fuzzy  $r$ -closed set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\rho, r), r) \leq \mu \leq \rho$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** ([5])

- (1) Any union of fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen sets is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen.
- (2) Any intersection of fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiclosed sets is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiclosed.

**Definition 1.6.** ([5]) Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy topological space. For each  $r \in I_0$  and for each  $\mu \in I^X$ , the fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiclosure is defined by

$$sscl(\mu, r) = \bigwedge \{ \rho \in I^X : \mu \leq \rho, \rho \text{ is fuzzy strongly } r\text{-semiclosed} \},$$

and the fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiinterior is defined by

$$ssint(\mu, r) = \bigvee \{ \rho \in I^X : \mu \geq \rho, \rho \text{ is fuzzy strongly } r\text{-semiopen} \}.$$

For  $x \in X$  and for each  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , a fuzzy point  $x_\alpha$  in  $X$  is a fuzzy set in  $X$  defined by

$$x_\alpha(y) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x. \end{cases}$$

In this case,  $x$  and  $\alpha$  are called the support and the value of  $x_\alpha$ , respectively. A fuzzy point  $x_\alpha$  is said to belong to a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$ , denoted by  $x_\alpha \in \mu$ , if  $\alpha \leq \mu(x)$ . A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is the union of all fuzzy points which belong to  $\mu$ .

A fuzzy point  $x_\alpha$  in  $X$  is said to be quasi-coincident with  $\mu$ , denoted by  $x_\alpha q \mu$ , if  $\alpha + \mu(x) > 1$ . A fuzzy set  $\rho$  in  $X$  is said to be quasi-coincident with a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$ , denoted by  $\rho q \mu$ , if there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $\rho(x) + \mu(x) > 1$ .

**Definition 1.7.** ([4,7]) Let  $x_\alpha$  be a fuzzy point of a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called

- (1) a fuzzy  $r$ -neighborhood (fuzzy  $r$ -semineighborhood, fuzzy  $r$ -preneighborhood, respectively) of  $x_\alpha$  if there is a fuzzy  $r$ -open (fuzzy  $r$ -semiopen, fuzzy  $r$ -preopen, respectively) set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $x_\alpha \in \rho \leq \mu$ ,
- (2) a fuzzy  $r$ -quasi-neighborhood (fuzzy  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood, fuzzy  $r$ -quasi-preneighborhood, respectively) of  $x_\alpha$  if there is a fuzzy  $r$ -open (fuzzy  $r$ -semiopen, fuzzy  $r$ -preopen, respectively) set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $x_\alpha q \rho \leq \mu$ ,

## 2. Fuzzy strongly $r$ -semineighborhoods

We are going to define the concepts of a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood and a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood in a fuzzy topological space.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $x_\alpha$  be a fuzzy point of a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called

- (1) a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood of  $x_\alpha$  if there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $x_\alpha \in \rho \leq \mu$ ,
- (2) a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood of  $x_\alpha$  if there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $x_\alpha q \rho \leq \mu$ .

Clearly, if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood (strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood) of  $x_\alpha$  and  $r \geq t$ , then  $\mu$  is also a fuzzy strongly  $t$ -semineighborhood (strongly  $t$ -quasi-semineighborhood) of  $x_\alpha$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy topological space and  $r \in I_0$ . Then a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen if and only if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood of  $x_\alpha$  for every fuzzy point  $x_\alpha \in \mu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set of  $X$  and  $x_\alpha \in \mu$ . Put  $\rho = \mu$ . Then  $\rho$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set in  $X$  and  $x_\alpha \in \rho \leq \mu$ . Thus  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood of  $x_\alpha$ .

Conversely, let  $x_\alpha \in \mu$ . Since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood of  $x_\alpha$ , there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set  $\rho_{x_\alpha}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_\alpha \in \rho_{x_\alpha} \leq \mu$ . So we have

$$\mu = \bigvee \{ x_\alpha : x_\alpha \in \mu \} \leq \bigvee \{ \rho_{x_\alpha} : x_\alpha \in \mu \} \leq \mu.$$

Thus  $\mu = \bigvee \{ \rho_{x_\alpha} : x_\alpha \in \mu \}$ . Since arbitrary join of fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen sets is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen,  $\mu$  is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy topological space and  $r \in I_0$ . Then a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen if and only if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood of  $x_\alpha$  for every fuzzy point  $x_\alpha q \mu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set of  $X$  and  $x_\alpha q \mu$ . Put  $\rho = \mu$ . Then  $\rho$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set in  $X$  and  $x_\alpha q \rho \leq \mu$ . Thus  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood of  $x_\alpha$ .

Conversely, let  $x_\alpha$  be any fuzzy point in  $\mu$  such that  $\alpha < \mu(x)$ . Then  $x_{1-\alpha} q \mu$ . By the hypothesis,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood of  $x_{1-\alpha}$ . Thus there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set  $\rho_{x_\alpha}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_{1-\alpha} q \rho_{x_\alpha} \leq \mu$ . Hence  $\alpha < \rho_{x_\alpha}(x)$  and  $\rho_{x_\alpha} \leq \mu$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \bigvee \{ x_\alpha : x_\alpha \text{ is a fuzzy point in } \mu \text{ such that } \alpha < \mu(x) \} \\ &\leq \bigvee \{ \rho_{x_\alpha} : x_\alpha \text{ is a fuzzy point in } \mu \text{ such that } \alpha < \mu(x) \} \\ &\leq \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu = \bigvee \{ \rho_{x_a}; x_a \text{ is a fuzzy point in } \mu \text{ such that } \alpha < \mu(x) \}$ . Since each  $\rho_{x_a}$  is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen,  $\mu$  is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $x_a$  be a fuzzy point in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then  $x_a \in \text{sscl}(\mu, r)$  if and only if  $\rho \text{ q } \mu$  for all fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood  $\rho$  of  $x_a$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood  $\rho$  of  $x_a$  such that  $\rho \not\text{q } \mu$ . Then there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set  $\lambda$  such that  $x_a \text{ q } \lambda \leq \rho$ . So  $\lambda \not\text{q } \mu$  and hence  $\mu \leq \lambda^c$ . Since  $\lambda^c$  is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiclosed,  $\text{sscl}(\mu, r) \leq \text{sscl}(\lambda^c, r) = \lambda^c$ . On the other hand, since  $x_a \text{ q } \lambda$ , we have  $x_a \notin \lambda^c$ . Hence  $x_a \notin \text{sscl}(\mu, r)$ . This is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose  $x_a \notin \text{sscl}(\mu, r)$ . Then there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiclosed set  $\eta$  such that  $\mu \leq \eta$  and  $x_a \notin \eta$ . Then since  $\eta^c$  is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen and  $x_a \text{ q } \eta^c$ ,  $\eta^c$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood of  $x_a$ . By the hypothesis,  $\eta^c \text{ q } \mu$ . Hence  $\mu \not\leq (\eta^c)^c = \eta$ . This is a contradiction.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $x_a$  be a fuzzy point in a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then  $x_a \in \text{ssint}(\mu, r)$  if and only if there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood  $\rho$  of  $x_a$  such that  $\rho \leq \mu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_a \in \text{ssint}(\mu, r)$ . Then there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set  $\rho$  such that  $x_a \in \rho$  and  $\rho \leq \mu$ .

Conversely, suppose that there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood  $\rho$  of  $x_a$  such that  $\rho \leq \mu$ . Then there is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen set  $\lambda$  such that  $x_a \in \lambda \leq \rho \leq \mu$ . Thus  $x_a \in \text{ssint}(\mu, r)$ .

**Remark 2.6.** (1) Every fuzzy  $r$ -neighborhood ( $r$ -quasi-neighborhood) of  $x_a$  is also a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood (strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood) of  $x_a$ .

(2) Every fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood (strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood) of  $x_a$  is also a fuzzy  $r$ -semineighborhood ( $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood) of  $x_a$ .

(3) Every fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood (strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood) of  $x_a$  is also a fuzzy  $r$ -preneighborhood ( $r$ -quasi-preneighborhood) of  $x_a$ .

Following examples show that their converses need not be true in general.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be fuzzy sets in  $X$  defined by  $\mu_1(a) = \frac{3}{5}$ ,  $\mu_1(b) = \frac{1}{10}$  and

$\mu_2(a) = \frac{7}{10}$ ,  $\mu_2(b) = \frac{9}{10}$ . Define  $T : I^X \rightarrow I$  by

$$T(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $T$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$ . Let  $x = b$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{5}$ . Then  $x_a \in \mu_2$  and  $\mu_2$  is fuzzy strongly  $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen. Thus  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy strongly  $\frac{1}{2}$ -semi-neighborhood but not fuzzy  $\frac{1}{2}$ -neighborhood. Also  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy strongly  $\frac{1}{2}$ -quasi-semineighborhood of  $x_a$  which is not a fuzzy  $\frac{1}{2}$ -quasi-neighborhood of  $x_a$ .

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be fuzzy sets in  $X$  defined by  $\mu_1(a) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\mu_1(b) = \frac{2}{5}$  and  $\mu_2(a) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\mu_2(b) = \frac{3}{5}$ . Define  $T : I^X \rightarrow I$  by

$$T(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $T$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$ . Let  $x = b$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $x_a \in \mu_2$  and  $\mu_2$  is fuzzy  $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen. Thus  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy  $\frac{1}{2}$ -semineighborhood but not fuzzy strongly  $\frac{1}{2}$ -semineighborhood. Also  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy  $\frac{1}{2}$ -quasi-semineighborhood of  $x_a$  which is not a fuzzy strongly  $\frac{1}{2}$ -quasi-semineighborhood of  $x_a$ .

**Example 2.9.** Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be fuzzy sets in  $X$  defined by  $\mu_1(a) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\mu_1(b) = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\mu_2(a) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\mu_2(b) = \frac{11}{15}$ . Define  $T : I^X \rightarrow I$  by

$$T(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \emptyset, \mathbb{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $T$  is a fuzzy topology on  $X$ . Let  $x = b$  and  $\alpha = \frac{8}{15}$ . Then  $x_a \in \mu_2$  and  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy  $\frac{1}{2}$ -preopen. Thus  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy  $\frac{1}{2}$ -preneighborhood but not fuzzy strongly  $\frac{1}{2}$ -semineighborhood. Also  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy  $\frac{1}{2}$ -quasi-preneighborhood of  $x_a$  which is not a fuzzy strongly  $\frac{1}{2}$ -quasi-semineighborhood of  $x_a$ .

Let  $(X, T)$  be a fuzzy topological space. For each

$r \in I_0$ , an  $r$ -cut

$$T_r = \{\mu \in I^X : T(\mu) \geq r\}$$

is a Chang's fuzzy topology on  $X$ .

Let  $(X, T)$  be a Chang's fuzzy topological space and  $r \in I_0$ . A fuzzy topology  $T^r : I^X \rightarrow I$  is defined by

$$T^r(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \check{0}, \check{1}, \\ r & \text{if } \mu \in T - \{\check{0}, \check{1}\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The next two theorems show that a fuzzy strongly semineighborhood [9] is a special case of a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $x_\alpha$  be a fuzzy point of a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then a fuzzy set  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood (strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood) of  $x_\alpha$  in  $(X, T)$  if and only if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly semineighborhood (strongly quasi-semineighborhood) of  $x_\alpha$  in  $(X, T_r)$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $x_\alpha$  be a fuzzy point of a Chang's fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  and  $r \in I_0$ . Then a fuzzy set  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly semineighborhood (strongly quasi-semineighborhood) of  $x_\alpha$  in  $(X, T)$  if and only if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semineighborhood (strongly  $r$ -quasi-semineighborhood) of  $x_\alpha$  in  $(X, T^r)$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

The product fuzzy set  $\mu \times \rho$  of a fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $X$  and a fuzzy set  $\rho$  of  $Y$  is defined by

$$(\mu \times \rho)(x, y) = \mu(x) \wedge \rho(y)$$

for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ .

Let  $(X, T)$  and  $(Y, U)$  be fuzzy topological spaces and  $r \in I_0$ . Then  $X$  is  $r$ -product related to  $Y$  if any fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $X$  and any fuzzy set  $\rho$  of  $Y$ ,

$$\text{cl}(\mu \times \rho, r) = \text{cl}(\mu, r) \times \text{cl}(\rho, r).$$

Let  $\{(X_i, T_i)\}_{i \in J}$  be a family of fuzzy topological spaces.

Let  $X = \prod X_i$  and

$p_i : X \rightarrow X_i, i \in J$ , denote the projection map. Let  $(T_i)_r$  denote the Chang's

fuzzy topology on  $X_i$  for  $i \in J, r \in I_0$ . Let

$$\prod (T_i)_r = \sup_{i \in J} p_i^{-1}((T_i)_r)$$

be the Chang's fuzzy topology generated by  $\{p_i^{-1}((T_i)_r)\}_{i \in J}$  as a subbase.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the fuzzy topology generated by  $\{\prod (T_i)_r\}_{0 < r \leq 1}$ . That is

$$T(\mu) = \bigvee \{r \in I_0 : \mu \in \prod (T_i)_r\}.$$

Then  $T$  is called the product fuzzy topology on  $X$  and denoted by  $\prod T_i$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** Let  $r \in I_0$  and a fuzzy topological space  $(X, T)$  be

$r$ -product related to a fuzzy topological space  $(Y, U)$ . Then for any fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $X$  and any fuzzy set  $\rho$  of  $Y$ ,  $\text{int}(\mu \times \rho, r) = \text{int}(\mu, r) \times \text{int}(\rho, r)$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy set in  $X$  and  $\rho$  any fuzzy set in  $Y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}(\mu \times \rho, r) &= \text{int}(((\mu \times \rho)^c)^c, r) \\ &= \text{cl}((\mu \times \rho)^c, r)^c \\ &= \text{cl}((\mu^c \times \check{1}) \vee (\check{1} \times \rho^c), r)^c \\ &= [\text{cl}(\mu^c \times \check{1}, r) \vee \text{cl}(\check{1} \times \rho^c, r)]^c \\ &= [(\text{cl}(\mu^c, r) \times \text{cl}(\check{1}, r)) \vee (\text{cl}(\check{1}, r) \times \text{cl}(\rho^c, r))]^c \\ &= [(\text{cl}(\mu^c, r) \times \check{1}) \vee (\check{1} \times \text{cl}(\rho^c, r))]^c \\ &= [(\text{int}(\mu, r)^c \times \check{1}) \vee (\check{1} \times \text{int}(\rho, r)^c)]^c \\ &= [(\text{int}(\mu, r) \times \text{int}(\rho, r))^c]^c \\ &= \text{int}(\mu, r) \times \text{int}(\rho, r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem follows.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $(X, T)$  and  $(Y, U)$  be fuzzy topological spaces and  $r \in I_0$ . If  $X$  is  $r$ -product related to  $Y$ , then the product  $\mu \times \rho$  of a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen(strongly  $r$ -semiclosed) set  $\mu$  in  $X$  and a fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen(strongly  $r$ -semiclosed) set  $\rho$  in  $Y$  is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen(strongly  $r$ -semiclosed) in the product fuzzy topological space  $X \times Y$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  be fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen sets in  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Then there are fuzzy  $r$ -open sets  $\mu_1$  in  $X$  and  $\rho_1$  in  $Y$ , such that  $\mu_1 \leq \mu \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mu_1, r), r)$  and  $\rho_1 \leq \rho \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho_1, r), r)$ . Then  $\mu_1 \times \rho_1$  is fuzzy  $r$ -open in  $X \times Y$ . Since  $X$  is  $r$ -product related to  $Y$ , from the Lemma 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 \times \rho_1 &\leq \mu \times \rho \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mu_1, r), r) \times \text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho_1, r), r) \\ &= \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mu_1, r) \times \text{cl}(\rho_1, r), r) \\ &= \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mu_1 \times \rho_1, r), r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu \times \rho$  is fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiopen in  $X \times Y$ . Similarly, if  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  are fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiclosed sets then  $\mu \times \rho$  is also fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semiclosed.

References

- [1] C. L. Chang, "Fuzzy topological spaces," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 24, pp. 182-190, 1968.
- [2] K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra, and S. K. Samanta, "Gradation of openness : Fuzzy topology," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 49, pp. 237-242, 1992.
- [3] K. C. Chattopadhyay and S. K. Samanta, "Fuzzy topology : Fuzzy closure operator, fuzzy compactness and fuzzy connectedness," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 54, pp. 207-212, 1993.
- [4] E. P. Lee, Various kinds of continuity in fuzzy topological spaces. PhD thesis, Chungbuk National University, 1998.
- [5] E. P. Lee and S. J. Lee, "Fuzzy strongly  $r$ -semi-continuous maps," *Proceedings of the Third Asian Fuzzy Systems Symposium*, pp. 370-375, 1998.
- [6] E. P. Lee and S. J. Lee, "Fuzzy  $r$ -semiopen sets and fuzzy  $r$ -semicontinuous maps," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, (accepted).
- [7] S. J. Lee and E. P. Lee, "Fuzzy  $r$ -preopen sets and fuzzy  $r$ -precontinuous maps," *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 91-108, 1999.
- [8] P. M. Pu and Y. M. Liu, "Fuzzy topology I. Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 76, pp. 571-599, 1980.
- [9] S. Z. Bai, "Fuzzy strongly semiopen sets and fuzzy strong semicontinuity," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 52, pp. 345-351, 1992.

저 자 소 개



**이석종 (Seok Jong Lee)**  
 1989~현재 : 충북대학교 수학과 교수  
 1998~현재 : 한국 퍼지 및 지능시스템학회 논문지 편집위원  
 1994~현재 : 한국 퍼지 및 지능시스템학회 이사  
 1994~1996 : 충청수학회 이사

관심분야 : fuzzy topology, general topology, category theory  
 email : sjlee@chungbuk.ac.kr



**이승온 (Seung On Lee)**  
 1981~현재 : 충북대학교 수학과 교수

관심분야 : general topology, frame theory  
 email : solee@chungbuk.ac.kr

**박주희 (Ju Hui Park)**  
 2000 : 충북대학교 수학과 석사과정 (이학석사)  
 2001~현재 : 연세대학교 수학과 박사과정

관심분야 : fuzzy topology  
 email : topjh03@lycos.co.kr