

## THE RANDERS CHANGES OF FINSLER SPACES WITH $(\alpha, \beta)$ -METRICS OF DOUGLAS TYPE

HONG-SUH PARK AND IL-YONG LEE

ABSTRACT. A change of Finsler metric  $L(x, y) \longrightarrow \bar{L}(x, y)$  is called a Randers change of  $L$ , if  $\bar{L}(x, y) = L(x, y) + \rho(x, y)$ , where  $\rho(x, y) = \rho_i(x)y^i$  is a 1-form on a smooth manifold  $M^n$ . Let us consider the special Randers change of Finsler metric  $L \longrightarrow \bar{L} = L + \beta$  by  $\beta$ . On the basis of this special Randers change, the purpose of the present paper is devoted to studying the conditions for Finsler space  $\bar{F}^n$  which are transformed by a special Randers change of Finsler spaces  $F^n$  with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics of Douglas type to be also of Douglas type, and vice versa.

### 1. Introduction

An  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space  $F^n$  is a Douglas space or of Douglas type if and only if the Douglas tensor vanishes identically. Recently R. Bácsó and M. Matsumoto ([2]) have introduced the notion of Douglas space as a generalization of Berwald space from the viewpoint of geodesic equations. The conditions for some Finsler spaces with an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric to be Douglas space are obtained by M. Matsumoto ([8]).

A change of Finsler metric  $L(x, y) \longrightarrow \bar{L}$  is called a Randers change of  $L$ , if  $\bar{L}(x, y) = L(x, y) + \rho(x, y)$ , where  $\rho(x, y) = \rho_i(x)y^i$  is a 1-form on a smooth manifold  $M^n$ . The notion of a Randers change has been proposed by M. Matsumoto ([5]). If  $L(x, y)$  is a Riemannian metric, then  $\bar{L}(x, y)$  becomes the Randers metric.

The purpose of the present paper is to study the Randers change of the Finsler space which is Douglas type. After the section 4, we consider

---

Received January 15, 2000. Revised February 6, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B40.

Key words and phrases: Douglas space, Finsler metric, homogeneous polynomials, Randers change, special Randers change.

This research was supported by the Kyungshu University Research Grants in 2001.

a special Randers change of certain Finsler spaces with an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $L$  by  $\beta$ . The 1-form  $\beta$  of modification is coincided with 1-form  $\beta$  of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $L$ . We are devoted to finding the conditions for Finsler spaces changed by a special Randers change to be of Douglas type.

## 2. Preliminaries

The geodesics of an  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space  $F^n = (M^n, L)$  are given by the system of the differential equations ([1]):

$$\frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} y^j - \frac{d^2 x^j}{dt^2} y^i + 2\{G^i(x, y)y^j - G^j(x, y)y^i\} = 0, \quad y^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}$$

in a parameter  $t$ . The function  $G^i(x, y)$  are given by

$$2G^i(x, y) = g^{ij}(y^r \dot{\partial}_j \partial_r F - \partial_j F),$$

where  $\dot{\partial}_i = \partial/\partial y^i$ ,  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$ ,  $F = L^2/2$  and  $g^{ij}(x, y)$  are the inverse of Finsler metric tensor  $g_{ij}(x, y)$ . According to [2],  $F^n$  is of Douglas type if

$$(2.1) \quad D^{ij} = G^i(x, y)y^j - G^j(x, y)y^i$$

are homogeneous polynomials in  $(y^i)$  of degree three. We shall denote the homogeneous polynomials in  $(y^i)$  of degree  $r$  by  $hp(r)$  for brevity.

Let  $L_i = \dot{\partial}_i L$ ,  $L_{ij} = \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j L$ ,  $L_{ijk} = \dot{\partial}_k \dot{\partial}_j \dot{\partial}_i L$ . Then we have

$$L_i = l_i, \quad LL_{ij} = h_{ij}, \quad L^2 L_{ijk} = h_{ij}l_k + h_{jk}l_i + h_{ki}l_j.$$

And we put

$$(2.2) \quad 2E_{ij} = \rho_{i|j} + \rho_{j|i}, \quad 2F_{ij} = \rho_{i|j} - \rho_{j|i},$$

where  $(|)$  denotes the  $h$ -covariant derivative with respect to the Cartan connection  $CG = (F_k^i{}_j, G^i{}_j, C_k^i{}_j)$ .

On the other hand, a Finsler metric  $L(x, y)$  is called an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, when  $L$  is a positively homogeneous function  $L(\alpha, \beta)$  of degree one in two variables  $\alpha(x, y) = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$  and  $\beta(x, y) = b_i(x)y^i$ . The space  $R^n = (M^n, \alpha)$  is called the *associated Riemannian space* with  $F^n$  ([1], [7]).

We have the covariant differentiation  $(;)$  with respect to the Christoffel symbols  $\gamma_j^i{}_k(x)$  in  $R^n$ . We shall use the symbols as follows:

$$r_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{i;j} + b_{j;i}), \quad s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{i;j} - b_{j;i}),$$

$$s^i{}_j = a^{ir} s_{rj}, \quad s_j = b_r s^r{}_j.$$

Now we consider the functions  $G^i(x, y)$  of  $F^n$  with an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. According to [8],  $G^i(x, y)$  are written in the form

$$(2.3) \quad 2G^i = \gamma_0^i{}_0 + 2B^i,$$

$$B^i = \frac{\alpha L_\beta}{L_\alpha} s^i{}_0 + C^* \left\{ \frac{\beta L_\beta}{\alpha L} y^i - \frac{\alpha L_{\alpha\alpha}}{L_\alpha} \left( \frac{y^i}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha b^i}{\beta} \right) \right\},$$

where  $L_\alpha = \partial L / \partial \alpha$ ,  $L_\beta = \partial L / \partial \beta$ ,  $L_{\alpha\alpha} = \partial^2 L / \partial \alpha \partial \alpha$ , the subscript 0 means contraction by  $y^i$  and

$$C^* = \frac{\alpha\beta(r_{00}L_\alpha - 2\alpha s_0 L_\beta)}{2(\beta^2 L_\alpha + \alpha\gamma^2 L_{\alpha\alpha})}, \quad \gamma^2 = b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2,$$

$$b^i = a^{ij}b_j, \quad b^2 = a^{ij}b_ib_j.$$

Since  $\gamma_0^i{}_0(x)$  are  $hp(2)$ ,  $F^n$  with an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric is Douglas space, if and only if  $B^{ij} \equiv B^i y^j - B^j y^i$  are  $hp(3)$ . Form (2.1) and (2.3) we have

$$(2.4) \quad B^{ij} = \frac{\alpha L_\beta}{L_\alpha} (s^i{}_0 y^j - s^j{}_0 y^i) + \frac{\alpha^2 L_{\alpha\alpha}}{\beta L_\alpha} C^* (b^i y^j - b^j y^i).$$

The following lemma ([9]) is used for latter:

LEMMA. A system of linear equations  $L_{ir} X^r = Y_i$ ,  $(l_r + \rho_r) X^r = Y$  and  $(Y_i y^i = \alpha^2)$  in  $X^i$  has the unique solution  $X^i = LY^i + \frac{1}{\tau}(Y - LY^r \rho_r) l^i$ , where  $Y^i = g^{ir} Y_r$  and  $\tau = \bar{L}/L$ .

### 3. Randers change of Douglas type

For a Randers change:  $L \longrightarrow \bar{L} = L(x, y) + \rho(x, y)$ ,  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(x)_i y^i$ , we may put

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{G}^i = G^i + D^i.$$

Then  $\bar{G}_j^i = G_j^i + D_j^i$  and  $\bar{G}_j^i{}^k = G_j^i{}^k + D_j^i{}^k$ , where  $D_j^i = \dot{\partial}_j D^i$  and  $D_j^i{}^k = \dot{\partial}_k D_j^i$ . The tensors  $D^i$ ,  $D_j^i$  and  $D_j^i{}^k$  are positively homogeneous in  $y^i$  of degree two, one and zero respectively. In the following the explicit form of  $D^i$  is necessary. To find this, we deal with equation  $L_{ij|k} = 0$ , where  $L_{ij|k}$  is the  $h$ -covariant derivative of  $L_{ij} = h_{ij}/L$  in  $CT$ . Then

$$\partial_k L_{ij} = L_{ijr} G^r{}_k + L_{rj} F_i{}^r{}_k + L_{ir} F_j{}^r{}_k.$$

Since  $\bar{L}_{ij} = L_{ij}$  and  $\bar{L}_{ijk} = L_{ijk}$  hold,

$$\bar{L}_{ijk} = L_{ijr} (G^r{}_k + D^r{}_k) + L_{rj} (F_i{}^r{}_k - D_i{}^r{}_k) + L_{ir} (F_j{}^r{}_k + D_j{}^r{}_k),$$

which imply

$$L_{ijr} D^r{}_k + L_{rj} D_i{}^r{}_k + L_{ir} D_j{}^r{}_k = 0.$$

Thus transvection of this equation by  $y^k$  yields

$$(3.2) \quad 2L_{ijr} D^r + L_{rj} D^r{}_i + L_{ir} D^r{}_j = 0.$$

Next, we deal with  $L_{ij} = 0$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j L_i &= L_{ir} G^r{}_j + L_r F_i{}^r{}_j, \\ \partial_j \bar{L}_i &= L_{ir} (G^r{}_j + D^r{}_j) + (L_r + \rho_r) (F_i{}^r{}_j + {}^c D_i{}^r{}_j), \end{aligned}$$

where  ${}^c D_i{}^r{}_j = \bar{F}_i{}^r{}_k - F_i{}^r{}_k$ . Substitution of the equations above in  $\partial_j \bar{L}_i = \partial_j L_i + \partial_j \rho_i$  leads to

$$\partial_j \rho_i - \rho_r F_i{}^r{}_j = L_{ir} D^r{}_j + (l_r + \rho_r) {}^c D_i{}^r{}_j.$$

Then we have

$$(3.3) \quad 2E_{ij} = L_{ir} D^r{}_j + L_{jr} D^r{}_i + 2(l_r + \rho_r) {}^c D_i{}^r{}_j,$$

$$(3.4) \quad 2F_{ij} = L_{ir} D^r{}_j - L_{jr} D^r{}_i.$$

Therefore (3.2) and (3.4) give

$$(3.5) \quad L_{ir} D^r{}_j = F_{ij} - L_{ijr} D^r$$

and transvection of (3.3) by  $y^i$  shows

$$(3.6) \quad (l_r + \rho_r) D^r{}_j = E_{ij} y^i - L_{jr} D^r.$$

Furthermore transvection of (3.5) and (3.6) by  $y^j$  leads to

$$(3.7) \quad (a) \quad L_{ir}D^r = F_{ij}y^j, \quad (b) \quad (l_r + \rho_r)D^r = \frac{1}{2}E_{ij}y^i y^j.$$

The equations (3.7)(a)(b) constitute a system of linear equations respectively. Applying Lemma to (3.7), we have

$$(3.8) \quad D^i = LF^i_0 + \frac{1}{L}(\frac{1}{2}E_{00} - LF_0)y^i,$$

where  $F^i_j = g^{ir}F_{rj}$  and  $F_j = \rho_r F^r_j$ . Thus we have the following

PROPOSITION 3.1. ([9]) *The tensor  $D^i$  of (3.1) arising from a Randers change are given by (3.8).*

From (3.1) and (3.8) we have

$$\bar{G}^i y^j - \bar{G}^j y^i = G^i y^j - G^j y^i + L(F^i_0 y^j - F^j_0 y^i).$$

Suppose  $F^n$  is a Douglas space, that is,  $G^i y^j - G^j y^i$  are hp (3). Thus we have

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $F^n$  be a Douglas space and  $\bar{F}^n$  a Finsler space which is obtained by Randers change by  $\rho$ .  $\bar{F}^n$  is also a Douglas space if and only if  $L(F^i_0 y^j - F^j_0 y^i)$  are hp (3).*

The Randers changes is called *projective Randers changes* if all the geodesic curves are preserved under the Randers changes. According to Hashiguchi-Ichijyō ([4]), *a Randers change is projective, if and only if  $\rho_i$  are gradient vector fields.* In this case (3.8) is reduced to  $D^i = E_{00}y^i/2L$ . Therefore  $D^i y^j - D^j y^i = 0$ . Thus we have  $\bar{G}^i y^j - \bar{G}^j y^i = G^i y^j - G^j y^i$ .

On the other hand, it is well-known that the Douglas tensor is projectively invariant. Hence, if a Finsler space is projectively related to a Douglas space, then it is also a Douglas space. Thus, from Hashiguchi-Ichijyō's theorem, we have the following

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $F^n(M^n, L) \longrightarrow \bar{F}^n(M^n, L + \rho_i)$  be a projective Randers change. If  $F^n$  is a Douglas space, then  $\bar{F}^n$  is also a Douglas space, and vice versa.*

### 4. Generalized Kropina spaces

Hereafter we consider a special Randers change of certain  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric as follows:  $L(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \bar{L} = L(\alpha, \beta) + \beta$ , that is, the 1-form  $\beta$  of modification coincides with 1-form  $\beta$  of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. In this section we deal with a Finsler space  $F^n$  ( $n > 2$ ) with a generalized Kropina metric. The metric of  $F^n$  is  $L = \alpha^{1+m}\beta^{-m}$ , where  $m$  is a constant  $\neq 0, -1$ . We consider the condition for a Finsler space  $\bar{F}^n = (M^n, L + \beta)$  which is obtained by a special Randers change of a generalized Kropina space  $F^n = (M^n, L = \alpha^{1+m}\beta^{-m})$  to be of Douglas type. It has been known ([8]) that a generalized Kropina space is of Douglas space, where  $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$ , if and only if  $b_{i;j}$  are given by

$$(4.1) \quad s_{ij} = \frac{1}{b^2}(b^i s_j - b_j s_i),$$

$$(4.2) \quad r_{ij} = \frac{k}{m(1+m)}\{(1-m)b_i b_j + mb^2 a_{ij}\} + \frac{1-m}{(1+m)b^2}(s_i b_j - s_j b_i).$$

For  $\bar{F}^n$ , (2.3) gives

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}\{(1+m)\beta\bar{B}^{ij} \\ & + (m\alpha^2 - \alpha^{1-m}\beta^{m+1})(s^i_0 y^j - s^j_0 y^i)\} - m\alpha^2\{(1+m)r_{00}\beta \\ & + 2s_0(m\alpha^2 - \alpha^{1-m}\beta^{m+1})\}(b^i y^j - b^j y^i) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which are equivalent to

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}\{(1+m)\beta\bar{B}^{ij} \\ & + m\alpha^2(s^i_0 y^j - s^j_0 y^i)\} - m\alpha^2\{(1+m)r_{00}\beta + 2ms_0\alpha^2\}(b^i y^j - b^j y^i) \\ & - 2\alpha^{1-m}\beta^{m+1}\{[(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2](s^i_0 y^j - s^j_0 y^i) \\ & - ms_0\alpha^2(b^i y^j - b^j y^i)\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then it will be better to divide our consideration into two cases as follows:

- (I)  $\alpha^{1-m}\beta^{m+1}$  : rational in  $(y^i)$ , that is,  $m$  : odd integer,
- (II)  $\alpha^{1-m}\beta^{m+1}$  : irrational in  $(y^i)$ , that is,  $m$  : the others.

The case (I) : First we are concerned with  $m \leq 1$ , where  $m$  is an odd integer. Multiplication of (4.1) by  $\beta^{-m-1}$  leads to

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2\{(1 - m^2)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}\{(1 + m)\beta^{-m}\bar{B}^{ij} + (m\alpha^2\beta^{-1-m} \\ & - \alpha^{1-m})(s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i)\} - m\alpha^2\{(1 + m)r_{00}\beta^{-m} \\ & + 2s_0(m\alpha^2\beta^{-1-m} - \alpha^{1-m})\}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\bar{B}^{ij}$  are supposed to be  $hp(3)$ , the term in (4.5) which seemingly does not contain  $\alpha^2$  is  $2(1 - m^2)\beta^{2-m}\bar{B}^{ij}$  only, and hence we must have  $hp(3 - m) u^{ij}_{3-m}$  such that

$$(4.6) \quad 2(1 - m^2)\beta^{2-m}\bar{B}^{ij} = \alpha^2 u^{ij}_{3-m}.$$

We treat of the general case  $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$ . (4.6) shows that there exist  $hp(1) u^{ij}$  satisfying  $u^{ij}_{3-m} = \beta^{2-m}u^{ij}$ . Then (3.4) is reduced to

$$(4.7) \quad 2(1 - m^2)\bar{B}^{ij} = \alpha^2 u^{ij}.$$

If  $m \neq 1$ , that is,  $F^n$  is not a Kropina space, then (4.7) gives  $\bar{B}^{ij}$  and (4.5) can be rewritten in the form

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{(1 - m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\} \left\{ \frac{\beta^{-m}u^{ij}}{1 - m} + 2(m\beta^{-1-m} - \alpha^{-1-m})(s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) \right\} \\ & - m\{(1 + m)r_{00}\beta^{-m} + 2s_0(m\alpha^2\beta^{-1-m} - \alpha^{1-m})\}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the terms of (4.8) which seemingly do not contain  $\beta$ , we can put

$$2m\alpha^{1-m}\{b^2(s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) - s_0(b^iy^j - b^jy^i)\} = \beta v^{ij}_{2-m},$$

where  $v^{ij}_{2-m}$  are  $hp(2 - m)$ . Consequently we have

$$(4.9) \quad b^2(s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) - s_0(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = \beta v^{ij}$$

and  $v^{ij}_{2-m} = 2m\alpha^{1-m}v^{ij}$  with  $hp(1) v^{ij}$ . Thus (4.8) is reduced to

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\{(1 - m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}}{1 - m} \beta^{-m}u^{ij} + 2m^2\alpha^2\beta^{-m}v^{ij} \\ & + 2[m(1 - m)\beta^{1-m} - \alpha^{-1-m}\{(1 - m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}](s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) \\ & - m\{(1 + m)r_{00}\beta^{-m} - 2s_0\alpha^{1-m}\}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently (4.9) is obtained as follows:

$$(4.11) \quad b^2 s_{ij} = b_i s_j + b_j s_i, \quad \text{provided that } b^2 \neq 0.$$

That is, (4.1). From (4.11), (4.9) is reduced to  $v^{ij} = y^i s^j - y^j s^i$  and (4.10) is rewritten in the form

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\} \left\{ \frac{\beta^{-m} u^{ij}}{1-m} - \frac{2(m\beta^{-m} - \alpha^{-1-m}\beta)}{b^2} (s^i y^j - s^j y^i) \right\} \\ & + \left\{ [2m(1-m)\beta^{1-m} - 2\alpha^{-1-m}\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}] \frac{s_0}{b^2} \right. \\ & \left. - m\{(1+m)r_{00}\beta^{-m} - 2s_0\alpha^{1-m}\} \right\} (b^i y^j - b^j y^i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (4.12) by  $\beta^m$ , we obtain

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\} \left\{ \frac{u^{ij}}{1-m} - \frac{2(m - \alpha^{-1-m}\beta^{1+m})}{b^2} (s^i y^j - s^j y^i) \right\} \\ & + \left\{ [2m(1-m)\beta - 2\alpha^{-1-m}\beta^m\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}] \frac{s_0}{b^2} \right. \\ & \left. - m\{(1+m)r_{00} - 2s_0\alpha^{1-m}\beta^m\} \right\} (b^i y^j - b^j y^i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Transvecting (4.13) by  $b_i s_j$ , we have

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-m} u^{ij} b_i s_j + \frac{2}{b^2} (m - \alpha^{-1-m}\beta^{1+m}) s^j s_j \beta \right\} \\ & = \left\{ m\{(1+m)r_{00} - 2s_0\alpha^{1-m}\beta^m\} \right. \\ & \left. - 2[m(1-m)\beta - \alpha^{-1-m}\beta^m\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}] \frac{s_0}{b^2} \right\} b^2 s_0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that there exists  $u = u_i(x)y^i$  such that  $(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2 = b^2 s_0 u$ . Then this is written in the form

$$2\{(1-m)b_i b_j + mb^2 a_{ij}\} = b^2 (s_i u_j + s_j u_i).$$

Transvection by  $b^i b^j$  leads to the contradiction  $b^2 = 0$ . Therefore (4.14) shows that we have a function  $h_1(x)$  satisfying

$$\frac{1}{1-m} u^{ij} b_i s_j + \frac{2}{b^2} (m - \alpha^{-1-m}\beta^{1+m}) s^j s_j \beta = h_1(x) b^2 s_0,$$



$$\left\{ \{m(1+m)r_{00} - 2ms_0\alpha^{1-m}\beta^m\} - 2[m(1-m)\beta - \alpha^{-1-m}\beta^m] \right. \\ \left. \{ (1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2 \} \frac{s_0}{b^2} \right\} s_0 = \{ (1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2 \} h_1(x) s_0.$$

If  $s_0 \neq 0$ , then we get from the latter

$$(4.15) \quad r_{00} = \frac{h_1(x)}{m(1+m)} \{ (1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2 \} + \frac{2(1-m)s_0\beta}{m(1+m)b^2} (m - \alpha^{-1-m}\beta^{1+m}).$$

Thus (4.13) gives  $u^{ij}$  of the form

$$(4.16) \quad u^{ij} = \frac{2(1-m)}{b^2} (m - \alpha^{-1-m}\beta^{1+m}) (s^i y^j - s^j y^i) + h_1(x) (1-m) (b^i y^j - b^j y^i).$$

Since  $r_{00}$  is  $hp$  (2) from (4.15),  $\alpha^{-1-m}\beta^{1+m}$  must be  $hp$  (0). The condition for  $\alpha^{-1-m}\beta^{1+m}$  to be  $hp$  (0) is  $m = -3$  alone. Thus substituting  $m = -3$  in (4.15), we have

$$(4.17) \quad r_{00} = \frac{h_1(x)}{6} (4\beta^2 - 3b^2\alpha^2) - \frac{4s_0}{3b^2\beta} (\alpha^2 + 3\beta^2).$$

(4.17) shows that there exists  $h_2(x)$  satisfying  $s_0 = h_2(x)\beta$ . Then (4.17) is reduced to

$$(4.18) \quad r_{ij} = \left( \frac{2h_1(x)}{3} - \frac{4h_2(x)}{b^2} \right) b_i b_j - \left( \frac{b^2 h_1(x)}{2} + \frac{4h_2(x)}{3b^2} \right) a_{ij}.$$

That is, (4.2). If  $s_0$  is assumed to vanish, then (4.11) gives  $s_{ij} = 0$  and (4.13) is reduced to

$$\{ (1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2 \} u^{ij} = m(1-m^2)r_{00}(b^i y^j - b^j y^i).$$

Transvection by  $b_i y_j (y_j = a_{jr} y^r)$  leads to

$$\{ (1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2 \} u^{ij} b_i y_j = m(1-m^2)r_{00}(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2).$$

It is easy to show that  $(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2 (= m\gamma^2 + \beta^2)$  is not contained in  $b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2 (= \gamma^2)$ . Consequently it is contained in  $r_{00}$ ; there exists a function  $h_3(x)$  such that  $r_{00} = h_3(x)\{ (1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2 \}$ . Therefore (4.18) holds in this case, too.

Next, we deal with  $m > 1$ . Multiplication of (4.3) by  $\alpha^{-1+m}$  leads to  $s_0 = 0$  and  $s_{ij} = 0$ . Thus we obtain  $r_{00} = h_3(x)\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}$  in common with  $s_0 = 0$ .

The case (II): Since  $\alpha^{1-m}\beta^{m+1}$  is irrational in  $(y^i)$ , (4.4) is divided into two equations as follows:

$$(4.19) \quad 2\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}\{(1+m)\beta\bar{B}^{ij} + m\alpha^2(s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i)\} \\ - m\alpha^2\{(1+m)r_{00}\beta + 2ms_0\alpha^2\}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0,$$

$$(4.20) \quad \{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}(s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) - ms_0\alpha^2(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0.$$

Transvecting (4.20) by  $b_iy_j$ , we get

$$s_0\alpha^2\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\} - ms_0\alpha^2(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 0,$$

which implies  $s_0\alpha^2\beta = 0$ . Hence we get  $s_0 = 0$ , that is,  $s_i = 0$ . (4.20) is reduced to  $s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i = 0$ . Transvection of this by  $y_i$  leads to  $s^i_0 = 0$ . Therefore  $s_{ij} = 0$ . Substituting  $s_{ij} = 0$  in (4.19), we obtain

$$(4.21) \quad 2\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}\bar{B}^{ij} - m\alpha^2r_{00}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0.$$

The term in (4.21) which seemingly does not contain  $\alpha^2$  is  $2(1-m)\beta^2\bar{B}^{ij}$  only, and hence we must have  $hp(3) u_3^{ij}$  satisfying

$$(4.22) \quad 2(1-m)\beta^2\bar{B}^{ij} = \alpha^2u_3^{ij}.$$

Suppose  $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$ . Then (4.22) is reduced to  $\bar{B}^{ij} = \alpha^2u^{ij}$ , where  $u^{ij}$  are  $hp(1)$ . Hence (4.21) leads to

$$(4.23) \quad 2\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}u^{ij} - r_{00}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0.$$

Transvecting (4.23) by  $b_iy_j$ , we obtain

$$2\{(1-m)\beta^2 + mb^2\alpha^2\}u^{ij}b_iy_j - r_{00}(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 0.$$

Thus there exists a function  $h_4(x)$  such that

$$2(m-1)u^{ij}b_iy_j - r_{00} = h_4(x)\alpha^2, \quad 2mb^2u^{ij}b_iy_j - b^2r_{00} = h_4(x)\beta^2.$$

Eliminating  $u^{ij}b_iy_j$  from the above equations, we have

$$b^2r_{00} = h_4(x)\{(m - 1)\beta^2 - mb^2\alpha^2\},$$

which implies

$$(4.24) \quad r_{ij} = \frac{h_4(x)}{b^2}\{(m - 1)b_ib_j - mb^2a_{ij}\}.$$

From  $s_{ij} = 0$  and (4.24) we obtain

$$(4.25) \quad b_{i;j} = h_5(x)\{(m - 1)b_ib_j - mb^2a_{ij}\},$$

where  $h_5(x) = h_4(x)/b^2$ .

Consequently, if (4.25) is satisfied, then  $s_{ij} = 0$  and

$$r_{00} = h_5(x)\{(m - 1)\beta^2 - mb^2\alpha^2\},$$

from which  $\bar{B}^{ij}$  of (4.4) are  $hp(3)$ . Hence (4.18) holds in this case, too.

In any case we obtain  $b_{i;j}$  by (4.11) and (4.18), then  $\bar{B}^{ij}$  are given by (4.7) together with (4.16). Consequently a Finsler space  $\bar{F}^n = (M^n, L + \beta)$  ( $n > 2$ ) with non zero  $b^2$  which is obtained by Randers change of a generalized Kropina space  $F^n = (M^n, L = \alpha^{1+m}\beta^{-m}, m \neq \pm 1, 0)$  is a Douglas space, if and only if  $b_{i;j}$  are given (4.11) and (4.18). That is, (4.1) and (4.2) hold.

On the other hand, it has been known ([8]) that a generalized Kropina space  $F^n$  ( $n > 2$ ) with non zero  $b^2$  is a Douglas space, if and only if  $b_{i;j}$  are given by (4.1) and (4.2). That is to say, the case  $s_0 \neq 0$  for  $F^n$  to be a Douglas space corresponds to the case  $m = -3$  for  $\bar{F}^n$  to be a Douglas space and the case  $s_0 = 0$  for  $F^n$  to be of Douglas type corresponds to the case  $m \neq -3, m \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $\bar{F}^n$  to be of Douglas type. Thus we obtain the following

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $F^n$  ( $n > 2$ ) be a generalized Kropina space with  $L = \alpha^{1+m}\beta^{-m}$ ,  $m$  being a constant  $\neq \pm 1, 0$ . A Finsler space  $\bar{F}^n$  which is obtained by a special Randers change of  $F^n$  with non zero  $b^2$  of Douglas type is also of Douglas type, and vice versa.*

### 5. Kropina space

Let  $F^n$  be a Kropina space with  $L = \alpha^2/\beta$  and  $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$  a Finsler space which is obtained by Randers change of  $F^n = (M^n, L)$ . From (2.4),  $\overline{B}^{ij} = \overline{B}^i y^j - \overline{B}^j y^i$  in  $\overline{F}^n$  are written as

$$(5.1) \quad \overline{B}^{ij} = B^{ij} + \frac{\alpha}{L_\alpha} (s^i{}_0 y^j - s^j{}_0 y^i) - \frac{\alpha^4 s_0 L_{\alpha\alpha}}{L_\alpha (\beta^2 L_\alpha + \alpha \gamma^2 L_{\alpha\alpha})} (b^i y^j - b^j y^i).$$

Suppose  $F^n$  is a Douglas space. Since  $B^{ij}$  are  $hp(3)$ , the necessary and sufficient condition for  $\overline{F}^n$  to be also a Douglas space is that

$$\frac{\alpha}{L_\alpha} (s^i{}_0 y^j - s^j{}_0 y^i) - \frac{\alpha^4 s_0 L_{\alpha\alpha}}{L_\alpha (\beta^2 L_\alpha + \alpha \gamma^2 L_{\alpha\alpha})} (b^i y^j - b^j y^i)$$

are  $hp(3)$ . Thus we have the following

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *Let  $F^n = (M^n, L)$  be a Finsler space with an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric of Douglas type. Then  $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, L + \beta)$  which is obtained by a special Randers change of  $F^n$  is also a Douglas space, if and only if*

$$(5.2) \quad W^{ij} = \frac{\alpha}{L_\alpha} (s^i{}_0 y^j - s^j{}_0 y^i) - \frac{\alpha^4 s_0 L_{\alpha\alpha}}{L_\alpha (\beta^2 L_\alpha + \alpha \gamma^2 L_{\alpha\alpha})} (b^i y^j - b^j y^i)$$

are  $hp(3)$ .

We suppose  $F^n$  is a Douglas space. The condition for  $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, L + \beta)$  to be a Douglas space is that (5.2) is  $hp(3)$ . From (5.2) we have

$$W^{ij} = \frac{\beta}{2} (s^i{}_0 y^j - s^j{}_0 y^i) - \frac{s_0 \beta}{b^2} (b^i y^j - b^j y^i).$$

Since  $B^{ij}$  and  $W^{ij}$  are  $hp(3)$ ,  $\overline{B}^{ij}$  are  $hp(3)$ , that is,  $\overline{F}^n$  is a Douglas space. Thus a Kropina space  $F^n$  is of Douglas type, then a Finsler space  $\overline{F}^n$  which is obtained by a special Randers change of  $F^n$  is of Douglas type also. We consider the condition for a Finsler space which is obtained by a special Randers change of a Kropina space to be of Douglas type. For  $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L} = \alpha^2/\beta + \beta)$ , (2.4) gives

$$(5.3) \quad \overline{B}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2\beta} (\beta^2 - \alpha^2) (s^i{}_0 y^j - s^j{}_0 y^i) + \frac{1}{2b^2\beta} \{r_{00}\beta - s_0(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)\} (b^i y^j - b^j y^i).$$

Since the terms  $(\beta/2)(s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) + (1/2b^2\beta)(r_{00} - s_0\beta)(b^iy^j - b^jy^i)$  are  $hp(3)$ , these terms may be neglected in our discussion and we treat only of

$$(5.5) \quad \overline{W}^{ij} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\beta} \left\{ \frac{s_0}{b^2} (b^iy^j - b^jy^i) - (s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) \right\}.$$

For  $n > 2$ ,  $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$  ([3]). Therefore there exist  $hp(1)$   $v^{ij} = v_k^{ij}(x)y^k$  such that

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{s_0}{b^2} (b^iy^j - b^jy^i) - (s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) = \beta v^{ij}.$$

This equation is written in the form

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{1}{b^2} \{ b^i (s_h \delta_k^j + s_k \delta_h^j) - b^j (s_h \delta_k^i + s_k \delta_h^i) \} - (s^i_h \delta^j_k + s^i_k \delta^j_h) + (s^j_h \delta^i_k + s^j_k \delta^i_h) = b_h v_k^{ij} + b_k v_h^{ij}.$$

Transvection of (5.7) by  $a^{hk}$  leads to

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{1}{b^2} (b^i s^j - b^j s^i) - 2s^{ij} = b^r v_r^{ij}.$$

Next, transvecting (5.7) by  $b^h$ , we have

$$(5.9) \quad (s^i \delta_k^j + b^i s^j_k) - (s^j \delta_k^i + b^j s^i_k) = b^2 v_k^{ij} + b_k b^r v_r^{ij}.$$

Contraction of (5.7) with  $j$  and  $h$  leads to

$$(5.10) \quad n \left( \frac{1}{b^2} b^i s_k - s^i_k \right) = b_r v_k^{ir} - b_k v_r^{ir}.$$

Substituting  $b^r v_r^{ij}$  of (4.8) in (4.9), we have

$$b^2 v_k^{ij} = 2s^{ij} b_k + \left\{ b^i s^j_k - b^j s^i_k + s^i \delta_k^j - s^j \delta_k^i + \frac{1}{b^2} (s^i b^j b_k - s^j b^i b_k) \right\},$$

which imply

$$b^2 v^i_r r_r = (n - 1) s^i, \quad b^2 b_r v_k^{ir} = b^i s_k - b^2 s^i_k.$$

Consequently (5.10) leads to

$$(5.11) \quad s_{ij} = \frac{1}{b^2}(b_i s_j - b_j s_i).$$

Then (5.5) gives

$$\bar{W}^{ij} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2b^2}(s^i y^j - s^j y^i),$$

which are  $hp(3)$ . Therefore (5.11) is the necessary and sufficient condition for  $\bar{F}^n$  to be of Douglas type.

On the other hand, it is known ([8]) that a Kropina space  $F^n (n > 2)$  with  $b^2 \neq 0$  is of Douglas type, if and only if (5.11) is satisfied. Thus we have the

**THEOREM 5.2.** A Finsler space  $\bar{F}^n (n > 2)$  which is obtained by a special Randers change of a Kropina space  $F^n$  with  $b^2 \neq 0$  is of Douglas type, if and only if the Kropina space  $F^n$  is of Douglas type.

## 6. Matsumoto space

We consider the condition for a Finsler space  $\bar{F}^n = (M^n, L + \beta)$  which is obtained by a special Randers change of Matsumoto space  $F^n = (M^n, L = \alpha^2/(\alpha - \beta))$  to be of Douglas type. It is known ([6]) that a Matsumoto space  $F^n (n > 2)$  is of Douglas type, if and only if  $b_{i,j} = 0$ . Hence, for a Matsumoto space  $F^n$  of Douglas type, (2.4) leads to  $\bar{W}^{ij} = 0$ , that is,  $\bar{B}^{ij} = B^{ij}$ . Thus if a Matsumoto space  $F^n$  is of Douglas type, then a Finsler space which is obtained by a special Randers change of  $F^n$  is also of Douglas type. It is known ([8]) that a Matsumoto space  $F^n (n > 2)$  is of Douglas type, if and only if  $b_{i,j} = 0$ . Hence, for a Matsumoto space  $F^n$  of Douglas type, (5.2) leads to  $\bar{W}^{ij} = 0$ , that is,  $\bar{B}^{ij} = B^{ij}$ . Thus if a Matsumoto space  $F^n$  is of Douglas type, then a Finsler space which is obtained by a special Randers change of  $F^n$  is also of Douglas type. For  $\bar{F}^n$ , (2.3) gives

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{\alpha(1 + 2b^2) - 3\beta\} \{(\alpha - 2\beta)\bar{B}^{ij} - (2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha\beta + \beta^2)(s^i_0 y^j - s^j_0 y^i)\} \\ & + \alpha\{2s_0(2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha\beta + \beta^2) - r_{00}(\alpha - 2\beta)\}(b^i y^j - b^j y^i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\bar{F}^n$  be a Douglas space, that is,  $\bar{B}^{ij}$  be  $hp(3)$ . Since  $\alpha$

is irrational in  $(y^i)$ , (6.1) is divided as follows:

$$(6.2) \quad \{(1 + 2b^2)\alpha^2 + 6\beta^2\}\bar{B}^{ij} + \{2\alpha^2\beta(1 + 2b^2) + 3\beta(2\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\}(s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) - (4s_0\alpha^2\beta + r_{00}\alpha^2)(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0,$$

$$(6.3) \quad (5 + 4b^2)\beta\bar{B}^{ij} + \{(1 + 2b^2)(2\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + 6\beta^2\}(s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) - 2\{s_0(2\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + r_{00}\beta\}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0.$$

Eliminating  $\bar{B}^{ij}$  from these equations, we have

$$(6.4) \quad A(s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i) + B(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0,$$

where we put

$$A = \alpha^2(21\beta^2 + 12\beta^2b^2 + 12\beta^2b^4 - 2\alpha^2 - 8\alpha^2b^2 - 8\alpha^2b^4) - 27\beta^4,$$

$$B = \alpha^2\{s_0(6\beta^2 - 12\beta^2b^2 + 4\alpha^2 + 8\alpha^2b^2) - 3r_{00}\beta\} + 12\beta^3(s_0\beta + r_{00}).$$

Transvection of (6.4) by  $b_iy_j$  leads to

$$(6.5) \quad As_0\alpha^2 + B(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 0.$$

Since the terms  $12(s_0\beta + r_{00})\beta^5$  of (6.5) seemingly do not contain  $\alpha^2$ , we must have  $hp(5) v_5$  such that

$$(6.6) \quad 12(s_0\beta + r_{00})\beta^5 = \alpha^2v_5.$$

In the first case of  $v_5 = 0$ , we have  $r_{00} = -s_0\beta$  from (6.6), and (6.5) is reduced to

$$\{\alpha^2(17\beta^2 + 13\beta^2b^2 - 2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha^2b^2) + 12\beta^4(b^2 - 3)\}s_0 = 0.$$

If the coefficient of  $s_0$  does not vanish, then

$$\alpha^2(17\beta^2 + 13\beta^2b^2 - 2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha^2b^2) = 12\beta^4(3 - b^2).$$

Since we suppose  $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$ , the above assumption is a contradiction. Therefore we obtain  $s_0 = 0$  and  $r_{00} = 0$  from (6.6). Next, in the second case of  $v_5 \neq 0$ , (6.6) shows the existence of a function

$k_1(x)$  satisfying  $v_5 = k_1(x)\beta^5$ , and hence  $r_{00} = k_2(x)\alpha^2 - s_0\beta$ , where  $k_2(x) = k_1(x)/12$ . Then (6.5) is reduced to

$$(6.7) \quad As_0 + \{s_0(9\beta^2 - 12\beta^2b^2 + 4\alpha^2 + 8\alpha^2b^2) - 3k_2(x)\beta(\alpha^2 - 4\beta^2)\}(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 0.$$

Only the terms  $-36s_0\beta^4 + 12\beta^4b^2s_0 - 12k_2(x)\beta^5$  of (6.7) seemingly do not contain  $\alpha^2$ , and hence we must have  $hp(3)v_3$  such that

$$12\{s_0(b^2 - 3) - k_2(x)\beta\}\beta^4 = \alpha^2v_3.$$

From  $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$  it follows that  $v_3$  must vanish, and hence  $s_0(b^2 - 3) = k_2(x)\beta$ , that is,  $(b^2 - 3)s_i = k_2(x)b_i$ . Then transvection by  $b^i$  gives  $k_2(x)b^2 = 0$ . In case of  $k_2(x) = 0$ , we get  $b^2 = 3$  or  $s_i = 0$ . If  $b^2 = 3$ , then (6.7) is reduced to  $14s_0(4\beta^2 - \alpha^2)\alpha^2 = 0$ . Thus we obtain  $s_0 = 0$  and  $r_{00} = 0$ . Next, if  $s_i = 0$ , then we have  $s_0 = 0$  and  $r_{00} = 0$ , too. On the other hand, in the case of  $b^2 = 0$ , (6.7) is reduced to  $s_0(17\alpha^2\beta^2 - 2\alpha^4 - 36\beta^4) + 3k_2(x)\beta^3(\alpha^2 - 4\beta^2) = 0$ , which implies  $s_0 = 0$  and  $k_2(x) = 0$ . Therefore, for  $n > 2$ , both the cases of  $v_5 = 0$  and  $v_5 \neq 0$  lead to  $r_{00} = 0$  and  $s_0 = 0$ . Hence (6.4) is reduced to  $s^i_0y^j - s^j_0y^i = 0$ , and transvection by  $y_i$  gives  $s^i_0 = 0$ . Finally  $r_{ij} = s_{ij} = 0$ , that is,  $b_{i;j} = 0$ .

Thus a Finsler space  $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, L + \beta)$  ( $n > 2$ ) which is obtained by a special Randers change of a Matsumoto space  $F^n = (M^n, L = \alpha^2/(\alpha - \beta))$  is Douglas space, if and only if  $b_{i;j} = 0$ . On the other hand, M. Matsumoto proved ([8]) that a Matsumoto space  $F^n$  ( $n > 2$ ) is of Douglas type, if and only if  $b_{i;j} = 0$ . Thus we have the following

**THEOREM 6.1.** *A Finsler space  $\overline{F}^n$  ( $n > 2$ ) which is obtained by a special Randers change of a Matsumoto space  $F^n$  of Douglas type is also of Douglas type, and vice versa.*

On the other hand, it has been shown ([1]) that Matsumoto space is a Berwald space, if and only if  $b_{i;j} = 0$ . Then according to Theorem 6.1 we have the following

**COROLLARY 6.2.** *Let  $\overline{F}^n$  ( $n > 2$ ) be a Finsler space which is obtained by a special Randers change of a Matsumoto space  $F^n$ . If  $F^n$  is a Douglas space, then  $\overline{F}^n$  is a Berwald space.*

## 7. Finsler space with $L = \alpha + \beta^2/\alpha$

We consider a Finsler space  $F^n = (M^n, L)$  with an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $L = \alpha + \beta^2/\alpha$ . This metric may be regarded as constructed from  $\alpha$  and



one more Riemannian metric  $\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$ , and it is thought of as desirable in the viewpoint of geometry and applications ([8]). For  $\bar{F}^n = (M^n, \bar{L})$  which is obtained by a special Randers change of  $F^n = (M^n, L = \alpha + \beta^2/\alpha)$ , (2.3) gives

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{B}^{ij} = & \frac{\alpha^2(\alpha + 2\beta)}{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)}(s^i{}_0y^j - s^j{}_0y^i) \\ & + \frac{\alpha^2\{r_{00}(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - 2s_0\alpha^2(\alpha + 2\beta)\}}{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\{\alpha^2(1 + 2b^2) - 3\beta^2\}}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\bar{F}^n$  be a Douglas space, that is,  $\bar{B}^{ij}$  be *hp* (3). Separating (7.1) into the rational and irrational terms of  $y^i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\alpha^2(1 + 2b^2) - 3\beta^2\}\{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\bar{B}^{ij} - 2\alpha^2\beta(s^i{}_0y^j - s^j{}_0y^i)\} \\ & - \alpha^2\{r_{00}(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - 4s_0\alpha^2\beta\}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) \\ & + \alpha[2s_0\alpha^4(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) - \alpha^2\{\alpha^2(1 + 2b^2) - 3\beta^2\}(s^i{}_0y^j - s^j{}_0y^i)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which yield two equations as follows:

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{\alpha^2(1 + 2b^2) - 3\beta^2\}\{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\bar{B}^{ij} - 2\alpha^2\beta(s^i{}_0y^j - s^j{}_0y^i)\} \\ & - \alpha^2\{r_{00}(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - 4s_0\alpha^2\beta\}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.3) \quad 2s_0\alpha^2(b^iy^j - b^jy^i) - \{\alpha^2(1 + 2b^2) - 3\beta^2\}(s^i{}_0y^j - s^j{}_0y^i) = 0.$$

Transvecting (7.3) by  $b_iy_j$ , we obtain

$$2s_0\alpha^2(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \{\alpha^2(1 + 2b^2) - 3\beta^2\}s_0\alpha^2 = 0,$$

which implies  $s_0\alpha^2(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) = 0$ . Therefore we get  $s_i = 0$ . Hence (7.3) is reduced to  $s^i{}_0y^j - s^j{}_0y^i = 0$ , and transvection by  $y_i$  gives  $s^i{}_0 = 0$ . Consequently  $s_{ij} = 0$ . On the other hand, substituting (7.3) in (7.2), we have

$$(7.4) \quad \{\alpha^2(1 + 2b^2) - 3\beta^2\}\bar{B}^{ij} - \alpha^2\{r_{00}(b^iy^j - b^jy^i)\} = 0.$$

Only the terms  $3\beta^2\bar{B}^{ij}$  of (7.4) seemingly do not contain  $\alpha^2$ . Hence we must have *hp*(3)  $v_3^{ij}$  satisfying

$$(7.5) \quad 3\beta^2\bar{B}^{ij} = \alpha^2v_3^{ij}.$$

For the sake of brevity we suppose  $\alpha^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$ . Then (7.5) is reduced to  $\bar{B}^{ij} = \alpha^2 v^{ij}$ , where  $v^{ij}$  are  $hp(1)$ . Thus (7.4) leads to

$$(7.6) \quad \{\alpha^2(1 + 2b^2) - 3\beta^2\}v^{ij} - r_{00}(b^i y^j - b^j y^i) = 0.$$

Transvecting (6.6) by  $b_i y_j$ , we get

$$\{\alpha^2(1 + 2b^2) - 3\beta^2\}b_i v^{ij} y_j - r_{00}(b^2 \alpha^2 - \beta^2) = 0,$$

which imply

$$\alpha^2\{(1 + 2b^2)b_i v^{ij} y_j - b^2 r_{00}\} = \beta^2(3b_i v^{ij} y_j - r_{00}).$$

Therefore there exists a function  $f_1(x)$  satisfying

$$(1 + 2b^2)b_i v^{ij} y_j - b^2 r_{00} = f_1(x)\beta^2, \quad 3b_i v^{ij} y_j - r_{00} = f_1(x)\alpha^2.$$

Eliminating  $b_i v^{ij} y_j$  from above the equations, we obtain

$$(7.7) \quad r_{00} = f_1(x) \frac{(1 + 2b^2)\alpha^2 - 3\beta^2}{b^2 - 1}.$$

From (7.7) and  $s_{ij} = 0$ ,

$$(7.8) \quad b_{i;j} = f_2(x)\{(1 + 2b^2)a_{ij} - 3b_i b_j\},$$

where  $f_2(x) = f_1(x)/(b^2 - 1)$ .

Conversely, if (7.8) is satisfied, then  $s_{ij} = 0$  and

$$r_{00} = f_2(x)\{(1 + 2b^2)\alpha^2 - 3\beta^2\},$$

from which  $\bar{B}^{ij}$  of (7.1) are  $hp(3)$ . Thus we have the following

**THEOREM 7.1.** *A Finsler sapce  $\bar{F}^n$  ( $n > 2$ ) which is obtained by a special Randers change of a Finsler space  $F^n$  with an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $L = \alpha + \beta^2/\alpha$  ( $b^2 \neq 1$ ) of Douglas type, is also a Douglas space, and vice versa.*

## References

- [1] P. L. Antonelli, R. S. Ingarden, and M. Matsumoto, *The theory of sprays and Finsler spaces with applications in physics and biology*, Kluwer Acad., Dordrecht, 1993.
- [2] S. Bácsó and M. Matsumoto, *On the Finsler spaces of Douglas type. A generalization of the notion of Berwald space*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **51** (1997), 385–406.
- [3] M. Hashiguchi, S. Hōjō, and M. Matsumoto, *Landsberg spaces of dimension two with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric*, Tensor(N. S.) **57** (1996), 145–153.
- [4] M. Hashiguchi and Y. Ichijyō, *Randers spaces with rectilinear geodesics*, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ., (Math., Phys. and Chem.) **13** (1980), 33–40.
- [5] M. Matsumoto, *On Finsler spaces with Randers metric and special forms of important tensors*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14** (1974), 477–498.
- [6] ———, *Foundations of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler spaces*, Kaiseisha Press, Ōtsu, Saikawa, 1986.
- [7] ———, *Theory of Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric*, Rep. Math. Phys. **31** (1992), 43–83.
- [8] ———, *Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric of Douglas type*, Tensor(N. S.) **60** (1998), 123–134.
- [9] ———, *Projective Randers change of P-reducible Finsler spaces*, Tensor(N. S.) (to appear).
- [10] H. S. Park and E. S. Choi, *Finsler spaces with an approximate Matsumoto metric of Douglas type*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. **14** (1999), 535–544.
- [11] H. S. Park and I. Y. Lee, *On the Landsberg spaces of dimension two with a special  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **37** (2000), no. 1, 73–84.

Hong-Suh Park  
Department of Mathematics  
Yeungnam University  
Gyongsan 712-749, Korea  
*E-mail*: phs1230@unitel.co.kr

Il-Yong Lee  
Department of Mathematics  
Kyungsung University  
Pusan 608-736, Korea  
*E-mail*: iylee@star.kyungsung.ac.kr