

## DECOMPOSITION OF SOME CENTRAL SEPARABLE ALGEBRAS

EUNMI CHOI AND HEISOOK LEE

ABSTRACT. If an Azumaya algebra  $A$  is a homomorphic image of a finite group ring  $RG$  where  $G$  is a direct product of subgroups then  $A$  can be decomposed into subalgebras  $A_i$  which are homomorphic images of subgroup rings of  $RG$ . This result is extended to projective Schur algebras, and in this case behaviors of 2-cocycles will play major role. Moreover considering the situation that  $A$  is represented by Azumaya group ring  $RG$ , we study relationships between the representing groups for  $A$  and  $A_i$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  denote a commutative ring. The Brauer group  $B(R)$  is the group of similar classes  $[A]$  consisting of an Azumaya (i.e., central separable)  $R$ -algebra  $A$  over  $R$  (refer to [4, (2.5)]). An Azumaya  $R$ -algebra which is a homomorphic image of a group ring  $RG$  for some finite group  $G$  is called the Schur algebra. The set of similar classes of Schur algebras forms the Schur subgroup  $S(R)$  of  $B(R)$ . In [5], two subgroups of  $S(R)$  were introduced; one is  $S'(R)$  consisting of elements in  $S(R)$  that are represented by cyclotomic algebras  $(R(\varepsilon_n)/R, \alpha)$  with 2-cocycle  $\alpha$  on  $\text{Gal}(R(\varepsilon_n)/R)$  having values in  $(\varepsilon_n)$  for  $n > 0$ . The other is  $S''(R)$  consisting of elements in  $S(R)$  with a representative which is a homomorphic image of separable group algebra  $RG$ . The group ring  $RG$  is separable if and only if  $|G|$  is unit of  $R$ . The  $S'(R)$  and  $S''(R)$  need not equal, however if  $R = k$  a field then  $S''(k) = S'(k) = S(k)$  due to Brauer-Witt theorem [10].

The Schur  $k$ -algebra was generalized by Lorenz and Opolka (1978) that a finite dimensional central simple  $k$ -algebra which is a homomorphic image of a twisted group algebra  $kG^\alpha$  for some finite group  $G$  and some  $\bar{\alpha} \in H^2(G, k^*)$  is called the projective Schur algebra over  $k$ . The set of similar classes of projective Schur algebras forms the projective Schur group  $PS(k)$ .

---

Received July 25, 1999.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16H05, 16S34, 20C.

Key words and phrases: Azumaya algebra, Schur algebra, projective Schur algebra.

This paper was supported by BSRI-98-1435.

In the paper we study decompositions of some Azumaya algebras such as Schur and projective Schur algebras. In section 2, we prove that if  $A$  is an Azumaya algebra which is an epimorphic image of  $RG$  and if  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  then  $A$  is decomposed into Azumaya subalgebras  $A_i$  where  $A_i$  is represented by  $RG_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). The similar result can be obtained with respect to projective Schur algebras, and in this case behaviors of 2-cocycles corresponding to representing groups play important roles. In section 3, regarding  $RG$  itself as an Azumaya group ring which represents  $A$ , we study interrelationships between the representing Azumaya group rings for  $A$  and  $A_i$ .

Throughout the paper,  $R$  will always denote a connected commutative ring. Let  $[A] \in B(R)$  denote a similar class of finite dimensional Azumaya  $R$ -algebra  $A$ , and for  $A' \in [A]$  we denote  $A' \sim A$ . Let  $u(R)$  be the set of units of  $R$ ,  $k^*$  be the multiplicative subgroup of a field  $k$  and  $\varepsilon_n$  ( $n > 0$ ) be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. For a field extension  $L/k$ , we denote  $H^2(\text{Gal}(L/k), L^*)$  by  $H^2(L/k)$ .

## 2. Schur and projective Schur algebras

For Galois extensions of commutative ring  $R$ , we may refer to [1] or [4]. Let  $A$  be any  $R$ -algebra and  $B$  be any subalgebra of  $A$ . Let  $A^B = \{a \in A \mid ab = ba \text{ for any } b \in B\}$ . Then  $A^B$  is an  $R$ -subalgebra of  $A$  which commutes with  $B$ . We remark the following lemma for convenience.

LEMMA 1 [4, (2.4.3)]. *Let  $A$  be an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra.*

- (1) *If  $B$  is an Azumaya  $R$ -subalgebra of  $A$  then so is  $A^B$ . Moreover  $(A)^{A^B} = B$  and  $B \otimes A^B \cong A$ ;  $b \otimes a \mapsto ba$  for  $b \in B, a \in A^B$ .*
- (2) *Suppose  $B$  and  $C$  are subalgebras with  $B \otimes C \cong A$ ,  $b \otimes c \mapsto bc$  ( $b \in B, c \in C$ ). Then  $B, C$  are Azumaya algebras with  $A^B = C, A^C = B$ .*

Thus for a given Azumaya algebra  $A$ , Azumaya subalgebras of  $A$  occur in pairs, each of the pair is the commutator subalgebra of the other and whose tensor product is isomorphic to  $A$ .

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that  $[A] \in S(R)$  and the Azumaya algebra  $A$  is represented by a finite group ring  $RG$ . If  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  then  $A$  can be decomposed into  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  where each  $A_i$  is represented by  $RG_i$ , thus  $[A_i] \in S(R)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Furthermore if  $[A] \in S''(R)$  then each  $[A_i] \in S''(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be the surjective homomorphism  $RG \rightarrow A$  and let  $\{u_g \mid g \in G\}$  denote an  $R$ -basis for  $RG$  with multiplication  $u_g u_x = u_{gx}$  for  $g, x \in G$ . Since  $RG = R(G_1 \times G_2) \cong RG_1 \otimes_R RG_2$  as  $R$ -algebras [9], for  $g = g_1 g_2 \in G$  ( $g_i \in G_i$ ), the  $R$ -basis element  $u_g \in RG$  corresponds to  $u_{g_1} \otimes u_{g_2}$  where

$u_{g_i}$  is an  $R$ -basis of  $RG_i$ . Hence we may use the same notation  $f$  for the surjection  $RG_1 \otimes RG_2 \rightarrow A$  defined by  $f(u_{g_1} \otimes u_{g_2}) = f(u_g)$ .

Let  $f_i$  be the restriction of  $f$  to  $RG_i$  and let  $A_i = f_i(RG_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $f_1 \otimes f_2 : RG \rightarrow A_1 \otimes A_2$  maps  $u_{g_1} \otimes u_{g_2}$  to  $f_1(u_{g_1}) \otimes f_2(u_{g_2})$ , this implies that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are  $R$ -subalgebras of  $A$  such that  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \rightarrow A$ ,  $a_1 \otimes a_2 \mapsto a_1 a_2$  is an  $R$ -algebra isomorphism. Thus  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$  and  $A_i$  is an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra due to Lemma 1. Clearly  $[A_i] \in S(R)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

In particular if  $[A] \in S''(R)$  then  $|G| \in u(R)$  hence there exists  $m \in R$  such that  $m|G| = 1_R$ . Since  $|G_i|$  divides  $|G|$ ,  $|G| = |G_i|t_i$  for some  $t_i > 0$  and  $1_R = t_i m |G_i|$ , thus  $|G_i|$  is unit in  $R$ . It thus follows that  $[A_i] \in S''(R)$ .  $\square$

For finite groups  $G$  and  $H$ , if  $\alpha \in Z^2(G, u(R))$  and  $\beta \in Z^2(H, u(R))$  then  $\alpha \times \beta$  defined by  $\alpha \times \beta((g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2)) = \alpha(g_1, g_2)\beta(h_1, h_2)$  with  $g_i \in G$ ,  $h_i \in H$  is an element in  $Z^2(G \times H, u(R))$ . In particular if  $G = H$  then  $\alpha\beta$  defined by  $\alpha\beta(g_1, g_2) = \alpha(g_1, g_2)\beta(g_1, g_2)$  is contained in  $Z^2(G, u(R))$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $[A] \in S'(R)$  and  $A$  be a cyclotomic algebra  $(R(\varepsilon_n)/R, \alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  has values in  $\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle$ . If  $n$  is divisible by  $pq$  with primes  $p \neq q$  then  $A$  can be decomposed into  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  and  $[A_i] \in S'(R)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in \text{Gal}(R(\varepsilon_n)/R)$ , the order of  $\alpha(x, y)$  divides  $n$  because  $\alpha(x, y) \in \langle \varepsilon_n \rangle$ . With the prime divisor  $p$  of  $n$ , write  $\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(x, y)_p \alpha(x, y)_{p'}$  and  $n = n_p n_{p'}$  where  $\alpha(x, y)_p$  [resp.  $n_p$ ] is the  $p$ -part and  $\alpha(x, y)_{p'}$  [resp.  $n_{p'}$ ] is the  $p'$  part of  $\alpha(x, y)$  [resp.  $n$ ]. In fact,  $\alpha(x, y)_p$  and  $\alpha(x, y)_{p'}$  are powers of  $\alpha(x, y)$  such that the order of  $\alpha(x, y)_p$  is a power of  $p$  while the order of  $\alpha(x, y)_{p'}$  is prime to  $p$ . Since  $pq|n$  for  $p \neq q$ ,  $n_{p'} \neq 1$  and  $\alpha(x, y)_{p'} \neq 1$ . Thus it follows that  $\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_{n_p} \rangle \times \langle \varepsilon_{n_{p'}} \rangle$  hence  $\alpha(x, y)_p \in \langle \varepsilon_{n_p} \rangle$  and  $\alpha(x, y)_{p'} \in \langle \varepsilon_{n_{p'}} \rangle$ .

Let  $\alpha_1(x, y) = \alpha(x, y)_p$  and  $\alpha_2(x, y) = \alpha(x, y)_{p'}$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\alpha_1(x, y)\alpha_1(xy, z) \cdot \alpha_2(x, y)\alpha_2(xy, z) = \alpha_1(x, yz)x\alpha_1(y, z) \cdot \alpha_2(x, yz)x\alpha_2(y, z)$$

for any  $x, y, z \in \text{Gal}(R(\varepsilon_n)/R)$ . Thus due to the uniqueness of  $p, p'$ -part, it follows that  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in Z^2(R(\varepsilon_n)/R, u(R(\varepsilon_n)))$  on which the natural Galois action is defined, and the values of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are contained in  $\langle \varepsilon_{n_p} \rangle$  and  $\langle \varepsilon_{n_{p'}} \rangle$  respectively. Consequently  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$  and it follows from [4, (4.2.13)] (or [7, (29.9)]) that  $A = (R(\varepsilon_n)/R, \alpha)$  is similar to  $(R(\varepsilon_n)/R, \alpha_1) \otimes (R(\varepsilon_n)/R, \alpha_2)$ . Now let  $(R(\varepsilon_n)/R, \alpha_i) = A_i$ . Then  $A = A_1 \otimes A_2$  and  $[A_i] \in S'(R)$ .  $\square$

The converse of Theorem 2 follows immediately that if an  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is decomposed into  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  where  $A_i$  are Schur  $R$ -algebras ( $i = 1, 2$ ) then  $[A]$  belongs to  $S(R)$ . In particular if  $[A_i] \in S''(R)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  then  $[A] \in S''(R)$ . For the same question with respect to  $S'(R)$ , we have the next theorem.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $A = A_1 \otimes A_2$  with  $[A_i] \in S'(R)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then  $[A] \in S'(R)$ . Moreover if  $A_i = (R(\varepsilon_{n_i})/R, \alpha_i)$  for a 2-cocycle  $\alpha_i$  and if  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$  then  $A$  is a cyclotomic  $R$ -algebra with respect to the 2-cocycle  $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$ .*

*Proof.* We denote the inflation map  $H^2(R(\varepsilon_{n_i})/R) \rightarrow H^2(R(\varepsilon_{n_1}, \varepsilon_{n_2})/R)$  by  $\text{inf}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and we consider  $\text{inf}_i \alpha_i$  defined by

$$(\text{inf}_i \alpha_i)(\theta_{i1}, \theta_{i2}) = \alpha_i(\bar{\theta}_{i1}, \bar{\theta}_{i2}),$$

where  $\bar{\theta}_{ij} = \theta_{ij} \text{Gal}(R(\varepsilon_{n_1}, \varepsilon_{n_2})/R(\varepsilon_i))$ . Then following [7, (29.16)], it is easy to see that  $(R(\varepsilon_{n_i})/R, \alpha_i)$  is similar to  $(R(\varepsilon_{n_1}, \varepsilon_{n_2})/R, \text{inf}_i \alpha_i)$ , and it thus follows that

$$A_1 \otimes A_2 = (R(\varepsilon_{n_1})/R, \alpha_1) \otimes (R(\varepsilon_{n_2})/R, \alpha_2) \sim (R(\varepsilon_{n_1}, \varepsilon_{n_2})/R, \text{inf}_1 \alpha_1 \text{inf}_2 \alpha_2).$$

Thus  $A$  is similar to  $(R(\varepsilon_{n_1}, \varepsilon_{n_2})/R, \beta)$  for  $\beta = \text{inf}_1 \alpha_1 \text{inf}_2 \alpha_2$ , hence  $[A] \in S'(R)$ .

We now suppose that  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$ . Then for any  $\theta_i \in \text{Gal}(R(\varepsilon_{n_1}, \varepsilon_{n_2})/R)$ ,  $\theta_i$  can be written as  $(\sigma_i, \tau_i)$  where  $\sigma_i \in \text{Gal}(R(\varepsilon_{n_1})/R)$  and  $\tau_i \in \text{Gal}(R(\varepsilon_{n_2})/R)$ . Thus  $\text{inf}_1 \alpha_1(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \alpha_1(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  and  $\text{inf}_2 \alpha_2(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \alpha_2(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ , this shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inf}_1 \alpha_1 \text{inf}_2 \alpha_2(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2((\sigma_1, \tau_1), (\sigma_2, \tau_2)), \\ \text{and } \beta &= \text{inf}_1 \alpha_1 \text{inf}_2 \alpha_2 = \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $\alpha$  be a 2-cocycle in  $Z^2(G, u(R))$  with trivial  $G$ -action on  $R$  and let  $\{u_g \mid g \in G\}$ ,  $u_1 = 1$  denote an  $R$ -basis for the twisted group ring  $RG^\alpha$  with multiplication  $(ru_x)(su_y) = rs\alpha(x, y)u_{xy}$  and  $\alpha(x, 1) = \alpha(1, x) = 1$  for all  $r, s \in R$ ,  $x, y \in G$ . An Azumaya  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is called a projective Schur  $R$ -algebra if it is a homomorphic image of  $RG^\alpha$  for finite group  $G$ , and the set of similar classes of projective Schur algebras forms a group  $PS(R)$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Suppose that  $[A] \in PS(R)$  and  $A$  is represented by a twisted group ring  $RG^\alpha$ . Assume  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  with  $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$ . Then  $A$  can be decomposed into  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  where  $A_i$  is represented by  $RG_i^{\alpha_i}$  for a 2-cocycle  $\alpha_i \in Z^2(G_i, u(R))$ , thus  $[A_i] \in PS(R)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be the surjective homomorphism  $RG^\alpha \rightarrow A$ , and let  $\alpha_i \in Z^2(G_i, u(R))$  be the restrictions of  $\alpha$  to  $G_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$ , it follows from [6, (2.3.14)] that the pairing  $\phi_\alpha : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow u(R)$  defined by  $\phi_\alpha(a, b) = \alpha(a, b)\alpha(b, a)^{-1}$  for  $a \in G_1, b \in G_2$  is trivial, thus  $\alpha(a, b) = \alpha(b, a)$  and  $H^2(G_1 \times G_2, u(R))$  is isomorphic to  $H^2(G_1, u(R)) \times H^2(G_2, u(R))$  that makes  $\alpha$  correspond to  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ .

For any  $g = g_1g_2 \in G$  ( $g_i \in G_i$ ), we define

$$\psi : RG^{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2} \rightarrow RG^\alpha, \quad \psi(w_g) = \alpha(g_1, g_2)u_g$$

where  $w_g, u_g$  are bases for  $RG^{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2}$  and  $RG^\alpha$  respectively. For  $x = x_1x_2 \in G$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(w_g)\psi(w_x) &= \alpha(g_1, g_2)\alpha(x_1, x_2)\alpha(g_1g_2, x_1x_2)u_{gx} \\ &= \alpha(g_1, g_2x_1x_2)\alpha(g_2, x_1x_2)\alpha(x_1, x_2)u_{gx} \\ &= \alpha(g_1, x_1g_2x_2)\alpha(g_2x_1, x_2)\alpha(g_2, x_1)u_{gx} \\ &= \alpha(g_1, x_1)\alpha(g_2, x_2)\alpha(g_1x_1, g_2x_2)u_{gx} \\ &= \alpha_1(g_1, x_1)\alpha_2(g_2, x_2)\alpha(g_1x_1, g_2x_2)u_{gx} \\ &= (\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)(g, x)\alpha(g_1x_1, g_2x_2)u_{gx} \\ &= \psi((\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)(g, x)w_{gx}) = \psi(w_gw_x), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $\psi$  is an isomorphism. Moreover due to [6, (5.1.1)], we have  $RG^\alpha \cong RG^{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2} = R(G_1 \times G_2)^{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2} \cong RG_1^{\alpha_1} \otimes RG_2^{\alpha_2}$ . Using the same notation  $f$ , write  $f : RG_1^{\alpha_1} \otimes RG_2^{\alpha_2} \rightarrow A$  abusively and let  $f_i$  be the restrictions of  $f$  to  $RG_i^{\alpha_i}$  and  $A_i = f_i(RG_i^{\alpha_i})$ . Then  $A_1 \otimes A_2 = f(RG_1^{\alpha_1} \otimes RG_2^{\alpha_2}) \cong A$  which maps  $a_1 \otimes a_2 \in A_1 \otimes A_2$  to  $a_1a_2 \in A$ . This implies that  $A$  is a homomorphic image of  $R(G_1 \times G_2)^{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2}$ , and  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are Azumaya algebras because of Lemma 1. Hence it follows that  $[A_i] \in PS(R)$ .  $\square$

Moreover, the next corollary follows immediately.

**COROLLARY 6.** *If  $A = A_1 \otimes A_2$  with  $[A_i] \in PS(R)$  and if  $A_i$  is an image of  $RG_i^{\alpha_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $[A] \in PS(R)$  and  $A$  is the image of  $R(G_1 \times G_2)^{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2}$ .*

### 3. Azumaya algebras

Consider projective Schur algebras which are epimorphic images of twisted group rings  $RG^\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in Z^2(G, u(R))$  that are separable algebras, i.e.,  $|G| \in u(R)$ . Then the set  $PS''(R)$

$$PS''(R) = \{[A] \in PS(R) \mid RG^\alpha \rightarrow A, |G| \in u(R), \bar{\alpha} \in H^2(G, u(R))\}$$

forms a subgroup of  $PS(R)$  ([9, 1.2(7)]), and  $S''(R) < PS''(R)$ .

We restrict our attention to the situation that  $[A] \in PS''(R)$  where  $A$  is a homomorphic image of  $RG^\alpha$  such that  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ . Then by Theorem 5 we have that  $A = A_1 \otimes A_2$  where  $A_i$  is represented by  $RG_i^{\alpha_i}$  for  $\alpha_i = \text{res}_i \alpha$ , and moreover  $[A_i] \in PS''(R)$ . In [9], it was studied the situation that an Azumaya algebra  $A$  that is represented by an epimorphic image of  $RG^\alpha$  may also be obtained as the image of such a group ring which is moreover itself an Azumaya algebra.

In this section we find relationships between the Azumaya twisted group rings  $RG^\alpha$  and  $RG_i^{\alpha_i}$  that represents  $A$  and  $A_i$  respectively. We use Lemma 1 to discuss commutator subalgebras of each other. As an application we study a situation that when a Schur algebra is represented by certain twisted group ring which is itself an Azumaya algebra.

For  $\alpha \in Z^2(G, u(R))$ , an element  $x \in G$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -regular if  $\alpha(g, x) = \alpha(x, g)$  for all  $g \in C_G(x)$  the centralizer of  $x$ . The set  $Z(G)_\alpha = \{x \in Z(G) \mid x \text{ is } \alpha\text{-regular}\}$  is called a root group of  $G$  with respect to  $\alpha$ , and this group plays an important role for  $RG^\alpha$  to be central. In fact, a necessary condition for  $RG^\alpha$  to be central is that  $Z(G)_\alpha$  is trivial. For an abelian group, this condition is also sufficient.

LEMMA 7 ([9, Theorem 2.2]). For  $[A] \in PS(R)$ , we may assume that it is given by an epimorphism  $RG^\alpha \rightarrow A$  where  $Z(G)_\alpha = 1$ . Hence if  $[A] \in PS''(R)$  then  $RG^\alpha$  itself is an Azumaya algebra.

THEOREM 8. Let  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  with  $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$ . If  $\alpha \in Z^2(G, u(R))$  and  $\alpha_i \in Z^2(G_i, u(R))$  is the restriction of  $\alpha$ , then  $\alpha$  is cohomologous to  $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$  so that the corresponding root groups are equal. Moreover we have the following.

- (1) Let  $x = x_1x_2 \in G$  with  $x_i \in G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then  $x$  is  $\alpha$ -regular if and only if  $x_i$  is  $\alpha_i$ -regular for  $i = 1, 2$ .
- (2)  $Z(G)_\alpha = Z(G_1)_{\alpha_1} \times Z(G_2)_{\alpha_2}$  and  $G/Z(G)_\alpha \cong G_1/Z(G_1)_{\alpha_1} \times G_2/Z(G_2)_{\alpha_2}$ .

*Proof.* Define a map  $t : G \rightarrow u(R)$  by  $g \mapsto \alpha(g_1, g_2)$  for  $g = g_1g_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(g, x)t(g)t(x) &= \alpha(g_1, g_2x_1x_2)\alpha(g_2, x_1x_2)\alpha(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \alpha(g_1, x_1g_2x_2)\alpha(x_1g_2, x_2)\alpha(x_1, g_2) = t(gx)(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)(g, x), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds because of trivial pairing of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  [6, (2.3.14)]. Thus  $\alpha$  is cohomologous to  $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$ , and the  $\alpha$ -regularity is equal to the  $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$ -regularity by [6, (3.6.1)], so that  $Z(G)_\alpha$  corresponds to  $Z(G)_{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2}$ .

For  $x = x_1x_2$ , if  $x$  is  $\alpha$ -regular and if  $a \in C_{G_1}(x_1)$  then  $xa = x_1ax_2 = ax_1x_2 = ax$ , thus  $\alpha(x, a) = \alpha(a, x)$ . Moreover we have that

$$\alpha_1(x_1, a)\alpha(x, x_2^{-1}) = \alpha(xx_2^{-1}, a)\alpha(x, x_2^{-1}) = \alpha_1(a, x_1)\alpha(x, x_2^{-1}),$$

hence  $x_1$  is  $\alpha_1$ -regular, and similarly we get  $x_2$  is  $\alpha_2$ -regular.

Conversely, assume that  $x_i$  is  $\alpha_i$ -regular and choose any  $g \in G$  such that  $gx = gx$ . Then  $x_i g_i = g_i x_i$  and  $\alpha_i(x_i, g_i) = \alpha_i(g_i, x_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)(x, g) = \alpha_1(x_1, g_1)\alpha_2(x_2, g_2) = (\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)(g, x)$ , which proves that  $x$  is  $(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)$ -regular, so that  $x$  is  $\alpha$ -regular, this proves (1).

If  $ab \in Z(G_1)_{\alpha_1} \times Z(G_2)_{\alpha_2}$  for  $a \in Z(G_1)_{\alpha_1}$  and  $b \in Z(G_2)_{\alpha_2}$ , then we have  $a \in Z(G_1), b \in Z(G_2)$  and for any  $g_1 \in G_1$  and  $x_2 \in G_2, \alpha_1(a, g_1) = \alpha_1(g_1, a)$  and  $\alpha_2(b, x_2) = \alpha_2(x_2, b)$ . Thus  $ab \in Z(G_1) \times Z(G_2) = Z(G)$ . Furthermore  $ab$  is  $\alpha$ -regular because

$$(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)(ab, l) = \alpha_1(a, l_1)\alpha_2(b, l_2) = \alpha_1(l_1, a)\alpha_2(l_2, b) = (\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)(l, ab)$$

for any  $l = l_1l_2 \in G$  with  $l_i \in G_i$ . Hence  $ab \in Z(G)_{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2} = Z(G)_\alpha$ .

On the other hand, if  $g \in Z(G)_{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2}$  then  $g \in Z(G)$  and  $g$  is  $(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)$ -regular. Clearly  $g = g_1g_2 \in Z(G_1) \times Z(G_2)$ ,  $g$  is  $\alpha$ -regular and  $g_i$  is  $\alpha_i$ -regular due to (1) hence it concludes that  $g = g_1g_2 \in Z(G_1)_{\alpha_1} \times Z(G_2)_{\alpha_2}$ . The remaining of (2) is clear.  $\square$

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $[A] \in PS''(R)$  where  $A$  is represented by  $RG^\alpha$ . If  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  such that  $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$  then  $A = A_1 \otimes A_2$  where  $A$  and  $A_i$  are represented by Azumaya twisted group rings  $RN^\beta$  and  $RN_i^{\beta_i}$  respectively for some finite groups  $N$  and  $N_i$ . Moreover  $(RN^\beta)^{RN_1^{\beta_1}} = RN_2^{\beta_2}$  and  $(RN^\beta)^{RN_2^{\beta_2}} = RN_1^{\beta_1}$ .*

*Proof.* It was proved in Theorem 5 that  $RG^\alpha \cong R(G_1 \times G_2)^{\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2} \cong RG_1^{\alpha_1} \otimes RG_2^{\alpha_2}$  where  $\alpha_i$  is the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $G_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). And  $A = A_1 \otimes A_2$  where each  $A_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is given by epimorphism  $RG_i^{\alpha_i} \rightarrow A_i$ . Moreover since  $[A] \in PS''(R)$ , each  $[A_i]$  belongs to  $PS''(R)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus due to Lemma 7 we may consider that the algebras  $A$  and  $A_i$  are epimorphic images of some twisted group rings which are Azumaya algebras.

In fact since  $[A] \in PS''(R)$  we may assume that the representing twisted group ring  $RG^\alpha$  for  $A$  is separable, i.e.,  $|G| \in u(R)$ . Thus if  $Z(G)_\alpha$  is trivial then  $RG^\alpha$  itself is central so that Azumaya. In case that  $Z(G)_\alpha$  is not trivial, we consider the quotient group  $G/Z(G)_\alpha$  following the idea in [9, (2.2)]. Then there is a 2-cocycle  $\beta \in Z^2(G/Z(G)_\alpha, u(R))$  such that  $A$  is given by epimorphism  $R(G/Z(G)_\alpha)^\beta \rightarrow A$  by Lemma 7. Replacing the representing pair  $(G, \alpha)$  by  $(G/Z(G)_\alpha, \beta)$  and continuing this process, we get a representation with trivial root group with respect to  $\beta$ . If we denote  $G/Z(G)_\alpha$  by  $N$  then  $A$  is an epimorphic image of  $RN^\beta, Z(N)_\beta = 1$  and  $RN^\beta$  is an Azumaya algebra.

Since  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  with  $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$ , it follows from Theorem 8 that  $G/Z(G)_\alpha \cong G_1/Z(G_1)_{\alpha_1} \times G_2/Z(G_2)_{\alpha_2}$ . Thus if we let  $N_i = G_i/Z(G_i)_{\alpha_i}$  then  $N = N_1 \times N_2$  with  $(|N_1|, |N_2|) = 1$ . Regarding  $N_i$  as a subgroup of  $N$ , let  $\beta_i$  be the restriction of  $\beta$  to  $N_i$ . Then it follows from Theorem 8 that  $\beta$  is cohomologous to  $\beta_1 \times \beta_2$  and  $Z(N)_\beta = Z(N_1)_{\beta_1} \times Z(N_2)_{\beta_2}$ .

Because  $Z(N)_\beta$  is trivial, so are  $Z(N_i)_{\beta_i} = 1$  hence  $RN_i^{\beta_i}$  is an Azumaya algebra. Moreover  $RN_1^{\beta_1} \otimes RN_2^{\beta_2} \cong RN^\beta$  and the epimorphism  $RN^\beta \rightarrow A$  gives rise to epimorphisms  $RN_i^{\beta_i} \rightarrow A_i$ , as is required.

As Azumaya algebras  $RN^\beta$  and  $RN_i^{\beta_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), the isomorphism  $RN_1^{\beta_1} \otimes RN_2^{\beta_2} \cong RN^\beta$  is defined by  $u_{n_1} \otimes u_{n_2} \mapsto u_{n_1}u_{n_2} = \beta(n_1, n_2)u_n$  for  $n = n_1n_2 \in N$  by Theorem 5. Hence due to Lemma 1, the commutator subalgebras are

$$(RN^\beta)^{RN_1^{\beta_1}} = RN_2^{\beta_2} \quad \text{and} \quad (RN^\beta)^{RN_2^{\beta_2}} = RN_1^{\beta_1},$$

this completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally we study a relationship between Schur and projective Schur algebras. Clearly a Schur algebra is a projective Schur algebra with trivial 2-cocycle. Besides the trivial case, we may regard a Schur algebra as a projective Schur algebra with respect to non-trivial 2-cocycle  $\alpha$  in the bijective correspondence between projective representations of a finite group  $G$  and ordinary representations of the covering group  $H$  of  $G$ . In this case  $\alpha$  is determined by a group extension  $H$  by  $G$ , however the values of  $\alpha$  may not be contained in the ring  $R$ .

**THEOREM 10.** *Let  $[A] \in S(R)$  and  $f : RG \rightarrow A$  be an epimorphism with finite group  $G$ . Assume the center  $Z(G) \neq 1$ . Then there is a finite group  $H$ , 2-cocycle  $\alpha \in Z^2(H, u(R))$  such that  $A$  is an epimorphic image of  $RH^\alpha \rightarrow A$ . Moreover if  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  with  $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$  then we have the following.*

- (1)  $RH^\alpha \cong RH_1^{\alpha_1} \otimes RH_2^{\alpha_2}$  for subgroups  $H_i$  of  $H$  and restrictions  $\alpha_i$  of  $\alpha$ . Thus  $A_i$  is an epimorphic image of  $RH_i^{\alpha_i}$  such that  $A = A_1 \otimes A_2$ .
- (2) Furthermore if  $[A] \in S''(R)$  then from  $RH^\alpha \rightarrow A$ , we may assume  $RH^\alpha$  is central, if necessary, by taking quotient  $H/Z(H)_\alpha$  until  $Z(H)_\alpha$  is trivial. Hence  $RH_i^{\alpha_i}$  are assumed to be Azumaya.

*Proof.* Consider a central group extension  $E : 1 \rightarrow Z(G) \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/Z(G) \rightarrow 1$ . Then due to the well known correspondence between ordinary representations of  $G$  and projective representations of  $G/Z(G)$ , there is an epimorphism on twisted group ring of  $G/Z(G)$  over  $R$  with respect to a factor set  $\lambda$  of the extension  $E$ . The factor set  $\lambda \in Z^2(G/Z(G), Z(G))$ , however the values of  $\lambda$  need not belong to  $R$ .

Regarding  $\lambda$  as an element in  $Z^2(G/Z(G), u(RZ(G)))$ , it was proved in [9] that  $RZ(G)(G/Z(G))^\lambda$  is isomorphic to  $RG$ , thus the same notation  $f$  can be used for the map  $f : RZ(G)(G/Z(G))^\lambda \rightarrow A$ .



For any  $x \in Z(G)$ ,  $f(x)$  is central in  $A$ , hence in  $u(R)$ . If we let  $\alpha = f\lambda$  then  $\alpha$  belongs to  $Z^2(G/Z(G), u(R))$  thus we have a surjective homomorphism  $R(G/Z(G))^\alpha \rightarrow A$ .

By setting  $G/Z(G) = H$ , (1) follows immediately from Theorem 5. Moreover if  $[A] \in S''(R)$  then the representing group algebra  $RG$  satisfies  $[G] \in u(R)$ , thus by regarding  $[A]$  as in  $PS''(R)$  (2) follows from Theorem 9.  $\square$

### References

- [1] M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 367–409.
- [2] EM. Choi and HS. Lee, *Projective Schur division algebras*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), no. 5, 1399–1407.
- [3] ———, *Projective Schur algebras over a field of positive characteristic*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **58** (1998), 103–106.
- [4] F. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham, *Separable algebras over commutative rings*, Lecture notes in Math. **181** (1971), Springer, Berlin.
- [5] F. DeMeyer and R. Mollin, *The Schur group of a commutative ring*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **39** (1985), 117–122.
- [6] G. Karpilovsky, *Projective representations of finite groups*, Pure and Appl. Math. **94** Marcel Dekker, NewYork (1985).
- [7] I. Reiner, *Maximal orders*, Academic Press, London, NewYork, 1975.
- [8] E. Nauwelaerts and L. Delvaux, *Restriction of projective group representations to subgroups and centralizers*, J. Algebra **157** (1993), 63–79.
- [9] P. Nelis and F. VanOystaeyen, *The projective Schur subgroup of the Brauer group and root groups of finite groups*, J. Algebra **137** (1991), 501–518.
- [10] T. Yamada, *The Schur subgroup of the Brauer group*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, **397** (1974).

Eunmi Choi  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Han Nam University  
 Taejon 306-791, Korea  
*E-mail:* emc@math.hannam.ac.kr

Heisook Lee  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Ewha Womans University  
 Seoul 120-750, Korea  
*E-mail:* hsllee@mm.ewha.ac.kr